DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, and DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

+ + + + +

LISTENING SESSION REGARDING PROVIDER NONDISCRIMINATION UNDER SECTION 2706(A) OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE ACT

WEDNESDAY
JANUARY 19, 2022

+ + + + +

The Listening Session convened via

Videoconference, at 1:00 p.m. EST, Amber Rivers

and Elizabeth Schumacher, Co-Facilitators,

presiding.

PRESENT

AMBER RIVERS, Co-Facilitator

ELIZABETH SCHUMACHER, Co-Facilitator

ALI KHAWAR, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor

for Employee Benefits Security

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1:03 p.m.

MS. RIVERS: So I just wanted to thank 3 4 everyone for joining us today for the tri-5 department listening session on the provider nondiscrimination provision. I think most folks 6 7 are aware that this provision was initially added 8 as part of the Affordable Care Act and then most 9 recently the Departments of Labor, HHS, and Treasury were directed to undertake rulemaking on 10 11 this provision as part of the Consolidated 12 Appropriations Act. And I think it's great that 13 technology permits us to continue to convene 14 these sessions because they are just so helpful

We do have quite a number of speakers today representing a wide variety of perspectives. And in the interest of reserving as much time as possible for those remarks, we're not going to do full introductions on the government side. But I did want to acknowledge

in informing the department's implementation

efforts.

our colleagues from the other departments.

From HHS, I believe we will have Ellen Montz joining us and I think I see Jeff Wu as well as a number of folks from their teams to have joined. From Treasury and IRS, I see Carol Weiser and Rachel Levy as well as a number of members from their team. So thank you so much everyone for joining. And before we get into the stakeholder remarks, I did want to turn it over to our Acting Assistance Secretary Ali Khawar to give a few remarks.

MR. KHAWAR: Thanks, Amber, and welcome, everyone. It is good to see all of you, and thank you for participating and thanks to our tri-department colleagues for joining us. We're really looking forward to this conversation, and it is an important part of our process as we move towards the proposal that we're ultimately going to issue in this space.

I'm very excited that have a pretty diverse group of stakeholders because there are a variety of different ways to look at these

issues. And we're looking forward to hearing about the issues and concerns that all of you have related 2706, the provider nondiscrimination revision, and to begin the discussion about the different ways that we should be thinking about this as we move towards implementation. So there are about a dozen, I think, different speakers that we have, as well as a number of folks that are registered to listen in on the conversation.

We're gathering information today. In the interest of time and because of the number of speaks that we have, we're probably not going to be asking many questions. But you should rest assured and apologies in advance.

We're probably following up with a number of you with questions after the fact. And we're really looking forward to this as the beginning of a number of conversations that we're going to have. But with that, why don't we get started.

MS. RIVERS: Thanks so much, Ali. So

I'm going to actually turn it over to Elizabeth

Schumacher. She's going to help facilitate today's session.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Amber, and thank you, everyone, for joining us today. I just want to quickly remind our speakers that, because we are limited on time, I ask that everyone please try to keep your remarks to seven minutes. And with that, our first speaker is Jeanette Thornton from AHIP.

MS. THORNTON: All right. Can you hear me okay? All right. Hi, everyone. Let me get situated here. On behalf of AHIP, I'm Jeanette Thornton. And we really appreciate all the work the tri-departments have done on the implementation of the No Surprises Act. And we really look forward to the continued partnership with all of you to ensure patients are protected from surprise bills under the law.

We really appreciate the opportunity
to share our perspective with you today and are
really also pleased that you are seeking opinions
from a diverse set of stakeholders prior to

releasing any proposed rule. We certainly know - and I'll talk a little bit about it today -there is a long history about this provision.

I think it goes with saying we want to stress the importance of non-physician providers to our healthcare system. They are such an important part of plan design because they can provide both appropriate and cost effective care. And this is so important in a time when we have rising healthcare costs as well as when we're all facing both personally and professionally due to the global COVID-19 pandemic.

So we're left with the three sentences that were in the original Affordable Care Act provision. And I'm sure you're going to hear a lot of varying thoughts today about what these three sentences mean and what they mean for the departments' required regulations in this area. I want to draw you all to the first sentence, which is what I think foundationally this provision is all about.

We, as in health insurance providers,

shall not discriminate with respect to participation under the plan or coverage against any healthcare provider who is acting within the scope of that provider's license or certification under applicable state law. In our view, this provision is not about changing what benefits a plan chooses to cover. It does not mandate a certain provider reimbursement approach and does not require us to contract with all providers.

Making these broad changes at this time would really negatively impact both affordability and the quality of our member health insurance plan offerings. Now for our perspective, we do support the departments' original 2013 FAQ that really implemented the statute by forbidding the discriminatory behavior but left in place the ability for health insurance providers to make coverage decisions, administer benefits, and set competitive reimbursement rates for providers. So, in thinking about the departments' charge from Congress, we originally believe the statute to be

self-implementing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But we recognize that Congress really threw us a curve ball and required the departments to issue regulations. And so, in drafting those, we really think it's important to focus on three goals. One, keeping with the statute, prohibiting discrimination and really affirming state authority to regulate provider Two, it's really critical that you licensure. maintain the ability for plans to design benefits and select providers based on both consumer and employer demand and existing law and regulations. And finally, it's also critical that you preserve private market contracting between plans and providers to set appropriate reimbursement rates that reward efficient, high quality, and performance outcomes.

So a couple of things that we think are important in order to meet these three goals.

One, I think the regulations should restate this goal of prohibiting certain types of discrimination and really defer to the states for

oversight as is practiced with many of the tridepartment regulations. States continue to be
the primary regulator of license and
credentialing requirements for healthcare
providers in their states. States and also the
federal government also have network adequacy
requirements that exist to make sure consumers
have sufficient access to necessary care.

It's also important to note that
Section 2706 clearly states that it does not
mandate any willing provider contracting. Thus,
we think it's really important that your
regulations recognize the importance of plan
networks. They're really important. They help
improve affordability, promote quality, reflect
consumer preferences, and also drive a
competitive market across our industry.

In looking at benefit design, we really think it's important the regulations maintain the ability for plans to select covered benefits that meet the needs of consumers and employers, but also comply with any necessary

federal and state regulations. It is our view that this section does not require plans to cover additional benefits that would not otherwise be covered under a plan. For example, plans in the individual and small group market are required to follow the essential health benefits requirements by their respective states, and all plans are required to offer preventive services consistent with federal law.

It is so important that the regulations do not impede plans' ability to design benefits that respond to consumer demands and balance affordability and high quality of our offerings. Regarding provider reimbursement, as I mentioned earlier, it's so important that -- I emphasize the statute is not about changing the decisions health plans make regarding reimbursement. Plans and providers negotiate private market rates for covered services for reasons beyond just quality and performance measures.

We partner with our contracted

providers to implement important strategies related to efficiency, clinical effectiveness, decreasing costs, and also ensuring we do have a robust network of providers. Given the short notice of presenting today, and I think we've just begun the dialogue with our member plans on this provision. But I do want to reiterate our support for the role of non-physician healthcare providers.

We look forward to coming back to the tri-departments with more detailed recommendations very soon. And I really look forward to hearing the perspectives from all of the speakers gathered online here today. So with that, I'll turn it back to you, Elizabeth. Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Jeanette.

Next we have Ralph Kohl from the American

Association of Nurse Anesthesiologists.

MR. KOHL: Thank you, and good morning. My name is Ralph Kohl. I'm the Senior Director of Federal Government Affairs for the

American Association of Nurse Anesthesiology.

First off, I wanted to say thank you to the hardworking staff at the Department of Health and Human Services, Labor, and the Treasury and to the Acting Assistant Secretary for hosting this important conversation and for all the work that you guys are doing in the face of this unprecedented global pandemic.

I'm here today representing the AANA and our over 60,000 certified registered nurse anesthetist members nationwide. CRNAs are advanced practice registered nurses who personally administer more than 50 million anesthetics to patients in all types of healthcare settings.

Nurse anesthesia predominates in veterans' hospitals and in the U.S. armed services. CRNAs also play an essential role in assuring that rural America, an underserved area, have access to critical anesthesia services, often serving as the sole anesthesia provider in rural hospitals, affording these facilities the

capability to provide many necessary procedures.

CRNAs complete a rigorous clinical and didactic education which prepares them to provide the highest quality anesthesia care. All available legitimate peer reviewed studies in evidence show that CRNAs -- regardless of patient population, regardless of the case -- achieve the same quality outcomes as our physician anesthesiologist colleagues.

CRNAs acting within the scope of their state licensure have experienced denials for coverage of procedures that are clearly in their state scope of practice and have been outright denied participation in certain insurance plan networks solely based on their licensure. And this is since 2706 was passed into law. These practices in payer access to care and payer consumer choice in competition impairs the efforts to control healthcare costs' growth.

Further, this discrimination violates the intent of the original provider nondiscrimination provision, Section 2706 of the

Affordable Care Act. When Congress included Section 2706 in the ACA, their intent was to protect patient choice and access to a range of benefit providers and prevent discrimination against any entire class of healthcare professionals solely based on their state licensure.

It is to promote and expand patient choice of providers, level the playing field among providers, and regulate healthcare markets by promoting choice and competition. We believe this included preventing health insurers from discriminating against qualified licensed healthcare providers solely based on their licensure. Unfortunately, no regulation has been issued since this law took effect, and there's no real way to enforce this important provision.

That is why Congress turned back to

it. That is why they're looking for the

departments to take regulatory action at this

time. Congress understands that addressing

discrimination against healthcare providers is an

important part of ending surprise billing and ensuring network adequacy.

Each of the congressional committees of jurisdiction signed off on including this provision once again in the Appropriations Act.

And the departments have heard from members of all the committees of jurisdiction clearly outlining what they expect to see from rulemaking. If implemented correctly, we believe section 2706 will go a long way to increasing access to care for consumers, increasing network adequacy to avoid problematic out of network billing situations, and drive down healthcare costs through increased competition between the highest quality healthcare providers.

As the administration looks to build on the ACA, we believe a regulation fully implementing the congressional intent of this provision will benefit consumers and will strengthen the ACA's focus on rewarding outcomes regardless of which qualified provider is achieving those outcomes. CRNAs have been on the

front lines of this unprecedented pandemic in surgical suites and on COVID teams, providing the highest quality of care. The pandemic has seen our members answer the call as one of the most utilized specialties during the pandemic based on Medicare data while also seeing an increase in QZ billing which is the billing code for CRNAs independently providing care.

Despite what some of our opponents would have you believe, we have not seen a significant increase in adverse outcomes related to anesthesia care. In closing, AANA believes that CRNAs, APRNs, and all nurses have earned the right to be paid what they are worth with the high quality services provided and to be reimbursed for achieving those high quality outcomes in their respective fields. Ultimately, we know that CRNAs' education and training yields the highest quality anesthesia providers which is reinforced by peer reviewed studies, the precipitous decline in CRNA liability insurance premiums, and the continued use of CRNAs as full

practice authority providers in the Army, Navy,
Air Force, combat support hospitals, and forward
surgical teams.

As your departments move forward with implementation of this important rule, we ask that you move away from the position-centered ethos which has far too long guided health policy decisions and focused on the evidence and the economics.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this important matter. We will remain a stakeholder and resource as you move forward with the provider nondiscrimination rule, and thank you all for your time and consideration.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Ralph.

Next we have Matthew Thackston, who is also from
the American Association of Nurse

Anesthesiologists.

MR. THACKSTON: Hello, and good
afternoon. I'm Matthew Thackston, the Associate
Director of Federal Government Affairs at the

American Association of Nurse Anesthesiology and currently the Chair of the Patient Access to Responsible Care Alliance, a coalition of more than a dozen healthcare provider organizations who collectively represent more than four million healthcare providers. I wanted to first thank you for all of your diligent work during this pandemic as well as on this issue, and thank you for the invitation to join you for today's listening session.

Patient Access to Responsible Care
Alliance, known as PARCA, represents non-M.D. DO
Medicare-recognized healthcare providers, many of
whom face discrimination due to the lack of
enforcement of provider nondiscrimination rules.
Discrimination against non-M.D. DO healthcare
providers, including the refusal to negotiate in
good faith and to bring non-M.D. DO providers in
network, only serves to hurt patient access to
care. We have seen some payers have unnecessary
barriers to care provided by non-M.D. DO
providers or flat out refuse to reimburse for

medically necessary care provided by those healthcare providers who are working within their state scope of practice.

We believe that insurers adding unnecessary barriers to non-M.D. DO care above and beyond what is required by state laws as well as state boards of nursing and medicine is a form of discrimination that unfairly decreases access to care, minimizes competition within the healthcare space, and increased costs. members of PARCA represent not only many of the non-M.D. DO Medicare recognized health and mental health providers who often face discrimination. But we are also the providers of choice for many patients, particularly in rural and underserved communities who are most adversely affected by the lack of access to care when provider discrimination occurs.

We believe that any effort to address the underlying causes of surprise billing must include a provider nondiscrimination rule with an enforcement mechanism to help ensure compliance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and give healthcare providers a means to fight discrimination. Additionally, as we continue to see increasing difficulties with access to healthcare more broadly and specifically with underserved populations, it's imperative that patients be allowed to access care from all qualified and licensed healthcare professionals without the barriers that provider discrimination presents. The providers represented in our coalition are all Medicare-recognized providers and are among some of the most sought after healthcare professionals who provide the highest quality care to their patients.

While we urge your departments to promulgate an enforceable rule, we are not seeking to force a system of any willing provider on insurers. But it is imperative that patients have access to the providers of their choice when those providers are working within their scope. To that end, we support a strong provider nondiscrimination rule that includes an enforcement mechanism to address the issues of

discrimination that many of the healthcare providers in our coalition face. We hope to be a constructive partner with you as you continue this important work. And I'd like to thank you for your time and attention today.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Matthew.

Next we have Frank Harrington from the American

Association of Nurse Practitioners.

MR. HARRINGTON: Good afternoon. And I would like to reiterate everything my colleagues have said so far. We really appreciate the departments holding this session and really look forward to working with the departments and all the stakeholders on the successful implementation of this rulemaking.

On behalf of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners and more than 118,000 individual members, over 200 organization members, and more than 325,000 nurse practitioners across the nation, we appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback during this listening session and provider discrimination

under Section 2706(a) of the Public Health Act.

This is an important piece of legislation

necessary to address ongoing provider

discrimination, which limits access to care, and

deprives patients of their ability to select

their healthcare provider of choice. As you

know, nurse practitioners are advanced practice

registered nurses who are prepared at the

master's or doctoral level to provide care to

patients of all ages and backgrounds.

NPs practice in nearly every setting, and daily practice includes assessment, ordering, performing, supervising, and interpreting diagnostic and laboratory tests, making diagnoses, initiating and imagining treatment, including prescribing medication and non-pharmacologic treatments, coordinating care, counseling, and educating patients and their families and communities. Nurse practitioners hold prescriptive authority in all 50 states and the District of Columbia and complete more than one billion patient visits annually. NPs have

long been recognized for providing high quality, cost effective care in their communities.

The importance of removing barriers to practice, including restrictive insurance coverage and payment requirements on nurse practitioners, has been recognized by independent entities and government agencies, including the National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine, the Brookings Institution, American Enterprise Institute, and the Federal Trade Commission. As an example, the National Academies' Future of Nursing report, which was released last year, called for payment reform among public health agencies and private payers that addressed these restrictive policies and removed barriers that limit the ability of APRNs and other nurses to improve healthcare access, quality, and value and create more equitable communities.

These regulations are an opportunity to address these restrictive policies and help achieve these goals. Approximately 70 percent of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

all NP graduates deliver primary care, and the percentage of patients receiving primary care from NPs increases in rural and underserved communities which are the most in need of stable growing practices. Data presented at the recent MedPAC meeting found that APRNs and PAs combined comprise about a third of the primary care workforce, with that percentage increasing to approximately half in rural areas.

practitioners and other clinicians in our healthcare system, many clinicians continue to experience discrimination by health plans. This has a negative effect on the financial stability of practices, limiting their ability to meet the needs of their communities, an impact which has only been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Section 2706 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act signed into law in 2010 prohibits private health plans from discriminating against qualified licensed healthcare professionals based on their

licensure.

However, since this provision was not implemented through rulemaking -- only subregulatory guidance -- it has not had its intended effect. In December 2020, the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021, which included the No Surprises Act, was signed into law. Section 108 of the No Surprises Act requires the departments to implement Section 2706 by promulgating rules on provider nondiscrimination by January 1st, 2022.

This reflects the strong congressional intent to implement Section 2706 in a manner that protects healthcare providers from ongoing discrimination and reflects the reality that the existing guidance has not been sufficient.

Despite the passage of the ACA in Section 2706,

NPs and other healthcare providers continue to experience discrimination based solely on licensure by insurers with respect to participation in health plans, low reimbursement rates, lack of coverage for procedures and

services within their state's scope of practice, lack of inclusion of value-based contracts, and denying patients the ability to choose an NP or other qualified clinician as their primary care provider. Such discrimination violates Section 2706 of the ACA and impairs access to immediate healthcare services, increases patient cost sharing, limits patient choice and healthcare market competition, and inhibits efforts to control healthcare cost growth.

As the departments undertake the rulemaking process on provider nondiscrimination, we make the following recommendations on objectives to ensure that the final rule will prevent provider discrimination.

One, clearly and comprehensively define discrimination and the intent of the provider nondiscrimination provision.

Two, ensure that the regulations address reimbursement discrimination based on licensure.

Three, prohibit health plans, health

insurance, and payer practices such as those that deny clinicians access to insurance networks and advanced payment model based on licensure which impose requirements for supervision or additional certification for training beyond state licensing requirements, which deny coverage of services and procedures within the clinicians' scope of practice, which prevent patients from choosing NPs and other primary care practitioners as their PCPs, and which require geographic limitations on provider network participation.

Four, we think it's extremely important that the departments create a robust enforcement and penalties mechanism to ensure that all health plans, health insurers, and payers comply with Section 2706.

And five, we strongly encourage the departments to establish a streamlined notice and complaint process for providers so that they can obtain an independent resolution of their complaint in a timely fashion.

In order to properly honor the intent

of Congress, the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021 and Section 2706 of the ACA, these are key provisions the rulemaking must address. NPs and other clinicians provide a substantial share of the high quality cost effective healthcare that our nation requires, particularly in rural and underserved communities. Preventing insurer discrimination based on licensure is essential to ensuring robust patient access to healthcare services, promoting patient choice of healthcare providers, and reducing out-of-pocket costs on patients for select NPs and other qualified clinicians as their healthcare practitioners of choice.

Again, we strongly thank the departments for holding this listening session and providing AANP with the opportunity to provide comment. Our members are committed to providing the highest quality care to their patients and communities, and we look forward to working with all of you on this important issue. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Next we have Katy Johnson from the American Benefits Council.

MS. JOHNSON: Thanks, Elizabeth. I was so busy writing everything Frank was saying, I've got to get all my papers together. Okay. So hello, everybody. Thanks so much for the opportunity to speak today. I'm Katy Johnson. I'm the Senior Counsel for Health Policy at the American Benefits Council.

Benefits Council is a national nonprofit organization that's dedicated to protecting employer sponsored benefit plans. So we represent over 220 of the country's largest employers on the full array of employee benefits issues. We also include in our membership organizations that support employers that sponsor coverage. So collectively, our members directly sponsor or support health and retirement coverage for almost all Americans participating in employer sponsored programs.

I wanted to note a few items for context before I get into the specifics of the provision today. First, I just wanted to note that employers provide coverage to over 177 million Americans and make great efforts to ensure that the coverage provided is high quality and affordable. Also, I wanted to note that the vast majority of our members are large employers that sponsor self-insured coverage.

That's just something to note. I'll kind of put a pin in it for the tri-agencies, something I personally am thinking through as I'm hearing all the remarks today to the extent that we're talking about in my mind constructs that sometimes apply specifically to insured coverage subject to more extensive state regulations.

As we all know, self-insured plans have more flexibility, and some of the things we're talking about today do not apply to us in the normal course.

And so I guess one high level ask is that we all think through these issues and as you

all think through these issues that we keep in mind the legal background for self-insured plans to the extent that it's different than insured coverage. That's something I'll personally be doing. So I'm happy to talk about that with you guys more in the future. I just note it's something I'm kind of keeping in my brain to the side.

I did want to note that this

flexibility that self-insured plans have they use
to provide benefits that are really tailored to
their workforce. And that includes working
really hard to provide the benefits that the
workforce wants and also to provide different
health plan options and to carefully manage their
provider networks.

I asked to speak today because the provider nondiscrimination provision has really significant implications for plan sponsors as I mentioned, the employers that I work with every day to help them provide employee benefits and healthcare coverage to their employees. This is

because the provision covers several key issues for employer-provided coverage that have already come up today, like health plan networks, payment rates that plans pay to providers, and the methods that plans use to provide affordable, high quality healthcare. Our members have worked to implement the provision in good faith, and the council has been engaged on these issues since the provision was enacted, including responding to the request for information back in 2014, which to me feels recent but I guess was actually kind of a long time ago at this point.

I also wanted to note and amplify what

Jeannette said and a thread that's kind of been

woven through everybody's discussion so far,

which is the importance of non-physician

providers and the importance of the full array of

healthcare providers. Employers greatly value the

ability to provide coverage through all different

healthcare providers, including to expand access,

to control costs, and to meet a lot of the goals

that folks have been already mentioning this

afternoon. So I did just want to note that,
while it's really important and we will be
continuing to work to ensure that the provision
is implemented in a way that's consistent with
Congress' intent, it will continue to be the case
that providing coverage through various
healthcare providers is really important to
employer plan sponsors and is really something
that we value.

so, with my remaining time today, I wanted to emphasize three main issues that folks have touched on somewhat already that are key from the employer plan sponsor perspective. So the first item, which I think some folks have alluded to perhaps in different directions, is just that nothing in this provision mandates that a plan or issue cover specific benefits or services. I think Jeanette helpfully read us all the first sentence of the statute when she first started, and so we all know it's framed as a nondiscrimination provision.

As we know, Congress knows how to

impose a benefit mandate. It did so in the ACA a few different ways. It imposed a requirement to cover preventive services on non-grandfathered group health plans. As some folks have alluded to, it imposed a requirement to cover essential health benefits for individual and small group insured coverage. So we know what it looks like when we see a benefit mandate.

This provision instead is a nondiscrimination provision. And so nothing here indicates an intent to require either issuers or self-funded plan sponsors to cover specific benefits or services as a result of this provision. Moreover, I did want to note that plans and issuers do sometimes exclude a benefit or service that is performed by only one type of provider, such as acupuncture or massage therapy.

And excluding a benefit or service that is performed by only one type of provider is not discriminatory against the provider in that the decision by the plan or the issuer to exclude the benefit or service is made with respect to

the benefit or service. It's not made with respect to who will be delivering the benefit or service. So to read the provision to require that a plan or policy include a specific benefit would not only be directly inconsistent with the law, it would undermine employers' ability to tailor the benefits that they offer to the needs of their workforce and to ensure affordable access to coverage.

And so, as the tri-agencies work to develop regulations in response to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, we ask that they confirm that the provision applies only to the extent that an item or service is a covered benefit and does not require coverage of any particular item or service, including items and services that are provided by just one type of provider.

Second, and this is something that
Matthew mentioned, I wanted to just confirm the
key point that the provision does not require
contracting with any willing provider. And we

can probably talk all afternoon about some of the network issues that folks have brought up.

But I wanted to key in on one key base point, which is that the provision states that this section shall not require that a group health plan or health insurance issuer contract with any healthcare provider willing to abide by the terms and conditions for participation established by the plan or issuer. So this language is unequivocal in clarifying that the provision should not be read to impose a requirement on plans or issuers to contract with any willing provider. And I'm really looking forward to listening throughout the discussion today, because it sounds like folks might touch on that in terms of whether everybody is in agreement on that or where we might have different views.

I did want to note that health plan networks and selected contracting are vital to plans to be able to offer affordable high quality coverage. Selective contracting helps control

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

healthcare costs by incentivizing providers to engage in competitive negotiations with plans. Networks also help consumers by making clear which providers meet the plan standards. And networks can also be helpful to distinguish between plans to the extent they might have different network arrangements and different costs.

There are also many reasons why a plan may not contract with a provider, including that the plan has sufficient numbers of providers in its network of a sufficient quality already or if the plan and a provider can't come to an agreement on the contracted rate. So we ask that the future regulations explicitly and clearly reaffirm the congressional directive that plans and issuers retain discretion and flexibility with respect to determining which providers may participate in a network and that the provision does not impose any willing provider requirement on plans or issuers.

The third issue I wanted to mention is

that it's important to note that this provision does allow the establishment of varying reimbursement rates.

I will spare you again me reading from the statute. But, as we all know, there is a sentence in there that notes that this provision shouldn't be construed to prevent plans and issuers from establishing varying reimbursement rates based on quality or performance measures. So the statute specifically addresses reimbursement rate variation based on quality and performance. And I note that quality and performance are really important factors.

But it's our view -- and we've asserted this in the past -- that the statute doesn't preclude plans and issuers from varying reimbursement rates on other legally permissible factors as well. As I'm sure many of us know who work in this space, reimbursement rates and the amounts that providers bill account for myriad factors. So that includes education, level of experience, patient acuity, quality, performance,

geography, market standards, market power of the provider, and the facility in which the item or service is provided.

The ability of plans and issuers to take into account this broad range of factors relevant to negotiating reimbursement rates is vital to the ability of plans to offer affordable healthcare coverage. Our view is that a suggestion that provider reimbursement be limited to two factors would be a sweeping change. A change that consequential does not seem to us would be included in one clarifying sentence in a nondiscrimination provision, especially in a sentence that seems to emphasize the fact that plans have the discretion with regard to setting the reimbursement rates.

So our view is that the statute doesn't explicitly or implicitly set provider reimbursement rates and also does not delegate authority or discretion to the tri-agencies to do so. Moreover, a payment parity statute in this context or an interpretation of this provision as

a payment parity statute would raise public policy concerns that we should all think about as well, including effectively setting a price floor which could result in less competition, less robust negotiation, and increased costs for plans and consumers. So, on this topic, we ask that the forthcoming regulations make clear that plans may determine reimbursement rates on any relevant measure, not just quality and performance measures.

In conclusion, I want to thank the tri-agencies for holding this listening session.

I think it sounds like we all agree on that.

Everybody is really glad you guys got us all together.

I know this is a provision that has been in effect for over eight years, but it's one that I think is still worthwhile to talk about and make sure we fully understand. As I noted, it is kind of a unique posture here, in which case we've had a statute which, like I said, our members have been in compliance with for several

years. And so it's useful to hear what everybody is raising today, and I think it's also really important for the tri-agencies to fully understand what all the stakeholders are thinking here and making sure we all fully understand the issues before new regulations are issued.

So, as Jeanette mentioned, we wanted to make sure to chime in today. But we also are kind of beginning our process of re-discussing these issues with our members and really digging in yet again. And I think, Ali, you mentioned perhaps having additional conversations as we go on, and we would love to do that with you. We view this as the beginning of the dialogue and would love to keep the dialogue going. And with that, I will turn it back to Elizabeth.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Katy.

Next we have Kara Webb from the American

Optometric Association.

MS. WEBB: Thank you so much. I'm

Kara Webb. I'm the Chief Strategy Officer at the

American Optometric Association and want to echo

the previous sentiment. We're really grateful for the departments for holding this session, and the comments today have been illuminating. And really appreciate this opportunity.

The American Optometric Association -we represent more than 33,000 doctors of
optometry across the nation. Our doctors are
essential healthcare providers and are recognized
as physicians under Medicare. Doctors of
optometry examine, diagnose, treat, and manage
diseases and disorders of the eye, and they also
detect systemic diseases and diagnose, treat, and
manage ocular manifestations associated with
those diseases.

We really greatly appreciated the recognition of members of Congress for the need to better implement the provider nondiscrimination provision. We truly look forward to working with the departments on this rulemaking. And we believe that the need for additional rulemaking related to provider nondiscrimination is really very clear.

Members have been affected by the lack of enforcement of provider nondiscrimination rules. At times, health plans have imposed additional requirements on our doctors before they are authorized to join insurance panels. And these requirements are often only placed on our doctors and not enforced uniformly across provider types.

And, ultimately, these actions reduce choice in the marketplace. So, as the agency moves forward with the development of regulations to implement the nondiscrimination provision, we would recommend that you consider a few of the following thoughts.

While we fully understand that reimbursement variances are allowable based on quality or performance programs, we believe that variances in reimbursement based solely on provider type should be prohibited.

Additionally, we believe rulemaking should prohibit health plans from requiring certain provider types to perform additional

certification of credentialing programs in order to be allowed on a panel. These additional requirements create inequities that hamper patient choice of provider.

And we also believe it's critical that an enforcement mechanism exists for these regulations. We encourage the departments to include an enforcement mechanism to hold those who are not compliant accountable. And when compliance issues are not adequately addressed, we believe that financial penalties should also be considered.

We definitely understand the challenging work involved in development of these regulations, and there are a lot of varying opinions on how these rules should be implemented. But we welcome the opportunity to serve as a resource for the departments moving forward. We welcome the opportunity to provide specific examples and details of the issues that our doctors have experienced. And, again, just thank you for the opportunity to share these

recommendations. 1 2 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Kara. Next we have Laura Pickard from the American 3 Podiatric Medical Association. 4 DR. PICKARD: Can everybody hear me 5 6 now? 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, we can hear you 8 now. 9 DR. PICKARD: Okay. I'm on my phone. My name is Dr. Laura Pickard. 10 Good afternoon. 11 I'm a practicing podiatrist from Chicago, 12 Illinois, as well as the incoming president of the American Podiatric Medical Association. 13 14 APMA is the premier association representing the vast majority of our nation's 15 16 doctors of podiatric medicine, also known as 17 podiatrists or podiatric physicians and surgeons. 18 On behalf of our member podiatrists, I thank the 19 Department of Labor, the HHS, and the Treasury 20 for holding this very important listening session 21 on the implementation of the provider

nondiscrimination provision under Section 2706(a)

of the Public Health Service Act. The nondiscrimination provision was intended to ensure patients are able to receive the services they need from the providers they choose.

In order to achieve this goal, we urge the departments to issue regulations in an expedient manner. Such regulations must comply with the congressional intent and prohibit health plans from discriminating against providers acting within their scope of licensure.

Specifically, the regulations should prohibit health plans from limiting coverage of services furnished by a specific provider type, excluding specific types of providers from their network, and prohibiting varying reimbursement for the same services based solely on provider type.

Regulations also should prohibit a health plan's downstream entities from engaging in such discriminatory action.

Finally, the departments must include robust reporting and enforcement provisions.

Doctors of podiatric medicine receive comparable education, training, and experience as M.D.s and DOs, including four years of undergraduate training, four years of podiatric medical school, and at least three years of hospital-based surgical residency.

With this training and experience, my colleagues and perform and bill for the same foot-and-ankle services as our M.D. and counterparts do. However, we are too often categorically discriminated against by health plans solely based on our degree and licensure. In many, if not most, instances, a podiatric physician has more experience in furnishing the very same foot-and-ankle services that some insurers, through their discriminatory policies, refuse to cover when furnished by a podiatrist.

Ultimately, such policies harm

patients who either have to find a new provider

less familiar with their foot-and-ankle care or

pay out of pocket. For example, a podiatrist's

longtime diabetic patient could develop a painful

hammer toe that despite conservative treatment fails to relieve the pain and limits the patient's mobility. If the patient's health plan refuses to cover the arthroplasty surgery required to restore the toe joint when furnished by a podiatrist. The patient must then seek out an M.D. or DO orthopedic surgeon with whom they do not have a relationship.

This is despite the fact that

podiatrists perform over 70 percent of these

procedures, increasing the likelihood of a

successful surgical outcome. Such a

discriminatory coverage policy denies the patient

the choice of their trusted physician who has

more experience performing the procedure.

Unfortunately, this scenario reflects the actual

experiences APMA members face in the podiatric

practice.

In fact, APMA recently heard from a podiatric physician whose request for prior authorization of a hammer toe surgery were denied under a Fortune 500 company's group health plan

which has a policy to only cover the procedure when furnished by an M.D. and DO. Another

Fortune 500 company's group health plan imposes a dollar limit on coverage of services furnished by podiatrists that is not imposed on M.D.s and DOs. As a practical manner, the limit or cap as it's known as is so low that it effectively excludes surgical procedure by podiatrists particularly if they have billed for conservative care prior to recommending surgery.

APMA believes this is precisely the type of discrimination that Section 2106(a) was intended to prohibit. But prohibiting discrimination and coverage alone will not ensure patient's choice of providers. Too often, claims simply provide for disparate payment rates between podiatrists and other types of physicians.

This makes it economically challenging or even infeasible for a podiatrist to furnish covered service, even though they're technically considered covered. Determining whether such

different differential payment rates are discriminatory may be difficult. However, there are situations in which it's simple to determine if payment is discriminatory.

For example, some health plans maintain a separate podiatry fee schedule under which podiatrists are paid significantly less than their M.D. and DO colleagues for identical foot-and-ankle services billed under the same procedural code. Or the fee schedule may be negotiable for M.D.s and DOs, but podiatrists must take or leave it. Congress restated its intent that Section 2606(a) would prohibit this type of discrimination in the 2014 Senate Appropriations Committee report.

apma recognizes that this section explicitly permits health plans to vary reimbursement based on quality and performance and that there is a public interest in doing so. However, APMA is concerned that such varying reimbursement could be used to support discriminatory reimbursement practices. To

preclude such actions and ensure that quality and performance bonuses are applied as intended, the APM recommends that the departments work with stakeholders to implement guidelines on the use of such payment incentives.

Finally, as previously stated, to effectively ensure patient choice, APMA recommends that the departments put into place strong reporting and enforcement provisions. in conclusion, discrimination by health plans limits consumer choice and increases consumer We urge the departments to implement regulations that prohibit provider discrimination and ensure that the healthcare consumers receive is the care they need from their choice or providers. Thank you again for holding this listening session, and APMA welcomes the opportunity to engage with you further on this very important issues. Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Laura.

Next we have Maureen Maguire from the American

Psychiatric Association.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. MAGUIRE: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Maureen Maguire, and I'm an Associate

Director of payor relations and insurance

coverage at the American Psychiatric Association.

On behalf of our members, which are over 37,000

psychiatrists, we thank you for the opportunity

to make these comments.

Psychiatrists are medical doctors, and they treat people who suffer from mental health and substance use disorders. And these people have historically faced significant discrimination, stigma, and prejudice. This can be subtle or it can be overt. And it can come from members of the public, their employers, their own families, and institutions like the healthcare system.

APA is really grateful to have the opportunity to talk about what it looks like in terms of the medical healthcare system, and specifically what psychiatric medical doctors have to do to care for their patients while navigating prejudicial barriers to that care.

The reality is that -- unfortunately -- people who suffer from mental health and substance use disorders are still not treated the same as people who suffer from physical illnesses like cardiac events or diabetes. What I'd like to do now is mention several scenarios that we hear repeatedly from our providers.

These are health plan issuer and issuer practices that discourage our members from participating in health plan networks. And, for our members who do practice in-network, these health plan practices interfere in how they care for their patients and keep them fearful of retaliation should they appeal denials of care or complain about insurer or plan practices.

Continuing authorization for inpatient care.

Patients with mental health and substance use disorder conditions who need hospitalization are extremely acute and extremely fragile.

They're suicidal. They're having psychosis, for example. And yet, once they are hospitalized, every several days, our providers

have to go through a process with the insurers of continuing to justify their care and the need for them to stay hospitalized. This takes an enormous amount of time.

And the question is, is this happening for other admissions such as cardiac patients?

Secondly, continuing authorization for medications, or a new requirement that the patient try another medication. Some of our patients have been stable for many, many years on a particular medication, and then the doctor is notified that they have to go through authorization or try another medication. only does this take time, but it disrupts the treatment plan and the medical decisions that the doctor with the patients' input have made. enormously disruptive. Medications used to treat mental health conditions can't be stopped or It must be titrated over a period of started. time which means that every change of a medication requires weeks to see if the patient is responding properly. And if the patient

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

doesn't respond well, then the process has to start all over again. Sometimes these new authorizations are not processed fast enough and as a result the patient can go days without medication, often resulting in decompensation which results in a visit to the emergency room or hospitalization.

Third, audits and clawbacks, these happen on a fairly regular basis and they keep our providers frightened about what the outcomes And the question is, how often is this with be. happening on the medical side? Network admission standards, for psychiatric doctors who are willing to join a network, it takes six to nine months, sometimes longer to get admitted to a network. And the question is, how does this make sense when we have data showing Americans are experiencing increased levels of anxiety, depression. Suicides are rising as are overdoses. And people can't find an in network psychiatrist to care for them.

Lastly, I want to address

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

reimbursement rates. The nondiscrimination provision in question does mention that reimbursement rates may be varied based on quality or other performance measures. However, the Milliman study that was done in December of 2019 looked at how primary care reimbursements compared to behavioral health reimbursements with the same unit of care. And it was found that primary care reimbursements were 24 percent -approximately 24 percent -- higher than behavioral health reimbursement with the same unit of care. And this was based on two large national databases. It just seems that cannot be specifically dependent on provider quality and performance measures.

In summary, these practices discourage our providers from joining networks. And our members who are in networks waste countless hours on administrative tasks -- hours that could be spent taking care of patients. And they're fearful to appeal plan denials. The end result is patients who need care for mental health and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

substance use disorder conditions have a harder time finding care and often go without care.

Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Next we have Kris Haltmeyer from the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association.

MR. HALTMEYER: Good afternoon, everyone. Thanks for the opportunity to speak today. It's very nice to talk to you about something other than over-the-counter COVID testing today.

I'm Kris Haltmeyer, Vice President for Policy Analysis with the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. BCBSA represents the 35 independent Blue Cross Blue Shield Companies, which collectively provide coverage for one in three Americans. And, as the departments engage in rulemaking on Section 2706(a), we believe it's critical that departments recognize the importance of maintaining the ability for health plans to deliver high-quality affordable care at this time of ever increasing healthcare costs.

I want to echo something that Jeanette and Katy said earlier, that health plans want to ensure their enrollees receive the healthcare they need in the most appropriate cost effective manner. Health plans routinely contract with a wide array of healthcare professionals to provide the services covered by their benefit programs and recognize the contributions physician practitioners make towards ensuring that enrollees receive high quality care in the most cost effective setting. As you go forward with developing regulations here, we think it's critical that the proposed rules closely follow the statutory language of 2706(a).

This language is clear that health plans may not discriminate against providers who are acting within the scope of their license with respect to their participation under the plan or coverage. However, some providers have asked for an expansion of the scope of this provision in ways that we think would undermine the ability of health plans to manage the care for enrollees and

their costs.

Jeanette and Katy talked a lot about what health plans don't believe this provision requires. I'm not going to repeat all that now. I think Katy in particular did a much better, more eloquent job that I could in kind of laying that out. But I did want to just mention that we think it really is important that this not be considered to be a requirement to cover all providers or even a percentage of providers in any given area. The ability to selectively contract with providers is one of the most important tools that health plans have to provide a high quality network and address the affordability of care.

I also wanted to emphasize -reemphasize -- the importance that this not be
viewed as a prohibition against varying
reimbursement. While the statute permits rates
to vary based on quality and performance
measures, it does not prohibit rate variation
based on other factors. If Congress wanted to

prohibit variation based on other factors, it could've easily done so, as it did in Section 2701 of the very same title of the ACA, which limits permissible rating factors. One point that I don't think has been made earlier is that Medicare has a more prescriptive provider nondiscrimination provision, which has not been interpreted as an any willing provider provision or limited the ability of health plan to vary reimbursement based on quality, performance, specialty, or cost among other factors.

We believe the departments were correct in how they crafted their initial 2013 FAQ on the Section 2706. And nothing in the governing statute or market has changed since that that would justify this approach.

Our recommendations for the forthcoming rules are as follows. The department should reiterate the statutory language of 2706 and not attempt to prescriptively define discrimination or alter the difference in this position to state rules around scope of practice,

healthcare markets, or the regulation of health insurance issuers. The rule should also not establish a complicated new regulatory framework that would be costly and challenging for health plans to comply with and result in increased costs. Finally, the regulations should clearly state that health plans can continue to establish benefits, networks, medical management programs, and reimbursement approaches that ensures that members have access to high quality care at the most affordable prices.

Thank you again for the opportunity to present today. I will turn it back to you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thanks, Kris. Next we have Darren Patz from the Mednax national medical group.

MR. PATZ: Yes, thank you. Hello, I'm

Darren Patz with Mednax national medical group,

also known as Pediatrix Medical Group. And thank

you very much for the opportunity to speak.

Mednax is a national physician group comprised of the nation's leading providers of

prenatal, neonatal, and pediatric services.

Mednax, through our affiliated professional
entities, provide services through a network of
more than 2,300 physicians, more than 1,400
advanced practice nurses in 39 states and Puerto
Rico. Yes, as you heard, we employ physicians
and advanced practice nurses, who work together
on a care team model to treat 25 percent of the
premature babies in the United States and our
NICUs as well as other sick and mentally complex
children.

In implementing the provider

nondiscrimination provision of the Public Health
Service Act, the department should direct that

plans reimburse all healthcare providers

regardless of license status for the valuable

service they deliver to our citizens. As I will

outline, our physicians and advanced practice

providers provide lifesaving services every day

to moms and babies, including resuscitating a

baby. Plans ought to reimburse APPs, advanced

practice providers, for the high value of

services they provide. They should not be artificially reimbursed 75 percent or 85 percent of what the plan would've paid a physician simply because of their license.

That said, I do recognize that there are complexities to payment policies. And certain clinicians have a superior level of training, expertise, and education that should lead to elevated payment levels.

But we don't want to create an artificial barrier to reimburse APPs for the services they are provided.

Plans cannot discriminate against advanced practice providers and need to design plans and reimbursement policies that pay practices for valuable services. For example, if a neonatal nurse practitioner resuscitates a baby, the payer ought to reimburse 100 percent of the amount it would have paid had a physician performed the lifesaving service. The APP is licensed, trained, and qualified to do this work and maybe has even performed the services more

often than a physician has. Furthermore, when a patient has a co-pay for an office visit where the APP provides service to the patient, it's the same case. The patient co-pay is the same in the case where the APP is the main clinician treating a patient in the place of a physician.

Therefore, it's illogical for a plan to reimburse the practice an artificially lower rate. Plans should compensate both physicians and advanced practice providers fairly and generously for such lifesaving care.

employer of neonatal, pediatric, family practice, and maternal nurse practitioners in the United States. Our APPs are men and women who dedicate their lives to the care of infants and family and contribute to the clinical excellence that our organizations strive to achieve. I have worked personally alongside our nurse practitioners on difficult issues such as the care of babies who are born addicted to opioids that mom has ingested during pregnancy. Indeed, some of the

leading compassionate national leaders of the national opioid epidemic are advanced practice providers.

We are proud of the outstanding work that our qualified APPs have delivered during this difficult pandemic. Each advanced practice provider holds a minimum of a master's degree in nursing with clinical training focused on their specialty. They must hold a national board certification in the specialty, and many nurse practitioner training programs have transitioned to a doctorate level program.

Our APPs perform high level valuable service to our fragile neonates and comply with state hospital credentialing and education requirements. Our neonatal nurse practitioners can evaluate, manage, prescribe, dictate the care of patients from birth to two years of age.

These NNPs can be privileged to perform a variety of procedures as well as attend high risk deliveries and participate in neonatal transports from one hospital to another. They are

credentialed and privileged by hospitals to

perform services via the medical staff office and

they must obtain ongoing education for

maintenance of certification and state licensure.

We support the departments'

promulgated regulations in a timely manner to

establish APPs to furnish services up to the full

licensed scope of practice as permitted by state

law. The department should direct the plans and

state Medicaid programs to reimburse any

healthcare provider regardless of license status

for the value of the important services they

deliver to our citizens.

As the largest employers of pediatric, maternal, and neonatal nurse practitioners, we would like to offer our support to the departments and their rulemaking endeavors by serving as a technical advisor. Dozens of dedicated and eager APPs working within our organization are at your service when called upon. Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Darren.

Next we have Elizabeth McCaman Taylor from the

National Health Law Program.

MS. TAYLOR: Hello, everyone. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Liz McCaman Taylor. And I am here with you on behalf of the National Health Law Program, also known as NHLP, which is a public interest law firm working to advance access to quality healthcare and protect the legal rights of low income and underserved people.

NHLP provides technical support to direct legal service programs, community-based organizations, the private bar providers, and individuals who work to preserve a healthcare safety net for the millions of uninsured or underinsured low income people. It is our understanding and belief that Section 2706(a) is intended to secure robust networks of providers by ensuring that enrollees have access to covered health services from the full range of providers licensed and certified in the state. We look

forward to the promulgation of rules on this provision and offer the following comments for consideration.

NHLP urges the departments to ensure effective monitoring and transparency in the implementation of section 2706. Monitoring health plans for nondiscrimination should be ongoing and not dependent on annual compliance To that end, regulations should require reviews. that health plans provide written notice explaining the reason a health plan denies participation to a provider or groups of providers. Such procedures are already established for Medicare advantage plans. also strongly encourage the departments to include a transparency provision in regulations so that providers, enrollees, and potential enrollees can effectively monitor provider participation in health plans, network adequacy, and can identify patterns of discrimination based upon licensure and provider type.

Next, the regulation should include

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

both an investigative and adjudicative process to ensure the enforcement of this provision. NHLP recommends the departments authorize an independent entity within HHS to investigate complaints of provider discrimination. We believe providers, consumers, and other stakeholders should file complaints which would merit investigation and potential remediation. Final administrative decisions should be subject to judicial review. This would provide a process in which providers alleging discrimination can directly apply provider denials and terminations and bring claims for violations of Section 2706.

Next, the departments should ensure that reasonable medical management standards do not undermine Section 2706. Medical management techniques should not deny and refuse access to providers who are acting within the scope of their license or certification under state law. The departments should clearly explain that health plans and issuers cannot use medical management techniques to discriminate against

providers by excluding them from plan participation.

And finally, Section 2706 regulations If networks do not must ensure robust networks. have sufficiently available and licensed providers and release geographic access, ability to see appropriate providers, and waiting times Indeed, federal rules recognize are compromised. the seriousness of this issue by requiring health plans to ensure an adequate provider network. These regulations, however, only apply to plans in the marketplace. We recommended the establishment of network adequacy protections in the 2706 regulations to ensure consumers in all health plans can obtain covered services from available licensed providers in their states.

The departments' regulations should prohibit health plans and issuers from excluding otherwise qualified and licensed providers from participation in health plans. Otherwise, consumers will face barriers to obtaining critical services from certain providers; for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

example, providers of comprehensive reproductive and sexual health services, particularly in areas of the country facing provider shortages and budgetary restrictions of services.

Thank you so much for the opportunity to provide these remarks. We look forward to being an ongoing stakeholder partner on this issue. And if you have any questions regarding these comments or the previous public comments that NHLP submitted regarding the 2014 RFI, we're happy to be in touch.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Next we have James Gelfand from ERIC.

MR. GELFAND: Thank you, Elizabeth, and thank you to the departments for the opportunity to participate today. I'm James Gelfand, Executive Vice President of the ERISA Industry Committee, or ERIC for short. ERIC is a national nonprofit organization advocating exclusively for large plan sponsors that provide health, retirement, paid leave, and other benefits to their nationwide workforces.

ERIC member companies do not believe in discrimination. And they are laser focused on ensuring that the self-insured health benefits they design and offer to workers, families, and retirees are high quality and affordable. member companies engage with an array of vendors to help them build a suite of benefits, construct a network of providers, and design an insurance program, including carriers and insurers, third party administrators, administrative service organizations, pharmacy benefit managers, reinsurers, specialty vendors to manage specific benefits such as mental health or telehealth, patient advocates and navigators, care coordination entities, health information technology companies, consultants, data management experts, and many more.

Sometimes plan sponsors may also directly contract with providers or with health systems. But regardless of whether relationships between a plan sponsor and provider are direct or through an intermediary, they always have the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

same goal, which is to ensure that a sufficient volume of high value care is available to the beneficiary of the plan, accessible in their geography, and obtainable in a timely manner.

ERIC member companies include all manner of provider and clinician in their plans, and we greatly value non-physician providers.

But the plan is designed based upon the needs of plan beneficiaries, not based upon any provider's entitlement to a network agreement no matter what kind of license they may have.

Employer plan sponsors exercise a great degree of autonomy in designing benefits, building or selecting networks, and negotiating reimbursement and incentives in a plan. This is by design.

Plan sponsors are fiduciaries under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, ERISA, and are required by law to act in the best interest of the plan beneficiaries. They have a legal responsibility to be good stewards of the money invested in employer health benefits. That means selecting the right providers, paying fair

prices, and avoiding waste, unnecessary or ineffective treatments, and all manner of low value care. Congress has recognized this role played by employers for more than 40 years. And subsequent legislation such as the Affordable Care Act and the No Surprises Act and the Consolidated Appropriations Act has sought to maintain this critical role. This includes maintaining the discretion and flexibility for employer plan sponsors to make decisions -- even sometimes unpopular decisions -- in order to preserve value for plan beneficiaries.

ERIC's member companies are aware of and abide by the requirements of the ACA's provider nondiscrimination provision. As others have stated, that provision consists of three sentences. First, a group health plan shall not discriminate with respect to participation under the plan or coverage against any healthcare provider who was acting within the scope of that provider's license. Second, the statute specifically states that this is not any willing

provider requirement. And third, the statute specifically states that this is not a requirement for parity in reimbursement between providers.

provisions are clear and they affirm our member companies' current compliance. We further note that the departments have already issued guidance on these provisions. Even so, ERIC is happy to engage with the departments to ensure that further clarifications or the promulgation of additional rules or guidance are in line with the intention of the statutory provision.

As the departments consider further guidance, it'll be important to maintain the current balance, in which plan sponsors are barred from improper discrimination in regards to network participation but are still empowered to make network and reimbursement decisions. It cannot be overlooked that this new rulemaking requirement was included in the same legislative vehicle that included the No Surprises Act, which

extensively discusses both network and reimbursement decisions. For example, the No Surprises Act spends considerable real estate detailing factors that might be taken into account in an arbitration, factors that might affect how much different providers might be reimbursed for performing similar services, such as the provider's level of training or experience.

Clearly, Congress had no intention of mandating that there be only one price for a treatment or service paid by a given plan. And certainly the quality is not the only factor that might be used to determine different reimbursement levels. The No Surprises Act also considers the network status of providers and facilities and acknowledges that they may change at the impetus of either the plan or the provider.

Despite significant lobbying by clinicians, Congress declines to include network adequacy requirements in the No Surprises Act,

just as they did in the Affordable Care Act for self-insured plans, allowing them to decide which, how many, and what kind of providers to include in networks. So clearly Congress had no intention of requiring a plan to accept any and all providers in a given specialty or geography or any provider who can perform some specific service or treatment.

and will continue to hear from many provider groups that wish to require a plan sponsor to contract with various providers or wish to require a plan sponsor to pay identical reimbursement to different clinicians with different levels of education and different amounts of training. However, a straightforward reading of the statute makes clear neither of these policies are permissible under the ACA.

Thank you for the opportunity to participate today. I look forward to continuing to work with the departments to ensure that the nondiscrimination provision is properly

implemented throughout the regulatory process.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Next we have Katie Mahoney from the U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

MS. MAHONEY: Thank you so much. I ampleased to round out the discussion and appreciate the opportunity to speak with you all today. I'm the Vice President of Health Policy at the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, which is the world's largest business organization representing companies of all sizes across every sector of the economy. Our members range from small businesses and local chambers of commerce that line Main Streets of America to leading industry associations and large corporations.

One thing that I wanted to start off my comments by saying is that mandating benefits and requiring providers does not equate to access. Limiting medical management, inflating payments in the name of nondiscrimination will increase costs. These things do not happen in a vacuum, and I think we need to keep in mind, as

we all focus on the importance of access, that simply requiring providers to be paid in parity and mandating that benefits be covered is not going to equate to access due to large increases in premiums that are likely to result.

Contrary to some of the previous speakers, I'd like to suggest that there should be no opponents on this issue. We're all looking to improve health, drive to greater value, improve outcomes, and reduce unnecessary costs. The litmus tests, so to speak, and our focus is the same, although we may refer to them slightly differently: they are employees, patients, customers, and beneficiaries.

We should all also agree on the goal of getting individuals the right care at the right time in the right setting. I want to reiterate several critical elements of the statutory text that I know folks have mentioned but as the last speaker I want to drive home. A nondiscrimination and healthcare section prohibits group health plans and health insurance

issuers from discriminating against any
healthcare provider acting within the scope of
the practice or the provider's licensing when
contracting.

This prohibition is not -- I repeat not -- as others have said, an any willing provider mandate, since the subsection states that plans and issuers are not required to contract with any healthcare provider willing to abide by the terms and conditions for participation established by the plan or issuer.

Finally, plans, issuers, and the Secretary of HHS may continue to establish varying reimbursement rates based on quality and performance measures.

The reason these three components are important, and that I'm reiterating them again at the end of this discussion, is quite simple: flexibility and choice. As some of my colleagues articulated earlier, we have seen many congressional mandates with regard to benefits under the Affordable Care Act in the vein of the

essential health benefits and small group
individual markets, coverage with no cost sharing
for preventative services, and minimum value
coverage for large group and self-insured plans.
Employers, whether offering self-insured or
fully-insured coverage to their employees, must
have the flexibility to determine the benefits
beyond those required by the ACA that they want
to provide and the providers with which they want
to contract. In response to employees'
preferences, many employers provide more
sensitive plans that include more cost effective
networks. And this is really critical and
important if we're going to preserve access.
Particularly now during COVID with workforce
shortages, we're seeing member businesses
offering ever expanding benefits, whether it's
more robust health benefits, greater flexibility
in hours or work week designations, remote work,
or others. And this flexibility is really
important as employers seek to retain, recruit,
and preserve their workforces. We need to make

1 sure that this flexibility remains and that, in 2 an effort to improve access, we don't increase premiums and unnecessarily drive people away from 3 4 the robust coverage that they enjoy, however they 5 may define it. With that, I'll turn it back to you, 6 7 Elizabeth. 8 Thank you, Katie. MS. SCHUMACHER: Ι 9 believe Katie was our last speaker for the day, so I will turn it over to Ali at this time. 10 11 Thanks, Elizabeth. MR. KHAWAR: Ι 12 want to thank everyone who spoke for sharing your 13 perspectives on this issue. 14 I think one thing I heard a couple of 15 people acknowledge is that, as I said in the 16 beginning, this is the beginning of these 17 discussions, not the end of it. So we're looking 18 forward to continuing the engagement with all of 19 you and with other stakeholders that didn't speak 20 today.

picking up on Katie's point in her remarks -- I

And the other thing I'll say --

21

think we can also all agree that there's a shared goal here, which is about making sure that we are focused on think the bottom line of improving healthcare outcomes. And there are different perspectives on how to do that as we heard today. And we're looking forward to continuing to explore these issues with you.

So thanks again everyone for joining, or for whether you spoke or listened in. And

or for whether you spoke or listened in. And we're looking forward to further conversations.

Take care.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 2:22 p.m.)

A ANA 40 0 40 40
AANA 12:9 16:12 AANP 28:17
abide 36:7 74:14 80:10
ability 7:17 8:10 9:20
10:11 22:5 23:16
24:15 26:3 32:19 35:6 39:4,7 57:20 58:21
59:11 60:9 70:6
able 36:21 46:3
above-entitled 83:12 ACA 14:2 15:17 25:17
26:6 28:2 34:1 60:3
77:18 81:8
ACA's 15:20 74:14
Academies 23:8 Academies 23:12
accept 77:5
access 9:8 12:20 13:17
14:3 15:11 18:2,11,19 19:8,17 20:3,6,18
22:4 23:17 26:6 27:2
28:9 32:20 35:9 61:10
67:9,20 69:17 70:6
78:19 79:1,4 81:14 82:2
accessible 73:3
account 38:20 39:5
76:5 accountable 44:9
achieve 13:7 23:22 46:
64:18
achieving 15:22 16:16 acknowledge 2:22
82:15
acknowledges 76:17
act 1:5 2:8,12 5:15 6:14 14:1 15:5 22:1 24:19
25:6,7,8 28:1 35:12
46:1 62:14 73:17,18
74:6,6,7 75:22 76:3
76:15,22 77:1 80:22 acting 1:19 3:10 7:3
12:5 13:10 46:10
58:17 69:18 74:20
80:2 action 14:20 46:20
actions 43:9 51:1
actual 48:16
acuity 38:22
acupuncture 34:17 acute 53:19
added 2:7
addicted 64:21
adding 19:4 additional 10:3 27:4
44 40 40 04 40 4 00

Additionally 20:2 43:20 address 19:19 20:22 22:3 23:21 26:20 28:3 55:22 59:14 addressed 23:15 44:10 addresses 38:10 addressing 14:21 adequacy 9:6 15:2,12 68:19 70:13 76:22 adequate 70:10 adequately 44:10 adjudicative 69:1 administer 7:19 12:13 administration 15:16 administrative 56:19 69:9 72:10 administrators 72:10 admission 55:12 admissions 54:6 admitted 55:15 advance 4:14 67:9 advanced 12:12 22:7 27:3 62:5,7,18,21 63:14 64:10 65:2,6 advantage 68:14 **adverse** 16:11 adversely 19:16 **advisor** 66:18 advocates 72:14 advocating 71:19 **Affairs** 11:22 17:22 affect 76:6 affiliated 62:2 **affirm** 75:6 affirming 8:8 affordability 7:12 9:15 10:13 59:15 affordable 2:8 6:14 14:1 24:19 30:7 32:5 35:8 36:21 39:7 57:21 61:11 72:5 74:5 77:1 80:22 affording 12:22 afternoon 17:21 21:9 33:1 36:1 45:10 52:1 57:7 age 65:18 agencies 23:7,14 **agency** 43:10 ages 22:10 ago 32:12 agree 40:13 79:15 83:1 agreement 36:17 37:14 73:10 **AHIP** 5:9,12 **Air** 17:2 Ali 1:19 3:10 4:21 41:11

alleging 69:11 **Alliance** 18:3,12 allow 38:2 allowable 43:16 **allowed** 20:6 44:2 allowing 77:2 alluded 33:15 34:4 alongside 64:19 alter 60:21 **Amber** 1:11,17 3:12 5:3 **America** 12:19 78:14 American 11:18 12:1 17:18 18:1 21:7,16 23:9 29:2,10,11 41:18 41:22 42:5 45:3,13 51:21 52:4 **Americans** 29:21 30:5 55:17 57:17 amount 54:4 63:19 amounts 38:20 77:16 amplify 32:13 Analysis 57:13 anesthesia 12:16,20,21 13:4 16:12,19 anesthesiologist 13:9 Anesthesiologists 11:19 17:19 Anesthesiology 12:1 18:1 anesthetics 12:14 anesthetist 12:11 **annual** 68:8 annually 22:22 answer 16:4 anxiety 55:18 **APA** 52:17 **APM** 51:3 **APMA** 45:14 48:17,19 49:11 50:16,20 51:7 51:17 apologies 4:14 **APP** 63:20 64:3,5 appeal 53:14 56:21 applicable 7:5 applied 51:2 **applies** 35:13 apply 30:15,19 69:12 70:11 appreciate 5:13,19 21:12.20 42:4 78:7 appreciated 42:15 **approach** 7:8 60:16 approaches 61:9 appropriate 6:8 8:15 58:4 70:7 **Appropriations** 2:12 15:5 25:6 28:1 35:12 50:15 74:7

approximately 23:22 24:9 56:10 APPs 62:21 63:11 64:15 65:5,13 66:7,19 **APRNs** 16:13 23:16 24.6 arbitration 76:5 area 6:18 12:19 59:11 areas 24:9 71:2 armed 12:17 Army 17:1 arrangements 37:7 array 29:16 32:17 58:6 72:6 arthroplasty 48:4 articulated 80:20 artificial 63:11 artificially 63:2 64:8 asked 31:17 58:19 **asking** 4:13 asserted 38:15 assessment 22:12 Assistance 3:10 **Assistant** 1:19 12:5 **Associate** 17:21 52:2 associated 42:13 association 11:19 12:1 17:18 18:1 21:8,16 41:19,22 42:5 45:4,13 45:14 51:22 52:4 57:6 57:14 associations 78:15 assured 4:14 assuring 12:19 attempt 60:20 attend 65:20 attention 21:5 audits 55:8 **authority** 8:8 17:1 22:20 39:20 authorization 48:21 53:16 54:7,13 authorizations 55:3 authorize 69:3 authorized 43:6 autonomy 73:13 available 13:4 70:5,16 73:2 avoid 15:12 avoiding 74:1 aware 2:7 74:13 В

babies 62:9,20 64:20 baby 62:21 63:18 back 11:10,15 14:18 32:10 41:16 61:13 82:6

44:2 75:12

41:12 42:21 43:4,22

82:10

background 29:11 31:2 19:20 44:1 65:10 66:4 69:19 come 32:3 37:13 52:13 **coming** 11:10 billion 22:22 **certified** 12:10 67:22 backgrounds 22:10 **Chair** 18:2 comment 28:18 **balance** 10:13 75:16 **bills** 5:18 challenging 44:14 comments 42:3 52:7 **ball** 8:3 **birth** 65:18 bar 67:14 **bit** 6:2 49:19 61:4 68:2 71:9,9 78:17 **commerce** 78:4.9.13 **barred** 75:17 **Blue** 57:5,5,13,13,15,15 **Chamber** 78:3.9 chambers 78:13 Commission 23:11 barrier 63:11 **board** 65:9 **barriers** 18:21 19:5 **boards** 19:7 change 39:10,11 54:20 committed 28:18 20:8 23:3,16 52:22 **bonuses** 51:2 76:17 **Committee** 50:15 71:18 70:21 born 64:21 changed 60:15 committees 15:3,7 changes 7:10 communities 19:16 **base** 36:3 **bottom** 83:3 22:19 23:2,19 24:4,16 based 8:11 13:15 14:6 **brain** 31:7 **changing** 7:6 10:16 14:14 16:5 24:22 **bring** 18:18 69:13 charge 7:21 28:7,20 broad 7:10 39:5 **Chicago** 45:11 community-based 25:19 26:20 27:3 28:8 broadly 20:4 **Chief** 41:21 67:13 38:9,11 43:16,18 46:16 47:12 50:18 **Brookings** 23:9 children 62:11 companies 57:15 72:1 brought 36:2 **chime** 41:8 72:6,16 73:5 74:13 56:3,12 59:20,22 60:1 budgetary 71:4 **choice** 13:18 14:3,9,11 78:11 60:10 68:20 73:8,9 80:14 **build** 15:16 72:7 19:14 20:18 22:6 26:8 companies' 75:7 **basis** 55:9 building 73:13 28:10,14 43:10 44:4 company's 48:22 49:3 **business** 78:10 48:14 49:15 51:7,11 comparable 47:1 **BCBSA** 57:14 beginning 4:18 41:9,14 businesses 78:13 51:15 80:19 compared 56:7 82:16,16 81:16 choose 26:3 46:4 compassionate 65:1 **begun** 11:6 **busy** 29:5 chooses 7:7 compensate 64:9 competition 13:18 **behalf** 5:12 21:16 45:18 choosing 27:8 C 14:11 15:14 19:9 26:9 52:5 67:7 **citizens** 62:17 66:13 behavior 7:16 call 16:4 **claims** 49:15 69:13 40:4 competitive 7:19 9:17 behavioral 56:7,11 called 23:13 66:20 clarifications 75:11 **clarifying** 36:10 39:12 37:2 **belief** 67:18 **cap** 49:6 complain 53:15 believe 3:2 7:22 14:11 capability 13:1 **class** 14:5 complaint 27:19,21 15:9.17 16:10 19:4.19 cardiac 53:5 54:6 clawbacks 55:8 clear 37:3 40:7 42:22 complaints 69:5.7 42:20 43:17,20 44:5 care 2:8 6:8.14 9:8 13:4 58:15 75:6 77:17 complete 13:2 22:21 44:11 57:18 59:3 13:17 14:1 15:11 16:3 complex 62:10 clearly 9:10 13:12 15:7 60:12 69:6 72:1 82:9 16:8,12 18:3,11,20,21 complexities 63:6 26:16 37:15 61:6 **believes** 16:12 49:11 19:1,5,9,17 20:6,13 69:20 76:10 77:4 compliance 19:22 75:5 22:4,9,17 23:2 24:1,2 beneficiaries 73:9,19 clinical 11:2 13:2 64:17 40:22 44:10 68:8 75:7 24:7,19 26:4 27:9 compliant 44:9 65:8 74:12 79:14 28:19 47:20 49:9 clinician 26:4 64:5 73:6 complicated 61:3 beneficiary 73:3 51:15 52:21,22 53:12 comply 9:22 27:16 46:7 benefit 9:18 14:4 15:19 53:14,16 54:2 55:21 **clinicians** 24:11,12 27:2 28:4.13 63:7 61:5 65:14 29:14 34:1,8,15,18,22 56:6,8,9,12,20,22 35:1,2,4,15 58:7 57:2,2,21 58:10,22 76:21 77:14 components 80:16 clinicians' 27:7 comprehensive 71:1 72:11 59:15 61:10 62:8 benefits 1:20 7:6,19 64:11,16,20 65:17 closely 58:13 comprehensively closing 16:12 26:16 8:10 9:21 10:3,6,12 72:14 73:2 74:3,6 77:1 79:16 80:22 Co-Facilitator 1:17,18 comprise 24:7 29:2,10,12,16 31:11 Co-Facilitators 1:12 comprised 61:22 83:11 31:13,21 33:17 34:6 co-pay 64:2,4 compromised 70:8 34:13 35:7 61:8 71:22 carefully 31:15 coalition 18:3 20:10 concerned 50:20 72:3.7.13 73:13.21 Carol 3:5 concerns 4:2 40:2 78:17 79:3 80:21 81:1 carriers 72:9 21:2 code 16:7 50:10 conclusion 40:11 51:10 81:7,17,18 case 13:7 33:5 40:21 conditions 36:8 53:18 best 73:18 colleagues 3:1,15 13:9 64:4,5 54:18 57:1 80:10 better 42:17 59:5 categorically 47:11 21:11 47:8 50:8 80:19 **collectively** 18:5 29:19 confirm 35:13,20 beyond 10:20 19:6 27:5 causes 19:20 certain 7:8 8:21 13:14 57:16 Congress 7:22 8:2 14:1 81:8 Columbia 22:21 14:18,21 28:1 33:22 **bill** 38:20 47:8 43:22 63:7 70:22 combat 17:2 42:16 50:12 59:22 billed 49:9 50:9 **certainly** 6:1 76:13 certification 7:4 27:5 combined 24:6 74:3 76:10,21 77:4 **billing** 15:1,13 16:7,7

Congress' 33:5 congressional 15:3,18 25:12 37:16 46:8 80:21 consequential 39:11 conservative 48:1 49:9 consider 43:13 75:14 considerable 76:3 consideration 17:11.15 68:3 **considered** 44:12 49:22 59:9 considers 76:16 consistent 10:8 33:4 consists 74:16 Consolidated 2:11 25:6 28:1 35:12 74:7 construct 72:7 constructive 21:3 constructs 30:14 construed 38:7 consultants 72:16 consumer 8:11 9:16 10:12 13:18 51:11,11 consumers 9:7,21 15:11.19 37:3 40:6 51:14 69:6 70:14,21 context 30:2 39:22 continue 2:13 9:2 20:2 21:3 24:12 25:18 33:5 61:7 77:10 80:13 **continued** 5:16 16:22 **continuing** 33:3 53:16 54:2,7 77:20 82:18 83:6 contract 7:9 36:6,12 37:10 58:5 59:12 72:19 77:12 80:9 81:10 contracted 10:22 37:14 contracting 8:14 9:11 35:22 36:20,22 80:4 contracts 26:2 Contrary 79:6 contribute 64:17 contributions 58:8 control 13:19 26:10 32:21 36:22 convene 2:13 convened 1:10 conversation 3:16 4:9 12:6 conversations 4:18 41:12 83:10 coordinating 22:17 coordination 72:15 corporations 78:15 correct 60:13

correctly 15:9 cost 6:8 23:2 26:7,10 28:5 58:4,11 60:11 81:2.12 costly 61:4 costs 6:10 11:3 15:14 19:10 28:11 32:21 37:1,8 40:5 51:12 57:22 59:1 61:6 78:21 79:10 costs' 13:19 **could've** 60:2 council 29:3,10,12 32:8 Counsel 29:9 counseling 22:18 counterparts 47:10 countless 56:18 country 71:3 country's 29:15 couple 8:18 82:14 **course** 30:20 cover 7:7 10:2 33:17 34:3,5,12 47:17 48:4 49:1 59:9 coverage 7:2,18 13:12 23:5 25:22 27:6 29:19 29:20 30:4,6,9,15 31:4,22 32:2,19 33:6 34:7 35:9,15 36:22 39:8 46:12 48:13 49:4 49:14 52:4 57:16 58:19 74:19 81:2,4,6 82:4 **covered** 9:20 10:4,19 35:14 49:21,22 58:7 67:20 70:15 79:3 covers 32:1 **COVID** 16:2 57:10 81:15 **COVID-19** 6:12 24:17 crafted 60:13 create 23:18 27:13 44:3 63:10 credentialed 66:1 credentialing 9:4 44:1 65:15 critical 8:9,13 12:20 44:5 57:19 58:13 70:22 74:8 79:18 81:13 **CRNA** 16:21 CRNAs 12:11,18 13:2,6 13:10 15:22 16:7,13 16:22 **CRNAs'** 16:18 **Cross** 57:5,13,15 **current** 75:7,16

curve 8:3 customers 79:14 D

daily 22:12 Darren 61:15,18 67:1 data 16:6 24:5 55:17 72:16 databases 56:13 day 31:21 62:19 82:9 days 53:22 55:4 **December** 25:5 56:5 decide 77:2 decision 34:21 decisions 7:18 10:17 17:8 54:15 69:9 74:10 74:11 75:19 76:2 decline 16:21 declines 76:21 decompensation 55:5 decreases 19:8 decreasing 11:3 dedicate 64:15 dedicated 29:13 66:19 **defer** 8:22 define 26:17 60:20 82:5 definitely 44:13 degree 47:12 65:7 73:12 delegate 39:19 deliver 24:1 57:21 62:17 66:13 delivered 65:5 deliveries 65:21 delivering 35:2 demand 8:12 demands 10:12 denials 13:11 53:14 56:21 69:12 denied 13:14 48:21 denies 48:13 68:11 deny 27:2,6 69:17 denying 26:3 department 1:1,1,2 2:5 9:2 12:3 45:19 60:18 62:14 66:9 department's 2:15 departments 2:9 3:1 8:4 14:20 15:6 17:4 20:14 21:12,14 25:9 26:11 27:13,18 28:16 42:2,19 44:7,18 46:6 46:21 51:3,8,12 57:17 57:19 60:12 66:17 68:4,15 69:3,14,20

71:15 75:8,10,14 77:9

departments' 6:18 7:14

7:21 66:5 70:17 dependent 56:14 68:8 depression 55:19 deprives 22:5 design 6:7 8:10 9:18 10:12 63:14 72:4,8 73:15 designations 81:19 designed 73:8 designing 73:13 despite 16:9 24:10 25:17 48:1,9 76:20 detailed 11:11 detailing 76:4 details 44:20 detect 42:12 **determine** 40:8 50:3 76:14 81:7 determining 37:18 49:22 **develop** 35:11 47:22 developing 58:12 development 43:11 44:14 diabetes 53:5 diabetic 47:22 diagnose 42:10,12 diagnoses 22:15 diagnostic 22:14 dialogue 11:6 41:14,15 dictate 65:17 didactic 13:2 difference 60:21 different 3:22 4:5,7 31:3,14 32:19 33:15 34:2 36:18 37:7,7 50:1 76:6,14 77:14,15 77:15 83:4 differential 50:1 differently 79:13 difficult 50:2 64:20 65:6 difficulties 20:3 digging 41:10 diligent 18:7 direct 62:14 66:9 67:13 72:21 directed 2:10 directions 33:15 directive 37:16 directly 29:19 35:5 69:12 72:19 **Director** 11:22 17:22 52:3 discourage 53:9 56:16 discretion 37:17 39:15 39:20 74:9 discriminate 7:1 58:16 63:13 69:22 74:18

currently 18:2

77:21

discriminated 47:11 excellence 64:17 **economy** 78:12 engage 37:2 51:18 excited 3:20 educating 22:18 57:17 72:6 75:10 discriminating 14:13 exclude 34:15,21 engaged 32:8 24:21 46:9 80:1 education 13:3 16:18 38:21 47:2 63:8 65:15 engagement 82:18 excludes 49:7 discrimination 8:7,22 13:20 14:4,22 18:14 66:3 77:15 engaging 46:19 excluding 34:18 46:14 70:1.18 18:16 19:8,13,18 20:2 effect 14:16 24:14 25:5 Engineering 23:8 exclusively 71:20 20:8 21:1,22 22:4 40:17 **enjoy** 82:4 effective 6:8 23:2 28:5 enormous 54:4 Executive 71:17 24:13 25:15,19 26:5 26:15,17,20 28:8 58:4,11 68:5 81:12 enormously 54:17 exercise 73:12 49:12,14 50:14 51:10 effectively 40:3 49:7 enrollees 58:3,10,22 exist 9:7 67:20 68:17,18 existing 8:12 25:16 51:13 52:12 60:21 51:7 68:18 exists 44:6 effectiveness 11:2 ensure 5:17 19:22 68:20 69:5,11 72:2 expand 14:8 32:20 efficiency 11:2 26:14,19 27:14 30:6 33:3 35:8 46:3 49:14 expanding 81:17 discriminatory 7:16 efficient 8:16 34:20 46:20 47:16 effort 19:19 82:2 51:1,7,14 58:3 68:4 expansion 58:20 efforts 2:16 13:19 26:9 48:13 50:2,4,22 69:2,14 70:4,10,14 expect 15:8 73:1 75:10 77:21 expedient 46:7 discusses 76:1 30:5 discussion 4:4 32:15 eight 40:17 **ensures** 61:9 **experience** 24:13 25:19 36:14 78:6 80:18 either 34:11 47:19 ensuring 11:3 15:2 28:9 38:22 47:2,7,14 48:15 discussions 82:17 76:18 58:9 67:20 72:3 76:9 diseases 42:11,12,14 elements 79:18 Enterprise 23:10 experienced 13:11 disorder 53:18 57:1 elevated 63:9 entire 14:5 44:21 disorders 42:11 52:10 Elizabeth 1:12.18 4:22 entities 23:7 46:19 62:3 experiences 48:17 53:3 11:15 29:4 41:16 67:2 72:15 experiencing 55:18 entitlement 73:10 disparate 49:16 71:14 82:7,11 expertise 63:8 experts 72:17 disruptive 54:17 Ellen 3:2 entity 69:4 disrupts 54:14 eloquent 59:6 epidemic 65:2 explain 69:20 explaining 68:11 distinguish 37:5 emergency 55:6 equate 78:18 79:4 emphasize 10:16 33:11 equitable 23:18 **explicitly** 37:15 39:18 District 22:21 **ERIC** 71:13,18,18 72:1 50:17 diverse 3:21 5:22 39:14 59:16 explore 83:7 doctor 54:11.16 **employ** 62:6 73:5 75:5,9 77:9 **employee** 1:20 29:16 **ERIC's** 74:13 extensive 30:16 doctoral 22:9 31:21 73:17 **ERISA** 71:17 73:18 extensively 76:1 doctorate 65:12 extent 30:13 31:3 35:14 **employees** 31:22 79:13 especially 39:13 doctors 42:6,7,9 43:5,7 **essential** 10:6 12:18 37:6 44:21 45:16 47:1 52:8 81:6 employees' 81:10 28:8 34:5 42:8 81:1 **extremely** 27:12 53:19 52:20 55:13 **employer** 8:12 29:14,22 **EST** 1:11 53:19 doing 12:7 31:5 50:19 establish 27:18 61:3,7 eye 42:11 33:8,13 64:13 73:12 dollar 49:4 **DOs** 47:3 49:5 50:11 73:21 74:10 66:7 80:13 downstream 46:19 employer-provided **established** 36:9 68:14 face 12:7 18:14 19:13 dozen 4:7 18:4 32:2 80:11 **Dozens** 66:18 **employers** 9:22 29:16 establishing 38:8 21:2 48:17 70:21 29:18 30:4,8 31:20 establishment 38:2 faced 52:11 **Dr** 45:5,9,10 drafting 8:5 32:18 52:14 66:14 70:13 facilitate 5:1 74:4 81:5,11,21 **estate** 76:3 facilities 12:22 76:17 draw 6:19 employers' 35:6 ethos 17:7 facility 39:2 drive 9:16 15:13 79:9 evaluate 65:17 facing 6:11 71:3 79:20 82:3 empowered 75:18 fact 4:16 39:14 48:9,19 due 6:11 18:14 79:4 enacted 32:9 **events** 53:5 encourage 27:17 44:7 everybody 29:7 36:16 **factor** 76:13 Ε 68:15 40:14 41:1 45:5 factors 38:13.18.21 endeavors 66:17 everybody's 32:15 39:5,10 59:22 60:1,4 eager 66:19 enforce 14:17 evidence 13:5 17:8 60:11 76:4,5 earlier 10:15 58:2 60:5 enforceable 20:15 exacerbated 24:17 fails 48:2 80:20 enforced 43:8 examine 42:10 fair 73:22 earned 16:13 enforcement 18:15 **example** 10:4 23:11 fairly 55:9 64:10 easily 60:2 19:22 20:22 27:14 47:21 50:5 53:21 faith 18:18 32:7 echo 41:22 58:1 63:16 71:1 76:2 familiar 47:20 economically 49:19 43:3 44:6,8 46:22 51:9 69:2 examples 44:20 families 22:19 52:15 economics 17:9

four 18:5 27:12 47:3,4 guidelines 51:4 **helpful** 2:14 37:5 72:4 helpfully 33:18 family 64:13,16 fragile 53:19 65:14 helps 36:22 **FAQ** 7:15 60:14 **framed** 33:20 HHS 2:9 3:2 45:19 69:4 half 24:9 far 17:7 21:11 32:15 framework 61:3 fashion 27:21 Frank 21:7 29:5 **Haltmeyer** 57:5,7,12 80:13 Hi 5:11 52:1 fast 55:3 frightened 55:10 hammer 48:1,21 **high** 8:16 10:13 16:15 fearful 53:13 56:21 front 16:1 hamper 44:3 full 2:21 16:22 29:16 happen 55:9 78:21 16:16 23:1 28:5 30:6 federal 9:6 10:1,9 11:22 17:22 23:10 70:8 32:17 66:7 67:21 happening 54:5 55:12 30:21 32:6 36:21 fee 50:6.10 fully 15:17 40:19 41:3,5 happy 31:5 71:11 75:9 58:10 59:14 61:10 62:22 65:13,20 72:5 feedback 21:21 **hard** 31:13 43:15 fully-insured 81:6 harder 57:1 73:2 feels 32:11 high-quality 57:21 fiduciaries 73:16 furnish 49:20 66:7 hardworking 12:3 furnished 46:13 47:17 harm 47:18 higher 56:10 **field** 14:9 fields 16:17 48:5 49:2,4 Harrington 21:7,9 highest 13:4 15:15 16:3 furnishing 47:14 health 1:1,5 6:22 7:13 16:19 20:12 28:19 fight 20:1 further 13:20 51:18 7:17 10:6,17 12:4 historically 52:11 file 69:7 final 26:14 69:9 75:7,11,14 83:10 14:12 17:7 19:12,13 history 6:3 finally 8:13 46:21 51:6 Furthermore 64:1 22:1 23:14 24:13,20 hold 22:20 44:8 65:9 future 23:12 31:6 37:15 25:21 26:22,22 27:15 **holding** 21:12 28:16 61:6 70:3 80:12 40:12 42:2 45:20 27:15 29:9,20 31:15 financial 24:14 44:11 G find 47:19 55:20 32:3 34:4,6 36:6,6,19 51:16 finding 57:2 gathered 11:14 43:4,21 46:1,8,12,19 **holds** 65:7 firm 67:9 gathering 4:10 47:11 48:3,22 49:3 home 79:20 honor 27:22 first 5:8 6:19 12:2 18:6 Gelfand 71:13,14,17 50:5,17 51:10 52:9 30:3 33:14.19.19 aenerously 64:11 53:2,8,10,12,17 54:18 hope 21:2 hospital 65:15,22 74:17 geographic 27:10 70:6 56:7,11,22 57:20 58:2 geography 39:1 73:4 hospital-based 47:5 five 27:17 58:5,15,22 59:3,13 hospitalization 53:18 **flat** 18:22 60:9 61:1,4,7 62:13 77:6 55:7 flexibility 30:18 31:10 getting 79:16 67:3,7,21 68:7,10,11 hospitalized 53:22 54:3 37:17 74:9 80:19 81:7 give 3:11 20:1 68:19 69:21 70:9.15 given 11:4 59:11 76:12 70:18,20 71:2,21 72:3 hospitals 12:17,22 17:2 81:18.20 82:1 72:13,15,19 73:21 66:1 floor 40:3 77:6 74:17 78:8 79:9,22,22 hosting 12:6 focus 8:6 15:20 79:1,11 **glad** 40:14 hours 56:18,19 81:19 focused 17:8 65:8 72:2 **global** 6:12 12:8 81:1,18 **healthcare** 6:6.10 7:3 **Human** 1:1 12:4 **goal** 8:21 46:5 73:1 folks 2:6 3:4 4:8 32:22 hurt 18:19 9:4 11:8 12:15 13:19 79:15 83:2 **goals** 8:6,19 23:22 33:11,14 34:4 36:2,15 14:5,10,14,22 15:13 79:19 32:21 15:15 18:4,6,13,16 identical 50:8 77:13 **follow** 10:6 58:13 governing 60:15 19:2,10 20:1,4,7,12 identify 68:20 **following** 4:15 26:13 government 2:22 9:6 21:1 22:6 23:17 24:12 43:14 68:2 11:22 17:22 23:7 24:22 25:14,18 26:7,8 **Illinois** 45:12 **follows** 60:18 graduates 24:1 26:10 28:5,9,10,13 illnesses 53:4 foot-and-ankle 47:9,15 grateful 42:1 52:17 31:22 32:6,18,20 33:7 illogical 64:7 36:7 37:1 39:8 42:8 illuminating 42:3 47:20 50:9 greater 79:9 81:18 forbidding 7:16 51:14 52:16,19 57:22 imagining 22:15 greatly 32:18 42:15 force 17:2 20:16 58:3,6 61:1 62:15 immediate 26:6 73:7 **impact** 7:11 24:16 form 19:7 group 3:21 10:5 34:4,6 66:11 67:10,15 74:19 forthcoming 40:7 60:18 79:21 80:2,9 83:4 **impairs** 13:18 26:6 36:5 48:22 49:3 61:16 Fortune 48:22 49:3 61:18,19,21 74:17 hear 5:11 6:15 41:1 **impede** 10:11 45:5,7 53:6 77:10 imperative 20:5,17 forward 3:16 4:1,17 79:22 81:1,4 **impetus** 76:18 5:16 11:10,13 17:2,4 heard 15:6 48:19 62:6 groups 68:12 77:11 implement 11:1 25:9,13 17:13 21:13 28:20 growing 24:5 82:14 83:5 32:7 42:17 43:12 51:4 36:14 42:19 43:11 growth 13:19 26:10 hearing 4:1 11:13 30:13 hello 17:20 29:7 61:17 51:12 44:19 58:11 68:1 71:6 guess 30:21 32:11 67:4 implementation 2:15 77:20 82:18 83:6,10 **guidance** 25:4,16 75:8 4:6 5:15 17:5 21:15 found 24:6 56:8 75:12,15 help 5:1 9:14 19:22 guided 17:7 23:21 31:21 37:3 72:7 45:21 68:6 foundationally 6:20

individual 10:5 21:18 82:13 laboratory 22:14 **implemented** 7:15 15:9 lack 18:14 19:17 25:22 34:6 81:2 issued 14:16 41:6 75:8 25:3 33:4 44:17 78:1 26:2 43:2 issuer 34:21 36:6,9 implementing 15:18 **individuals** 67:15 79:16 **industry** 9:17 71:18 language 36:10 58:14 62:12 53:8.9 80:11 implications 31:19 78:15 **issuers** 34:11,15 36:12 58:15 60:19 implicitly 39:18 ineffective 74:2 37:17,21 38:8,16 39:4 large 30:8 56:12 71:20 61:2 69:21 70:18 80:1 78:15 79:4 81:4 importance 6:5 9:13 inequities 44:3 largest 29:15 64:12 23:3 24:10 32:16,17 **infants** 64:16 80:8,12 57:20 59:17 79:1 infeasible 49:20 issues 4:1,2 20:22 66:14 78:10 important 3:17 6:7,9 inflating 78:19 29:17 30:22 31:1 32:1 laser 72:2 32:8 33:11 36:2 41:6 **Lastly** 55:22 **information** 4:10 32:10 8:5,19 9:9,12,14,19 **Laura** 45:3,10 51:20 72:15 41:10 44:10,20 51:19 10:10,15 11:1 12:6 informing 2:15 64:20 83:7 **law** 5:18 7:5 8:12 10:9 14:17 15:1 17:5,11 ingested 64:22 it'll 75:15 13:16 14:16 24:19 21:4 22:2 27:13 28:21 33:2,7 38:1,13 41:3 inhibits 26:9 item 33:14 35:14,16 25:8 35:6 66:9 67:3.7 **initial** 60:13 39:2 67:8 69:19 73:18 45:20 51:19 59:8,13 items 30:1 35:16 laws 19:6 66:12 75:15 80:17 initially 2:7 initiating 22:15 laying 59:6 81:14,21 impose 27:4 34:1 36:11 inpatient 53:16 lead 63:9 **input** 54:16 **James** 71:13,16 leaders 65:1 37:20 imposed 34:2,5 43:4 instances 47:13 **January** 1:7 25:11 leading 61:22 65:1 49:5 Institute 23:10 **Jeanette** 5:9,13 11:17 78:14 **imposes** 49:3 Institution 23:9 33:18 41:7 58:1 59:2 leave 50:12 71:21 improper 75:17 institutions 52:15 Jeannette 32:14 left 6:13 7:17 legal 31:2 67:10,13 insurance 6:22 7:13,18 **Jeff** 3:3 **improve** 9:15 23:17 79:9.10 82:2 13:14 16:21 23:4 27:1 **job** 59:6 73:20 improving 83:3 27:2 36:6 43:6 52:3 **Johnson** 29:2,4,8 legally 38:17 legislation 22:2 74:5 in-network 53:11 61:2 72:8 79:22 join 18:9 43:6 55:14 legislative 75:21 incentives 51:5 73:15 insured 30:15 31:3 34:7 joined 3:5 legitimate 13:5 incentivizing 37:1 insurer 28:7 53:15 joining 2:4 3:3,8,15 5:4 level 14:9 22:9 30:21 include 19:21 29:17 insurers 14:12 19:4 56:17 83:8 20:17 25:20 27:15 38:21 63:7 65:12.13 35:4 44:8 46:21 68:16 ioint 48:5 68:22 73:5 76:21 77:4 judicial 69:10 47:16 54:1 72:9 76:8 levels 55:18 63:9 76:15 intended 25:5 46:2 81:12 jurisdiction 15:4,7 77:15 included 14:1,12 25:7 49:13 51:2 67:19 justify 54:2 60:16 intent 13:21 14:2 15:18 **Levy** 3:6 39:12 75:21,22 Κ includes 20:21 22:12 liability 16:21 25:13 26:17 27:22 license 7:4 9:3 58:17 33:5 34:11 46:8 50:13 **Kara** 41:18,21 45:2 31:12 38:21 74:8 Katie 78:3 82:8,9 including 15:4 18:17 intention 75:13 76:10 62:16 63:4 66:11 22:16 23:4,7 32:9,20 77:5 Katie's 82:22 69:19 73:11 74:21 interest 2:19 4:11 50:19 licensed 14:13 20:7 35:16 37:10 40:3 47:3 **Katy** 29:2,8 41:17 58:2 62:20 72:9 67:8 73:19 59:2,5 24:21 63:21 66:8 67:22 70:5,16,19 interfere 53:12 keep 5:7 31:1 41:15 inclusion 26:2 income 67:11,17 73:17 intermediary 72:22 53:13 55:9 78:22 licensing 27:5 80:3 licensure 8:9 13:11,15 incoming 45:12 interpretation 39:22 keeping 8:6 31:7 interpreted 60:8 key 28:3 32:1 33:12 14:7,15 25:1,20 26:21 inconsistent 35:5 27:3 28:8 46:10 47:12 increase 16:6,11 78:21 interpreting 22:13 35:21 36:3,3 66:4 68:21 82:2 introductions 2:21 **Khawar** 1:19 3:10,12 increased 15:14 19:10 invested 73:21 lifesaving 62:19 63:20 82:11 64:11 40:5 55:18 61:5 investigate 69:4 known 18:12 45:16 49:7 61:19 67:8 likelihood 48:11 increases 24:3 26:7 investigation 69:8 limit 23:16 49:4,6 investigative 69:1 51:11 79:4 knows 33:22 limitations 27:10 increasing 15:10,11 invitation 18:9 Kohl 11:18,20,21 involved 44:14 limited 5:6 39:9 60:9 20:3 24:8 48:11 57:22 **Kris** 57:5,12 61:14 **IRS** 3:5 limiting 24:15 46:12 independent 23:6 issue 3:19 8:4 18:8 78:19 27:20 57:14 69:4 limits 22:4 26:8 48:2 independently 16:8 28:21 33:17 37:22 **Labor** 1:1,19 2:9 12:4 46:6 70:9 71:8 79:8 51:11 60:4 indicates 34:11 45:19

line 75:12 78:14 83:3 lines 16:1 listen 4:9 listened 83:9 **listening** 1:4,10 2:5 18:10 21:22 28:16 36:14 40:12 45:20 51:17 litmus 79:11 **little** 6:2 lives 64:16 **Liz** 67:6 lobbying 76:20 local 78:13 long 6:3 15:10 17:7 23:1 32:12 longer 55:15 longtime 47:22 look 3:22 5:16 11:10,12 21:13 28:20 42:18 67:22 71:6 77:20 **looked** 56:6 **looking** 3:16 4:1,17 9:18 14:19 36:13 79:8 82:17 83:6.10 looks 15:16 34:7 52:18 lot 6:16 32:21 44:15 59:2 **love** 41:13,15 low 25:21 49:7 67:10,17 74:2 **lower** 64:8

M

M.D 47:9 48:7 49:2 50:8 **M.D.s** 47:2 49:5 50:11 **Maguire** 51:21 52:1,2 **Mahoney** 78:3,5 main 33:11 64:5 78:14 maintain 8:10 9:20 50:6 74:8 75:15 maintaining 57:20 74:9 maintenance 66:4 majority 30:8 45:15 making 7:10 22:14 37:3 41:5 83:2 manage 31:15 42:10,13 58:22 65:17 72:12 management 61:8 69:15,16,22 72:17 78:19 managers 72:11 mandate 7:7 9:11 34:1 34:8 80:7 mandates 33:16 80:21 mandating 76:11 78:17 79:3 manifestations 42:13

manner 25:13 46:7 49:6 58:5 66:6 73:4,5 74:2 market 8:14 9:17 10:5 10:19 26:9 39:1,1 60:15 marketplace 43:10 70:12 markets 14:10 61:1 81:2 massage 34:17 master's 22:9 65:7 maternal 64:14 66:15 matter 17:11 73:11 83:12 Matthew 17:17,21 21:6 35:20 Maureen 51:21 52:2 **McCaman** 67:2,6 mean 6:17,17 means 20:1 54:20 73:22 measure 40:9 measures 10:21 38:9 40:10 56:4,15 59:21 80:15 mechanism 19:22 20:22 27:14 44:6,8 Medicaid 66:10 medical 45:4,13 47:4 52:8,19,20 54:15 55:12 61:8.16.18.19 66:2 69:15,16,21 78:19 medically 19:1 **Medicare** 16:6 19:12 42:9 60:6 68:14 Medicare-recognized 18:13 20:10 medication 22:16 54:9 54:11,13,21 55:5 medications 54:8,17 medicine 19:7 23:9 45:16 47:1 Mednax 61:15,18,21 62:2 64:12

MedPAC 24:6

32:21 37:4

meeting 24:6

meet 8:19 9:21 24:15

member 7:12 11:6

45:18 72:1,6 73:5

74:13 75:6 81:16

21:19 28:18 29:19

15:6 16:4 19:11 21:18

30:8 32:6 40:22 41:10

42:16 43:2 48:17 52:5

52:14 53:9,11 56:18

members 3:7 12:11

61:10 78:12 membership 29:17 **men** 64:15 mental 19:12 52:9 53:2 53:17 54:18 56:22 72:13 mentally 62:10 mention 37:22 53:6 56:2 59:7 mentioned 10:15 31:20 35:20 41:7,11 79:19 mentioning 32:22 **merit** 69:8 methods 32:5 Milliman 56:5 million 12:13 18:5 30:5 **millions** 67:16 mind 30:14 31:2 78:22 minimizes 19:9 minimum 65:7 81:3 minutes 5:8 mobility 48:3 model 27:3 62:8 mom 64:21 moms 62:20 money 73:21 **monitor** 68:18 monitoring 68:5,6 **months** 55:15 Montz 3:3 **morning** 11:21 move 3:17 4:6 17:4,6 17:12 **moves** 43:11 **moving** 44:18 myriad 38:20

name 11:21 45:10 52:2 67:5 78:20 nation 21:20 28:6 42:7 nation's 45:15 61:22 national 23:8,11 29:12 56:13 61:15,18,21 65:1,2,9 67:3,7 71:19 nationwide 12:11 71:22 navigating 52:22 navigators 72:14 Navy 17:1 **nearly** 22:11 necessary 9:8,22 13:1 19:1 22:3 need 24:4 42:16,20

46:4 51:15 53:18 54:2 56:22 58:4 63:14 78:22 81:22

needs 9:21 24:16 35:7 73:9

negative 24:14 negatively 7:11 negotiable 50:11 negotiate 10:18 18:17 **negotiating** 39:6 73:14 negotiation 40:5 negotiations 37:2 neither 77:17 neonatal 62:1 63:17 64:13 65:16,21 66:15 neonates 65:14 net 67:16 network 9:6 11:4 15:2 15:11,12 18:19 27:11 36:2 37:7,12,19 46:15 55:12,14,16,20 59:14 62:3 68:19 70:10,13 72:8 73:10 75:18,19 76:1,16,21 networks 9:14 13:15 27:2 31:16 32:3 36:20 37:3,5 53:10 56:17,18 61:8 67:19 70:4,4 73:14 77:4 81:13 new 41:6 47:19 54:8 55:2 61:3 75:20 **NHLP** 67:8,12 68:4 69:2 71:10 nice 57:9 **NICUs** 62:10 **nine** 55:14 **NNPs** 65:19 non-22:16 non-grandfathered 34:3 **non-M.D** 18:12,16,18 18:21 19:5,12 non-physician 6:5 11:8 32:16 73:7 nondiscrimination 1:4 2:6 4:3 13:22 17:13 18:15 19:21 20:21 25:11 26:12,18 31:18 33:21 34:10 39:13 42:18,22 43:3,12 45:22 46:2 56:1 60:7 62:13 68:7 74:15 77:22 78:20 79:21 **nonprofit** 29:12 71:19 normal 30:20 **note** 9:9 30:1,3,7,10 31:6,9 32:13 33:1 34:14 36:19 38:1,12 75:7 **noted** 40:19

notice 11:5 27:18 68:10

notes 38:6

notified 54:12

NP 24:1 26:3 NPs 22:11,22 24:3 25:18 27:9 28:3,12 number 2:17 3:4,6 4:8 4:11,16,18 numbers 37:11 nurse 11:19 12:1,10,16 17:18 18:1 21:8,17,19 22:7,19 23:5 24:10 63:17 64:14,19 65:10 65:16 66:15 nurses 12:12 16:13 22:8 23:17 62:5,7 nursing 19:7 23:12 65:8

objectives 26:14 obtain 27:20 66:3 70:15 obtainable 73:4 obtaining 70:21 occurs 19:18 ocular 42:13 offer 10:8 35:7 36:21 39:7 66:16 68:2 72:4 offering 81:5,17 offerings 7:13 10:14 office 64:2 66:2 Officer 41:21 once 15:5 53:21 ongoing 22:3 25:14 66:3 68:8 71:7 online 11:14 opinions 5:21 44:16 opioid 65:2 **opioids** 64:21 **opponents** 16:9 79:8 **opportunity** 5:19 21:21 23:20 28:17 29:8 42:4 44:17,19,22 51:18 52:6,18 57:8 61:12,20 66:21 67:5 71:5,16 77:19 78:7 **options** 31:15 **Optometric** 41:19,22 42:5 **optometry** 42:7,10 order 8:19 27:22 44:1 46:5 74:11 ordering 22:12 organization 21:18 29:13 66:20 71:19 78:10 organizations 18:4 29:18 64:18 67:14 72:11 original 6:14 7:15 13:21 originally 7:22

ought 62:21 63:18 out-of-pocket 28:11 outcome 48:12 outcomes 8:17 13:8 15:20,22 16:11,17 55:10 79:10 83:4 outline 62:18 outlining 15:8 outright 13:13 outstanding 65:4 over-the-counter 57:10 overdoses 55:20 overlooked 75:20 oversight 9:1 overt 52:13

Р P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S **p.m** 1:11 2:2 83:13 paid 16:14 50:7 63:3,19 71:21 76:12 79:2 pain 48:2 painful 47:22 pandemic 6:12 12:8 16:1.3.5 18:8 24:17 65:6 **panel** 44:2 **panels** 43:6 papers 29:6 PARCA 18:12 19:11 parity 39:21 40:1 75:3 79:2 part 2:8,11 3:17 6:7 15:1 participate 37:19 65:21 71:16 77:20 participating 3:14 29:21 53:10 participation 7:2 13:14 25:21 27:11 36:8 58:18 68:12,19 70:2 70:20 74:18 75:18 80:11 particular 35:16 54:11 59:5 particularly 19:15 28:6 49:8 71:2 81:15 partner 10:22 21:3 71:7 partnership 5:16 party 72:10 **PAs** 24:6 passage 25:17 **passed** 13:16 patient 13:6 14:3,8 18:2 18:11,19 22:22 24:18 26:7,8 28:9,10 38:22 44:4 47:22 48:6,13

51:7 54:9.21.22 55:4 64:2,3,4,6 72:14 patient's 48:3,3 49:15 patients 5:17 12:14 19:15 20:6,13,17 22:5 22:10,18 24:2 26:3 27:8 28:12,20 46:3 47:19 52:21 53:13,17 54:6,10 56:20,22 65:18 79:13 patients' 54:16 patterns 68:20 **Patz** 61:15,17,18 pay 32:4 47:21 63:15 77:13 payer 13:17,17 27:1 63:18 payers 18:20 23:14 27:16 **paying** 73:22 payment 23:5,13 27:3 32:3 39:21 40:1 49:16 50:1,4 51:5 63:6,9 payments 78:20 **payor** 52:3 **PCPs** 27:10 pediatric 62:1 64:13 66:14 **Pediatrix** 61:19 64:12 peer 13:5 16:20 penalties 27:14 44:11 people 52:9,10 53:1,4 55:20 67:11,17 82:3 82:15 percent 23:22 48:10 56:9.10 62:8 63:2.2 63:18 percentage 24:2,8 59:10 perform 43:22 47:8 48:10 65:13,19 66:2 77:7 performance 8:17 10:20 38:9,12,13,22 40:9 43:17 50:18 51:2 56:4,15 59:20 60:10 80:15 **performed** 34:16,19 63:20,22 performing 22:13 48:15 76:7 **period** 54:19 **permissible** 38:17 60:4 77:18 permits 2:13 50:17 59:19 permitted 66:8 personally 6:11 12:13

30:12 31:4 64:19 perspective 5:20 7:14 33:13 perspectives 2:19 11:13 82:13 83:5 pharmacologic 22:17 pharmacy 72:11 **phone** 45:9 physical 53:4 physician 13:8 47:14 48:14,20 58:8 61:21 63:3,19 64:1,6 physicians 42:9 45:17 49:18 62:4,6,18 64:9 **Pickard** 45:3,5,9,10 picking 82:22 **piece** 22:2 pin 30:11 **place** 7:17 51:8 64:6 placed 43:7 plan 6:7 7:2,7,13 9:13 10:4 13:14 31:15,19 32:3 33:8,13,17 34:12 34:21 35:4 36:6,9,19 37:4,9,11,13 48:3,22 49:3 53:8,10,12,15 54:15 56:21 58:18 60:9 63:3 64:7 68:11 70:1 71:20 72:18,21 73:3,8,9,12,15,16,19 74:10,12,17,19 75:16 76:12,18 77:5,11,13 80:11 **plan's** 46:19 **plans** 8:10,14 9:20 10:2 10:4,7,17,18 11:6 24:13,20 25:21 26:22 27:15 29:14 30:17 31:2,10 32:4,5 34:4 34:15 36:12,21 37:2,6 37:16.21 38:7.16 39:4 39:7,15 40:5,7 43:4 43:21 46:9,12 47:12 50:5,17 51:10 57:21 58:2,5,16,22 59:3,13 61:5,7 62:15,21 63:13 63:15 64:9 66:9 68:7 68:10,14,19 69:21 70:10,11,15,18,20 73:6 77:2 79:22 80:8 80:12 81:4,12 plans' 10:11 **play** 12:18 played 74:4 playing 14:9 please 5:7 pleased 5:21 78:6 **pocket** 47:21

orthopedic 48:7

podiatric 45:4,13,16,17 47:1,4,13 48:17,20 podiatrist 45:11 47:17 48:6 49:20 podiatrist's 47:21 podiatrists 45:17,18 48:10 49:5,8,17 50:7 50:11 podiatry 50:6 point 32:12 35:21 36:4 60:4 82:22 **policies** 23:15,21 47:16 47:18 63:6,15 77:18 policy 17:7 29:9 35:4 40:2 48:13 49:1 57:13 78.8 population 13:6 populations 20:5 position 60:22 position-centered 17:6 possible 2:20 **posture** 40:20 potential 68:17 69:8 **power** 39:1 practical 49:6 practice 12:12 13:13 17:1 19:3 22:7,11,12 23:4 26:1 27:8 48:18 53:11 60:22 62:5,7,18 62:22 63:14 64:8,10 64:13 65:2,6 66:8 80:3 practiced 9:1 practices 13:17 24:5,15 27:1 50:22 53:9,12,15 56:16 63:16 practicing 45:11 practitioner 63:17 65:11 practitioners 21:8,17 21:20 22:7,19 23:6 24:11 27:9 28:13 58:9 64:14,19 65:16 66:15 precipitous 16:21 precisely 49:11 preclude 38:16 51:1 predominates 12:16 **preferences** 9:16 81:11 pregnancy 64:22 prejudice 52:12 prejudicial 52:22 premature 62:9 premier 45:14 premiums 16:22 79:5 82:3 prenatal 62:1 prepared 22:8 prepares 13:3

prescribe 65:17 prescribing 22:16 prescriptive 22:20 60:6 prescriptively 60:20 present 1:16 61:13 presented 24:5 presenting 11:5 presents 20:9 preserve 8:13 67:15 74:12 81:14,22 president 45:12 57:12 71:17 78:8 presiding 1:13 pretty 3:20 prevent 14:4 26:15 27:8 38:7 preventative 81:3 preventing 14:12 28:7 **preventive** 10:8 34:3 previous 42:1 71:9 79:6 previously 51:6 **price** 40:3 76:11 **prices** 61:11 74:1 primary 9:3 24:1,2,7 26:4 27:9 56:6.9 prior 5:22 48:20 49:9 **private** 8:14 10:19 23:14 24:20 67:14 privileged 65:19 66:1 probably 4:12,15 36:1 problematic 15:12 procedural 50:10 procedure 48:15 49:1,8 procedures 13:1,12 25:22 27:7 48:11 65:20 68:13 process 3:17 26:12 27:19 41:9 54:1 55:1 69:1,10 78:1 processed 55:3 professional 62:2 professionally 6:11 professionals 14:6 20:7,12 24:22 58:6 program 65:12 67:3,7 72:9 programs 29:22 43:17 44:1 58:7 61:8 65:11 66:10 67:13 **prohibit** 26:22 43:21 46:8,12,18 49:13 50:13 51:13 59:21 60:1 70:18 prohibited 43:19 prohibiting 8:7,21 46:15 49:13

promote 9:15 14:8 promoting 14:11 28:10 promulgate 20:15 promulgated 66:6 promulgating 25:10 promulgation 68:1 75:11 properly 27:22 54:22 77:22 proposal 3:18 **proposed** 6:1 58:13 **protect** 14:3 67:10 protected 5:17 protecting 29:13 Protection 24:18 protections 70:13 protects 25:14 **proud** 65:4 provide 6:8 13:1,3 20:12 21:21 22:9 28:4 28:18 30:4 31:11,13 31:14,21 32:5,19 44:19 49:16 57:16 58:6 59:13 62:3,19 63:1 68:10 69:10 71:6 71:20 81:9,11 provided 16:15 18:21 19:1 30:6 35:17 39:3 63:12 provider 1:4 2:5 4:3 7:3 7:8 8:8 9:11 10:14 12:21 13:21 15:21 17:13 18:4,15 19:17 19:21 20:8,16,20 21:22 22:3,6 25:10 26:5,12,15,18 27:11 31:16,18 34:17,19,20 35:18,22 36:7,13 37:10,13,20 39:2,9,18 42:17,21 43:3,8,19,22 44:4 45:21 46:13.16 47:19 51:13 56:14 60:6,8 62:12 65:7 66:11 68:12,18,21 69:5,12 70:10 71:3 72:21 73:6 74:15,20 75:1 76:19 77:7,10 80:2,7,9 provider's 7:4 73:10 74:21 76:8 80:3 providers 6:5,22 7:9,18 7:20 8:11,15 9:5 10:18 11:1,4,9 14:4,9 14:10,14,22 15:15 16:19 17:1 18:6,13,17 18:18,22 19:2,13,14

20:1,9,10,18,19 21:2

25:14,18 27:19 28:11

32:4.17.18.20 33:7 37:1,4,11,18 38:20 42:8 46:4,9,14 49:15 51:16 53:7,22 55:10 56:17 58:16,19 59:10 59:10,12 61:22 62:15 62:19,22 63:14 64:10 65:3 67:14,19,21 68:13,17 69:6,11,18 70:1,6,7,16,19,22 71:1 72:8,19 73:7,22 75:4 76:6,16 77:3,6 77:12 78:18 79:2 81:9 **provides** 64:3 67:12 providing 16:2,8 23:1 28:17,19 33:6 **provision** 2:6,7,11 6:3 6:15,21 7:6 11:7 13:22 14:17 15:5,19 25:2 26:18 30:3 31:18 32:1,7,9 33:3,16,21 34:9,10,14 35:3,13,21 36:4,11 37:19 38:1,6 39:13,22 40:16 42:18 43:12 45:22 46:2 56:2 58:20 59:3 60:7,8 62:13 68:2,16 69:2 74:15,16 75:13 77:22 **provisions** 28:3 46:22 51:9 75:6,9 psychiatric 51:22 52:4 52:20 55:13 psvchiatrist 55:21 psychiatrists 52:6,8 psychosis 53:21 **public** 1:5 22:1 23:14 40:1 46:1 50:19 52:14 62:13 67:8 71:9 Puerto 62:5 **put** 30:11 51:8

$\overline{}$

Q qualified 14:13 15:21 20:7 24:21 26:4 28:12 63:21 65:5 70:19 quality 7:12 8:16 9:15 10:13,20 13:4,8 15:15 16:3,15,16,19 20:13 23:1,18 28:5,19 30:6 32:6 36:21 37:12 38:9 38:11,12,22 40:9 43:17 50:18 51:1 56:4 56:14 58:10 59:14,20 60:10 61:10 67:9 72:5 76:13 80:14 question 54:5 55:11,16 56:2 questions 4:13,16 71:8

prohibition 59:18 80:5

prohibits 24:20 79:22

quickly 5:5 quite 2:17 80:18 **QZ** 16:6 R Rachel 3:6 raise 40:1 raising 41:2 Ralph 11:18,21 17:16 range 14:3 39:5 67:21 78:12 rate 37:14 38:11 59:21 64:9 rates 7:20 8:15 10:19 25:22 32:4 38:3,9,17 38:19 39:6,16,19 40:8 49:16 50:1 56:1,3 59:19 80:14 rating 60:4 re-discussing 41:9 read 33:18 35:3 36:11 reading 38:4 77:17 reaffirm 37:16 real 14:17 76:3 reality 25:15 53:1 reason 68:11 80:16 reasonable 69:15 reasons 10:20 37:9 receive 46:3 47:1 51:14 58:3,10 receiving 24:2 recognition 42:16 recognize 8:2 9:13 57:19 58:8 63:5 70:8 recognized 19:12 23:1 23:6 42:8 74:3 recognizes 50:16 recommend 43:13 recommendations 11:12 26:13 45:1 60:17 recommended 70:12 recommending 49:10 recommends 51:3,8 69:3 record 83:13

regard 39:15 80:21 regarding 1:4 10:14,17 71:8,10 regardless 13:6,7 15:21 62:16 66:11 72:20 regards 75:17 registered 4:9 12:10,12 22:8 regular 55:9 regulate 8:8 14:10 regulation 14:15 15:17 61:1 68:22 regulations 6:18 8:4,12 8:20 9:2,13,19 10:1 10:11 23:20 26:19 30:16 35:11 37:15 40:7 41:6 43:11 44:7 44:15 46:6,7,11,18 51:13 58:12 61:6 66:6 68:9,16 70:3,11,14,17 regulator 9:3 regulatory 14:20 61:3 78:1 reimburse 18:22 62:15 62:21 63:11,18 64:8 66:10 reimbursed 16:16 63:2 76:7 reimbursement 7:8,20 8:15 10:14.18 25:21 26:20 38:3.8.11.17.19 39:6,9,16,19 40:8 43:16,18 46:15 50:18 50:21,22 56:1,3,11 59:19 60:10 61:9 63:15 73:14 75:3.19 76:2,15 77:14 80:14 reimbursements 56:6,7 56:9 reinforced 16:20 reinsurers 72:12 reiterate 11:7 21:10 60:19 79:18 reiterating 80:17 related 4:3 11:2 16:11 42:21 relations 52:3 relationship 48:8 relationships 72:20 release 70:6 released 23:13 releasing 6:1 relevant 39:6 40:8 relieve 48:2 remain 17:12 remaining 33:10 remains 82:1

remote 81:19 removed 23:16 removing 23:3 repeat 59:4 80:5 repeatedly 53:7 report 23:12 50:15 reporting 46:22 51:9 represent 18:5 19:11 29:15 42:6 represented 20:9 representing 2:18 12:9 45:15 78:11 represents 18:12 57:14 reproductive 71:1 request 32:10 48:20 require 7:9 10:2 27:10 34:11 35:3,15,21 36:5 68:9 77:11,13 required 6:18 8:3 10:5 10:8 19:6 48:5 73:18 80:8 81:8 requirement 34:2,5 36:12 37:20 54:8 59:9 75:1,3,21 requirements 9:4.7 10:6 23:5 27:4,6 43:5 43:7 44:3 65:16 74:14 76:22 requires 25:9 28:6 54:21 59:4 requiring 43:21 70:9 77:5 78:18 79:2 reserving 2:19 residency 47:6 resolution 27:20 **resource** 17:12 44:18 respect 7:1 25:20 34:22 35:2 37:18 58:18 74:18 respective 10:7 16:17 respond 10:12 55:1 responding 32:9 54:22 response 35:11 81:10 responsibility 73:20 Responsible 18:3,11 rest 4:13 restate 8:21 restated 50:12 restore 48:5 restrictions 71:4 restrictive 23:4,15,21 result 34:13 40:4 55:4 56:21 61:5 79:5 resulting 55:5 results 55:6

30:13 71:6 82:22

remediation 69:8

remind 5:5

resuscitates 63:17 resuscitating 62:20 retain 37:17 81:21 retaliation 53:14 retirees 72:5 retirement 29:20 71:21 73:17 review 69:10 reviewed 13:5 16:20 reviews 68:9 revision 4:4 reward 8:16 rewarding 15:20 **RFI** 71:10 Rico 62:6 rights 67:10 rigorous 13:2 rising 6:10 55:19 risk 65:20 Rivers 1:11,17 2:3 4:21 robust 11:4 27:13 28:9 40:5 46:22 67:19 70:4 81:18 82:4 role 11:8 12:18 74:3,8 room 55:6 round 78:6 routinely 58:5 rule 6:1 17:5,13 19:21 20:15,21 26:14 61:2 rulemaking 2:10 15:9 21:15 25:3 26:12 28:3 42:20.21 43:20 57:18 66:17 75:20 rules 18:15 25:10 43:3 44:16 58:13 60:18,22 68:1 70:8 75:12 rural 12:19,22 19:15 24:3,9 28:6

S **safetv** 67:16 saying 6:4 29:5 78:17 scenario 48:16 scenarios 53:6 schedule 50:6,10 school 47:4 Schumacher 1:12,18 5:1,3 11:17 17:16 21:6 29:1 41:17 45:2 45:7 51:20 57:4 61:14 67:1 71:12 78:2 82:8 Science 23:8 **scope** 7:4 13:10,13 19:3 20:19 26:1 27:7 46:10 58:17,20 60:22 66:8 69:18 74:20 80:2 Second 35:19 74:21 Secondly 54:7

remarks 2:20 3:9,11 5:7

recruit 81:21

refer 79:12

reflect 9:15

reform 23:13

refusal 18:17

refuses 48:4

69:17

refuse 18:22 47:17

reduce 43:9 79:10

reemphasize 59:17

reflects 25:12,15 48:16

reducing 28:11

Secretary 1:19 3:10 12:5 80:13 **section** 1:4 9:10 10:2 13:22 14:2 15:10 22:1 24:18 25:8,9,13,17 26:5 27:16 28:2 36:5 45:22 49:12 50:13,16 57:18 60:2,14 67:18 68:6 69:13,16 70:3 79.21 **sector** 78:12 **secure** 67:19 **Security** 1:20 73:17 seeing 16:6 81:16 seek 48:6 81:21 seeking 5:21 20:16 seen 16:3,10 18:20 80:20 select 8:11 9:20 22:5 28:12 selected 36:20 **selecting** 73:14,22 Selective 36:22 selectively 59:11 self-funded 34:12 self-implementing 8:1 self-insured 30:9,17 31:2,10 72:3 77:2 81:4,5 **Senate** 50:14 **Senior** 11:21 29:9 sense 55:17 sensitive 81:12 **sentence** 6:19 33:19 38:6 39:12,14 sentences 6:13,17 74:17 sentiment 42:1 separate 50:6 seriousness 70:9 **serve** 44:18 serves 18:19 **service** 1:5 34:16,18,22 35:1,3,14,16 39:3 46:1 49:21 62:14,17 63:20 64:3 65:14 66:20 67:13 72:10 76:12 77:8 services 1:1 10:8,19 12:4,18,20 16:15 26:1 26:7 27:6 28:10 33:18 34:3,13 35:17 46:3,13 46:16 47:9,15 49:4 50:9 58:7 62:1,3,19 63:1,12,16,22 66:2,7 66:12 67:21 70:15,22 71:2,4 76:7 81:3 serving 12:21 66:18

session 1:4,10 2:5 5:2 18:10 21:12,22 28:16 40:12 42:2 45:20 51:17 sessions 2:14 set 5:22 7:19 8:15 39:18 setting 22:11 39:15 40:3 58:11 79:17 settings 12:15 seven 5:7 **sexual** 71:2 **share** 5:20 28:4 44:22 shared 83:1 **sharing** 26:8 81:2 82:12 **Shield** 57:6,13,15 **short** 11:4 71:18 **shortages** 71:3 81:16 **show** 13:5 showing 55:17 sick 62:10 **side** 2:22 31:8 55:12 signed 15:4 24:19 25:7 **significant** 16:11 31:19 52:11 76:20 significantly 50:7 similar 76:7 simple 50:3 80:18 **simply** 49:16 63:3 79:2 situated 5:12 **situations** 15:13 50:3 six 55:14 sizes 78:11 slightly 79:12 **small** 10:5 34:6 78:13 81:1 sole 12:21 solely 13:15 14:6,14 25:19 43:18 46:16 47:12 somewhat 33:12 soon 11:12 sought 20:11 74:7 sounds 36:15 40:13 **space** 3:19 19:10 38:19 **spare** 38:4 speak 29:8 31:17 57:8 61:20 66:22 67:5 78:7 79:11 82:19 speaker 5:8 79:20 82:9 **speakers** 2:17 4:7 5:5 11:14 79:7 **speaks** 4:12 specialties 16:5 specialty 60:11 65:9,10 72:12 77:6 **specific** 33:17 34:12 35:4 44:20 46:13,14 72:12 77:7

specifically 20:4 30:15 38:10 46:11 52:20 56:14 74:22 75:2 specifics 30:2 **spends** 76:3 **spent** 56:20 **spoke** 82:12 83:9 sponsor 29:18,20 30:9 33:13 72:21 77:11,13 **sponsored** 29:14,22 sponsors 31:19 33:8 34:12 71:20 72:18 73:12,16 74:10 75:16 stability 24:14 stable 24:4 54:10 staff 12:3 66:2 **stakeholder** 3:9 17:12 71:7 stakeholders 3:21 5:22 21:14 41:4 51:4 69:7 82:19 standards 37:4 39:1 55:13 69:15 start 55:2 78:16 started 4:20 33:20 54:19 state 7:5 8:8 10:1 13:11 13:13 14:6 19:3.6.7 27:5 30:16 60:22 61:7 65:15 66:4,8,10 67:22 69:19 state's 26:1 stated 51:6 74:16 **states** 8:22 9:2,5,5,10 10:7 22:20 36:4 62:5 62:9 64:15 70:16 74:22 75:2 80:7 status 62:16 66:11 76:16 **statute** 7:16,22 8:7 10:16 33:19 38:5.10 38:15 39:17,21 40:1 40:21 59:19 60:15 74:21 75:1 77:17 statutory 58:14 60:19 75:5,13 79:19 stay 54:3 stewards 73:20 **stigma** 52:12 **stopped** 54:18 straightforward 77:16 strategies 11:1 Strategy 41:21 streamlined 27:18 **Streets** 78:14 strengthen 15:20 stress 6:5 **strive** 64:18

strong 20:20 25:12 51:9 **strongly** 27:17 28:15 68:15 **studies** 13:5 16:20 **study** 56:5 subject 30:16 69:9 submitted 71:10 subregulatory 25:4 subsection 80:7 subsequent 74:5 **substance** 52:10 53:2 53:17 57:1 substantial 28:4 **subtle** 52:13 successful 21:15 48:12 suffer 52:9 53:2,4 sufficient 9:8 25:16 37:11,12 73:1 sufficiently 70:5 suggest 79:7 suggestion 39:9 suicidal 53:20 Suicides 55:19 **suite** 72:7 **suites** 16:2 **summary** 56:16 superior 63:7 supervising 22:13 supervision 27:4 **support** 7:14 11:8 17:2 20:20 29:18,20 50:21 66:5.16 67:12 surgeon 48:7 surgeons 45:17 surgery 48:4,21 49:10 surgical 16:2 17:3 47:6 48:12 49:8 **surprise** 5:18 15:1 19:20 **Surprises** 5:15 25:7,8 74:6 75:22 76:3,15,22 sweeping 39:10 **system** 6:6 20:16 24:12 52:16,19 systemic 42:12 **systems** 72:20

tailor 35:7
tailored 31:11
taken 76:4
takes 54:3 55:14
talk 6:2 31:5 36:1 40:18
52:18 57:9
talked 59:2
talking 30:14,19
tasks 56:19
Taylor 67:2,4,6

team 3:7 62:8 teams 3:4 16:2 17:3 technical 66:18 67:12 76:8 77:16 technically 49:21 **techniques** 69:17,22 technology 2:13 72:16 telehealth 72:13 12:5 45:19 terminations 69:12 terms 36:8.16 52:19 80:10 54:17 62:8 **testing** 57:11 treated 53:3 tests 22:14 79:11 text 79:19 **Thackston** 17:17,20,21 thank 2:3 3:7,14 5:3,4 11:15,17,20 12:2 tri- 2:4 9:1 17:10,14,16 18:6,8 21:4,6 28:15,22 29:1 40:11 41:17,20 44:22 41:3 45:2,18 51:16,19,20 52:6 57:3,4 61:12,17 11:11 61:19 66:21 67:1,4 71:5,12,14,15 77:19 truly 42:18 78:2,5 82:8,12 thanks 3:12.14 4:21 29:4.7 57:8 61:14 82:11 83:8 **therapy** 34:17 things 8:18 30:18 78:21 third 24:7 37:22 55:8 72:9 75:1 **Thornton** 5:9,10,13 thoughts 6:16 43:14 thread 32:14 **three** 6:13,17 8:6,19 43:22 46:14 49:17 26:22 33:11 47:5 U 57:16 74:16 80:16 threw 8:3 timely 27:21 66:6 73:4 times 43:4 70:7 43:9 47:18 title 60:3 titrated 54:19 today 2:4,18 4:10 5:4 5:20 6:2,16 11:5,14 undermine 35:6 58:21 12:9 21:5 29:8 30:3 69:16 30:13,19 31:17 32:3 33:10 36:15 41:2,8 42:3 57:9,11 61:13 67:11 67:5 71:16 77:20 78:8 82:20 83:5 today's 5:2 18:9 toe 48:1,5,21 tools 59:13 77:9 undertake 2:10 26:11 topic 40:6 touch 36:15 71:11 unequivocal 36:10 **touched** 33:12 unfairly 19:8 **Trade** 23:10 48:16 53:1 trained 63:21

training 16:18 27:5 47:2 47:4,7 63:8 65:8,11 transitioned 65:11 transparency 68:5,16 transports 65:21 **Treasury** 1:2 2:10 3:5 treat 42:10,12 52:9 treating 64:5 treatment 22:15 48:1 54:15 76:12 77:8 treatments 22:17 74:2 tri-agencies 30:11 35:10 39:20 40:12 tri-department 3:15 tri-departments 5:14 **trusted** 48:14

try 5:7 54:9,13 turn 3:9 4:22 11:15 41:16 61:13 82:6,10 turned 14:18 two 8:9 26:19 39:10 56:12 65:18 type 34:16.19 35:17 43:19 46:13,17 49:12 50:14 68:21 types 8:21 12:14 43:8

U.S 12:17 78:3,9 ultimately 3:18 16:17 undergraduate 47:3 underinsured 67:17 underlying 19:20

underserved 12:19 19:15 20:5 24:3 28:7

understand 40:19 41:4 41:5 43:15 44:13 understanding 67:18

understands 14:21

unfortunately 14:15

uniformly 43:8 uninsured 67:16 **unique** 40:20 unit 56:8.12 **United** 62:9 64:14 unnecessarily 82:3 unnecessary 18:20 19:5 74:1 79:10 unpopular 74:11 unprecedented 12:8 16:1 urge 20:14 46:5 51:12 **urges** 68:4 use 16:22 31:10 32:5 51:4 52:10 53:2,17 57:1 69:21 useful 41:1 utilized 16:5

V vacuum 78:22 valuable 62:16 63:16 65:13 value 23:18 32:18 33:9 62:22 66:12 73:2,7 74:3,12 79:9 81:3 value-based 26:2 variances 43:16.18 variation 38:11 59:21 60:1 varied 56:3 variety 2:18 3:22 65:19 various 33:6 77:12 vary 50:17 59:20 60:9 varying 6:16 38:2,8,16 44:15 46:15 50:20 59:18 80:14 vast 30:8 45:15 vehicle 75:22 vein 80:22 **vendors** 72:6,12 veterans' 12:17 Vice 57:12 71:17 78:8 Videoconference 1:11 view 7:5 10:1 38:14 39:8,17 41:14 viewed 59:18 **views** 36:18 violates 13:20 26:5

W waiting 70:7 wanted 2:3 12:2 18:6

violations 69:13

visit 55:6 64:2

vital 36:20 39:7

visits 22:22

volume 73:2

30:1.3.7 32:13 33:11 35:20 36:3 37:22 41:7 59:16,22 78:16 **wants** 31:14 waste 56:18 74:1 way 14:17 15:10 33:4 ways 3:22 4:5 34:2 58:21 Webb 41:18,20,21 WEDNESDAY 1:7 week 81:19 weeks 54:21 Weiser 3:6 welcome 3:13 44:17,19 welcomes 51:17 went 83:13 wide 2:18 58:6 willing 9:11 20:16 35:22 36:7,13 37:20 55:14 60:8 74:22 80:6,9 wish 77:11,12 women 64:15 work 5:14 12:7 18:7 21:4 31:20 33:3 35:10 38:19 44:14 51:3 62:7 63:21 65:4 67:15 77:21 81:19,19 worked 32:6 64:18 workers 72:4 workforce 24:8 31:12 31:14 35:8 81:15 workforces 71:22 81:22 working 19:2 20:19 21:13 28:21 31:12 42:19 66:19 67:9 world's 78:10 worth 16:14 worthwhile 40:18 **would've** 63:3 woven 32:15 writing 29:5 written 68:10 Wu 3:3

X
Υ
year 23:13
years 40:17 41:1 43:1
47:3,4,5 54:10 65:18
74:4
yields 16:18
Z
0

			96
	I	l	l
1,400 62:4			
1:00 1:11			
1:03 2:2			
100 63:18			
108 25:8			
118,000 21:17			
177 30:4			
19 1:7			
1st 25:11			
130 25.11			
2			
2,300 62:4			
2:22 83:13			
200 21:18			
2010 24:19			
2013 7:15 60:13			
2014 32:10 50:14 71:10			
2019 56:6			
2020 25:5			
2021 25:6 28:2			
2022 1:7 25:11			
2106(a) 49:12			
220 29:15			
24 56:9,10			
25 62:8			
2606(a) 50:13			
2701 60:3			
2706 4:3 9:10 13:16,22			
14:2 15:10 24:18			
25:10,13,17 26:6			
27:16 28:2 60:14,19			
68:6 69:13,16 70:3,14			
2706(a) 1:4 22:1 45:22			
57:18 58:14 67:18			
3			
325,000 21:19			
33,000 42:6			
35 57:14			
37,000 52:5			
39 62:5			
4			
40 74:4			
40 74.4			
5			
50 12:13 22:20			
500 48:22 49:3			
6			
60,000 12:10			
7			
70 23:22 48:10			
75 63:2			
8			
85 63:2			
II	I	I	I

${\color{red} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E}}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Listening Session

Before: US DOL

Date: 01-19-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &