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The purposes of the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA) are:

to encourage non-career service in the uniformed services by eliminating or minimizing the disadvantages
to civilian careers and employment which can result from such service; to minimize the disruption to the
lives of persons performing service in the uniformed services as well as to their employers, their fellow
employees, and their communities, by providing for the prompt reemployment of such persons upon their
completion of such service; and to prohibit discrimination against persons because of their service in the
uniformed services. It is the sense of Congress that the Federal Government should be a model employer
in carrying out the provisions of USERRA.
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Introduction:

The Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994, 38 U.S.C. §§ 4301-4335 (USERRA), was signed into law on October 13, 1994. USERRA prohibits discrimination in employment based on an individual’s: prior service in the uniformed services; current obligations as a member of the uniformed services; or intent to join the uniformed services. An employer is prohibited from discriminating against a person because of such person’s attempt to enforce his or her rights under the Act. In addition, an employer may not retaliate against an individual who has testified or otherwise assisted in any proceeding under the Act. USERRA also provides reemployment rights with the pre-service employer following qualifying service in the uniformed services. In general, the protected person is entitled to be reemployed with the status, seniority, and rate of pay as if continuously employed during the period of service. USERRA applies to private employers, the Federal Government, and State and local governments. It also applies to United States employers operating overseas.

This Fiscal Year 2010 report was prepared in accordance with 38 U.S.C. § 4332(a), which requires the Secretary of Labor, after consultation with the Attorney General and the Special Counsel, to prepare and transmit an annual report to Congress containing the following information for the preceding fiscal year:

1. The number of cases reviewed by the Department of Labor under this chapter during the fiscal year for which the report is made.

2. The number of cases reviewed by the Secretary of Defense under the National Committee for Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve of the Department of Defense during the fiscal year for which the report is made.

3. The number of cases referred to the Attorney General or the Special Counsel pursuant to Section 4323 or 4324, respectively, during such fiscal year and the number of actions initiated by the Office of Special Counsel before the Merit Systems Protection Board pursuant to Section 4324 during such fiscal year.

4. The number of complaints filed by the Attorney General pursuant to Section 4323 during such fiscal year.

5. The number of cases reviewed by the Secretary of Labor and the Secretary of Defense through the National Committee for Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve of the Department of Defense that involve the same person.

6. With respect to the cases reported on pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5—

   A. the number of such cases that involve a disability-related issue; and
   B. the number of such cases that involve a person who has a service-connected disability.

7. The nature and status of each case reported pursuant to paragraph 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5.
8. With respect to the cases reported on pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 the number of such cases that involve persons with different occupations or persons seeking different occupations, as designated by the Standard Occupational Classification System.

9. An indication of whether there are any apparent patterns of violation of the provisions of this chapter together with an explanation thereof.

10. Recommendations for administrative or legislative action that the Secretary of Labor, the Attorney General, or the Special Counsel considers necessary for the effective implementation of this chapter, including any action that could be taken to encourage mediation, before claims are filed under this chapter, between employers and persons seeking employment or reemployment.
Overview of USERRA Protections

USERRA generally requires U.S. employers, regardless of size or location of operation (United States, its territories, or any foreign country) to reemploy eligible Veterans returning to their civilian employment after a period of service in the uniformed services. It requires employers, with certain exceptions, to provide training to restore competency in duties, and to restore seniority, status, pay, pensions, and other benefits that would have accrued but for the employee’s absence due to military service. Under USERRA, employers are generally liable for funding their share, if any, to the civilian retirement plan(s) of the Service Members. Employers are prohibited from discriminating on the basis of service in the military, the National Disaster Medical System, or the commissioned corps of the Public Health Service. USERRA also protects anyone – Veteran or non-Veteran – from reprisal for either exercising rights, or assisting in any proceeding under the statute. Eligibility requirements for Service Members seeking reemployment generally provide that the absence must be due to service; advance notice (oral or in writing) must be given to the employer; the cumulative period(s) of service while employed by the employer must not exceed five years; the application for reemployment must be timely; and the discharge from service must not be disqualifying.

The Department of Labor and the Department of Defense share responsibility for promoting a clear understanding of USERRA among employers and individuals concerning their respective rights and responsibilities under USERRA. The Department of Labor’s Veterans’ Employment and Training Service (VETS) and the Department of Defense’s Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve (ESGR) provide extensive public education, outreach, and compliance assistance with the goal of preventing violations caused by ignorance or misunderstanding of the law, and ensuring that protected individuals understand their rights and know what assistance is available to them to secure those rights.

There are three levels of federal assistance available to individuals who believe their USERRA rights may have been violated. ESGR Ombudsmen services are the most informal level at which resolution can be sought. If the issue cannot be resolved by the ESGR Ombudsman, or if the individual prefers to bypass informal resolution, VETS receives, formally investigates and attempts to resolve complaints filed by aggrieved parties. If, following VETS’ investigation and attempts at resolution, the claimant is not fully satisfied with the outcome, VETS informs the individual of his or her right to have the case referred for consideration of legal representation at no cost to the claimant. Referrals are made to the Department of Justice in cases involving a private or state or local government employer, or to the Office of Special Counsel in cases involving a federal employer. Claimants also have the right at any time to withdraw their case to pursue enforcement at their own expense, either on their own or with the assistance of a private attorney.

This report begins by describing the levels of federal assistance available, beginning with outreach and education and continuing through informal dispute resolution, formal investigation and resolution, referral, and finally, consideration of and provision of legal representation, as appropriate. A section highlighting significant program activities and achievements for the fiscal year follows. Finally, the report responds to each of the statutorily-mandated reporting requirements described in the introduction to this report.
USERRA Services Provided by the Department of Defense’s Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve

Overview

Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve (ESGR) is a Department of Defense Agency whose mission is to develop and promote employer support for Guard and Reserve service by advocating relevant initiatives, recognizing outstanding support, increasing awareness of the law, and resolving conflict between employers and Service Members. As such, ESGR is the principal advocate within DOD dedicated to providing its customers and stakeholders with information about USERRA.

ESGR has conducted outreach to Reserve Component (RC) service members and their employers since its inception in 1972. Hundreds of thousands of RC members and employers have benefited from ESGR products and services. With Guard and Reserve forces making up nearly 50 percent of our military strength and ongoing global operations, civilian employers’ support is critical to our national defense now more than ever.

ESGR has national and local organizational structure to support the following functions:

- Operate a proactive program directed at U.S. employers, employees, and communities that ensures understanding and appreciation of the role of the Guard and Reserve in the context of the DoD Total Force Policy.
- Assist in preventing, resolving, or reducing employer and/or employee problems and misunderstandings that result from Guard or Reserve service, training, or duty requirements through information services and mediation.
- Assist in educating Guard and Reserve members regarding their obligations and responsibilities to employers.
- Use the military chain of command to promote better understanding of the importance of maintaining positive working relations between employers and their RC employees, in order to sustain Guard and Reserve participation.

Today, more than 4,700 volunteers serve across the nation in all 50 states, U.S. territories and the District of Columbia. With help and resources from the Headquarters ESGR in Arlington, Virginia, the 54 ESGR State Committees conduct employer and military outreach programs, as well as Ombudsmen services to further the understanding of and compliance with USERRA regulations. ESGR conducts proactive outreach programs and provides responsive Ombudsmen services in support of its mission.

Outreach Programs

ESGR conducts awareness and recognition programs aimed at employers of RC members to engender positive support for Guard and Reserve service. These programs include the voluntary participation by employers in the Statement of Support Program and recognition of employers who go “above and beyond” the requirements of USERRA.
Employers who sign a Statement of Support are those who pledge:

1. They fully recognize, honor and enforce the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act.
2. Their managers and supervisors will have the tools they need to effectively manage those employees who serve in the Guard and Reserve.
3. They will continually recognize and support our country’s Service Members and their families in peace, in crisis, and in war.

The ESGR awards program is designed to recognize employers for employment policies and practices that are supportive of their employees’ participation in the Guard and Reserve.

ESGR has a robust employer recognition program. It is a sequential tiered program starting with the Patriot Award that originates from nominations by individual service members recognizing individual supervisors. The most prestigious award culminates with national recognition of the most outstanding employers through the annual Secretary of Defense Employer Support Freedom Award in which up to 15 employers are recognized annually in three categories Public, Private Large, and Private Small.

During FY 2010, ESGR recognized 22,236 supervisors of RC service members with the Patriot Award. During the same time period, 58,817 employers signed Statements of Support, and Headquarters ESGR received 2,470 nominations for the 2010 Secretary of Defense Employer Support Freedom Award.

**Ombudsman Services**
The primary means of assisting Guard and Reserve members with USERRA conflicts is through a nationwide Ombudsman Services Program that reduces, resolves and help prevents employer and/or employee misunderstandings and problems. The Ombudsman Services Program provides education, information, and neutral third-party services in order to resolve employee/employer USERRA conflicts. ESGR is not an enforcement agency, and does not participate in formal litigation processes.

ESGR signed an updated Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) in 2010 with the Department of Labor (DOL) that continued organizational cooperation and established calendar day time limits to resolve USERRA cases, improving and expediting information sharing between the two agencies. DOL has working relationships with the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) overseeing the enforcement of USERRA violations. These inter-agency relationships have considerably improved services provided to all customers regarding USERRA compliance. The MOU between ESGR and DOL stipulates that when a case cannot be resolved by ESGR within 14 and 30 calendar days, ESGR will close the case and notify the parties that the Service Member may file a case with DOL or retain private counsel.

ESGR has a national network of more than 650 volunteer ombudsmen to help resolve USERRA compliance issues. ESGR’s volunteers receive training on USERRA and dispute resolution techniques, and serve as a neutral third-party between the employer and employee to inform and educate all involved parties on the requirements of the law and to assist in finding a mutually
agreeable solution.

The ESGR Ombudsman Services Program is available to RC members and their employers to address USERRA conflicts without litigation. RC members may also file complaints directly with DOL, which has Congressional authority to investigate USERRA violations and legal authority to subpoena records during an investigation.

ESGR operates and maintains a Customer Service Center (CSC) that acts as the initial entry point for USERRA complaints, inquiries and information requests. The CSC provides expedient, expert, telephonic and email responses to Service Members and employers on all USERRA related matters. During FY 2010, ESGR received 34,612 contacts by telephone, email and facsimile. Of those contacts 3,202 resulted in actual USERRA cases for mediation purposes. These mediation efforts covered an array of USERRA issues that included 1,282 complaints involving job reinstatement and reemployment problems; 1,905 complaints involved some type of military discrimination; and 15 cases involving possible retaliation or reprisal. There were 499 complex USERRA matters in which the employee and employer could not reach an agreement. In these instances, ESGR ombudsmen informed both parties that the employee (service member) had the option to file a case with DOL or seek assistance through a private attorney.

ESGR and DOL/VETS will continue to track problems, coordinate issues, and identify trends in future fiscal years, as a key part of both agencies' efforts to improve services to the Guard and Reserve.

DOL’S USERRA OUTREACH AND CLAIMS INVESTIGATION

VETS PUBLIC EDUCATION AND COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE EFFORTS
VETS conducts an aggressive public outreach campaign to educate Service Members, employers, and others on their rights and responsibilities under USERRA. Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, VETS has briefed more than 727,000 individuals on USERRA. In FY 2010 alone, VETS presented USERRA information to more than 93,000 people. Briefings to mobilizing and demobilizing members of the Guard and Reserves are given in collaboration with ESGR. Together, the two agencies strive to ensure that every RC member receives a USERRA briefing upon mobilization and demobilization from active military service.

VETS INVESTIGATIVE PROCESS
USERRA investigations are complaint-driven. An individual who believes that his or her USERRA rights have been violated may file a complaint with VETS online or by submitting a signed form in person or via mail or facsimile. Some complaints originate with ESGR and are subsequently filed with VETS. Upon receipt of an electronically-filed or signed completed complaint form (the VETS 1010), VETS immediately opens a formal investigation. A brief notification of process rights, written in easy-to-understand question-and-answer format, is sent to each claimant within five days of VETS’ receipt of a claim.

The assigned investigator collects and reviews pertinent documentary evidence and interviews
necessary witnesses, under authority of subpoena if necessary. To ensure investigations are of
the highest quality and are conducted in a uniform and timely manner, VETS investigators are
extensively trained in the legal aspects of USERRA and in the agency's rigorous operating
procedures.

If the evidence compiled in a USERRA investigation supports the allegations made, the agency
will attempt to obtain satisfactory resolution through negotiation or mediation. VETS
encourages all parties to resolve disputes promptly and avoid litigation if possible.

VETS has 90 days to complete its investigation, unless the claimant agrees to an extension of
time for VETS to continue the investigation and attempt to resolve the case. At any point during
the investigative process, the claimant may elect to withdraw the complaint and pursue
enforcement through private counsel. A claimant whose case is being investigated by VETS
may be concurrently represented by a third party, but VETS will continue its efforts only as long
as the third party does not interfere with the investigation.

DOL Referral Process
Upon completion of the investigation, if VETS does not resolve the case to the claimant’s
satisfaction, VETS advises the claimant in a written closing letter of his or her right to have the
case referred to either the DOJ or to the OSC, as appropriate. VETS must refer a claim if the
claimant so requests, regardless of whether VETS has found merit in the complaint. DOL has 60
days to complete this referral process, unless the claimant agrees to an extension of time.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ENFORCEMENT

If VETS cannot resolve a service member’s case, the service member may ask VETS to refer the
service member’s USERRA claim to the Attorney General for review. If the Attorney General is
reasonably satisfied that the service member is entitled to relief, the Attorney General may
exercise DOJ’s prosecutorial authority and commence an action in federal court on behalf of the
service member. If the employer is a State or State agency, the action is brought in the name of
the United States. In all other cases, the United States files suit in the name of the service
member.

On September 28, 2004, the Attorney General and the Secretary of Labor signed a MOU
outlining each agency’s respective role in handling claims arising under USERRA. The MOU
confirmed DOJ’s and DOL’s longstanding commitment to ensuring that Service Members’
USERRA rights are protected. The MOU modified the procedures regarding the conduct of
USERRA investigations and referrals, expediting the processing of many USERRA referrals and
the prompt resolution of claims.

In the MOU, the Attorney General reassigned responsibility for handling USERRA referrals
from the Civil Division to the Civil Rights Division. DOJ, VETS and the DOL’s Office of the
Solicitor work collaboratively to meet the MOU’s goals, and continue to refine the integrated
case management system that was put in place in the last fiscal year. This case management
system has increased communication between the agencies and allows for more accurate and uniform case tracking by both DOJ and DOL.

If DOL does not resolve a claim against a State or private employer, upon the claimant’s request VETS and the DOL Office of the Solicitor (SOL) will refer the claim to the Attorney General. Each referral includes: (1) the VETS investigative file; (2) a memorandum analyzing the case and providing a recommendation, based upon the facts and the law, as to whether representation should be provided or declined; and (3) an SOL legal analysis and recommendation regarding the merit of the claim.

Upon receipt of an unresolved USERRA claim from DOL, DOJ reviews the complete DOL investigative file and analysis and decides whether to provide representation to the service member, or, if the employer is a State, to seek relief on the service member’s behalf. If DOJ determines that it will not offer representation, or seek relief on the service member’s behalf, it will inform the service member of this decision and notify him or her that he or she has the right to proceed with private counsel. In all cases, DOJ ensures that each USERRA referral receives careful consideration and is processed as expeditiously as practicable.

In FY 2011, DOJ will continue to work with DOL to ensure that referrals are promptly and carefully processed and that each meritorious referral is resolved to the satisfaction of the service member and the government. While DOJ will continue to aggressively pursue litigation when warranted, it seeks to resolve meritorious referrals without contested litigation whenever practicable.

**OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL ENFORCEMENT**

OSC’s enforcement responsibilities apply in federal sector USERRA cases. Pursuant to an existing MOU between DOL and OSC, case referrals from DOL to OSC are addressed in a manner very similar to that employed in DOJ referrals discussed above.

**DOL’S FY 2010 PROGRAM ACTIVITIES AND ACHIEVEMENTS**

On October 10, 2008, the Veterans’ Benefits Improvement Act of 2008 (VBIA 2008) P.L. 110-389, was signed into law. The law’s amendments to USERRA established new statutory timeframes for VETS investigations, DOL referrals, and DOJ and OSC decisions regarding the provision of legal representation. Other VBIA 2008 provisions require a notification of rights to be provided to claimants within five days of VETS receiving their USERRA complaint, and quarterly reports by DOL, DOJ, and OSC on the agencies’ success in meeting their respective statutory timeframes. In addition, the mandatory reporting elements of this report were expanded to include, among other things, reporting on the different occupations involved in USERRA claims.

VETS successfully implemented the new requirements of the VBIA 2008 for all claims filed on
or after October 10, 2008. New procedures were established to ensure that within five days of VETS’ receipt of a complaint, every claimant received a clear and comprehensive notification of rights. New data collection protocols were also implemented to identify the Standard Occupational Code (SOC) for the occupation involved in the USERRA claim, and to learn whether the claimants self-identified as having a service-connected disability.

Investigators received instructions on how to finalize investigations within 90 days, and were trained to identify investigations that might exceed 90 days. If an investigation is likely to exceed 90 days, or the limit of a previous extension, investigators must contact the claimant regarding the status of the investigation and request consent to an extension of time. Two new closing codes were established for cases in which the statutory deadline was reached (or a subsequent extension expired). One of those new closing codes, “Merit, Not Resolved,” is used when VETS believes that the evidence substantiates part or all of a claimant’s USERRA allegations, but a resolution acceptable to both claimant and employer cannot be reached. The other new closing code, “Merit Undetermined,” is to be used in cases in which the investigation is not completed before a deadline, and the claimant does not consent to an extension of time. Finally, VETS and SOL collaborated on procedures to ensure that the DOL referral process is completed within 60 days, unless the claimant agrees to an extension of time. Data fields were added to VETS’ USERRA Information Management System to catalog and report on new information necessitated by the VBIA 08.

In conjunction with annual training conferences in each of its six regions, VETS conducted regional audits of USERRA case files in each region. These audits, which were tested in FY 2008 and fully implemented in FY 2009 and 2010, were conducted by internal auditors from outside the audited regions. Randomly selected USERRA case files from each investigator were reviewed and the audit team’s findings were discussed with the investigator. The regions were then briefed on the findings of the audit, and provided recommendations for improvement. Audit findings are used to target future USERRA training objectives and to gauge regional and national program enhancements.

Another important activity in FY 2010 was implementation of a quality assurance review of closed cases. A 2009 Lean 6-Sigma study of VETS’ USERRA process, cosponsored by VETS and DOL’s Center for Program Planning and Results, examined VETS’ investigatory process and recommended several program improvement strategies to increase efficiency and effectiveness. One of the recommendations was for VETS to implement a Quality Assurance Review (QAR) of closed USERRA cases. The QAR helps ensure that all substantive issues raised have been properly identified and addressed, that the evidence obtained is sufficient to support the conclusions reached, and that all necessary procedures have been followed, and due process requirements have been met.

**MANDATED REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

**Section 4332 of USERRA, 38 U.S.C. § 4332 requires the Secretary of Labor, after consultation with the Attorney General and the Special Counsel, to prepare and transmit an annual report to Congress containing the following information for the**
1. **The number of cases reviewed by the Department of Labor under this chapter during the fiscal year for which the report is made.**

The Department of Labor reviewed 1,438 new unique cases in FY 2010. Reviewed cases are those cases opened in conjunction with a signed or electronically-filed VETS 1010 complaint form. The table below provides the numbers of new unique USERRA cases handled by the Federal government in FY 2005 – FY 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New VETS Cases</td>
<td>1,140</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td>1,226</td>
<td>1,389</td>
<td>1,431</td>
<td>1,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSC Cases (Federal only)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>n/a*</td>
<td>n/a*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total New Cases</td>
<td>1,252</td>
<td>1,434</td>
<td>1,365</td>
<td>1,426</td>
<td>1,431</td>
<td>1,438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In FY 2010, the Department of Labor also carried over an additional 244 unique cases (open investigations) from FY 2009. During FY 2010, the Department reopened 17 cases from FY 2009 and two from FY 2008 and earlier. In sum, DOL reviewed a total of 1,701 unique cases in FY 2010.

2. **The number of cases reviewed by ESGR, on behalf of the Secretary of Defense, during the fiscal year.**

During FY 2010, ESGR received 34,612 contacts by telephone, email and facsimile. Of those contacts 3,202 resulted in actual USERRA cases for which ESGR Ombudsmen services were provided.

3. **The number of cases referred to the Attorney General or the Special Counsel pursuant to Section 4323 or 4324, respectively, during such fiscal year and the number of actions initiated by the Office of the Special Counsel before the Merit Systems Protection Board pursuant to Section 4324 during such fiscal year.**

In FY 2010, the Attorney General’s DOJ Civil Rights Division received 117 case referrals from DOL through VETS and SOL.

---

1 In this report, the count of “unique” cases eliminates duplications that result from a claimant filing the same complaint more than once, from a case being closed in one state and reopened in another for administrative purposes, and from a claimant reopening a claim that was previously closed.

* OSC did not review new unique cases in FY 2009 and FY 2010 because the assignment of such cases to OSC under a demonstration project established by the Veterans Benefits Improvement Act of 2004 ended in FY 2008.
During Fiscal Year 2010, DOL referred 32 cases to OSC at the request of the service member. Additionally, seven cases referred to OSC during the previous fiscal year remained pending at the beginning of Fiscal Year 2010. Thus, 39 total cases were pending at OSC during the fiscal year.

The nature and status of these referred cases is reflected in mandatory reporting requirement number seven of this report.

During the fiscal year, OSC provided legal representation to four Service Members and informally resolved another service member’s complaint (who OSC did not formally represent). Before the MSPB, OSC settled one previously filed matter and filed and litigated one new matter, which remained pending at the end of the fiscal year.

4. **The number of complaints filed by the Attorney General pursuant to Section 4323 during such fiscal year.**

The Department of Justice filed 5 USERRA complaints in FY 2010. Four of these cases have now settled and the remaining case is in active litigation.

5. **The number of cases reviewed by the Secretary of Labor and ESGR that involve the same person.**

ESGR provided VETS with the names of 3,172 individuals who had cases reviewed by ESGR Ombudsmen in FY 2010 and the date of each case. VETS compared the ESGR data to its own data on cases opened from October 1, 2009 through October 31, 2010. This comparison resulted in 344 likely matches; thus it appears that 11 percent of FY 2010 ESGR cases were subsequently opened as VETS cases.

6. **With respect to the cases reported on pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5—**

   **A. The number of such cases that involve a disability-related issue.**

   i. Thirty-five of the new unique cases, or two percent, of those reviewed by DOL in FY 2010, involved a disability-related issue.

   ii. Of the 3,202 cases reviewed by ESGR, 23 (less than one percent) involved a disability-related issue.

   iii. Of the cases referred for consideration of litigation, two of those referred to DOJ and one of those referred to OSC involved a disability-related issue. Neither of the two cases filed by OSC before the MSPB (one

---

2 Absent more specific data on the employer(s) and specific allegation(s) involved, case matching cannot be made with complete confidence.

3 October data was included to capture late FY 2010 ESGR cases that were filed with VETS early in FY 2011.
previously filed and one newly filed) involved a disability-related issue.

iv. Of the five cases filed by DOJ in FY 2010, none involved a disability-related issue.

v. With respect to the 344 cases reviewed by DOL and ESGR involving the same person in FY 2010, seven (two percent) involved a disability-related issue.

B. THE NUMBER OF SUCH CASES THAT INVOLVE A PERSON WHO HAS A SERVICE-CONNECTED DISABILITY.4

i. In FY 2010, VETS asked claimants whether they had a service-connected disability. VETS obtained responses from 1,407 claimants, 17 percent (242) of whom reported having a service-connected disability.

ii. No information is available on the number of cases handled by ESGR that involved a person with a service-connected disability.

iii. Of the cases referred for consideration of litigation, 17 of those referred to DOJ and 12 of those referred to OSC involved a claimant who reported a service-connected disability.

iv. No information is available on the number of cases among the five cases filed by DOJ in FY 2010 that involved a person with a service-connected disability.

v. With respect to the 344 cases reviewed by VETS and ESGR involving the same person in FY 2010, VETS obtained responses from all these claimants, 12 percent (41) of whom reported having a service-connected disability.

---

4 VETS did not collect data regarding claimants’ service-connected disability status before FY 2009, so such information is not available for some cases active in FY 2010 that originated in previous years (such as referrals).
7. **The nature and status of each case reported pursuant to Paragraph 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5.**

i. **Cases reviewed by the Department of Labor**
The following issues were raised in the new unique USERRA cases reviewed by DOL:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases Opened by VETS in FY 2010: USERRA ISSUE</th>
<th>VETS CASES ALLEGING ISSUE*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military obligations discrimination</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinstatement</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-seniority benefits</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacation</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay rate</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasonable accommodation/ retraining</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for non-qualified/non-disabled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination as retaliation for any action</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniority</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial hiring discrimination</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layoff</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special protected period discharge</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health benefits</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasonable accommodations/retraining for disabled</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: Because many USERRA cases involve multiple issues, the number of cases in this chart exceeds the 1,438 new unique cases reported in FY 2010 and the combined percentages exceed 100 percent.

DOL closed 1,404 cases in FY 2010 under the following closure codes: no merit, 440 (31 percent); administrative, 106 (eight percent); claim granted, 255 (18 percent); claim settled, 147
(10 percent); claim withdrawn, 236 (17 percent); not eligible, 55 (four percent); referred, 147 (10 percent); merit, not resolved, 13 (one percent); merit undetermined, 5 (< one percent). An explanation of case closure codes follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE CLOSURE CODES EXPLAINED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSURE:</strong> A case should be closed administratively under any of the following circumstances:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lack of Interest</em> – Administrative closure is appropriate when the claimant clearly displays lack of interest or is obviously uncooperative. Examples are failure to reply to VETS’ letters, failure to give VETS a change of address, failure to supply information that could be easily obtained, failure to attend scheduled meetings or conferences, and failure to make a written request for referral after being given the opportunity to do so.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Continued Unauthorized Contact by Third Party with Employer</em> – Although a claimant is entitled to be represented either by VETS or by a third party under USERRA, he or she may not be simultaneously represented by both parties if the representation interferes with the investigation. If the claimant insists on being represented by a third party in a USERRA claim, and that representation interferes with a VETS investigation, he or she will be informed that VETS can no longer continue its involvement in the case and that the case will be administratively closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLAIM GRANTED:</strong> When the employer grants all of the claimant’s entitlements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLAIM SETTLED:</strong> When the claimant and the employer agree to settle the claim for less than the claimant’s full entitlements under USERRA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WITHDRAWN CLAIM:</strong> When the claimant informs VETS in writing of his/her desire to withdraw the claim.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOT ELIGIBLE:</strong> If a case has already been opened, and VETS finds that the claimant does not meet the eligibility requirements in the statute, the case should be discussed with the claimant and, with his/her concurrence, closed on the basis of no eligibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO MERIT:</strong> The claimant is not entitled to relief for reasons other than failure to meet eligibility requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CASES REFERRED:</strong> Unsettled cases are closed only when they are referred to the Regional Solicitor’s Office for appropriate referral action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MERIT, NOT RESOLVED:</strong> When the completed investigation finds merit to the claim, but VETS is unable to obtain a satisfactory resolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MERIT UNDETERMINED:</strong> When the investigation is not complete but the statutory deadline for case completion (or an extension previously agreed to by the claimant) is reached and the claimant does not agree to a further extension.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ii. CASES REVIEWED BY THE ESGR ON BEHALF OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE**

ESGR Ombudsman services covered an array of USERRA issues that included 1,905 complaints involving some type of military discrimination, 1,282 complaints involving job reinstatement and reemployment problems; and 15 cases involving possible retaliation or reprisal.

ESGR resolved 2,703 of its 3,202 Ombudsman cases. There were 499 USERRA matters in which the employee and employer could not reach an agreement. In these instances ESGR Ombudsmen informed both parties that the employee (Service Member) had the option to file a case with DOL or seek assistance through a private attorney.

The following crosswalk aligns the issues identified in ESGR case data with VETS USERRA Information Management System (UIMS) data.
## Crosswalk of USERRA Issues, FY 2010

**ESGR Ombudsman Services ↔ VETS' National Guard & Reserve Complaint Cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Categories</th>
<th>ESGR Ombudsman Cases “Problem Codes”</th>
<th>VETS Complaint Cases &quot;Issue Codes&quot; *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discrimination</strong></td>
<td><strong>A2</strong>: Claims</td>
<td><strong>ID</strong> - Military Obligations Discrimination 1905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>B1</strong> - Discharge</td>
<td><strong>II</strong> - Initial Hiring Discrimination 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>B2</strong> - Reemployment</td>
<td><strong>IV</strong> - Reenlistment 356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>B3</strong> - Retirement</td>
<td><strong>V</strong> - Promotion 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>B4</strong> - Employment</td>
<td><strong>IA</strong> - Reasonable Accommodations: Reemployment for Disabled 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>B5</strong> - Benefits</td>
<td><strong>IB</strong> - Reasonable Accommodations: Reemployment for Non-Disabled 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>B6</strong> - Discrimination</td>
<td><strong>IC</strong> - Pay Rate 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>B7</strong> - Other Benefits</td>
<td><strong>ID</strong> - Special Protected Period Discharge 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>B8</strong> - Non-Discrimination</td>
<td><strong>IF</strong> - Time Limit 1,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>B9</strong> - Vacancy</td>
<td><strong>IG</strong> - Qualification 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reinstatement/Reemployment</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,905 Ombudsman Cases (59.5%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>471 Issues in 467 Complaint Cases (39% of Complaint Cases)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,282 Ombudsman Cases (40%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>828 Issues in 698 Complaint Cases (59% of Complaint Cases)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reprisal</strong></td>
<td><strong>15 Ombudsman Cases (0.5%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>79 Issues in 79 Complaint Cases (7% of Complaint Cases)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* To facilitate comparisons with ESGR data, VETS data in this chart reflects only National Guard & Reserve (NG&R) complaint cases, whereas all complaint cases were reflected earlier in this report in the "Individually Reporting Requirements" section. Many of VETS-NG&R complaint cases involved multiple Issue Codes, and some multiple-issue cases involved multiple Categories; thus, VETS' total percentage of NG&R compliant cases among the three Primary Categories in this chart exceeds 100%.
iii. **CASES REFERRED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OR THE OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL**

**CASES REFERRED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

In FY 2010, the Civil Rights Division received 43 case referrals from DOL where DOL found that the case had merit and recommended that DOJ offer representation to the service member. DOJ offered representation in 3 referrals, facilitated settlement in 9 referrals, and declined representation in 18 referrals. In one referral, the service member withdrew his or her referral request. The remaining 12 referrals were still under consideration by DOJ at the end of the fiscal year.

DOJ received an additional 74 case referrals from DOL in FY 2010 with a recommendation that the case lacked merit and representation should be declined. Based on DOL’s recommendation and DOJ’s independent analysis of the merits of each referral, DOJ declined representation in 69 referrals, and offered representation in one referral. The remaining four referrals were still under consideration by DOJ at the end of the fiscal year.

The cases referred to the Civil Rights Division in FY 2010 involved a number of USERRA issues. Approximately 34 percent (40) of these cases involved allegations of termination and/or discharge, approximately 42 percent (49) of these cases involved reemployment allegations, while approximately 15 percent (17) of these cases involved allegations of loss or denial of benefits, such as loss of pay, reduction or loss of pension or health benefits, and loss of seniority. The remaining cases involved various forms of discrimination, with approximately .09 percent (10) involving failure to promote, approximately .03 percent (4) involving failure to hire, approximately .02 percent (2) involving service related disability, and approximately 15 percent (18) involving actions affecting the service member’s terms and conditions of employment, such as discipline or harassment. The remaining .06 percent (7) of these cases involved allegations of retaliation for asserting USERRA protection.5

**CASES REFERRED TO THE OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL COUNSEL**

During Fiscal Year 2010, DOL referred 32 cases to OSC at the request of the service member. Additionally, seven cases referred to OSC during the previous fiscal year remained pending at the beginning of Fiscal Year 2010. Thus, 39 total cases were pending at OSC during the fiscal year.

In 32 of the 39 cases, the service member alleged discrimination based on uniformed service, including termination, non-promotion, non-selection, or improper denial of employment benefits; in 11 cases, violations of reemployment rights; in three cases, retaliation for exercising USERRA rights; and in one case, disabled veteran discrimination.5

Of the 39 total cases, OSC completed 27 cases during Fiscal Year 2010, while 12 cases remained pending at the end of the fiscal year. During the fiscal year, OSC provided legal representation to four Service Members and informally resolved another service member’s complaint (who

---

5 The totals do not add up to 39 cases because some cases contain multiple allegations (i.e., there were 47 allegations in the 39 cases).
iv. **COMPLAINTS FILED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL**

The Department of Justice filed 5 USERRA complaints in FY 2010. Four of these cases have now settled and the remaining case is in active litigation.

v. **CASES REVIEWED BY DOL AND ESGR INVOLVING THE SAME PERSON**

DOL’s response to Mandated Reporting Requirement # 5 of this report indicates that in comparing ESGR data on USERRA cases during the fiscal year, 344 likely matches were identified. This figure indicates that first ESGR, and subsequently DOL, handled the same individuals’ claims. The claims included allegations of the following issues:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USERRA ISSUE</th>
<th>CASES ALLEGING ISSUE*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Military obligations discrimination</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinstatement</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-seniority benefits</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacation</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay rate</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasonable accommodation/ retraining for non-qualified/non-disabled</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination as retaliation for any action</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniority</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial hiring discrimination</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layoff</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special protected period discharge</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health benefits</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasonable accommodations/retraining for disabled</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Many USERRA cases involve multiple issues, and VETS records all the USERRA issues.
involved in a case. As a result, the number of cases in this chart exceeds the 344 ESGR and VETS cases involving the same person, and the combined percentages exceed 100 percent. Matching of FY 2010 ESGR cases and VETS cases opened 10/1/2009 to 10/31/2010 is based on claim dates and claimant names.

DOL closed 343 of these 344 likely matches by April 15, 2011, under the following closure codes: no merit, 97 (28 percent); administrative, 21 (6 percent); claim granted, 65 (19 percent); claim settled, 50 (15 percent); claim withdrawn, 47 (14 percent); not eligible, 13 (4 percent); referred, 43 (13 percent); merit, not resolved, 7 (2 percent); merit undetermined, 0. An explanation of VETS case closure codes appears in the explanation of the status of cases reviewed by DOL.
VETS is the only federal agency that collected occupational data on USERRA claimants and recorded the respective Standard Occupational Classification System (SOCS) code. Therefore, SOCS code data is not available for cases filed only with ESGR.

VETS was able to obtain the SOCS code in 96 percent (1,387) of the unique claims filed in FY 2010. That data reveal that 18 percent of claims involved Protective Services occupations. Another 12 percent involved Office and Administrative Support occupations, and 10 percent involved Transportation and Material Moving occupations.

With respect to cases reviewed by VETS and ESGR likely involving the same person in FY 2010, VETS obtained the SOCS code in 97 percent (335) of the 344 cases. That data reveal that 21 percent of claims involved Protective Services occupations. Another 12 percent involved Office and Administrative Support occupations, and 10 percent involved occupations in Transportation and Material Moving.

With respect to cases referred to the Attorney General’s DOJ Civil Rights Division from DOL through VETS and SOL in FY 2010, VETS obtained the SOCS code in 66 percent (77) of the 117 cases. That data reveal that 16 percent of claims involved Protective Services occupations, and 13 percent involved Transportation and Material Moving occupations.

VETS obtained the Standard Occupational Classification System (SOCS) code in 78 percent (25) of the 32 cases referred to OSC from DOL through VETS and SOL in FY 2010. That data reveal that 32 percent of claims involved Protective Services occupations, and 20 percent involved Office and Administrative Support occupations.

### Occupations Involved in FY 2010 USERRA Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOCS Job Family</th>
<th>Percentage of Cases involving SOCS Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VETS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 The following occupations accounted for 2 percent or fewer within each case/referral category shown in this chart (with exceptions as noted here): Military Specific (Exception: 4 percent of Referrals to OSC); Farming, Fishing, and Forestry (Exception: 4 percent of Referrals to OSC); Legal (Exception: 4 percent of Referrals to OSC); Computer and Mathematical (Exception: 3 percent of Common to VETS & ESGR); Life, Physical, and Social Science (Exception: 3 percent of Referrals to DOJ); Healthcare Support (Exception: 3 percent of Referrals to DOJ); Architecture and Engineering; Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance; Community and Social Services; Personal Care and Service; and Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media occupations.
Ca s es.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>DOJ</th>
<th>OSC</th>
<th>to VETS &amp; ESGR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protective Service</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office and Administrative Support</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Material Moving</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation, Maintenance, and Repair</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Practitioners and Technical</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and Related</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business and Financial Operations</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and Extraction</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Preparation and Serving Related</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, Training, and Library</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **AN INDICATION OF WHETHER THERE ARE ANY APPARENT PATTERNS OF VIOLATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THIS CHAPTER, TOGETHER WITH AN EXPLANATION THEREOF.**

No patterns of violations of USERRA became apparent in FY 2010. DOL will continue to monitor USERRA cases to identify trends as they arise.

10. **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE OR LEGISLATIVE ACTION THAT THE SECRETARY, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, OR THE SPECIAL COUNSEL CONSIDERS NECESSARY FOR THE EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS CHAPTER, INCLUDING ANY ACTION THAT COULD BE TAKEN TO ENCOURAGE MEDIATION, BEFORE CLAIMS ARE FILED UNDER THIS CHAPTER, BETWEEN EMPLOYERS AND PERSONS SEEKING EMPLOYMENT OR REEMPLOYMENT.**

*Clarify that USERRA prohibits workplace harassment and the creation of a hostile working environment, and creates the obligation to take prompt and effective action to correct harassing conduct.*

USERRA's definition of the terms "benefit", "benefit of employment", or "rights and benefits" as set forth at 38 U.S.C. § 4303(2), includes "...any advantage, profit, privilege, gain, status, account, or interest (including wages or salary for work performed) that accrues by reason of an employment contract or agreement or an employer policy, plan, or practice...." In the Department of Labor's view these terms include the right not to suffer workplace harassment or the creation of a hostile working environment because of an individual's membership in the uniformed service or uniformed service obligations. Several courts have assumed without deciding that such a right is protected under USERRA and that its deprivation would constitute a violation of the Act. *See Vega-Colon v. Wyeth Pharmcs., 625 F. 3d 22, 32 (1st Cir. 2010); Dees v. Hyundai Motor Mfg. Alabama, LLC, 368 Fed. Appx. 49, 53 (11th Cir. 2010); Church v. City of Reno, 168 F.3d 498 (9th Cir. 1999).* However, a recent United States Court of Appeals decision interpreted the definition as not including such a right. *See Carder v.
Continental Airlines, Inc. 2011 WL 996169 (5th Cir. 2011).

The Department of Labor considers it a violation of USERRA for an employer to cause or permit workplace harassment, the creation of a hostile working environment, or to fail to take prompt and effective action to correct harassing conduct because of an individual's membership in the uniformed service or uniformed service obligations. Although the Department believes that the statute currently supports this reading, in light of the risk of contrary interpretations by the courts, the Department recommends that Congress consider clarifying that USERRA prohibits workplace harassment or the creation of a hostile working environment. The Department of Justice and the Office of Special Counsel concur with this recommendation.