Name and address of Reporting Agency:
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20210

Name and telephone number of agency official who can answer questions about this report:
Edward C. Hugler
Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management
Acting Senior Agency Official for Privacy
202-693-4040

Yoon Ferguson
OWCP/DAO/Branch of Administrative Operations and Communications
202-354-9647

Data Integrity Board:

Name, address and telephone number of Board Secretary
Edward C. Hugler
Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management
Acting Senior Agency Official for Privacy
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Room S2203
Washington, D.C. 20210
202-693-4040

Names and Titles of Board Members:

- Deputy Secretary of Labor
  Vacant

- Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs
  Mr. Gary Steinberg, Deputy Director

- Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training
  Mr. Byron Zuidema, Deputy Assistant Secretary

- Acting Solicitor of Labor
  Mr. Nicholas Geale

- Inspector General of Labor
  Mr. Scott S. Dahl
1. Explain any changes in Board membership or structure

   **DOL Response:** Changes to the Data Integrity Board Members are due to employment separations and new hire arrivals.

2. Reject Agreements: List any agreement your Board rejected and explain the reason for the rejection. Were any rejected agreements subsequently signed? Explain why.

   **DOL Response:** None

3. Violations: List any violations of matching agreements signed by your agency. Discuss action taken (e.g., participation withdrawal, problem fixed, etc.).

   **DOL Response:** None

4. Benefit/Cost Requirements: List each program for which the Board waived the benefit/cost analysis requirement. Explain reasons for waiver.

   **DOL Response:** None

5. Litigation: Discuss any litigation involving the agency's participation in a matching program covered by the Act.

   **DOL Response:** None

6. Inaccurate Records: For any litigation of matching agreement violations based on inaccurate records, explain what steps your agency has taken to improve the accuracy of records issued in matching programs. Include in this explanation a description of the independent verification process used and assess its effectiveness.

   **DOL Response:** None

7. Implementation problems: Discuss any problems your Agency is having implementing the Act (e.g., difficulty negotiating matching agreements, overpayments due to 30 day notice and wait period, difficulty independently verifying data). Use concrete examples; avoid unsupported anecdotal assertions.

   **DOL Response:** None

8. Recommendations for Change: Make specific recommendations for administrative or legislative changes based upon implementation problems described above. Cite specific sections of OMB guidance or the Privacy Act and provide alternative wording if possible.

   **DOL Response:** None
Computer Matching Agreement: # 1003

(1) A brief description of the matching program, including the names of all participating Federal and non-Federal agencies.

**DOL Response:** The Department of Labor (DOL) will disclose the DOL administered Part C Black Lung (BL) benefit data to the Social Security Administration (SSA). SSA will match DOL's Part C BL data with SSA’s records of persons receiving Social Security disability benefits to verify that Part C BL beneficiaries are receiving the correct amount of Social Security disability benefits.

The participating agencies are the Department of Labor and the Social Security Administration.

(2) Links to the matching notice and matching agreement posted on the agency’s website”.

**DOL Response:** [www.dol.gov/privacy](http://www.dol.gov/privacy)

(3) An account of whether the agency has fully adhered to the terms of the matching agreement

**DOL Response:** The Division of Coal Mine Workers’ Compensation’s (DCMWC) continues to comply with the terms of the matching agreement.

(4) An account of whether all disclosures of agency records for use in the matching program continue to be justified.

**DOL Response:** The disclosures continue to be justified because they assist SSA in ensuring its beneficiaries are receiving the correct amount of benefits.

(5) An indication of whether a cost-benefit analysis was performed, the results of the cost-benefit analysis, and an explanation of why the agency preceded with any matching program for which the results of the cost-benefit analysis did not demonstrate that the program is likely to be cost effective.

**DOL Response:** The SSA performed Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA) and found the benefit-to-cost ratio of 4.02 to 1.
Computer Matching Agreement: # 1015

(1) A brief description of the matching program, including the names of all participating Federal and non-Federal agencies

**DOL Response:** The Department of Labor (DOL) will disclose the DOL-administered Part B Black Lung (BL) benefit data to the Social Security Administration (SSA). SSA will match DOL’s Part B BL data with SSA’s records of persons receiving Supplemental Security Income (SSI) to verify that Part B BL beneficiaries are receiving the correct amount of SSI payments.

The participating agencies are the Department of Labor and the Social Security Administration.

(2) Links to the matching notice and matching agreement posted on the agency’s website at:

**DOL Response:** [www.dol.gov/privacy](http://www.dol.gov/privacy)

(3) An account of whether the agency has fully adhered to the terms of the matching agreement.

**DOL Response:** Division of Coal Mine Workers’ Compensation’s (DCMWC) continues to comply with the terms of the matching agreement.

(4) An account of whether all disclosures of agency records for use in the matching program continue to be justified.

**DOL Response:** The disclosures continue to be justified because they assist SSA in ensuring its beneficiaries are receiving the correct amount of benefits.

(5) An indication of whether a cost-benefit analysis was performed, the results of the cost-benefit analysis, and an explanation of why the agency proceeded with any matching program for which the results of the cost-benefit analysis did not demonstrate that the program is likely to be cost effective.

**DOL Response:** The SSA performed Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA) and found the benefit-to-cost ratio of 545.71 to 1.
## 2017 Computer Matching Report

### Computer Matching Programs Conducted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Agency</th>
<th>Title of Match</th>
<th>Matching Agency</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Federal Register Notice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>