

OASAM - Departmental E-Budgeting System (DEBS)

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information and Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

Date of Submission	9/11/2006
Agency	Department of Labor
Bureau	DM - OASAM
Name of this Capital Asset	OASAM - Departmental E-Budgeting System (DEBS)
OMB Investment Type	01 - Major Investment
What kind of investment will this be in this Budget Year?	Mixed Life Cycle

Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

The Departmental E-Budgeting System (DEBS) is an integrated budget environment that blends a set of COTS and GOTS solutions to optimize resources throughout the budget formulation lifecycle. The set of tools and techniques associated with DEBS permits users to track, spread, report and analyze budget and performance data within agencies and across DOL for greater transparency. The solution architecture calls for the automation of budget formulation and publication process using easy-to-use technology like MS Word and Excel and the World Wide Web. The system is designed to enable and empower the DOL budget community to easily and seamlessly produce budget submissions, respond to stakeholder inquiries and analyze budgets against plan using EVM principles. DEBS will alleviate the time-consuming and laborious efforts associated with producing multiple budget submissions that are currently produced on cuff records, disparate worksheets and non-uniform templates. The related performance gap involves inefficient use of time and resources to replicate what repeatable business processes, interoperability and technology can produce. By leveraging an integrated budget environment, budget analysts can improve the quality, timeliness and overall messaging effectiveness (justification) associated with the Department's budgets. DEBS supports all of the new FY2006-11 DOL Strategic Goals as it enables all DOL agencies to formulate their budget requests to the President and Congress and thereby obtain the appropriations that sustain their efforts to meet each of the Strategic Goals. Approximately 39 metric indicators are in use to monitor the success of this investment. It is considered a back-office function that services all DOL agencies and has the prospect of supporting additional Departments in years to come as part of the new Budget Formulation and Execution Line of Business (BFE LOB). This year marks the revision of the FEA to more clearly define BFE LOB and the Financial Management LOB. Under the revised Business Area 3 - Federal Policy Making (from Support Delivery of Services), the Planning and Resource Allocation LOB was renamed to Planning and Budgeting. Within the Planning and Budgeting LOB, two new sub functions were added - Budget and Performance Integration and Tax and Fiscal Policy. Business Area 4 Management of Government Resources, FMLOB, sub function Budget and Finance was renamed to Funds Control. NOTE: DEBS is considered a new system in FY 2007.

Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	Yes
If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	6/29/2006
Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	Yes
Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy efficient and environmentally	Yes

sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	Yes
Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	No
If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	
If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	
If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?	Yes
If "yes," check all of the PMA initiatives that apply:	Budget Performance Integration, Expanded E-Government
Is this investment for information technology?	Yes
Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?	DEBS supports BPI by integrating budgeting results at the program level to provide a systematic measurement capability of performance. DEBS will enable DOL budget leadership to allocate scarce federal resources to programs and initiatives that deliver results. It will support agencies' efforts to quantify and qualify results of their performance to budget spend ratio. It supports Expanded e-Government by providing an electronic interface of MAX budget data across the federal government (G2G).

For information technology investments only:

If the answer to Question, "Is this investment for information technology?" was "Yes," complete this sub-section. If the answer is "No," do not answer this sub-section.

What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance):	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	N/A
Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The

"TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

**Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES
(REPORTED IN MILLIONS)**
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY - 1 and Earlier	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008
Total Budgetary Resources	0.437	0.8	3.8	4.16

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Sensitive Data

*** SENSITIVE DATA: This information was omitted completely ***

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

Sensitive Data

*** SENSITIVE DATA: This information was omitted completely ***

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets Only)

Sensitive Data

*** SENSITIVE DATA: This information was omitted completely ***

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets Only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

Is this investment included in your agency's target Yes
enterprise architecture?

If "no," please explain why this investment is not included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

Is this investment included in the agency's EA Yes
Transition Strategy?

Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

Yes

If "yes," please describe.

The system is designed to be extendable. The Integrated Project Team will work through the Budget Formulation and Execution Line of Business collaboration workgroup to realize possible reuse benefits associated with existing modules, components or other tools and techniques to reduce overall cost of ownership.

Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system? No

If "yes," does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

If "yes," provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).

Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition" or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Part I, Section A above.

Section A: Alternative Analysis (All Capital Assets)

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments, and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments, to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project? Yes

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes

What is the date of the risk management plan? 9/29/2006

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

Sensitive Data

*** SENSITIVE DATA: This information was omitted completely ***