United States Department of Labor Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

)

K.F., Appellant and U.S. POSTAL SERVICE, NORTH METRO POST OFFICE, Duluth, GA, Employer

Docket No. 22-1134 Issued: January 10, 2023

Appearances: Wayne Johnson, Esq., for the appellant¹ Office of Solicitor, for the Director Case Submitted on the Record

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before: ALEC J. KOROMILAS, Chief Judge PATRICIA H. FITZGERALD, Deputy Chief Judge JANICE B. ASKIN, Judge

On July 25, 2022 appellant, through counsel, sought an appeal from a June 27, 2022 decision of the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP). The Clerk of the Appellate Boards assigned Docket No. 22-1134.

The Board, having duly considered this matter, notes that the Board has jurisdiction to review final adverse decisions of OWCP issued under the Federal Employees' Compensation Act.² This jurisdiction encompasses any final decision issued by OWCP within 180 days of the date appellant filed her appeal.³ The case record as transmitted to the Board does not contain a final adverse decision of OWCP issued within 180 days from the date of docketing of the current

¹ In all cases in which a representative has been authorized in a matter before the Board, no claim for a fee for legal or other service performed on appeal before the Board is valid unless approved by the Board. 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e). No contract for a stipulated fee or on a contingent fee basis will be approved by the Board. *Id.* An attorney or representative's collection of a fee without the Board's approval may constitute a misdemeanor, subject to fine or imprisonment for up to one year or both. *Id.*; *see also* 18 U.S.C. § 292. Demands for payment of fees to a representative, prior to approval by the Board, may be reported to appropriate authorities for investigation.

² 5 U.S.C. § 8101 *et seq*.; 20 C.F.R. §§ 501.2(c) and 501.3(a).

 $^{^{3}}$ Id. at § 501.3(e) provides in pertinent part: "Any notice of appeal must be filed within 180 days from the date of issuance of a decision of the OWCP."

appeal.⁴ By decision dated June 27, 2022, OWCP's hearing representative vacated the March 17, 2022 decision of OWCP and remanded the case for further development followed by a *de novo* decision. As such, this case is in interlocutory posture.⁵ As there is no final adverse decision issued by OWCP over which the Board may properly exercise jurisdiction, the Board concludes that the appeal assigned Docket No. 22-1134 must be dismissed. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the appeal assigned Docket No. 22-1134 is dismissed.

Issued: January 10, 2023 Washington, DC

> Alec J. Koromilas, Chief Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

> Patricia H. Fitzgerald, Deputy Chief Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Janice B. Askin, Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

⁴ *Id*.

⁵ See 20 C.F.R. § 501.2(c)(2), which provides: "There will be no appeal with respect to any interlocutory matter decided (or not decided) by OWCP during the pendency of a case."; *see also T.D.*, Docket No. 19-1506 n.4 (issued November 4, 2020); *K.K.*, Docket No. 19-0652 (issued September 19, 2019).