

June 16, 2014 brief and that the employing establishment submitted comments on June 18 and 19, 2014. The hearing representative did not, however, address the additional evidence submitted by appellant on June 25, 2014.

The Board finds that OWCP did not review the evidence received on June 25, 2014.³ In that submission, appellant provided supportive documentation of assertions made at the oral hearing. While the hearing representative specifically addressed appellant's allegations made at the oral hearing as well as additional evidence submitted by appellant and the employing establishment through June 19, 2014, she did not mention any evidence submitted by either party after June 19, 2014. The Board finds that the record establishes that the hearing representative did not review the June 25, 2014 evidence in the July 30, 2014 decision.

As OWCP did not review this evidence, the case will be remanded to OWCP to enable it to properly consider all the evidence submitted at the time of the July 30, 2014 decision. Following such further development as OWCP deems necessary, it shall issue a *de novo* decision on the claim.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the July 30, 2014 decision of the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs is set aside. The case is remanded to OWCP for further proceedings consistent with this order of the Board.

Issued: July 29, 2015
Washington, DC

Patricia H. Fitzgerald, Deputy Chief Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Colleen Duffy Kiko, Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Alec J. Koromilas, Alternate Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

³ See *Linda Johnson*, 45 ECAB 439, 440 (1994) (where the Board held that it is necessary that OWCP review all evidence submitted by a claimant and received by OWCP prior to issuance of its final decision and noted that this principle applies with equal force when evidence is received by OWCP the same day a final decision is issued).