

applications for reconsideration which do not present clear evidence of error.² As Ms. Spriggs is not a senior claims examiner or other OWCP official with signature authority, she cannot be considered “claims staff.” Consequently, OWCP has issued an inadequate decision with respect to the requirements of its regulations and procedures. For this reason, the case will be remanded to OWCP to issue an appropriate decision. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the March 23, 2015 decision of the Office of Workers’ Compensation Programs is set aside and the case is remanded for further action consistent with this order of the Board.

Issued: December 29, 2015
Washington, DC

Patricia H. Fitzgerald, Deputy Chief Judge
Employees’ Compensation Appeals Board

Alec J. Koromilas, Alternate Judge
Employees’ Compensation Appeals Board

Valerie D. Evans-Harrell, Alternate Judge
Employees’ Compensation Appeals Board

² See *id.* at 2.1602.2(b); 2.1602.5(b) (October 2011). Section 2.1602.5(b) also states that the decision shall include a brief evaluation of the evidence submitted. The Board notes that OWCP’s decision did not evaluate any of the evidence submitted by appellant in finding that clear evidence of error was not established.