## **United States Department of Labor Employees' Compensation Appeals Board**

	<u></u>
	)
J.K., Appellant	)
3	) D. L.A.N., 14,927
and	) Docket No. 14-827
U.S. POSTAL SERVICE, POST OFFICE,	) Issued: October 1, 2014
Baltimore, MD, Employer	)
	)
Appearances:	Case Submitted on the Record
Alan J. Shapiro, Esq., for the appellant	
Office of Solicitor, for the Director	

## **ORDER REMANDING CASE**

## Before:

CHRISTOPHER J. GODFREY, Chief Judge PATRICIA HOWARD FITZGERALD, Judge ALEC J. KOROMILAS, Alternate Judge

On February 24, 2014 appellant filed an application for review of a January 10, 2014 decision of the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP), claim number xxxxxx637, which affirmed a June 13, 2013 decision denying his occupational disease claim. The appeal was docketed as number 14-827.

In the January 10, 2014 decision, an OWCP hearing representative noted that appellant had a prior occupational disease claim for his lower extremities as a result of repetitive walking in claim number xxxxxx911. OWCP accepted that claim for aggravation of right foot tarsal tunnel syndrome. In denying appellant's claim for compensation under claim number xxxxxx637, the claim presently before the Board, the hearing representative noted reviewing evidence from claim number xxxxxx911, including several medical reports in claim number xxxxxxx911. He determined that appellant "has not identified additional work factors than were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On March 12, 2013 appellant, a letter carrier, filed an occupational disease claim alleging that extensive walking on his mail route caused his right ankle condition. He did not stop work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The complete record for claim number xxxxxx911 is not before the Board on the present appeal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> An April 13, 2012 report referenced by the hearing representative in claim number xxxxxx911 is not in the record before the Board. Several other reports from claim number xxxxxxx911, referenced in the hearing representative's decision, have been added to the record before the Board, claim number xxxxxxx637.

established in his previously accepted claim for an aggravation of right tarsal tunnel syndrome," noting that he asserted in "both claims that he walks while delivering mail and realized that he was experiencing foot numbness in approximately December 2007." The hearing representative further recommended that OWCP consider doubling claim numbers xxxxxx637 and xxxxxx911 in accordance with OWCP procedures.

The Board has duly considered the matter and notes that the case is not in posture for a decision. The claim before the Board, claim number xxxxxx637, involves appellant's claim for a right ankle condition. In that claim OWCP denied appellant's claim for an occupational disease on the grounds that appellant did not establish that he sustained a new work-related occupational condition. In the January 10, 2014 decision, the hearing representative noted reviewing evidence and findings made in claim number xxxxxx911. His decision indicates that claim number xxxxxx911 may have evidence germane to claim number xxxxxxx637.

Pursuant to its procedures, OWCP has determined that cases should be combined where correct adjudication depends on cross-referencing between files. In the instant appeal, it appears that, for a full and fair adjudication, OWCP claims pertaining to appellant's bilateral lower extremity conditions should be combined pursuant to its procedures.<sup>4</sup> This will allow OWCP to consider all relevant claim files in developing appellant's claim.

The case will be remanded to OWCP to combine claim numbers xxxxxx637 and xxxxxx911. Following this and such other development as deemed necessary, it shall issue an appropriate merit decision on appellant's claim.

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Federal (FECA) Procedure Manual, Part 2 -- Claims, *File Maintenance and Management*, Chapter 2.400.8(c) (February 2000).

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** the January 10, 2014 decision be set aside and the case remanded to the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs for further proceedings consistent with this order of the Board.

Issued: October 1, 2014 Washington, DC

> Christopher J. Godfrey, Chief Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

> Patricia Howard Fitzgerald, Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

> Alec J. Koromilas, Alternate Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board