



and presented several arguments relating to her claim for an emotional condition. Appellant thereafter requested reconsideration on June 13, 2012.

In its September 16, 2012 decision, OWCP stated that it had not received any new and relevant evidence in support of appellant's request for reconsideration. While it is not required to list every piece of evidence submitted to the record, the record is clear that appellant's December 29, 2011 statement, received by OWCP on February 10, 2012, was not reviewed, as OWCP specifically stated in its decisions that no additional evidence was received. For this reason, the case will be remanded to OWCP to enable it to properly consider all the evidence submitted prior to the issuance of the December 29, 2011 decision. Following such further development as the OWCP deems necessary, it shall issue an appropriate decision on the merits.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** the December 29, 2011 decision of the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs is set aside; the case record is remanded to OWCP for further proceedings consistent with this order of the Board.

Issued: April 12, 2013  
Washington, DC

Richard J. Daschbach, Chief Judge  
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Colleen Duffy Kiko, Judge  
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Patricia Howard Fitzgerald, Judge  
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board