

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>Received:</b> December 19, 2023 <b>Tracking No.</b> lqc-gfvg-0btu <b>Comments Due:</b> January 02, 2024 <b>Submission Type:</b> API
---

**Docket:** EBSA-2023-0014  
Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

**Comment On:** EBSA-2023-0014-0001  
Retirement Security Rule: Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

**Document:** 1210-AC02 comment 00108 Sherman 12192023

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Kevin Sherman

---

## General Comment

First I'd like to say that I believe the government is overstepping their boundaries as is the case in many aspects of our lives. This is no different. I'm not confident in the ability of our government to uphold or regulate anything when it comes to fiduciary responsibilities as they're demonstrating now and have demonstrated in the past. We need less rules from government, not more. You want trust from The People, well trust The People.

I'm writing to express my concerns with the Department of Labor's (DOL) Retirement Security rule proposal. I believe this proposal will harm millions of low- and middle-income households by limiting access to personalized financial guidance and advice.

As a financial professional, I'm already required to act in the best interests of my clients under the SEC's Regulation Best Interest (Reg BI) and applicable state laws that impose similar requirements. Together, these regulations ensure that my clients and I can focus on working together to build responsible savings and investments habits.

This proposal has the potential to upend our existing, comprehensive structure by limiting our ability to help our clients safeguard their savings in a manner of their

choosing. In particular, the previous iteration of a substantially similar DOL rule resulted in a meaningful reduction in services offered to millions of low- and middle-income households.

I hope the DOL will consider the harm the previous fiduciary rule had on communities, as well as the changes in securities regulations that came with the adoption of Reg BI and state insurance suitability rules and withdraw the Retirement Security rule proposal.