

FARIBA ARMANI	)	
	)	
Claimant-Petitioner	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
GLOBAL LINGUIST SOLUTIONS	)	
	)	
and	)	
	)	
ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE	)	DATE ISSUED: 05/14/2013
COMPANY	)	
	)	
Employer/Carrier-	)	
Respondents	)	
	)	
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF WORKERS'	)	
COMPENSATION PROGRAMS,	)	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	)	
LABOR	)	ORDER on MOTION
	)	for RECONSIDERATION
Respondent	)	EN BANC

Employer has filed a timely motion for reconsideration en banc of the Board's decision in *Armani v. Global Linguist Solutions*, 46 BRBS 63 (2012). Claimant and the Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (the Director), have responded, urging affirmance of the Board's decision.<sup>1</sup> 33 U.S.C. §921(b)(5); 20 C.F.R. §802.407. Because employer has not shown error in the Board's decision, we deny the motion for reconsideration en banc, and we affirm the Board's decision.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>The Board grants claimant's motions for leave to file her response brief and her sur-reply brief. The Board accepts these briefs, as well as employer's reply brief, into the record. 20 C.F.R. §802.215.

<sup>2</sup>To the extent the Board's description of claimant's claim under the Defense Base Act as "undisputed" is incorrect, any error is harmless. On the facts of this case, employer did not present to the administrative law judge any issues "in respect of" the compensation claim. 33 U.S.C. §919(a). Moreover, given our denial of employer's

Accordingly, employer's motion for reconsideration is denied. 20 C.F.R. §802.409.

SO ORDERED.

---

NANCY S. DOLDER, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Judge

---

ROY P. SMITH  
Administrative Appeals Judge

---

REGINA C. McGRANERY  
Administrative Appeals Judge

---

BETTY JEAN HALL  
Administrative Appeals Judge

---

JUDITH S. BOGGS  
Administrative Appeals Judge

---

motion for reconsideration, we decline to address claimant's challenge to the Board's en banc decision in *Maine v. Brady-Hamilton Stevedore Co.*, 18 BRBS 129 (1986).