



BRB No. 18-0188

MELVIN L. COPELAND, JR.)	
)	
Claimant-Petitioner)	
)	
v.)	DATE ISSUED: 01/08/2019
)	
CERES MARINE TERMINALS,)	
INCORPORATED)	
)	
Self-Insured)	ORDER on MOTION for
Employer-Respondent)	RECONSIDERATION

Claimant has filed a timely motion for reconsideration of the Board’s Decision and Order in this case, *Copeland v. Ceres Marine Terminals, Inc.*, BRB No. 18-0188 (Sept. 13, 2018). 33 U.S.C. §921(b)(5); 20 C.F.R. §802.407(a), (b). Employer has not responded o this motion.

In its decision, the Board affirmed the administrative law judge’s finding that claimant’s motion for modification was not timely filed. *See* 33 U.S.C. §922. Thus, the Board stated it was unnecessary to address claimant’s contention that the administrative law judge erred in denying the request of Lamarr Brown to serve as claimant’s lay representative.

In his motion for reconsideration, claimant, represented by Mr. Brown, asserts his rights were infringed by allegedly unethical and biased advice of his prior attorney. As claimant’s motion does not address the basis for the Board’s affirmance of the administrative law judge’s determinations that claimant’s motion for modification was untimely filed and employer is entitled to summary decision, claimant fails to state a basis for granting reconsideration. Thus, we decline to address claimant’s contentions and we deny the motion for reconsideration. 20 C.F.R. §802.409. The Board’s decision is affirmed.

Accordingly, claimant's motion for reconsideration is denied.

SO ORDERED.

BETTY JEAN HALL, Chief
Administrative Appeals Judge

RYAN GILLIGAN
Administrative Appeals Judge

JONATHAN ROLFE
Administrative Appeals Judge