UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

in screp.

mentand or lending

13318

JULIE A. SU. Acting Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor.	
Plaintiff,)) 410-1 y 7-
v.	Civil Action No.: 1:23.61-704
URGENT DENTAL CENTER AVON LLC d/b/a URGENT DENTAL CENTER, an Indiana	Injunctive Relief Sought
limited liability company; and JOSEPH SHANK, an individual;	
Defendants.	

CONSENT JUDGMENT AND ORDER

Plaintiff Acting Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor ("Acting Secretary"). having filed a Complaint in this case under the Fair Labor Standards Act 29 U.S.C. § 201. et seq. (the "FLSA" or "Act"). Defendants Urgent Dental Center Avon LLC d/b/a Urgent Dental Center ("Urgent Dental"), and Joseph Shank ("Shank") (collectively, "Defendants"). having received a copy of the Complaint and waived service of process. Defendants admit that they violated Section 15(a)(3) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3).

The Court concludes that it has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and Order (the "Consent Judgment"), and the Acting Secretary and Defendants agree to its terms.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that:

Defendants and their successors, assigns, agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, or acting or claiming to act in their interest and behalf, hereby are permanently enjoined and restrained from violating the provisions of Sections 15(a)(3) of the FLSA 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3).

- 2. Among other prohibited acts of retaliation, Defendants and any other persons subject to this permanent injunction shall not:
 - a. Terminate or threaten to terminate any employee because the employee engaged in or is about to engage in protected activity under the FLSA:
 - b. Disparage or threaten to disparage any employee or former employee to other employers because the employee or former employee engaged in or is about to engage in protected activity under the FLSA:
 - c. Blacklist any employee or further employee, such as by interfering other persons with that employee or former employee's ability to obtain other employment, because the employee or former employee engaged in or is about to engage in protected activity under the FLSA;
 - d. Make any employee's working conditions less favorable, including by reducing any employee's hours of work or pay, because the employee engaged in or is about to engage in protected activity under the FLSA;
 - e. Retaliate or discriminate against any employee or former employee or their family in any other way because the employee or former employee engaged in or is about to engage in protected activity under the FLSA; and
 - f. Instruct any employee or former employee not to speak to, or to provide false information to, the United States Department of Labor, or otherwise influence any employee or former employee with respect

नोन्द्र द्वारा प्रोत्∛ इ

to the SA

sed activity under

to their participation in any investigation conducted or legal proceeding brought by the United States Department of Labor.

- 3. Defendants have made the payment required by this Consent Judgment at the time the parties execute this Consent Judgment. This payment includes front pay and liquidated damages in the total amount of \$12,160 to Raegan Ferguson, through the Acting Secretary, according to the terms set forth herein and as shown on the attached Exhibit A-1, which is incorporated in and made a part of this Consent Judgment. In addition, this payment includes punitive damages in the amount of \$10,000 for Defendants' violations of Section 15(a)(3) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3), and specifically Defendants' unlawful termination of Raegan Ferguson's employment.
- 4. Upon receipt from Defendants of the damages set forth above, the Acting Metion had a Secretary shall distribute \$22,160 for front pay, liquidated damages, and punitive damages to Raegan Ferguson.
- 5. Defendants shall not, in any way, solicit, demand, accept, or keep any amount paid or payable to any employee or former employee under this Consent Judgment, or in any manner attempt to recover or otherwise influence any employee or former employee to forfeit any amounts paid to such employee or former employee in connection with this Consent Judgment.
- 6. Defendants have certified that their employment practices are currently in compliance with Section 15(a)(3) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3), as interpreted by the Acting Secretary.
- 7. Each party shall bear its own fees and other expenses incurred by such party in connection with any stage of this proceeding.

proved to a fert

IS UDBSON

Consent Judgment and Order 1:23-cv-704

SO ORDERED, this 22nd day of August . 2023.

Roger A.G. Sharpe, Clerk

BY: Sina M Darle

Deputy Clerk, U.S. District Court

RICHARD L. YOUNG, JUDGE

presente a

United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

For Defendants:

URGENT DENTAL CENTER AVON LLC d/b/a URGENT DENTAL CENTER, an Indiana limited liability company; and IOSEPH SHAWK, an individual

Owner of Urgent Dental Center Avon LLC d/b/a Urgent Dental Center

JOSEPH SHANK, an individual

KATE G. ERDEL

Partner

Dentons Bingham Greenebaum LLP 2700 Market Tower, 10 West Market Street, Indianapolis, IN, 46204

Telephone: (317) 968-5339 Email: kate.erdel@dentons.com

Attorney for Defendants

For Plaintiffs:

SEEMA NANDA

Solicitor of Labor

CHRISTINE Z. HERI

Regional Solicitor

/s/Lydia J. Faklis

LYDIA J. FAKLIS

Trial Attorney

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of the Solicitor

230 S. Dearborn St., Room 844

Chicago, IL 60604

Telephone: (312) 353-6992

Email: FAKLIS.LYDIA.J@DOL.GOV

Attomeys for JULIE A. SU Acting Secretary of Labor,

U.S. Department of Labor

Dated: 4/17/2023