

NWX-DOL ETA BAT

**Moderator: Evangeline Campbell
July 10, 2013
1:00 pm CT**

Coordinator: This is the operator. At this time this call is being recorded and you may begin.

Evangeline Campbell: Hi good afternoon, this is the Department of Labor. Do we have Lorenda or Winona on the line?

Winona Whitman: Good morning, this is Winona Whitman.

Evangeline Campbell: Hi Winona. Has Lorenda joined yet? Okay, not yet. We'll wait a few minutes so she can take roll call to make sure that we do have a quorum.

Lorenda Sanchez: Are we ready for roll call?

Evangeline Campbell: Lorenda, yes we are.

Lorenda Sanchez: Okay. (Unintelligible)

Man: I am.

Lorenda Sanchez: Carla Bowlan?

Carla Bowlan: Did you say Carla Bowlan?

Lorenda Sanchez: Carla Bowlan?

Carla Bowlan: Yes, I'm here thank you.

Lorenda Sanchez: Kim Carroll? Kim Carroll? Julia Davis-Wheeler? Dr. David M. Gipp?

(Debbie Paine): Lorenda, this is (Debbie Paine). I'm on the line.

Lorenda Sanchez: Jessica James? Ryman LeBeau? Christine Molle?

Christine Molle: Present

Lorenda Sanchez: Steve and Anne Richardson?

Evangeline Campbell: Hi. Can I ask that if you're not - if you're on the call and you're not doing - if you're not doing the roll call and you're not speaking, please put your phone on mute so Lorenda can continue to do the roll call please. I'm sorry Lorenda, go ahead.

Lorenda Sanchez: Roselyn Shirley?

Roselyn Shirley: Present

Lorenda Sanchez: Rodney Stapp?

Rodney Stapp: I'm here.

Lorenda Sanchez: Darrell Waldron? Winona Whitman?

Winona Whitman: Present

Lorenda Sanchez: Dr. David Yarlott?

Evangeline Campbell: I think there may have been some council members that joined the call after I opened the roll call.

Dr. Stapp: Angie?

Evangeline Campbell: Yes?

Dr. Stapp: Hey, it's Dr. Stapp. Jacob Bernal is here with me.

Elkton Richardson: This is Elk Richardson.

Jessica James: This is Jessica James.

Ryman LeBeau: This is Ryman LeBeau.

Evangeline Campbell: All right. I do believe we do - one, two, three, four - who joined?

Darrell Waldron: Hi everybody.

Evangeline Campbell: We do have a quorum.

Darrell Waldron: Do we have a quorum?

Woman: We have a quorum. Before we get started, I'm going to ask that if you're not speaking to please put your phone on mute because it will pick up all...

Darrell Waldron: Can you guys hear me?

Evangeline Campbell: Yes. You have to put your phone on mute unless you're going to speak.
We have you, Darrell.

Darrell Waldron: Yes, I can barely hear you guys. You're breaking up real bad.

Evangeline Campbell: Are you on a cell phone?

Darrell Waldron: Outside phone.

Evangeline Campbell: Okay.

Darrell Waldron: I'll be back. Let's go down and try 119.

Evangeline Campbell: Okay, in the interim we'll go ahead and get started. I will go ahead and note that Darrell is here. We do have a quorum so we can go forward with the meeting.

Madam Chair, can we identify someone to do an opening prayer for us before we get started?

Woman: Councilwoman Shirley, could you do a blessing please?

Roselyn Shirley: Thank you Madam Chair. This is Roselyn Shirley from the Navajo Nation. I'm honored today to offer invocation for our teleconference meeting.

Heavenly Father, Creator; Mother Earth we ask thee today to be in our minds and in our hearts as we discuss important matters that need to be decided on.

Bless all of us as a Council and also the Department staff. And we continue to offer prayer for our loved ones at this time. And also as we go forth, help us to accomplish the many positive things that we need to work on to serve our local communities and for our Native people.

We ask all of these things in the name of our Savior Jesus Christ, amen.

Evangeline Campbell: Amen. Did everyone receive the agenda so far? Everyone has a copy of the agenda and I wanted to go ahead and provide an update on the white paper and then be able to open up the discussion to Chairwoman Sanchez and Jacob Bernal.

Julia Davis-Wheeler: Hello.

Evangeline Campbell: Yes, hi.

Julia Davis-Wheeler: This is Julia.

Evangeline Campbell: Who else joined?

Kim Carroll: This is Kim Carroll.

Evangeline Campbell: First and foremost I just wanted to give an update. The first two old items of business that we're going to review is the white paper and then touch base and do a review on the Our Story Project; both of which are our last council meeting that was held in October.

But to date, I did provide the council with (unintelligible) and action plan. And the action plan that I provided is just an outdated - is an outline of the - it's the Advocacy Subcommittee's draft recommendation of the white paper, the purpose primarily is to provide the project with some projected timeframes and task completion dates and really to help us identify individuals who will be responsible for completing the tasks.

The dates provided are just that, they're just projected dates. What the Department is attempting to do is to try to work with the Council to have the whitepaper completed alongside the fiscal '15 budget.

We are in the process -- in the very beginning process -- of this FY '15 budget. And I think that it is in the best interest of all the stakeholders involved that we do it in concert with the '15 budget as opposed to the '16 budget.

And so that's why some of those more aggressive timeframes or dates are provided.

Also to date, Item 2, which is means defining the critical issues, was completed at the last Council meeting. And Item 3 of the Phase I is completed in that the Department did procure the contractor, Mr. Greg Smith of Hobbs, Stratus, Dean, and Walker is under contract with LED. And they actually signed the contract in the latter part of June.

Darrell Waldron: (Unintelligible). I've joined the meeting; this is Darrell. I'm sorry Angie.

Evangeline Campbell: Oh, that's okay; thank you Darrell. And so to date that's where we are. We do - within the subcontract have the contractor scheduled to have the

whitepaper completed by September 30. Ideally the goal would be August 30, the sooner the better.

Also Mr. Smith is going to work directly with the Advocacy workgroup and the Council to make sure that the items that were addressed and identified under the defined Critical Issues on the Action Paper; make sure that they are incorporated.

I also wasn't sure that once the whitepaper is developed, how it would be disseminated, you know, to the rest of the Council members. And then, you know, submitted to the secretary for consideration of further discussion.

Now again that was all of the Phase I that was proposed back in October then reviewed again with the Effective Management work group in May - on the first of May when we were at the last sub-Council meeting.

Before going further or really going into any more detail about Phase II or really where do we - the next steps, does anybody have any questions or comments about the update to date? And also Mr. Bernal and Madam Chair are there any additional items that you want to add to the update?

Woman: Councilman Bernal, do you have a comment?

Jacob Bernal: No, I have no additional comments. It's just that, you know, we're already looking at fiscal year '15, and so I think definitely time is of the essence. And a lot of things in Phase II I think will be kind of flushed out, determinant on the outcomes of Phase I.

So I'm excited. It looks like we have July to August to get this Phase I completed. That gives us less than two months, so I'm just chomping at the bit to get started and get things going. So that's it; thank you.

Evangeline Campbell: Thank you. So before we - thank you Chairman Bernal. Before we go forward and really move, I'm wondering in terms of the - getting the white paper actually done, can we identify who will be - I know will be the Advocacy work group.

Mr. Bernal, can I put your name down as the primary point of contact for the Task Manager?

Jacob Bernal: Yes, that would be fine with me but, you know, obviously please keep Chairwoman Sanchez in the loop.

Evangeline Campbell: Oh yes, she's listed here. I just wanted to make sure that it was okay to also include your name as well on the Action Plan so we could try to help, you know, move it along and I'll know who to, you know, communicate with and make sure that the contractor more importantly knows, you know, who we're going to communicate with.

Another question, once we get the white paper in from Mr. Smith, is there a plan to have it shared with the other Council members? And if so, what strategy should we use? Madam Chair?

Lorenda Sanchez: I believe that the - when the paper has been developed, I hope that Councilman Bernal will be able to have a conference call with the Advocacy Committee and then we can make a recommendation.

And I don't know if we have to have a formal Council meeting; telephone conference call to approve the paper and the dissemination, but if that's the case I don't think we're limited to the number of Council conference calls?
Correct Angie?

Evangeline Campbell: That is correct. And we don't - if agreed upon now on this call, when we have the Council quorum, if the Council agrees as a unit that we could go forward with the paper, we don't have to bring it back to the full Council for distribution. But there's not a limited amount of calls that we can have.

Lorenda Sanchez: Can the full Council review the document without a Council meeting if we make a motion and approve it this meeting?

Evangeline Campbell: Yes.

Lorenda Sanchez: Because that's what I would like to recommend is that the Advocacy Committee through Councilman Bernal and myself review the paper that has been developed. And if we have any recommendations or comments, share that back with Greg Smith.

And then once we say it's a go on the paper, have it distributed to the full Council for adoption to go into distribution and the Phase II step.

Evangeline Campbell: Madam Chair is that a formal recommendation to the Committee?

Lorenda Sanchez: Yes.

Man: I have a question. How many people are on the Committee? They're not listed in my Committee paper here.

Evangeline Campbell: I have - Advocacy I have one, two, three, four - four individuals. It really looks like more - five, because Mr. Bernal is on the list as well but he wasn't checked off.

But the Advocacy group is listed on the newer version that (Lisa) sent this afternoon. Please look at your emails. It's an update of the different subgroups was provided this afternoon. Not even this afternoon, probably an hour ago.

So I apologize. I didn't have that copy. I provided you all the copy the Department had available. But the newest one is updated so, let me read off who I have, and we can confirm.

Jacob Bernal - Councilman Bernal, Councilwoman Bowlan, Councilwoman Carroll; I have - well we've got to rule out Mr. Giff; he's no longer part of the Council, and then we also have Chairwoman Shirley.

((Crosstalk))

David Gipp: This is David Gipp here. I'm not on the Council you say?

Evangeline Campbell: No, no sir. I said Councilman Giff.

Woman: Urban Giff.

Man: Urban Giff.

Evangeline Campbell: Yes, he was identified.

David Gipp: Gipp - this is Gipp here.

Evangeline Campbell: Yes sir.

Man: I'd like to be added to that committee.

Evangeline Campbell: Okay, noted.

Man: Thank you.

Evangeline Campbell: So then it sounds like there's a motion on the floor to have the Chair...

((Crosstalk))

Evangeline Campbell: Excuse me?

Julia Davis-Wheeler: Angie this is Julia Davis-Wheeler.

Evangeline Campbell: Yes.

Julia Davis-Wheeler: I was wondering, after you get through with all of this who's on the committee, could you repeat the motion again. I couldn't hardly hear the motion. If you could repeat it I would appreciate it.

Evangeline Campbell: That's what I was going to ask. Lorenda, can you repeat your motion, because actually you should be making the motion. Chairwoman Sanchez...

Lorenda Sanchez: What we need to do is have a Council member make a motion to have the Advocacy Committee review the white paper that had been developed for review and forward any recommendations back to Greg Smith.

And once they are satisfied with the paper, have the paper distributed and via a conference call with the Council, accept the paper and move into the distribution through Phase II.

Roselyn Shirley: This is Roselyn Shirley. I would like to make that motion.

Julia Davis-Wheeler: And this is Julia Davis-Wheeler; I'll make the second.

Evangeline Campbell: Okay, there's been a motion.

Roselyn Shirley: Aye - Shirley.

Evangeline Campbell: Councilwoman Shirley...

Man: Are you guys saying surely?

Evangeline Campbell: ...Councilwoman Davis to have the white paper developed by Greg Smith be reviewed by the Advocacy Committee. Once accepted it would be distributed to the full Council for their review and phase of developing the distribution through Phase II.

Darrell Waldron: I have a question.

Evangeline Campbell: Councilman Waldron?

Darrell Waldron: Do the present bylaws allow us to have that, over the phone a vote like that?

Woman: Yes.

Darrell Waldron: Okay great, thank you.

Rodney Stapp: Angie I have a question; it's Dr. Stapp.

Evangeline Campbell: Yes, Dr. Stapp.

Rodney Stapp: My question is we're kind of - I agree with everything that's proposed, but on the Phase I part, the purpose of it is also to educate the Secretary of Labor. So don't we kind of need to do that first before we distribute it out?

Because Phase II is kind of when we get it out to all the members and then it's up to us to run it up to the Hill to educate our own folks. But we still have to have that meeting with the Secretary of Labor.

Evangeline Campbell: Yes, and that's actually the first action item on the white - you know, the projected plan. And it's still - we haven't gotten to that discussion yet, but you're absolutely right.

And the reason why I didn't assert to have it first is because as you well know, the Department is right between an acting and potentially an appointed secretary as well as assistant secretary.

So we are in a sort of precarious position whereas it's important to keep the white paper going forward along with the '15 budget. And then I did want to have - I do want to have a discussion with the Council on how and when do we start this discussion.

Is it - I think it's beneficial to start the discussion for example with the acting secretary. Because what will happen is at least the acting secretary will brief the incoming secretary on all of the matters that are pertinent to this committee.

But that is a very good point, and I would like to open it up for further discussion with the other Council members. And really how, you know, do we work - because it's all in the interest of time to get the paper developed, to get it, you know, in front of the secretary whether it's the new incoming or the existing acting.

And then you know, get it in time, on the Hill within NCAI within the 15 - FY' 15 budget process.

So I'd like to hear, you know, for the discussion, ideas from the other committee members.

Lorenda Sanchez: Okay, we have a motion that is pending.

Evangeline Campbell: Yes.

Lorenda Sanchez: And will the individuals that made the motion and seconded the motion be willing to amend the motion to include number one, the Phase I, after the Council approves the paper that's been developed?

And I would suggest that we do both the acting as well as whoever the incoming secretary, to set up those meetings as soon as possible, Councilwoman Shirley and Councilwoman Davis?

Julia Davis-Wheeler: That's fine with me as the second if it's all right.

Roselyn Shirley: Yes, I'll accept.

Lorenda Sanchez: Is there further discussion?

Jacob Bernal: Sanchez - I'm sorry. Chairwoman Sanchez it's Jacob Bernal, a quick clarification on the motion. I'm a little confused because if this project is sent to the Advocacy Committee for review, comments, back to Greg Smith for finalization, then approval by the Committee, then if it has to go back to the full Council, I don't see that happening before the end of August.

We've been informed that there's a 45 to 60 day notice provision even for a conference call for the full Council. Is that what you're saying, because I thought the intent of the vote right now was to authorize the committee to act on behalf of the Council so we get this thing distributed and sent to the acting Secretary of Labor so, just a point of clarification.

Lorenda Sanchez: It wouldn't be a formal Council meeting. It would be more of a courtesy to share with the full Council.

Jacob Bernal: So it would not require a vote then by the full Council?

Lorenda Sanchez: No, because the Council in this motion is approving the committee to adopt that paper that's developed.

Jacob Bernal: Okay, so it's more of a sharing and explanation of where we're at and what the thinking was, right?

Lorenda Sanchez: Yes.

Jacob Bernal: Okay, thank you.

Darrell Waldron: Can I just get clarification on it as well; this is Waldron. So if I'm hearing, the vote today is authorizing the committee to make the approval and move the

white paper forward without a vote of the Council, but to share the information with the Council; is that correct?

Lorenda Sanchez: Correct.

Darrell Waldron: And we can do that, because I thought all topics had to come back to the Advisory Council for full vote.

Evangeline Campbell: That is the case Councilman Waldron. However in October of last year the defined critical issues that would go into the white paper was discussed and approved by the full Council.

Now if the full Council wants those items - to see it on paper then that's another matter.

But all of the critical issues that were identified - and actually we spent a full day if you recall, identifying those critical issues, really putting out almost an outline for the Advocacy group to work from. So that's what they're working from.

Kim Carroll: This is Kim Carroll. You did say that there was no limit to the number of teleconferences that the Advisory Council could have. Would that be an option to once the paper has been approved by the committee and distributed to the full Advisory Council, that a teleconference be set up to - and then to vote on complete approval of the paper?

Evangeline Campbell: Director, I would advocate, depending on how long it will take is to have an in-person Council meeting here in D.C. and we would actually be able to, you know, address two matters.

We would have the full Advisory Council meeting and of course, the Council will be provided the white paper in advance and be able to do the work through the subcommittee. And then at the same time be able to have a discussion with the acting secretary or the incoming secretary.

Kim Carroll: That would be ideal. I thought the issue was that there wasn't time for all of that.

Evangeline Campbell: We can make the time. We have to make the time because it's up to the committee whether or not we want the white paper done separately and then come talk to the secretary or do it simultaneously.

But the motion is still on the floor. It is an allowable activity, to answer Darrell's question, but the motion still on the floor is to give the authority to the Advocacy work group to go ahead and finish the project - or the white paper.

Darrell Waldron: Well so I'm just - and I'm on the committee now so I guess I'd be part of the group that's putting it forward. I know that we had discussed the criteria which was going to be in the paper and that was approved and moved forward.

So I just wanted to be clear that the committee is actually going to produce the paper and send it along and share the information with the Advisory Council. So that's basically the motion.

And then the other issue is to have a phone conference to approve the material and send it along, and in conjunction with a face-to-face Council meeting in D.C.

I'm for the expediency of getting it done. I just want to make sure that the content is the same as where we originally started and that we were within the rules of FACA to be able to move forward. Those were my concerns.

Anne Richardson: This is Chief Anne. Hello everybody.

Woman: Hi.

Anne Richardson: Don't we have to have a 30 day notice in order to have a conference call? Can you hear me?

Evangeline Campbell: Yes, of the Advisory meeting?

Anne Richardson: Yes. If we do a conference call like today, don't we have to have a 30 day notice for that?

Evangeline Campbell: Yes.

Anne Richardson: So what is that going to do to our timeframe if want to approve this before it goes out?

Evangeline Campbell: Correct. But it's a matter of the Department, you know, we can issue and process the paperwork to do another teleconference. But what I am saying; and you're absolutely right Chair Richardson, it's nice to hear from you by the way...

Anne Richardson: Hear from you.

Evangeline Campbell: ...that it will take time. And by the time we do get it announced in the Federal Register again, we'll be well into August. And so we could - that's

why I was proposing if it's August, we can come back together for a teleconference. If that is the pleasure of the Council, the Department will do that and then have a face-to-face at a later date. It's totally up to the Council, but it does have to be - all meetings have to be published in the Federal Register.

Anne Richardson: Councilwoman Wheeler, I'd like to hear your comments on that.

Julia Davis-Wheeler: On the what?

Anne Richardson: I'd like to hear your comments on the timeframe.

Julia Davis-Wheeler: Well I was hoping that we could get that worked out within - with this motion so that we can move forward at a faster pace instead of waiting until another conference call. And also tying it in with the meeting, that's what I was looking at, you know, keeping it on an even keel moving forward instead of being tied up with, you know, having to give notices and everything.

And I do understand it is advisable to do this and I agree, but also I really feel that a meeting - an in-person meeting needs to be held to also discuss it.

Darrell Waldron: Could I make a recommendation and supporting what I just heard, I have two concerns.

One, I don't want to have a committee have more authority moving forward than the Advisory Council. I mean the way that it's set up, all committees put recommendations forth to the Advisory Council and then the Advisory council has final authority to accept or reject.

But in the issue of time and urgency, is it possible to set that meeting today before we hang up on the phone, for the in-person meeting which would put us somewhere between the 21st and the 27th of August.

The 27th would be beyond the 45 days and around the 21st would be at the 45 days.

Ryman LeBeau: Yes, it's Ryman LeBeau.

Evangeline Campbell: Councilman LeBeau.

Ryman LeBeau: Yes, I would prefer that we could get another conference call going for the Council - the full Council to approve the white paper. Also that gives time for the Advocacy group to review the proposal and approve or disapprove. But I would like for the full Council to meet again and to do the final approval. Thank you.

Lorenda Sanchez: Angie, what is the possibility for a full Council meeting the last week of August?

Evangeline Campbell: It is - let me count the days -- the 22nd -- looking; I'm traveling out to Gila River.

So today is the 10th - we've got to go 15 days back. It is - if it's not the last week in August, the first week in September; I've got to count back because it has to be 15 days. It's possible. It looks like there's 15 days there and then we need 30 days to get it through clearance, right?

It's possible for us to be able to do a - it looks like we could hold a meeting; another teleconference the week of August 26th. All right, I'm just making

sure that I'm here. I'll be traveling the 19th, right. Okay, so the week of the 26th is fine.

Lorenda Sanchez: I wasn't looking at a conference call.

Evangeline Campbell: an in-person meeting here in D.C.?

Lorenda Sanchez: Yes.

Evangeline Campbell: That's also a possibility. We would have to start making the travel arrangements, etcetera. Yes, is that what you all - is that the recommendation?

Lorenda Sanchez: I'm hearing from the Council comments; not that we don't want the Advocacy Committee to have the approval of the paper. I understand that the committee will work to get the paper done, but the full Council does desire to meet and formally adopt the paper in my sense of the decision.

So with that I don't know if our motion that we had made is applicable anymore.

The committee can still review and approve; that's their role in the white paper task on the Action Plan. And I still think that it can be shared as once it's developed and accepted by the Committee, I think it can be shared with the full Council so they have it well ahead of the meeting. And then we would deal with it as a face-to-face meeting.

Evangeline Campbell: Okay.

Lorenda Sanchez: But that's up to Councilwoman Shirley and Councilwoman Davis in regards to the motion.

Darrell Waldron: This is councilman Waldron. There could be an amendment to the motion; keeping the motion in place but subject to it having final approval at our gathering, that's all.

Woman: Yes.

Darrell Waldron: So they would still work diligently and we would all work, you know, on it and then be prepared to put it before the Council for final approval if they want to keep their motion alive; just an idea.

Lorenda Sanchez: Okay, so the motion would be amended to have the Advocacy Committee continue working with Greg Smith to develop the paper; have the Advocacy Committee review and make any recommendations back to Mr. Smith, and once the committee accepts the paper it would be (unintelligible) the full Council and full Council approval would be formalized at a Council meeting - face-to-face Council meeting the last week of August.

((Crosstalk))

Evangeline Campbell: Before there's a full vote from the Committee, I do want to be flexible on the dates in which the Council comes in. And I say that because I just can't assume that we're going to get on the acting secretary's or the secretary's calendar. I'll put in what day it will be.

But what I will - what I can do is propose the week of August, a date and time the week of August the 26, or September 9, and then see what his office comes back to. Because I just can't give him - the secretary's office a week and they're going to make themselves available. I have to give them several weeks and they'll tell me if he's available, okay.

Woman: All right.

Lorenda Sanchez: So the motion would be for the week of August 26 or the week of September 9.

Evangeline Campbell: Okay, thank you.

Woman: Is there a Labor Day in there somewhere?

((Crosstalk))

Woman: Labor Day is the first - the second.

Evangeline Campbell: Yes it's the 2nd, that's why I said the week of September 9th.

Woman: Yes, Labor Day is Monday the 2nd.

Woman: Okay.

Woman: Right, right.

Julia Davis-Wheeler: All right so is it okay with the motion maker if I - it's all right with me to amend the motion as Mr. Waldron has stated. And I forgot who -- Richardson; Councilwoman Richardson?

Anne Richardson: Yes.

Julia Davis-Wheeler: Yes, that's fine with me as the second.

Roselyn Shirley: This is Roselyn Shirley. I concur with the proposed or the amendments as stated by Chairwoman Sanchez.

Lorenda Sanchez: Further discussion? Questions? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

Woman: Aye.

Woman: Aye.

Man: Aye.

Darrell Waldron: Aye.

Lorenda Sanchez: Opposed? Abstained? Okay, the motion has been carried. And we will send an updated work group list out after the call today.

Woman: Does that have to be by a roll call vote because we're on the phone?

Man: Yes, it would make sense to me.

Darrell Waldron: Yes, I think that would be a good idea. I'd like to hear the full motion again that we voted on because it got a little bit choppy there with everybody in there.

Lorenda Sanchez: The motion is to have the Advocacy Committee - the white paper developed, share their comments with Greg Smith, (unintelligible) the comments have been appropriated and accepted by the full Advocacy Committee for the white paper, it would be disseminated to the full Council for review and formal action at a face-to-face Council meeting either the week of August 27, or September 9.

Darrell Waldron: Great, thank you very much. And if we're going to go by roll call, Waldron, Region 1, I vote yes.

Lorenda Sanchez: Let's go down the list, Councilman Bernal?

Jacob Bernal: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Bowlan?

Carla Bowlan: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Carroll?

Kim Carroll: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Davis?

Julia Davis-Wheeler: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Gipp?

David Gipp: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman James?

Jessica James: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman LeBeau?

Ryman LeBeau: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Molle?

Christine Molle: I vote yes.

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Richardson:

Anne Richardson: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Richardson?

Elkton Richardson: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Shirley?

Roselyn Shirley: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Stapp?

Rodney Stapp: Yes with the asterisk of that we also try to plan something else to make the trip worthwhile.

Lorenda Sanchez: Okay. Councilman Waldron?

Darrell Waldron: I vote yes.

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Whitman?

Winona Whitman: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Yarlott?

Evangeline Campbell: He may not be on the phone.

Lorenda Sanchez: Chair Sanchez, yes. The motion has been passed.

Evangeline Campbell: So that was the first phase of the Action Plan and so in an effort to keep the agenda moving along, the Phase II of the Action Plan for the white paper, I'm confident will be covered by the Advocacy group during the teleconferences, correct?

Okay, so the next items on the agenda, and it's a longstanding item that is part of the larger strategic plan and that is the - and it also supports the white paper. It is the Our Story Project that was introduced back in I believe it was 2011.

And there was a meeting. The last meeting was actually in October 6 of 2011 in which there were some accomplishments identified. I believe that Chairwoman James and former Chairman Giff was the chairperson for the subcommittee.

It was also identified, this Our Story Project as a priority in the paper that was put forth by the Council to the secretary that we received. And in an effort to keep that project going, I did also develop a tentative action plan for further discussion.

And so I'm referring to the 2009, 2012 part where this Our Story Project is still identified as one of the Advisory Council's task.

So right now I have - all I have is just for the Our Story sub-work group who, according to the most recent version of the checklist that I have is -- I'm looking here at this (unintelligible) policy -- Our Story includes (Norm DeWeaver), Chairwoman James, Chairman LeBeau, Chairwoman Richardson, Chairman Richardson, Chairwoman Sanchez, Chairman Stapp, Chairman (unintelligible), and Chairwoman Whitman.

Those are the individuals who according to this most recent work group is a part of the Our Story Historic Research Project which I think it's pretty much would be covered I'm thinking, along with the white paper. In terms of a strategy and actually getting that...

Darrell Waldron: I'm also part of that committee.

Evangeline Campbell: Excuse me.

Darrell Waldron: Yes, it's on my list.

Evangeline Campbell: Yes.

Darrell Waldron: Waldron

Evangeline Campbell: So right now it's just for the Action Plan itself, all I have - all I'm looking at is I have the chairwoman Sanchez as the primary point of contact and a designee. And I just put in parenthesis Jessica James because that was the only person I have notes from.

But I wanted to open that up for further discussion and action for the committee. Is it still going to be a part of the priority and if so, what kind of

next steps would the council want to take or you want us to help support so we can go forward with this project.

I will say that I have had one meeting with the Department, and I think I mentioned this during the Effective Management Workgroup, with (Javier Hughes). He's with the Office of Public Engagement here at the U.S. Department of Labor.

And once we have a project goals and objectives in place, the Department is willing to help provide support in getting, you know, this project done in terms of collecting the video clips of individuals who may be telling this story.

There's a way for them to send the messaging out and then have on a large server, those clips received by the Department. And then we would have that information posted of trying to find someone to go out and do all of that.

But I wanted to put that action item out there for further discussion and a decision as to whether or not we're going to go forward or not on the project.
Madam Chair?

Lorenda Sanchez: I would ask Councilwoman James if she's willing to assume the Committee Chair role for the Our Story work group and coordinate a work group meeting to,,,

Jessica James: Carry out the goals and objectives?

Lorenda Sanchez: ...(unintelligible) Action Plan tasks and identify to provide to the Department to see how we can collaborate in terms of the video clips but also an outline of what out tasks need to be for each of those tasks.

Jessica James: I accept the nomination to take charge of that project as part of the Council.
Thank you.

Lorenda Sanchez: So I was...

Jessica James: I don't know if a vote needs to take place or not.

Lorenda Sanchez: No I think then it would be just working with Angie or (Michael) to schedule a Story work group meeting by a phone conference initially.

Evangeline Campbell: Yes Jessica, I'll work with you directly on the project and start setting up the next teleconference.

I have a projected timeframe between August and September. And again, so it can go hand-in-hand with the meeting with the Secretary and also perhaps, you know, we could piggyback on the white paper that will be completed.

Jessica James: Okay. Do you want to add me to the Advocacy Committee then?

Evangeline Campbell: Yes, that makes sense. Yes, that makes a lot of sense.

Jessica James: Okay.

Evangeline Campbell: Thank you.

Darrell Waldron: I have a question.

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Waldron.

Darrell Waldron: What happened to the momentum of this committee from a while ago? I know we were pushing along and we were getting some early information going and then it seemed to kind of peter out.

Evangeline Campbell: Madam Chair do you mind if I answer that question?

Lorenda Sanchez: Okay, Ms. Campbell.

Evangeline Campbell: Chairman Waldron, the elected chairperson who was facilitating the Our Story research work group was former Chairman Urban Giff. It was the secretary's decision not to reappoint chairman Giff, and so at that time I think that's why the project itself lost momentum.

But we have as a committee as a whole, even last October, still continuously, you know, identified it as a priority, and that's why it's on the call today. And I'm you know, really again developed some action plans so we can move the project forward if you will, with it.

And (unintelligible) date and site the next time a formal presentation is given to the full committee.

Darrell Waldron: And could I make a suggestion just in the expediency of time and stuff with so many projects that we have that some of them seem to take extraordinary lengths of time and some of the stuff we can't do anything about, but that we start to revisit action items that we deem important, maybe revisit in a three month to six month period.

And if they don't come up, they need to come up whether we're going to, you know, take immediate action on them or let them wither on the vine, or just in the sake of trying to move these very important items along aggressively.

Because I remember when we were first working on that, it was just so tremendously important. And I understand Urban leaving the committee - leaving the Council slowed the process, but some of these topics are so important that, you know, there should be an immediate reaffirmation of leadership and then timelines put on them where they have to come back to the full Council for a level of where are they; are they still important, are they still moving forward? Just something we can put in there to keep these things moving aggressively and forward.

Woman: I think that's an excellent idea.

Evangeline Campbell: Madam Chairman may I make a comment?

Man: Yes.

Evangeline Campbell: And that's why, you know, the Department is going forward in trying to implement an approach and action plan approach so that we can stay on task.

I also would like to make the suggestion; and it was sort of a change in process and we're still working on getting comfortable with the use of technology and doing teleconferences, but I would like to propose that the Council -- and I could put in one request at one time through the Federal Registry -- quarterly teleconferences with the Council.

That way these action plans that have deliverable timeframe dates, we can track them. So for example I'll put in one request. It's one request for four separate meeting quarterly for the full Council, and that way we can achieve sort of some form of consistency and updating of the information. And that's my suggestion to the Council.

Darrell Waldron: I think that would be great. It would give us four opportunities to push things forward.

But I also may add that lot of the holdups on some of these action items is lack of funds. And there seems to be sometimes money left over from projects. Like I know we didn't expend all of the T&TA money and there was some, you know, I don't know how much monies were left over from other venues, but those dollars when they are not spent and they have been approved to be spent on the betterment of the community, that the Advisory Council get an opportunity to get access to them and maybe target them towards some of these action items so that we can get them moved in.

I mean the world takes money to move. And if the dollars are there it would be a good opportunity to utilize them in a manner to move forward progression on our activities and to the Secretary to improve the quality of the lives for our community.

Evangeline Campbell: I couldn't have said it better myself. And it's a perfect segueway into the next action item which is an update on the TA Technical Assistance Fund.
Thank you, Darrell.

And that's what I wanted to do also is to get an update and to get some recommendations from the Council on the TA and TA funds or the Technical Assistance funds for program year 2013.

As you all well know, the Technical Assistance funds are now managed under a contract as opposed to a grant. During the May 1, meeting the Effective Management work group did make a recommendation to have .5% of those funds used this year.

But I wanted to also open up for discussion the overall cost, you know, for program year 2013 which it includes for example, you know, Advisory Council meetings. We're talking about Council sub workgroup meetings, updates to Bear Tracks which is a forum I'm going to be - something discussed further and presenting concern.

Also we're talking about, you know, items supporting this Our Story and the white paper. Are we going to procure the services of Mr. Smith for the second phase, etcetera.

So I'd like to open that discussion up to the Council and for their formal recommendations regarding the TAT funds for 2013.

Jessica James: I have a question; this is Councilwoman James. How much of the PY 2013 is that 5% in dollar amount?

Evangeline Campbell: I don't have that answer off the top of my head and I don't have a calculator.

Jessica James: Okay.

Evangeline Campbell: It would be half of - so it would 200 and something - this is an approximate amount, like \$220,000 or something like that. And that's a guesstimate because I don't have a calculator with me.

Jessica James. Thank you.

Evangeline Campbell: But I know 1% of it would be 404 - what (unintelligible), so half of it would be (unintelligible). And that itself would primarily just cover the Advisory Council and minimal changes to the Bear Tracks system.

Jessica James: Thank you Angie.

Evangeline Campbell: You're welcome.

Woman: Are the items under the (unintelligible); are those what we're going to be talking - is that what we're talking about right now, the Bear Tracks and the recommendations regarding the 1%.

Evangeline Campbell: Oh no, these are just some of the examples. They're not specific items or costs that will be covered, but these are the costs - I know (unintelligible) have been covered by the TAT funds. So it is open for full discussion in terms of, you know, how the '13 T&TA funds would be used.

Like Chairman Waldron had indicated, you know, some of the resources, will it be used to support the Our Story going forward.

Jessica James: So we can't - to be clarified, we could use some of the funds for Our Story project from the TAT funds?

Evangeline Campbell: Yes, actually the beauty of having the funds in a contract, we are now allowed to self-contract out without, you know, having to go through the full scrutiny and litany of approval because the funds are in a contract; yes.

And I do recall two years ago Chairwoman James, that there was a motion to have - actually it was a year ago, there was a recommendation to have a

research fellow support by the TAT funds, and I believe it was for \$20,000.
And that request was put forward.

But when we got into the whole process of converting the TAT funds from a grant to a contract, that item was lost. But to answer your question, yes.

Jessica James: Okay, thank you.

Evangeline Campbell: Those are sort of decisions that I would like to have the Council, you know, discuss and to recommend.

I know that one of the deliverables that we'll have - or one of the activities that has to be covered is the Advisory Council on the call, for their travel like it has in the past.

I also understand that for example that the National Conference Planning Committee doesn't necessary want to use and won't - we won't be utilizing any of the TAT funds to support the National Conference for PY 2000 and - I guess that was for calendar year '14 for the next National Conference.

So it's really open for discussion in terms of how the funds - the '13 funds could be used.

Darrell Waldron: You know I guess I'll start the discussion. I remember a time a while ago where - and I'm sure most of you that served on the Council for a while, where the Council had a budget and it was able to accomplish its tasks and put forth for variable information.

And that has been strained in the last - the past administration and we are, you know, have been forging forward and trying to get dollars added to.

And if there is a source of revenue out there that the Council could get a hold of to begin to have a budget to put forth - accomplishments on these paths, you know, I'm all for that.

I just think that, you know, policies and procedures around those monies need to be defined and pushed forward so that they're all used in a transparent manner, but that I think it would ease some of the burden.

I know one of the issues with the Our Story was trying to raise funds. And those funds the last I heard was a fairly large number. But I just think having access to capital makes the world go around and, you know, we should not be held back because of lack of money, especially when there's money that is made available and is not what we spent.

So I'm all for that, but I think it would need to have, you know, policies and procedures around it that everybody understood so that, you know, we could access it in an appropriate manner.

Elkton Richardson: This is Elk Richardson Madam Chair.

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Richardson.

Elkton Richardson: Are we no longer going to place any emphasis on grantee training with the TAT funds that are taken as part of the grant - overall Congressional allocation? Are we no longer going to focus on grantee training, peer-to-peer, or what grantee needs may be?

Darrell Waldron: I can take a little whack at that Madam Chair.

Lorenda Sanchez: Okay, Councilman Waldron.

Darrell Waldron: The National Conference, we had a meeting not too long ago; a couple of months ago, maybe a month and a half ago, and we were discussing the registration fees that were charged at the National Conference and the costs associated with the conference.

And some of the difficulty that developed over the past 12 to 15 months and these new approval processes that have popped up through OMB, and because of the SGA.

And we actually had a tremendous amount of stress going into that last National Conference where approval did not happen until that Saturday before the Monday of that conference.

And it was just difficult, with all hands on deck, trying to move aggressively through the process to get things approved. And the Executive Board, with a few committee members, voted that they felt that we could achieve the conferences through a registration fee and that we were hoping in doing that we could move forward a little bit more aggressively getting the conferences up and off the ground.

That was our intention when they made the vote, and it was a unanimous vote not to use any of the 1% for this conference that's coming out in Rapid City.

However there have been some discussions around new developments around whether conferences and grantees attending them need approval. And so we're all eagerly awaiting some definitions for that.

But there is still a major emphasis on training and I think it needs to continue. In fact I think it needs to be accelerated and more people involved. But we just had such a difficult year and stress being as high as it was, that we felt that doing it under our registration fee was feasible and possible we were able to do it in this past conference.

I don't know if that helped Elk.

Elkton Richardson: Well that may be so and well and good for the National Conference logistics, but there are still peer-to-peer issues and who's going to address those.

Is DINAP going to do it? And again, what resources would DINAP use in addressing those? Would they use a portion of the TAT funds or a separate pot of money?

Darrell Waldron: We had no discussion on peer-to-peer and that stuff. Our conversation was strictly around the National Conference so, it's a good question.

Elkton Richardson: I mean in the past we have funded peer-to-peer and technical assistance to grantees from this 1% funding. And I guess that's what I'm asking, are we getting away from that? And if so, what are we as a council going to do to address grantee needs?

Kim Carroll: This is Kim Carroll. To add to what Elk is saying, I have a real issue with this because the whole purpose of the 1% was for technical assistance.

Now I understand that the Advisory Council needs funds to operate and I don't see a problem with using Technical Assistance funds for that, although it should come from the Secretary's budget as we all know.

But, you know, if you look at the history of the 1%, the tribes - the grantees are the ones that designated those funds and they designated them for technical assistance.

I think that if we no longer use them for technical assistance or decrease significantly the amount that is used for technical assistance, that there's going to be a problem.

Elkton Richardson: I agree, I agree. I mean I've talked to grantees and heard from them, you know, that feel that this 1% money is money that is taken from the Congressional allocation which is for training and workforce development programs in Indian communities.

They expect a return on that 1% to the Indian community and to the grantee community.

Lorenda Sanchez: This is Lorenda. I just would like to comment that the conference - the National Conference and the multi-regional conferences maybe should have had a (unintelligible). But primarily the conferences as they were designed were for a training tract as well as an opportunity for grantees to be able to connect and network with other grantees in like program situations.

And I would have to agree with Councilwoman Carroll that if the funding, the 1% was originally agreed to for training and technical assistance for the grantee community.

And I think one of the areas that has really suffered in the past few years is for our small grantees; for them not to be able to get to at least the National Conference.

And it's an opportunity for them to get training. And you know I would like to emphasize how significant the turnover is in Indian and Native American job programs.

And I think part of that turnover stems from the volume of paperwork that we're required to do and the processes that are entailed in delivering a quality employment and training opportunity for these communities. And our grantees are kind of just left out there to do on their own.

And, you know, we've deemphasized the new Director of Training. And granted, there's the Community of Practice, but I think some of the hands-on and the face-to-face opportunities that our grantee community has had, you know, have really suffered with the changes in our priorities for the TAT.

Because the initial top reason for the 1% was to provide small grantee assistance. And that we aren't doing. And I think, you know, if that is something that can be considered, you know, it is I think an important piece that needs to continue.

And the peer-to-peer is definitely important. And I think everybody has kind of gotten comfortable with grantees providing peer-to-peer. I know there are a number of program grantee staff that are providing peer-to-peer and they're doing it because they care about this program and this community.

And you know their directors are allowing them, you know, to provide that and it really is a lot of time. I personally know that our MIS specialist probably spends eight to 20 hours a week working with grantees on the phone just on Bear Tracks.

And you know we do it, but this is important. So somehow, you know, we have to make sure that that is incorporated into the TAT.

And I also agree with Councilman Waldron that, you know, we need to have some real clarification on policies and procedures for the department relating to conferences because that is still as clear as mud to me.

Other than that I would also like to hear from the other Council members on their recommendations.

Anne Richardson: Well this is Councilwoman Richardson. I'm glad to hear this response because I am sure that the work that has been done and the success that we have had as a program can be largely attributed to the fact that we have kept training first and foremost for as long as I've been in the program. And I have seen that starting to fail in the last couple of years.

And the whole process and the difficulty in the process that has recently come about where someone has to get approval to go to a conference or training, I feel has really put a damper on the National Conference or the multi-regional conferences; either one.

Because those committees that are trying to coordinate that training or the contractor that's trying to coordinate that training is really as Darrell said, kind of unsure of what is going to be approved and what's not going to be approved until the last minute.

And then we have to make travel arrangements as far in advance as we can so that we can get a better price airfares and hotels.

So I'm not sure what that process is and why it is so difficult, but somehow or another that needs to be streamlined. And we kind of intimately need to know what that process is so that we could work on what would make things work better for Ops.

Roselyn Shirley: Madam Chair this is Roselyn Shirley.

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Shirley.

Roselyn Shirley: I would like to recommend that this is just a status update report according to the agenda, that we further discuss this at maybe the next teleconference or the regular meeting.

And also I would - I'm interested to see a report on what was done with the previous year's T&TA funds. So I think there are two recommendations that I'm presenting here, for the essence of time, we only have a few more minutes left in our meeting. Thank you.

Elkton Richardson: Elk Richardson. I agree with Councilwoman Shirley. I mean in order to project and plan how to place emphasis on TAT expenditures for the next cycle, we need to see what was done with the past and where the emphasis was and what the expenditures were and were they sufficient and, you know, how do we need to prioritize emphasis.

So I would ask for that information to be produced so that we could review it and more accurately plan the next year's expenditures of TAT funds or recommendations for that anyway.

Rodney Stapp: Madam Chair this is Dr. Stapp again.

Lorenda Sanchez: Dr. Stapp.

Rodney Stapp: I also agree with both of them, especially needing to know where the money is from last year. How much do we have left over from last year and how are we going to plan for this year? I mean I haven't seen the budget on it in a while.

Darrell Waldron: This is Councilman Waldron. I also agree with everything folks are saying. I could put forth some recommendations based on some of the things we learned at this National Conference.

And we are still awaiting some of the final numbers Councilman Stapp, because we want to produce our financial report online for everyone. But I think that there could be some more zeroing in on, you know, types of expenses like for small grantees, etcetera; etcetera.

The National conference, if it's going to continue to have large registration fees, you know, such as the ones that we had or a little less, that there's adequate money there to do it, just maybe a slightly scaled down version.

But I really think it needs some addressing and some streamlining. I'd be more than happy to put forth a concept paper with the Executive Board of course, but I'd be happy to do that for everyone to review in the sake of time so we don't take up the rest of the day, you know, on that and get it out to people just as some recommendations for improvement and some streamlining and some policies on the money. Because we just lived through it recently.

Evangeline Campbell: Madam Chair this is Angie Campbell. Also, the Department of Labor is more than happy to also generate a report on the PY 2013 funds; how they're expended and moreover some of the funds - actually there was cost savings in many areas.

A lot of the work we have done ourselves, but we can prepare the report and make sure that it is available for further discussion at the next Council meeting, tentatively scheduled for either the last week in August or the first week - or the second week in September; excuse me.

I also am very happy to hear the recommendation that items like technical assistance, peer-to-peer, and items like that should still be covered. Because what I did note was that there was an initial request to utilize only like .5% which would not be able to cover all of those items.

So I just wanted to go on record to say that the Department of Labor is more than happy to produce a full report of the PY '13 funds and to work with the Council on delivering and working on how the next year's funds would be allocated as well.

Lorenda Sanchez: Will you have that report at our next Council meeting?

Evangeline Campbell: Yes, we'll actually have it written and actually distributed before the next council meeting so the Council members can take a look at it, analyze it, and also make, you know, so we can make some concrete recommendations for the next year as Dr. Stapp had indicated.

Lorenda Sanchez: So that will go back also on to the costs associated with our Bear Tracks updates. And I know that the IT work groups have a meeting and they have recommendations back to 2011, but we're also revisited it and updated it at the May meeting.

Evangeline Campbell: Absolutely. I mean all of those discussions are very important. And it would be part of I think, the strategy when we're looking at, you know, not

just how the PY '13 funds are going to be expended, but also okay, what meetings have to occur to address the IT needs and all of the other items.

I think that it is - yes, to answer your question yes, I could probably go on for a long time, but to answer your question, absolutely yes.

And those are some of the items and that's why I put Bear Tracks. So those are some of the areas that I have seen as high task priority and just wanted to notate it to the Council.

But we will make - we are - I think there's a formal motion to have further discussion at the next Council meeting and that a full report be, on PY '13 expenditures be provided by the Department of Labor.

And then Councilman Waldron had agreed to also do a full report and analysis of the National Conference expenditures.

Anne Richardson: Madam Chair this is Councilwoman Richardson. Again I would also like to request when Councilman Waldron does that report that he include any notes on difficulty that he had in getting approval and the process for getting approval for training dollars, if he could do that.

Darrell Waldron: I would be more than happy to do that. I suggested that I put forth a recommendation as well, so I'll make sure that I include that.

I mean it could definitely be tweaked and I think it can meet everybody's concerns and meet the concerns of our community with some of the ideas and discussions we've had in our committee meetings.

So I would be more than happy to do that. I'll get on it right away. I'm going to be communicating with you Lorenda, I need some of the final numbers.

Lorenda Sanchez: Okay.

Lorenda Sanchez: Are we just...

Rodney Stapp: Madam Chair this is Dr. Stapp again.

Lorenda Sanchez: Yes?

Rodney Stapp: I just had one question for clarification because we've kind of been throwing that 1% money around or half percent or whatever. Do we know for sure which we're taking out of this upcoming year and do we need to make a motion or something on that?

Evangeline Campbell: Dr. Stapp without a full - actually the motion was made by the Effective Management work group to withhold .5%. And without a full Council meeting or a full Council decision, the department for PY '13 now has set aside the full 1%.

And if they can still take a vote now at the percentage and then work backwards from there, then we would have to adjust accordingly. But right now the Department did withhold and will take the advisement of the Council regarding the 1%. But the 1% WebSphere withheld for PY '13.

Rodney Stapp: Okay, then I guess I would just ask everybody else what they think. Should we go ahead and take a vote on that to see if we want a half percent or 1% because it kind of makes a big difference.

And on the other hand we're kind of flying blind without having an end-of-year report on seeing what our actual expenditures are. But, you know, there is no reason to hold out a full 1% if we only need a half percent and that other half could be used more effectively in our other line items that we have like the peer-to-peer and different things like that.

Man: I agree with Dr. Stapp that it's hard to proceed I mean without knowing what happened in the past.

And also I mean, do we have a definitive answer as far as the Council expenditures and costs from the Department that they're not going to fund from a separate pot of money; the costs and expenses of the Council, or are we looking at funding it through a TAT allocation which would make a difference in whether we may choose to take a half percent or a whole 1%. I mean those questions I...

Evangeline Campbell: We have about four minutes and the call will end after the four minutes.

So answer your question real quick Chairman Richardson that no formal request from the Council went to the Secretary regarding, you know, supporting the council.

So right now the PY '13 funds will be used to support the Council's travel. The Department has not made or has not been asked, you know, from the Council formally to support the travel expenses for the Council and the sub workgroups I may add, or any activities or projects associated with the Council, i.e., the white paper, Our Story, etcetera.

Woman: I would recommend that we just...

Woman: Are you sure that that request did not go forward?

Evangeline Campbell: I have - we have no - I have - the Department and as the DFO, I have no - we have not received any formal written response yet I am sure.

Darrell Waldron: Angie, on that total amount that we take out for TAT, if we just did the half percent like the Effective workgroup had submitted, what happens to the other half percent?

I mean how much leeway do we have on using that? Does it go back to the grantees or can we use it for the other types of training?

Evangeline Campbell: Well like in PY 2013 it went back. The recommendation from the Council was that it go back to the grantees. And so we, the Department, sent back whatever percentage was remaining.

So it's per the recommendation of the Council, whatever you advised I would put it forward to the Secretary's office or actually the Assistant Secretary's office, and then the Department would make a decision.

But normally they do support whatever the Council has put forward; at least this administration has.

So if it's .5% and the other 5% goes back to the community, then if that's the recommendation then that's what will occur. But I know that the service - we're going to drop it in two minutes.

Man: Okay, so do we need to take.

Kim Carroll: Yes, but there was a problem with that, because not all the grantees received their funds back. The 477 grantees did not. And so their portion of that 1% went to the other 166 grantees.

Evangeline Campbell: Well that's because Madam - Carroll that before that the transfer the 47 occurs before the 1% is taken off. So what happens is for both the...

Kim Carroll: I don't believe that's correct. I don't believe that could possibly be correct.

Evangeline Campbell: That's the way the budget office does it. The transfer - what happens is that the amount is identified before 477 for the youth and the adult, and then from there the 1% comes off of the final - the remaining amount. That's the way it goes over to the budget office and we do it ourselves.

Darrell Waldron: Okay since we're running out of time then can we make this recommendation from the Council via an email voting or tabulation and send that to you?

Anne Richardson: This is Chairwoman Richardson. I would suggest or recommend that we table this until the meeting at the end of August where we can have an opportunity to receive reports and go over what has been presented, what has been done in the past, and then we can make intelligent decisions about what needs to be done.

Roselyn Shirley: This is Roselyn Shirley. I had previously begun discussion ten minutes ago to make those recommendations, to see what was done with the PY '12 T&TA funds, discuss this agenda item at the next meeting or by teleconference meeting.

Darrell Waldron: And I promised to get you the information from my end now within the next couple of weeks so that you will have it early enough to call and make

questions and try to get a good clear understanding of what it is you'll need for the - you know, for our next meeting.

Man: Well I agree with Roselyn. If we've got the time to make the decision at the next face-to-face meeting, it would be much better to do that when we're better informed.

Darrell Waldron: Absolutely.

Lorenda Sanchez: Question - that was under new business? And that's in regards to our (unintelligible) youth services reporting? Is that being reviewed in DINAP at this point?

Evangeline Campbell: Are we going to address the formal motion regarding the TAT funds Ms. Shirley made?

Roselyn Shirley: I can make a motion if it's required - this is Roselyn Shirley.

((Crosstalk))

Anne Richardson: This is Chairman Richardson, I second it.

Lorenda Sanchez: (Unintelligible) looking for FY '13 to 2014 next council meeting. All those in favor by roll call.

Darrell Waldron: I didn't hear all of it. Did you say PY '12 or PY '14 Lorenda? I'm sorry, there's a lot of static or chair squeaking in the phone.

Lorenda Sanchez: Well it's PY...

Roselyn Shirley: PY '12 T&TA funds. My first recommendation was to get a report on what was done with the PY '12 T&TA funds. And then the second recommendation - of course this is all in a motion now, discuss at the next meeting this agenda item.

Lorenda Sanchez: You got it Darrell?

Darrell Waldron: I'm sorry Lorenda, I heard what she said. PY '12, not '14.

Lorenda Sanchez: Right.

Roselyn Shirley: Yes, I did notice - I did hear Angie saying PY '13 but those are new funds.

Darrell Waldron: Okay, I'm good.

Lorenda Sanchez: Okay. We're going to review the prior funds and we will make our recommendations on the new funds at the next Council meeting. All those in favor? Councilman Bernal?

Jacob Bernal: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Bowlan?

Carla Bowlan: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Carroll? Councilwoman Davis?

Julia Davis-Wheeler: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Gipp?

David Gipp: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman James?

Jessica James: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman LeBeau?

Ryman LeBeau: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Molle?

Christine Molle: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Richardson?

Anne Richardson: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Richardson?

Elkton Richardson: I vote yes.

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Shirley?

Roselyn Shirley: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Stapp?

Rodney Stapp: Yes.

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Waldron?

Darrell Waldron: Yes.

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilwoman Whitman?

Winona Whitman: Yes

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Yarlott? Councilwoman Sanchez, yes. Okay, we will have that as business for our next Council meeting.

But I did have a question though on the new business which we didn't have a chance to discuss, and that's on the form 9085. I was requesting just a status update from Ms. Campbell on where that is in the Department.

Evangeline Campbell: Absolutely. And for those who may not be that familiar with what the form 85 is, is that the Department does have out for public review and comment or had out for public review and comment updates on expired forms which is a collection of information on the adult program and the tough program.

The OMB to date, to give you a status update, OMB received three public comments on the workforce Investment Act, Section 166 Report that I just previously indicated.

And we are, meaning the Department, DINAP is specifically is providing responses to those three public comments that were received specifically, on the youth form.

The Department also wants to note that I did receive an email from Chairwoman Sanchez regarding some interaction she has had with a member outside of the DINAP team. And so on behalf of the Department I do apologize. I can't speak for my colleagues, but my understanding was that it wasn't a positive experience.

But the DINAP program and with an ETA have all intentions on rectifying an apparent mistake. And as the Chief I will take the responsibility for that form being the wrong form being uploaded. And we will rectify that matter and it will be indicated in the 30 day comments section.

So what will occur next is that it was open - the forum was open for public comment. The last 60 days we received three comments from CIMC and then one entity - tribal entity. But after we addressed those three comments were put out for another 30-day comment for further review.

And I'll ensure that the staff does send out an email again to the entire 166 and 477 community, although it doesn't apply to 477, for additional comments on any changes that were made on the initial collection.

Also too, on the update -- and I know they're going to cut us off because I'm getting the beep -- the charter is in the Secretary's office for signature. The charter is scheduled to expire on April -- excuse me -- on August 31 of this year, and it should be signed by July 31, so that's positive news.

However the Department has made two changes in the charter -- the proposed charter and that is the reduction in the total amount that's estimated annual cost to operate the Council, from \$110,000 to \$100,000 which is sort of in line with the discussion we had regarding the use of TAT funds.

And then too, that the Department says that the Chair and the Vice Chair may serve currently in the - in the current charter it says that the Chair and the Vice Chair may serve more than one term if reelected.

That sentence was removed from the Department and the Department intends to limit the number of terms consistent with the balanced membership plan that has been put forth the Office of Management and Budget.

So those are the two major changes to the charter that will be signed by Assistant Secretary, or Acting Assistant Secretary Seth Harris, hopefully within the next two weeks.

Woman: Is there any update on when the CST funding will be available?

Evangeline Campbell: The what funding?

Woman: The CST for current program year?

Evangeline Campbell: No, I don't have a specific date; no. So we do have the next council meeting tentatively scheduled for the week of August 28, or September 9. We're going to check the availability and try to get on the Secretary's - the Acting Secretary's schedule.

Also - I'll also work with Chairwoman Sanchez to and also (Mike Delaney) to get the travel arrangements made. And then -- they're going to go ahead and cut us off.

Are there any other items? The only other question that was put forward was the staffing for the DINAP office in San Francisco. And we don't have any additional information or additional FTEs to the staff at FTE at this time.

Man: What happened to the one you had?

Evangeline Campbell: I actually have - had two FTEs. Right now they are not being - and no, there are no backfills. They are still identified FTEs, but they're not filling sequestration. And DINAP is not the only office that's not filling FTEs, it's across the board government wide. It's there on paper.

Woman: Thank you.

Evangeline Campbell: You're welcome. If that's it Madam Chair, is there the...

Darrell Waldron: I make a motion to adjourn.

Lorenda Sanchez: Councilman Waldron, motion to adjourn.

Jessica James: I second that, Councilwoman Jessica James.

Lorenda Sanchez: all those in favor signify by saying aye.

Woman: Aye.

Woman: Aye.

Lorenda Sanchez: Opposed. Thanks all of you for your time. Thank you Angie and also thanks any of the public that has been on the call.

Woman: Thank you.

Lorenda Sanchez: Okay, thank you.

Woman: Take care.

Man: See you guys.

END