# EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION ADVISORY SYSTEM U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR Washington, D.C. 20210

CLASSIFICATION
WIOA – Adult and Dislocated
Worker Training
CORRESPONDENCE SYMBOL
OWI
DATE
June 9, 2023

ADVISORY: TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT GUIDANCE LETTER NO. 21-22

**TO:** STATE WORKFORCE AGENCIES

STATE WORKFORCE ADMINISTRATORS

STATE WORKFORCE LIAISONS

STATE AND LOCAL WORKFORCE BOARD CHAIRS AND DIRECTORS

STATE LABOR COMMISSIONERS

AMERICAN JOB CENTERS

STATE APPRENTICESHIP AGENCIES

STATE DIRECTORS OF THE OFFICE OF APPRENTICESHIP

**FROM:** BRENT PARTON

Acting Assistant Secretary

**SUBJECT:** Increasing Equitable Service Access and Employment Outcomes for All

Jobseekers in Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act Adult and Dislocated

Worker Programs

- 1. <u>Purpose.</u> This guidance clarifies and compares the variety of training and other services available to states and local workforce areas under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) with the goal of improving training outcomes across all populations served, particularly those with barriers to employment and underserved populations. The guidance also addresses operational questions related to implementing certain types of training.
- **2.** Action Requested. State and Local Workforce Development Boards (WDBs) and State Workforce Agencies should: 1) analyze labor market information and service enrollment data to assess community need for and equitable access to public workforce development system services and training, 2) adjust and enhance the variety of WIOA-funded training types and other services offered, particularly where evidence supports promising training approaches and strategies, and 3) provide accurate quarterly updates on expenditures for WIOA training programs, as well as quarterly performance reports.

| RESCISSIONS | EXPIRATION DATE |
|-------------|-----------------|
| None        | Continuing      |

#### 3. Summary and Background.

a. Summary – WIOA permits funding several service and training strategies for adults and dislocated workers, described previously in <u>Training and Employment Guidance Letter (TEGL) No. 19-16</u> and <u>TEGL No. 08-19</u>. This guidance builds on those TEGLs to share insights into what national WIOA data suggests regarding system implementation of adult and dislocated programs for all populations and expands on how different training and service approaches may be deployed for individual customer circumstances. This guidance shares where existing evidence supports the effectiveness of a particular service or training approach, which state and local areas should consider incorporating into the implementation of WIOA-funded training programs.

The Department of Labor's (DOL) Employment and Training Administration (ETA) analyzed WIOA participant and outcomes data for Program Years (PY) 2018, 2019, and 2020, a highlight of which is available in Appendix II. The Department as well as other entities have also conducted evaluations of the workforce system. The Department's WIOA data analysis, along with a portfolio of evidence from evaluations on training programs suggests that completing a training program aligned with particular strategies and approaches that have an empirical basis and may increase wage and employment outcomes for participants, when compared to outcomes of individuals who enroll in a training program that is not based on evidence-based practices.

However, different approaches may not work for all groups and in all contexts. Deploying a variety of effective outreach, service, and training strategies permitted (or even required) by WIOA to meet different community and individual needs is of particular importance as the workforce system aims to better serve marginalized and historically underserved and underrepresented populations, at a time when multiple sectors are growing from public investment in transportation, clean energy, and broadband. A state and local service portfolio can include more than one service and training strategy available for customers, especially if resources are largely devoted to those that have the most evidence for improving employment.

b. Background – On January 20, 2021, President Biden issued the Executive Order On Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government (EO 13985), which directed agencies to allocate resources to advance fairness and opportunity and to promote equitable delivery of government benefits and equitable opportunities for underserved communities, including Black, Latino, and Indigenous and Native American persons, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other persons of color; members of religious minorities; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ+) persons; persons with disabilities; persons who live in rural areas; and persons otherwise adversely affected by persistent poverty or

inequality. In support of this EO, ETA analyzed WIOA performance data to determine how Federal resources allocated to the states and local areas for the Adult and Dislocated Worker (DW) programs are used to provide access to services and lead to increased employment, credentials and increased earnings, and whether there are meaningful differences among populations in access and outcomes.

While WIOA performance data analyzed alone cannot show causation or exclude intervening variables, the data suggests that workforce system participants (individuals who received any training or individualized services) who completed training programs funded through WIOA were observed to have higher rates of employment and wages than those who enrolled in training funded through WIOA but did not complete training.<sup>2</sup> This analysis was completed by aggregating data from various years of WIOA performance and calculating averages. Similarly, other studies have found that strategies designed to improve adult completion of non-degree credentials, including career pathways and comprehensive student supports, can have positive impacts on non-degree credential completion.<sup>3</sup> Overall, in Program Years (PYs) 2018-2020, exiters from the Adult program who completed training earned, on average, wages of \$2,125 more than the average for Adult program participants who enrolled in training programs but did not complete. Trained exiters from the DW program earned, on average, wages of \$1,119 more than the average for DW program participants who enrolled in training but did not complete. For both Adult and DW programs, exiters who completed training also had higher employment rates than those who did not complete training, both in Q2 and Q4 after exit. These higher wages and employment rates are consistent across demographic groups. 4 However, completion rates sometimes differ by demographic group, as reflected in the data analysis in Attachment II, Table 1 and Table 4; we encourage state and local boards to review and complete a similar analysis for their region.<sup>5</sup>

Where program completion varies by race or ethnicity, such differences can have impacts on economic outcomes and exacerbate inequities. It is exceptionally important that quality training opportunities are available for individuals of all races, genders, and members of other populations protected from discrimination by WIOA Section 188,<sup>6</sup> as well as from all other demographic backgrounds. Further, it is important that, regardless

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Executive Order 13985 Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government, <a href="https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/01/25/2021-01753/advancing-racial-equity-and-support-for-underserved-communities-through-the-federal-government">https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/01/25/2021-01753/advancing-racial-equity-and-support-for-underserved-communities-through-the-federal-government</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> WIOA Performance Data, PY 2018, PY 2019, PY 2020, U.S. Department of Labor, <a href="https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/performance/results.">https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/performance/results.</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> McKay et al. 2019, Miller et al. 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> WIOA Performance Data, PY 2018, PY 2019, PY 2020, U.S. Department of Labor, https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/performance/results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In addition, State Governors are required as part of their annual monitoring responsibilities to conduct statistical or other quantifiable analyses of records and demographic data by particular protected categories, and to investigate any significant differences to determine whether they appear to have been caused by discrimination. *See* 29 CFR 38.51(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> WIOA Section 188 and its implementing regulations prohibit discrimination on the bases of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, or political affiliation or belief, or, for beneficiaries, applicants, and participants only, on the basis of citizenship or participation in any WIOA Title I-financially-assisted program or activity. *See* 29 CFR 38.5.

of the race, disability status, sex, or other protected status of the participants, all WIOA DW and Adult program participants have access to training for top growth occupations and occupations with higher salaries. National information about growth occupations and WIOA occupational training data examined by race/ethnicity and sex shows that Black, Hispanic, and White men in Adult and DW training programs were less likely to be trained in high-growth occupations, particularly in nursing and medical occupations, than were Black, Hispanic, and White women. However, men had a higher average hourly wage (\$36.75 for DW and \$31.66 for Adult) than did women (\$25.52 for DW and \$28.90 for Adult), as of October 2022. This national-level analysis, which may mask important local-specific variations, indicates gaps where workforce programs have an opportunity to examine whether program design results in discrimination or impedes earnings equity, and whether workforce programs can do more to help women and other underserved populations overcome external forces such as pay discrimination in the larger labor market. More information on national wage differentials can be found in the Department of Labor's Women's Bureau Earnings and Ratios tool. Occupational segregation for different underserved populations may impact the occupations for which individuals are trained. Attachment III includes the data tables that show the occupations for which different demographic groups are generally trained.

### 4. <u>Using WIOA investments to improve access to training and entry into quality jobs.</u>

a. Funding Mechanisms for WIOA Training Strategies. Participants' individual service needs vary, as do the employment sectors most prevalent in a local area, so it is important to tailor training options to meet the needs of the local community and learner. A successful local area can use multiple types of training approaches that address the indemand competencies and skill needs identified through state and local sector initiatives. When determining which sectors and occupations to focus training efforts on, states and local areas should also focus resources on training that prepares jobseekers for higher-paying quality jobs in local growth industries, such as jobs with benefits, where workers have voice and opportunities for career advancement. More information about Job Quality can be found in the Department of Commerce and Department of Labor Good Jobs Principles<sup>10</sup> and in TEGL 07-22, "Increasing Employer and Workforce System Customer Access to High Quality Jobs."

WIOA allows states and local areas to fund training through a variety of approaches. Most local areas tend to use individual training accounts (ITAs), but ETA encourages the use of other funding mechanisms when appropriate. Table 1 below provides a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Training Data (2022), U.S. Department of Labor); data included is an aggregate of several years of data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Training Data (2022) U.S. Department of Labor; data included is an aggregate of several years of data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> "Earnings and Ratios," Women's Bureau, U.S. Department of Labor, https://www.dol.gov/agencies/wb/data/earnings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Good Jobs Principles Fact Sheet (2022), U.S. Department of Labor, <a href="https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/goodjobs/Good-Jobs-Summit-Principles-Factsheet.pdf">https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/goodjobs/Good-Jobs-Summit-Principles-Factsheet.pdf</a>.

description of each allowable training type that the funding mechanisms described above can support, as well as a highlight of some of the advantages to participants and employers inherent in each; please note that context, curriculum, groups being served, and local factors will impact the success of these programs. States and local areas should consider these factors when standing up programs. Section 4(b) of this TEGL provides more detail on how states and local areas can better design and leverage training programs. A full description of allowable training activities can be found in <u>TEGL Nos. 19-16</u> and <u>08-19</u> (and their respective Change 1s). In addition, <u>TEGL No. 13-16</u> expands on training that may be provided to support the primary components of Registered Apprenticeship Programs (RAP). Further guidance regarding non-Eligible Training Providers (ETP) contract training is available in Attachment I of this TEGL.

**Table 1: Training Types and Advantages of Each** 

| Training Types                               | Description of Training Type   | Advantages for Participants and Employers   |
|--|--|---|
| Classroom or Online Training for Individuals | Classroom training refers to the academic or technical education individuals receive through a structured instruction. ITAs are available to cover the cost or supplement other sources of funding, such as grants for eligible participants enrolled in a training program on the state's ETP list. WIOA funds can also pay for supportive services participants need to enroll in and complete classroom training. | Participants may benefit from ITAs for training and supportive services if they remain in a training program, reducing barriers to enrollment and completion.  Classroom training appears to have the most significant impact on employment when its completion allows a participant to obtain an industry-recognized credential, particularly a credential associated with an occupation that pays them more than what they made before. Classroom training should be of sufficient depth and quality that it allows participants to obtain an industry-recognized credential that can potentially provide them with a notable increase in income.  Well-designed classroom training benefits employers and participants when such training is developed in coordination with employers or industry sector partners, preparing |

|  |  | workers with the skills needed by employers.   |
|--|--|--|
| On-the-Job<br>Training<br>(OJT)<br>for Individuals | OJT refers to training by an employer to a participant hired by the employer that provides knowledge and skills essential to full and adequate job performance. OJT funds provide reimbursements to businesses of up to 50 percent of the wage rate of OJT participants (in certain circumstances up to 75 percent) to help defray the personnel training costs of new employees. In an OJT program, the business is generally the entity providing the training. This training is funded by a direct contract and could | Paid employment while in training:  Participants build skills and establish employment status while receiving a consistent paycheck.  OJT also provides learning opportunities for those who cannot spend time outside of work hours for more traditional classroom training programs.  Employers can hire an employee right away, ansure the training.                                  |
|  | exist as a stand-alone training, or as a portion of an integrated training type, such as a RAP.  | right away, ensure the training program is specific to their hiring needs, and get help offsetting training costs. Employers should ensure that all OJT programs and hiring are nondiscriminatory and equitable.   |
| Cohort<br>Training                                 | Cohort training, financed through a contract instead of an individual ITA or an individual OJT contract can cover classroom-based occupational training for a group of participants and/or on-the-job training for a group of participants. Cohort training can also be utilized to support both primary components of a RAP, as noted below (See <u>TEGL No. 13-16</u> ).   | Participants and employers benefit from economies of scale:  A cohort of participants benefit from paid on-the-job learning and/or paid occupational skills training. They also benefit from peers working through the program with them, providing support and opportunities for peer learning, which evidence shows to be promising for education. 12  Employers as well as Local WDBs |
|  |  | benefit from cost and administrative efficiencies, as well as economies of scale.  |

<sup>11</sup> What Works in Job Training: A Synthesis of the Evidence (22 July 2014), U.S. Department of Labor, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Education, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services<a href="https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/legacy/files/jdt.pdf">https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/legacy/files/jdt.pdf</a>. Portnow, K.(2001), The Power of a Cohort and of Collaborative Groups, Focus on Basics (5) Issue B: 15-22., <a href="https://www.ncsall.net/index.html@id=254.html">https://www.ncsall.net/index.html@id=254.html</a>.

| Registered     | RAPs are an employer-driven, work-  | Paid employment while training   |
|----------------|---|--|
| Apprenticeship | based training strategy that combines   | and progressively higher wages:  |
|                |   | and progressively inglier wages.   |
| Programs       | On-the-Job Learning, work experience, or OJT with job-related academic instruction, resulting in a Certificate of Completion. A Certificate of Completion is a nationally recognized occupational credential that counts as a recognized post-secondary credential under WIOA sec. 3(52). OJT contracts can support the On-the-Job Learning | Participants receive an income while participating in training that culminates in at least one industry recognized credential. There is a built-in career ladder for apprentices who receive wage increases associated with skills attainment. |
|                | component, and ITAs can support the   | Employers can benefit from a   |
|                | Related Instruction component.  | highly trained employee right away with good prospects for retention. 13   |
| Incumbent      | Under IWT, an employer selects a  | Retention and Advancement:   |
| Worker         | training provider to increase skills for  |  |
| Training       | current employees and pays a  | Participants gain skills necessary to  |
| (IWT)          | significant portion of training costs,  | keep their jobs or advance within  |
|                | often as a layoff aversion strategy or  | their company.   |
|                | to facilitate skill upgrading. Direct   |  |
|                | contracts can fund IWT.   | Employers can benefit from   |
|                |   | retaining and promoting talent   |
|                |   | internally, minimizing real and  |
|                |   | institutional knowledge costs of   |
|                |   | replacing employees.   |
| Customized     | Customized training is contracted   | Customizable:  |
| Training       | group training based on a commitment by an employer or group of employers to hire participants upon successful completion of the training. Employers pay for a significant cost of the training, as determined by the Local WDB.  | Participants build skills and establish employment status. Customized training requires an employer commitment to retain the individual upon successful training completion.   |
|                |   | Employers can benefit from leveraging a customized training program to attract workers, build workers' skills tailored to employers' needs (including for hard-to-fill vacancies), and gain a competitive advantage with a skilled workforce.  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>TEGL 13-16, Attachment III (12 January 2017). United States Department of Labor, https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/ETA/advisories/TEGL/2017/TEGL 13-16 Attachment Iii acc.pdf

- b. **Designing effective and efficient training programs.** It is important for the workforce system to invest in the full suite of training options available through WIOA and align those training options to state and local sector strategies. <sup>14</sup> Not all potential participants will need the same types and length of training. For example, individuals with limited work history, such as some recently justice- impacted individuals, may benefit from transitional employment (described below) initially, while a mid-career worker may be better served by IWT, and both may benefit from OJT. To expand access to training for a variety of participants with diverse needs, state and local WDBs should:
  - Establish the foundation for participant success by investing in supportive services, creating partnerships with worker or community-based organizations for worker supports (such as local providers serving people with disabilities that can provide information and resources) and with industry sectors for employer-responsive training, hiring and training staff, and leveraging transitional jobs for individuals from underserved populations. (See part i below.)
  - States and localities must ensure that training complies with legal requirements for providing program materials and technology that are accessible to and in appropriate formats for individuals with disabilities and translated or interpreted into appropriate languages for persons with limited English proficiency.
  - Strengthen and expand the menu of available high-quality training options aligned to in-demand occupations and sectors by expanding ITAs and ETPs to include populations that may be proportionally underserved by these programs, investing in pre-apprenticeship programs, and working with employer partners to develop high-quality OJT. (See part ii below.)
  - Leverage training to create partnerships designed to help employers recruit and retain workers. (See part iii below.)

More detailed strategies can be found in the following sections. States and localities should consider the information below to create an intentional and sustainable plan for better leveraging training options and serving individuals from underserved communities, including those protected from discrimination by WIOA Section 188 and those highlighted in EO 13985, as described in the Background section of this TEGL. Attachment V provides a compilation of program evaluations and research studies regarding the training types, techniques, and supportive services outlined in this section that have shown evidence of effectiveness. For more studies about the effectiveness of various strategies, please use the Department of Labor's Clearinghouse for Labor Evaluation and Research to see the most up-to-date research.<sup>15</sup>

8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Hendra, et al., "Encouraging Evidence on a Sector-Focused Advancement Strategy," MDRC, https://www.mdrc.org/sites/default/files/2016\_Workadvance\_Final\_Web.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Clearinghouse for Labor Evaluation and Research, U.S. Department of Labor, https://clear.dol.gov/

### i. Establish the Foundation for Participant Success.

**Invest in supportive services** as a component of increasing equitable access to successful training programs. Any number of barriers may prevent an individual from entering or completing training. These barriers include but are not limited to:

- Training, materials, and testing costs
- Childcare needs
- Transportation
- Financial literacy training
- Access to internet and other necessary tools to complete program
- Unstable housing

These barriers may be more pronounced for some individuals from underserved populations and can interact to create multiple challenges. For example, a parent searching for scarce childcare may be limited in their options if they do not have access to a car or live in an area without easily accessible public transportation, which further exacerbates their childcare challenge. People with disabilities, especially those unable to drive, may face similar barriers and have similar needs. Successful states and local areas assess and address barriers to WIOA participation when designing workforce services. <sup>16</sup>

Studies have demonstrated that providing supportive services to training participants leads to more successful outcomes. Use of holistic supports, including financial and social services, had a positive impact on the likelihood of adult learners attaining a credential in health pathways.<sup>17</sup> Program participants surveyed who received supportive services indicated they had higher rates of meeting or being on track to meet their completion goals.<sup>18</sup> Supportive services have also been shown to facilitate retention in RAPs.<sup>19</sup>

ETA strongly encourages the use of Adult and DW formula funding to provide supportive services, such as transportation tokens or vouchers for childcare, as a method for increasing participation in and completion of career and training services. States have freedom to establish allowable funding amounts and policies to provide supportive services as a component of career services and training, and local areas can further refine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> TEN 12-21, *Practitioners Guide to Supportive Services*(15 October 2021), U.S. Department of Labor <a href="https://wdr.doleta.gov/directives/corr">https://wdr.doleta.gov/directives/corr</a> doc.cfm?docn=9977.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Bragg, Debra D., Giani, Matt S.(November 2019), "The impact of holistic student supports on credential attainment for diverse adult learners in the Health Pathways Consortium," *Impacts of Key Community Strategies on Non-Degree Credential Completion by Adult Learners*, Lumina Foundation,) pg. 7, <a href="https://www.luminafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/impacts-of-key-community-college-strategies-on-non-degree-credential-completion-by-adult-learners.pdf">https://www.luminafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/impacts-of-key-community-college-strategies-on-non-degree-credential-completion-by-adult-learners.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Eyster, Lauren, et al. (December 2012), *Implementation Evaluation of the Community-Based Job Training Grant (CBJTG) Program*, The Urban Institute <a href="https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/23936/412890-Implementation-Evaluation-of-the-Community-Based-Job-Training-Grant-CBJTG-Program.PDF">https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/23936/412890-Implementation-Evaluation-of-the-Community-Based-Job-Training-Grant-CBJTG-Program.PDF</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Kelly, Maura and Wilkinson, Lindsey, "2020 Evaluation of the Highway Construction Workforce Development Program" (2020). Sociology Faculty Publications and Presentations. 151. <a href="https://archives.pdx.edu/ds/psu/34307">https://archives.pdx.edu/ds/psu/34307</a>.

both their local policies and menu of such services. See 20 CFR 680.900 for a list of allowable supportive services under WIOA. Please note this list is not exhaustive; states and localities may choose among these and/or identify other supportive services necessary to remove barriers and improve training and employment outcomes. ETA encourages partnerships with other programs and organizations to provide supports. It also is important to note that there is no cap on supportive services under WIOA or its programmatic and nondiscrimination regulations.

Create partnerships with existing community groups and education providers that serve underserved communities. WIOA requires outreach as a key responsibility of state and local WDBs (20 CFR 679.130; 29 CFR 38.40). To better share information with and to provide services to members of the various groups protected from discrimination by WIOA Section 188 and its implementing regulations, state and local WDBs and other recipients are required by those regulations to engage with community groups and education providers that serve members of those groups. Similarly, local WDBs should engage with community groups and education providers in underserved communities, particularly those that are led by and devoted to communities underrepresented in WIOA programs. Community-based nonprofits, schools including community schools, labor unions, worker organizations, disability-focused and faith-based organizations that are well-known in underserved communities are key partners in this work as trusted conduits of information to local jobseekers, and they are valuable sources of feedback to the workforce system. High-performing state and local boards invite these organizations to share concerns and needs with boards to ensure services and programs are responsive to community needs, and budget funds to pay for such expertise if information requests are more than nominal. Community-based organizations are also well-placed to share information about American Job Centers (AJC) and training programs directly with community members and can follow up with those individuals to support them as they navigate the process. Community-based partners may also be able to help AJC programs recruit bilingual staff fluent in the languages used by the local community to provide services within WIOA and AJC programs, and identify qualified interpreters and translators to perform those specific tasks in the relevant languages.<sup>20</sup> Many WDBs already coordinate with K-12 schools and community colleges, and such partnerships can help connect individuals with supportive services and job search assistance as they work to complete secondary or postsecondary education and move along a career pathway. Even WDBs with strong connections to education partners should examine which education institutions are part of communities underrepresented in WIOA programs, such as minority-serving institutions, and seek to establish and/or strengthen partnerships with such institutions. High school and community college career technical education programs also provide opportunities for developing lifelong learning opportunities for learners and workers and workforce pipeline development for employers. WIOA-funded staff may present information to, partner with, develop cohort training with, and seek

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See definition of "qualified interpreter" at 29 CFR 38.4(xx)(2).

feedback from sessions regarding WIOA training opportunities to local high schools, outof-school-time programs, community colleges, and four-year institutions including minority-serving institutions. Staff hours to perform outreach to workers, community organizations, education partners, and employers is an allowable program cost under WIOA.

WDBs should track participant success among its training programs and share the outcome information with partners, with a focus on wage and employment data, and highlight the positive impact these programs can have on local individuals and communities. At the same time, training programs that do not demonstrate value for participants should be reevaluated as an available option. Outreach and communications are legally required and necessary for building partnerships and for informing jobseekers in the community about available services. To reiterate, outreach and recruitment is an allowable cost of WIOA funds, per 2 CFR 200.421. More information about allowable outreach is available in TEGL 23-14, and in the October 2022 webinar Outreach and Marketing with WIOA: Exploring the Possibilities.

Train existing staff and recruit new front-line staff and career counselors at AJCs to welcome individuals from all walks of life, including individuals from underserved communities who may be using AJC services for the first time. Career counselors help potential program participants identify their short- and long-term career goals; understand local and regional labor market information to choose a career path; help navigate testing or licensing; and develop individual plans to provide the best recommendations to customers. While states and local areas differ in levels of career counseling they deliver, evidence shows that, on average, individuals with more intensive career counseling at the onset have higher wages long-term. <sup>21</sup> For example, case managers in jail-based AJCs with direct linkages to community-based AJCs were viewed by participants as the strongest influence on their likelihood of career success after release from jail.<sup>22</sup> See Attachment IV for some resources available to career counselors.

Core training topics should include how to comply with the nondiscrimination requirements of WIOA Section 188 and its implementing regulations as well as overcoming unconscious bias, anti-racism, anti-sexism, disability awareness and nondiscrimination, reasonable accommodations, cultural sensitivity, gender identity, and other topics relevant to the local community. For instance, workforce development practitioners should ensure that women are presented with all employment and training options, including in non-traditional sectors for women that could be higher paying and offer them growth opportunities. The same is true for members of other underrepresented communities. There are many Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility training

11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Perez-Johnson, Irma. Moore, Quinn. Santillano, Robert (October 2011), Improving the Effectiveness of Individual Training Accounts: Long-Term Findings from an Experimental Evaluation of Three Service Delivery Models, Mathematica. https://www.mathematica.org/publications/improving-the-effectiveness-of-individual-training-accounts-longterm-findingsappendices.

22 Bellotti, Jeanne, et al. (4 September 2018), <a href="https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/legacy/files/LEAP-Final-Report.pdf">https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/legacy/files/LEAP-Final-Report.pdf</a>.

programs and curricula that the workforce system can leverage to best respond to local needs. See Attachment IV for some available resources. Further, AJCs should recruit staff members who reflect the local community and have lived experiences that help them understand, relate to, and effectively serve AJC customers.

For instance, the workforce system should hire front-line staff who speak the languages most spoken in the community and contract with interpretation and translation services to ensure that qualified interpreters/translators are available for a wide range of other languages. Per the WIOA nondiscrimination regulations at 29 CFR 38.9, AJC programs are responsible for providing information and resources in appropriate languages to individuals who are limited English proficient [LEP]. AJC programs must determine which languages are used by a significant number or portion of the population eligible to be served, or likely to be encountered, by the program, and translate all vital information<sup>23</sup> into those languages. They must also collect information about the LEP status and preferred language of every applicant, registrant, participant, and trainee, <sup>24</sup> and provide vital information to LEP participants in their preferred languages, as specified in 29 CFR 38.9. To enable meaningful access to training for LEP individuals and other individuals with a preferred language other than English, local areas can take steps such as providing training materials in the preferred language of participants (either written translation or by oral interpretation or summarization) and providing oral training content in the preferred language through in-person translation or phone translation.

As a general rule, AJC programs must take reasonable steps "to ensure meaningful access to each...LEP individual served or encountered so that LEP individuals are effectively informed about and/or able to participate in the program or activity." Such steps minimize confusion and frustration for potential participants.

In addition, as required by 29 CFR 38.15, it is essential to ensure that equally effective communication supports are provided upon request to job seekers and their companions who are deaf or hard-of-hearing, or who have other communication-related disabilities, including those who also have language access needs (such as Spanish sign language interpretation).

Furthermore, AJC programs should review the documentation commonly collected at intake so as not to delay access to services with extensive document reviews. WIOA-funded programs should limit such documentation to that required for eligibility and use the flexibilities already available in data validation guidance to accept self-attestation where needed to facilitate timely access to services for individuals. See <u>TEGL 23-19</u>, <u>Change 1</u>. Please note, WIOA-funded programs should not turn away jobseekers who decline to provide an SSN. Further, there is no circumstance in which SSNs of family

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> As defined in 29 CFR 38.4(ttt).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> As defined in 29 CFR 38.4(c), (aaa), (oo), and (nnn), respectively. These definitions are likely to differ from the common understanding of the terms.

members should be collected; this is not necessary for any WIOA-funded program eligibility.

Utilize transitional jobs for those with minimal job experience. Transitional jobs allow individuals to strengthen their work history while earning a wage in a short-term job. Transitional jobs are considered career services and are particularly suitable for participants with inconsistent work history or who are long-term unemployed. Studies have found that transitional jobs have a positive impact on participants' employment and earnings outcomes, with the gains concentrated during the period of the transitional job placement. The findings also included increased likelihood of employment and decreased use of public benefits after job placement. A demonstration project that tested transitional jobs among individuals recently released from prison and low-income parents that had fallen behind on child support found increased earnings among participants and higher total earnings compared to a control group at the point of follow-up. A recently completed synthesis of studies of 13 subsidized employment models found nearly all programs that provided subsidized employment or traditional transitional jobs produced larger impacts among those who had more barriers to employment. State and local boards using transitional jobs must adopt relevant policies, including:

- Provisions on employer reimbursement amounts, up to 100 percent of wages
- Limits on job duration;
- Supportive services to be offered;
- Methods to identify individuals who are chronically unemployed or have inconsistent work history who may serve as program participants; and
- The decision to what degree job readiness training will be provided in combination with the transitional job.

WIOA regulations at 20 CFR 680.195 state that transitional jobs must be combined with relevant supportive services for participants, who are often at high-risk of incompletion for a variety of reasons. To best achieve this, states can consider working with Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) programs to identify individuals who need assistance finding a job and would benefit from work experience, but for whom TANF cannot fund such work experience. TANF and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) already serve individuals with the highest need in communities; supporting transitional job training with WIOA funding for SNAP or TANF participants who need work experience allows individuals to get important job

13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>CLEAR, Rapid Evidence Review Strategies from the Great Recession to Support Employment(March 2021), Mathematica <a href="https://clear.dol.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/CLEAR">https://clear.dol.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/CLEAR</a> GreatRecessionRR Synth 202107.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Barden, Bret, Juras, Randall, Redcross, Cindy, Farrell, Mary, and Bloom, Dan,(May 2018), *The Enhanced Transitional Jobs Demonstration, New Perspectives on Creating Jobs, Final Impacts of the Next Generation of Subsidized Employment Programs*, MDRChttps://www.mdrc.org/sites/default/files/ETJD\_STED\_Final\_Impact\_Report\_2018\_508Compliant\_v2.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Can Subsidized Employment Programs Help Disadvantaged Job Seekers? (February 2020), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, <a href="https://www.mdrc.org/sites/default/files/sted">https://www.mdrc.org/sites/default/files/sted</a> final synthesis report feb 2020.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Supportive Services Desk Reference(August 2021), U.S. Department of Labor, <a href="https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/07/14/09/22/Supportive Services Desk Reference">https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/07/14/09/22/Supportive Services Desk Reference</a>.

experience while also using the strengths of both programs to support their path to employment.

### ii. Strengthen and Expand the Menu of Available Training Options and Strategies

Some training programs have evidence supporting their efficacy, such as training that provides learning in a work context, including Registered Apprenticeship and well-structured on-the-job training, as well as training that prepares learners for work in a particular sector; evidence on their efficacy can be found in Attachment V to this TEGL.

Leverage ITAs and create an intentional list of ETPs to help participants access high quality programs. Participants that would benefit from classroom training and want a more traditional approach to education with the flexibility to choose their programs may prefer using an ITA to access training from approved providers. Most WIOA-funded training takes place via ITAs; 84 percent of individuals enrolled in occupational skills training used an ITA in Program Year (PY) 2020.

State and local WDBs should review ITA policies at the state and local level to assess whether per-person funding caps enable participants to truly access training that leads to credentials for occupations with career ladders and salary progression. Policies should not unintentionally cause participants to choose shorter-term training with more limited career prospects. State and local WDBs should also review and reconsider policies that prohibit individuals from receiving training more than once. Career pathway programs offer training in discrete, stackable credentials, so that learners and workers can continue to work while taking training that prepares them to move up multiple steps along a career pathway. Among the findings of a meta-analysis of career pathways evaluations, some programs that target longer-term, more advanced training from the outset, have had larger and more sustained impacts on earnings.<sup>29</sup> Programs might also improve earnings results by using labor market information to target occupations and industries that have high potential for advancement. Some programs that target other industry sectors, such as information technology (IT) or financial services, have had large and sustained impacts on earnings.<sup>30</sup>

State WDBs should continue to review and update the state list of ETPs with a focus on finding providers that are convenient for and available to underserved communities, and that serve those communities effectively. The process of reviewing and updating should also ensure that the providers do not discriminate on any basis prohibited by WIOA Section 188, and in particular that they comply with legal requirements for serving LEP individuals and individuals with disabilities. As remote and online training options have expanded in recent years, state WDBs should also assess whether the state's ETP list

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>A meta-analysis of 46 career pathways impact evaluations. (December 2021). Abt Associates. U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/evaluation/pdf/A%20Meta-Analysis%20of%2046%20Career%20Pathways%20Impact%20Evaluations. final%20report.pdf

Analysis% 20of% 2046% 20Career% 20Pathways% 20Impact% 20Evaluations final% 20report.pdf.

30 Peck, L.R., Schwartz, D., Strawn, J., Weiss, C. C., Juras, R., de la Rosa, S. M., Greenstein, N., Morris, T., Durham, G., Lloyd, C.Abt Associates. A Meta-Analysis Of 46 Career Pathways Impact Evaluations: Final Report. Chief Evaluation Office, U.S. Department of Labor <a href="https://www.abtassociates.com/insights/publications/report/meta-analysis-of-46-career-pathways-impact-evaluations">https://www.abtassociates.com/insights/publications/report/meta-analysis-of-46-career-pathways-impact-evaluations</a>.

adequately reflects such training options. More detail on program quality can be found in <u>TEGL No. 08-19</u>, which describes requirements states and local areas must implement to approve ETPs, including the placement of RAPs on state ETPs.

States and local areas must regularly review those ETPs, ensuring program providers continue to provide quality programs that lead to an industry recognized post-secondary credential in an in-demand field that will lead to a quality job, while providing for consumer choice. States and local areas should also ensure program providers comply with applicable nondiscrimination requirements.

### Prepare individuals for high-value RAPs, including investing in pre-apprenticeship.

State and Local WDBs should work with apprenticeship providers to ensure RAPs are accessible for all populations, including underserved and underrepresented communities. This includes ensuring that their application process, training materials, and worksites are fully compliant with applicable disability-related requirements, including any that apply to electronic and information technology or physical accessibility. Providers can also scale up pre-apprenticeship programs for individuals interested in pursuing a RAP, which often have high school equivalency or other skill-specific requirements to enter. Quality pre-apprenticeship programs help individuals learn the skills they need to be successful in their chosen occupation, including soft and basic academic skills.

To be considered a quality pre-apprenticeship program, such a program must be connected to a RAP and designed to develop specific skills needed for a would-be apprentice to succeed in an established RAP, which provides high-quality training opportunities for participants. Quality pre-apprenticeship programs also incorporate strategies that increase Registered Apprenticeship opportunities from underrepresented or underserved populations facing significant barriers to employment in the Registered Apprenticeship labor force. For instance, the US Department of Labor Women's Bureau awards grants yearly to many community-based organizations that work to recruit and retain more women into RAPs through training focused on women. The average wage for a RAP completer is \$77,000 per year, and 93.0 percent of completers retain employment.<sup>31</sup> In a study that measured net effects of apprenticeships for participants, it was found that over a career, the estimated earnings of RAP participants were an average of \$300,000 more than similar nonparticipants.<sup>32</sup>

WIOA Title I training funds can be used in the following ways to support RAPs and preapprenticeship programs:

• ITA Funds: Apprentices who are eligible for WIOA can use an ITA to support the related technical/classroom instruction component for eligible apprentices and pre-apprentices if those RAPs are on the state ETP. ITAs can be used to support

<sup>32</sup> Office of Apprenticeship. U.S. Department of Labor.

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Explore Registered Apprenticeship (August 2022), U.S. Department of Labor, <a href="https://www.apprenticeship.gov/sites/default/files/dol-industry-factsheet-apprenticeship101-v10.pdf">https://www.apprenticeship.gov/sites/default/files/dol-industry-factsheet-apprenticeship101-v10.pdf</a>.

https://www.apprenticeship.gov/?utm\_source=dol\_gov\_agencies\_eta\_apprenticeship&utm\_medium=text&utm\_campaign=apprenticeship\_homepage.

- placing participants in registered apprenticeship through pre-apprenticeship training and training services provided under the RAP.
- Contracted Classes for Related Instruction: In certain circumstances (outlined in the OJT section below), a Local WDB may choose to contract with a training provider to train a cohort of apprentices in an in-demand industry sector or occupation. A workforce system may choose to use a contract as a vehicle for training an apprenticeship cohort in the Related Instruction component, provided the apprentices meet the Adult or DW eligibility requirements, per section 134(c)3(G)(ii)(V) of WIOA. More information about contracting can be found in Attachment I.
- Customized Training: State and Local WDBs can create customized training agreements to support RAP sponsors, per the customized training guidance below.

More details on registered apprenticeship and pre-apprenticeship can be found in <u>TEGL No. 13-16</u> and <u>TEN No. 13-12</u>, respectively.

Partner with employers to develop and expand flexible OJT programs. Evaluations have found that on-the-job training has positive impacts on employment and earnings outcomes.<sup>33</sup> Potential participants may prefer OJT if they need an earn-and-learn option and may not be able to attend a classroom program. OJT is a good option for states and local areas looking to expand access for individuals who need income or who are balancing multiple priorities. Successful OJT programs involve coordination with employers offering high quality jobs, training providers, and WDBs to monitor quality and ensure program participants are learning relevant skills for their chosen career path.<sup>34</sup> In successful OJT programs, employers regularly continue to employ and advance those that have completed an OJT program in their organization; employers that regularly end employment of OJT participants should no longer receive OJT funding, per 20 CFR 680.700. Employers receiving publicly funded wage reimbursement in an OJT benefit from the workforce system, and local boards should consider which employers are the best investment. Employers offering higher wages and high-quality jobs with benefits, retention, and career advancement are stronger candidates for successful OJT that build talent pipelines and advance worker mobility.

Local boards can set limits on training length or employer reimbursement levels based on job complexity. Most local boards limit the training to no fewer than 4 weeks and no more than 26 weeks to ensure efficiency and effectiveness of programs. The workforce system should also consider program participant needs when determining program length; some participants will need more program flexibility than others. These length and complexity limitations are to be included in the OJT contract. States may choose to submit a waiver to ETA to increase OJT reimbursement up to 90 percent for small

16

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Kleinman, Liu, Mastri, Reed, Reed, Sattar, & Ziegler (2012). An Effectiveness Assessment and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Registered Apprenticeship in 10 States. Mathematica Policy Research. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> On-the-Job Training (OJT) Toolkit (2020). U.S. Department of Labor, https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/12/01/11/19/On-the-Job-Training-Toolkit.

businesses; states and localities may use this reimbursement as motivation for small businesses in underserved communities to start OJT programs for local residents. For more information on OJT program implementation, see the Department's OJT Toolkit.<sup>35</sup>

### iii. Create Training Opportunities that are Responsive to Local Economic Needs

Leverage Incumbent Worker Training (IWT) as an effective lavoff aversion and retention strategy. In a period of rapid turnover, employers may need to adapt their operations and train employees to build a more resilient workforce. In a survey conducted by SHRM in 2016 with 2,048 respondents, the findings show that the reported cost to hire a new employee is \$4,129 on average, 36 and employers lose important institutional knowledge when employees are laid off or quit before training their replacements. For entry level employees, IWT is a tool to obtain training that participants may need to advance along a career pathway. Further, employers can use IWTs to break up any occupational segregation within their firm and provide employees from one occupation the training necessary to advance to higher-paid positions within the firm, a hallmark of job quality. Though evidence is still in progress for IWT, an evidence scan from Mathematica identified studies that suggest potential benefits for employees and employers. In one study, at-work training hours for incumbent workers were positively correlated with promotion frequency over a two-year period. Another study in California observed positive impacts for employers, including improved firm size, after they launched a state funded IWT program.<sup>37</sup>

State and Local WDBs should align IWT eligibility requirements with local needs. The most effective IWTs are built in partnership with employers and workers. As part of a sector strategy, the development of a career pathway, or as a standalone business service, WDBs can engage with a major local and regional employer and workers to identify why they are seeing turnover, and the skills most valuable for employees to climb the ladder at their organization. Employers and workers can together identify ways for IWT to strengthen the employer's workforce and the workers' employment.

**Develop customized training programs to respond to emerging local economic needs.** Customized training programs give an employer the opportunity to train employees for jobs that are well-defined, guaranteed, and not seasonal. Business should, when possible, contract with external instructors who can provide participants with industry recognized credentials as a component of this training. It is important for the workforce system to prioritize training that leads to portable, industry-recognized credentials which allow for career advancement, rather than simply one-off training for a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>On-the-Job Training (OJT) Toolkit (2020). U.S. Department of Labor, https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/12/01/11/19/On-the-Job-Training-Toolkit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Average Cost-per-Hire for Companies is \$4,129, SHRM Survey Finds (2016), SHRM, <a href="https://ima-net.org/average-cost-per-hire-for-companies-is-4129-shrm-survey-finds/#:~:text=42%20days%20is%20the%20average,new%20Human%20Capital%20Benchmarking%20Report.">https://ima-net.org/average-cost-per-hire-for-companies-is-4129-shrm-survey-finds/#:~:text=42%20days%20is%20the%20average,new%20Human%20Capital%20Benchmarking%20Report.</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Deutsch, Jonah, Allison-Clark, Katherine, Yanez, Armando (June 2021), *The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Research Portfolio: A Research Evidence Scan of Key Strategies Related to WIOA*, Mathematica, <a href="https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/evaluation/pdf/WIOA%20Portfolio%20Research%20Evidence%20Scan.pdf">https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/evaluation/pdf/WIOA%20Portfolio%20Research%20Evidence%20Scan.pdf</a>.

particular job. Still, this training method may be helpful when an employer is trying to hire multiple individuals at once, such as when responding to new infrastructure investments. More information highlighting evidence for this method can be found in Attachment V.

An employer or group of employers in a local area identify an occupation(s) for which they need trained employees. The employer can then work with the local WDB to develop a training program with a contractor that will give potential employees the skills they need to be successful for that particular occupation. For customized training programs to be effective, the employer must meet the requirements listed above in the IWT section. New positions must not lead to the displacement of or wage reduction for incumbent workers in the business. This training type benefits participants that are looking for training that leads to a guaranteed job with a specific employer.

Under customized training, the employer pays a significant portion of the cost of training, as determined by the local board, considering the size of the employer and other factors. If the customized training involves an employer located in multiple local areas across the state, the Governor decides appropriate factors in determining how much the employer should pay.

**Reporting Training Expenditures.** All Adult, DW, and Youth program grantees must report expenditures used for training on the Training Expenditures line in the ETA-9130 reporting form. ETA's review of training expenditures shows that numerous states are reporting zeros for training expenditures on the ETA-9130. In preliminary expenditure reporting for Program Year 2021, 23 states did not report total training expenditures for their Adult programs, and 26 states did not report total training expenditures for their DW programs. In Program Year 2020, 14 states did not report total training expenditures for their Adult programs, and 15 states did not report total training expenditures for their DW programs.<sup>38</sup>

All types of training should be accounted for, including OJT; Skill Upgrading; Entrepreneurial Training; Adult Basic Education or English as a Second Language in conjunction with other training; Customized Training; Occupational Skills Training; Prerequisite Training; Registered Apprenticeship; other Non-Occupational Skills Training; and Job Readiness Training in Conjunction with Other Training. Please note, Transitional Jobs are not considered training for reporting purposes. All tuition costs, materials, and supportive services to enable participation in training must be included. For more information, see <u>Training and Employment Notice (TEN) 19-22, Change 1</u>, TEGL 16-22, and the ETA Financial Reporting website.<sup>39</sup>

As a component of WIOA, the workforce system is required to continually evaluate the success of its programs, per 20 CFR 682.220. The workforce system should take this

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Program Year 2021 preliminary expenditure data (1 September 2022). U.S. Department of Labor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Financial Reporting.(1 September 2022), U.S. Department of Labor, https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/grants/management/reporting.

opportunity to evaluate whether the strategies they employ to support underserved populations lead not only to providing more equitable services but also more equitable outcomes for participants. Likewise, the Department reviews evaluations to determine the effectiveness of workforce training programs and strategies. Some of the evaluations reviewed as a component of this TEGL are included in Attachment V. The Department encourages states to perform their own evaluations. Additionally, Governors are required to monitor WIOA Title I State programs to ensure nondiscrimination and equal opportunity, per 29 CFR 38.51.

- **5.** <u>Inquiries.</u> For further information, please reach out to the appropriate ETA Regional Office. For information about nondiscrimination requirements, please contact the Department's Civil Rights Center.
- **6. References.** See Attachment VI.

#### 7. Attachments.

- Attachment I: Frequently asked questions regarding non-ETP contract training
- Attachment II: Data on Participation and Performance by Demographic Group
- Attachment III: In-Demand Occupations vs Training Programs by Race and Sex
- Attachment IV: Resources for a Career Counseling, Credentialling, and DEIA Training Toolkit
- Attachment V: Evidence of Effective Training Strategies and Approaches
- Attachment VI: References

### Frequently asked questions regarding non-ETP contract training

The Department fielded several questions in the past year from states and local areas that are working to diversify their training portfolios. This attachment seeks to answer some of the more common questions regarding training performance requirements and procedures.

### • Are all training providers subject to the ETP eligibility and data reporting requirements at WIOA Section 122(a)-(f)?

Training providers are typically subject to the ETP eligibility and data reporting requirements; however, WIOA allows exceptions for certain training options based on their record of success and/or an inherent requirement for employers to hire participants. These work-based learning strategies include OJT, IWT, RAPs, and customized training. Additionally, WIOA allows local areas to pursue any allowable training activity through direct contracting with providers under certain circumstances. In these cases, providers are not responsible for satisfying ETP eligibility and performance requirements, although they must comply with nondiscrimination requirements. These training options are designed to meet specific employer needs and should be considered when available training programs and/or curricula do not meet the specific training requirements of employers.

As established in 20 CFR 680.530 and TEGL No. 08-19, the Governor may establish performance criteria for OJT, IWT, customized training, and contracted training that are otherwise exempt from the Section 122(a) through (f) eligibility/performance requirements. Local workforce development boards must collect this information, if required, and determine whether the providers meet the Governor's performance criteria before entering into contracts with training providers that meet these criteria. AJC operators must disseminate information identifying providers and programs that have met the Governor's performance criteria, along with the relevant performance information about them, through the AJC delivery system.

## • May states establish performance or general criteria for non-ITA-based training, or are criteria only determined by the local workforce development boards?

States may not outright prohibit or otherwise limit a local area's ability to use non-ITA-based training. However, the state may establish policy that is not contradictory to statute, regulation, and guidance. Local WDBs therefore, may also set policies, but those policies must not conflict with state policy or WIOA. <u>TEGL No. 08-19</u> specifically states that the Governor may include performance criteria that must be met and reported when contracting for training services.

• Under 20 CFR 680.320, one of the acceptable reasons for local workforce development boards to execute contracts directly with training providers is when it determines that there are an insufficient number of training providers within the local area. How does a local workforce development board determine that there are an inadequate number of providers?

WIOA and its regulations do not address the process by which the local WDB determines that the number of training providers in the local area is insufficient. The state and/or local area may develop such criteria. As a reminder, the local plan must describe how contracts for training services will be procured.

One possible measure of "insufficiency" could be a situation when there is no ETP program available for an identified (either state or local) in-demand occupation or industry and the only way to procure the training would be through contracting directly with a provider. Another possible measure of "insufficiency" could be the lack of training providers with experience working with individuals with underserved populations, including individuals with disabilities, requiring contracting directly with a provider who provides customized employment services for youth and adults with disabilities who require such service in order to become employed in competitive, integrated employment. It is important to understand, however, that contracting with such providers cannot and must not replace serving individuals with disabilities in programs that are not disability-specific, if a particular individual with a disability is qualified for such a program and wishes to participate in it. *See* 29 CFR 38.12(c), (d). The non-disability-specific program retains the legal obligations to provide physically accessible facilities (where required), equally effective communications, and reasonable accommodations / modifications to participants with disabilities.

# • Are the processes used in determining an insufficient number of training providers described in the Local Plan annually or as modification to the plan?

Any local area that wishes to contract with providers because there are an inadequate number of providers in the local area must provide notice of its intention to do so for a 30-day public comment period. The local WDB board may elect to do this concurrently with, or as a part of, the public comment period required of the local plan.

The state does not need to update the process for determining insufficiency of training providers unless that process changes. However, consistent with the requirements at 20 CFR 679.530, the Governor could conceivably require local areas to review specific local policies on a more frequent basis. ETA encourages states and local workforce development areas to reexamine their policies on a regular basis for the purposes of continuous improvement.

### • Is the Local Plan comment period an additional period to the 30-day comment period for interested providers?

The regulation at 20 CFR 680.320 states that one of the circumstances in which mechanisms other than an ITA may be used to provide training services includes when the Local WDB determines there are an insufficient number of ETPs in the local area. The regulation also

states, "The determination process must include a public comment period for interested providers of at least 30 days and be described in the local plan." Because the process must be described in the local plan, the minimum 30-day comment period under 680.320(a)(2) could be done either concurrently with the local plan comment period described in 20 CFR 679.550(b) or it may be done separately, so long as the local plan describes the determination process. The 30-day comment period under 680.320(a)(2) would be conducted consistent with the process described in the local plan.

### How does the Local WDB determine program effectiveness?

WIOA and its programmatic regulations do not prescribe the criteria a local WDB must use for determining program effectiveness for contract-based training. The local WDB must have standard criteria in place to determine demonstrated effectiveness of a given training program, and may include in the criteria, the list provided in 20 CFR 680.320(a)(3).

• Can the state select the criteria from above that must be used? Can the state require additional criteria outside of what is stated above?

The WIOA programmatic regulations reserve this process for the local area, but the state may require or encourage the use of certain criteria, including criteria that are not listed in 20 CFR 680.320(a)(3).

• In terms of non-ITA training contracts and exceptions, what is the definition of a provider of training services? Is the term defined differently from an Eligible Training Provider?

A training provider, as defined under WIOA, may be a local or national community-based organization or intermediary; a community college; a university; a joint-labor management organization; an Apprenticeship provider; a Title II provider; or another public or private provider of training services. These non-ITA contractors are not held to the same requirements as ETPs (with the exception of the requirement to comply with the WIOA nondiscrimination regulations at 29 CFR part 38) but should still provide quality training services.

#### **Attachment II**

### **Data on Participation and Performance by Demographic Group**<sup>40</sup>

The below analysis uses ETA performance data to show outcomes for different population groups in the Adult and Dislocated Worker programs, segmented by whether or not the participant completed training. Across the board, exiters that completed training had higher average median earnings and employment rate than those who did not complete training.

Table 1: PY 2018-2020 Average for Adult WIOA by Sex

|     | Population<br>Group | Measure  | All Exiters | Exiters Enrolled in Training That Did Not Complete Training | Exiters<br>That<br>Completed<br>Training |
|-----|---------------------|--|-------------|---|--|
|     |                     | % of Total Exiters                                   | 100.0%      | 5.4%  | 19.5%                                    |
|     |                     | Training Rate  | 24.9%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                   |
| All | All                 | Employment Rate (ER) Q2                              | 70.4%       | 71.7%   | 82.8%                                    |
| ⋖   |                     | ER Q4  | 69.6%       | 71.3%   | 81.1%                                    |
|     |                     | Median Quarterly<br>Earnings Q2<br>(Median Earnings) | \$6,429     | \$5,640   | \$7,765                                  |
|     |                     | % of Total Exiters                                   | 48.6%       | 2.5%  | 9.1%                                     |
|     |                     | Training Rate  | 23.8%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                   |
|     | Male                | ER Q2  | 69.4%       | 69.7%   | 80.7%                                    |
|     |                     | ER Q4  | 68.1%       | 69.2%   | 78.5%                                    |
| Sex |                     | Median Earnings                                      | \$7,175     | \$6,364   | \$8,611                                  |
|     |                     | % of Total Exiters                                   | 50.9%       | 2.9%  | 10.3%                                    |
|     |                     | Training Rate  | 25.9%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                   |
|     | Female              | ER Q2  | 71.4%       | 73.4%   | 84.8%                                    |
|     |                     | ER Q4  | 71.1%       | 73.1%   | 83.4%                                    |
|     |                     | Median Earnings                                      | \$5,820     | \$5,171   | \$7,112                                  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> WIOA Performance Data, PY 2018, PY 2019, PY 2020, U.S. Department of Labor, <a href="https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/performance/results">https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/performance/results</a>.

Table 2: PY 2018-2020 Average for Adult WIOA by Ethnicity and Race

|           | Population      | Magazza             | All Evitore | Exiters Enrolled in Training That Did Not Complete | Exiters That Completed |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|--|------------------------|
|           | Group           | Measure             | All Exiters | Training 5.4%                                      | Training               |
|           |                 | % of Total Exiters  | 24.9%       | 100.0%   | 19.5%<br>100.0%        |
| All       | All             | Training Rate ER Q2 | 70.4%       | 71.7%  | 82.8%                  |
| <         | All             | ER Q2<br>ER Q4      | 69.6%       | 71.7%  | 81.1%                  |
|           |                 | Median Earnings     | \$6,429     | \$5,640  | \$7,765                |
|           |                 | % of Total Exiters  | 16.5%       | 0.9%   | 3.4%                   |
|           |                 | Training Rate       | 26.2%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                 |
|           | Hispanic        | ER Q2               | 71.1%       | 70.4%  | 82.2%                  |
|           | This partie     | ER Q4               | 70.2%       | 69.7%  | 80.4%                  |
| Ethnicity |                 | Median Earnings     | \$6,359     | \$5,841  | \$7,759                |
| hni       |                 | % of Total Exiters  | 71.4%       | 4.1%   | 14.5%                  |
| 百         | Non-Hispanic    | Training Rate       | 26.0%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                 |
|           |                 | ER Q2               | 71.0%       | 72.3%  | 83.3%                  |
|           |                 | ER Q4               | 70.1%       | 71.8%  | 81.5%                  |
|           |                 | Median Earnings     | \$6,470     | \$5,536  | \$7,766                |
|           |                 | % of Total Exiters  | 3.1%        | 0.2%   | 0.5%                   |
|           | American        | Training Rate       | 21.2%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                 |
|           | Indian / Alaska | ER Q2               | 66.9%       | 66.9%  | 79.4%                  |
|           | Native          | ER Q4               | 65.6%       | 65.7%  | 77.2%                  |
|           |                 | Median Earnings     | \$5,755     | \$5,056  | \$7,284                |
|           |                 | % of Total Exiters  | 3.7%        | 0.1%   | 0.6%                   |
|           |                 | Training Rate       | 19.6%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                 |
|           | Asian           | ER Q2               | 67.7%       | 71.3%  | 78.1%                  |
|           |                 | ER Q4               | 67.7%       | 66.3%  | 77.2%                  |
| Race      |                 | Median Earnings     | \$7,628     | \$6,923  | \$8,564                |
| N N       |                 | % of Total Exiters  | 27.3%       | 2.0%   | 6.6%                   |
|           | Black / African | Training Rate       | 31.7%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                 |
|           | American        | ER Q2               | 71.9%       | 72.8%  | 81.4%                  |
|           |                 | ER Q4               | 70.6%       | 72.5%  | 79.4%                  |
|           |                 | Median Earnings     | \$5,513     | \$5,110  | \$6,918                |
|           | Native          | % of Total Exiters  | 0.9%        | 0.0%   | 0.1%                   |
|           | Hawaiian /      | Training Rate       | 18.2%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                 |
|           | Other Pacific   | ER Q2               | 67.7%       | 65.6%  | 79.3%                  |
|           | Islander        | ER Q4               | 67.4%       | 65.4%  | 77.8%                  |
|           |                 | Median Earnings     | \$6,359     | \$5,605  | \$7,775                |

| Population<br>Group | Measure            | All Exiters | Exiters Enrolled in Training That Did Not Complete Training | Exiters That<br>Completed<br>Training |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------------|
|                     | % of Total Exiters | 54.0%       | 2.6%  | 9.7%                                  |
|                     | Training Rate      | 22.7%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
| White               | ER Q2              | 70.4%       | 71.3%   | 84.7%                                 |
|                     | ER Q4              | 69.6%       | 70.8%   | 83.0%                                 |
|                     | Median Earnings    | \$6,823     | \$5,846   | \$8,287                               |
|                     | % of Total Exiters | 3.1%        | 0.2%  | 0.5%                                  |
| More than One Race  | Training Rate      | 20.5%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
|                     | ER Q2              | 67.1%       | 66.1%   | 79.0%                                 |
| Nace                | ER Q4              | 66.0%       | 64.2%   | 78.1%                                 |
|                     | Median Earnings    | \$5,467     | \$4,554   | \$7,149                               |

Table 3: PY 2018-2020 Average for Adult WIOA by Disability Status

|      | Population<br>Group    | Measure            | All Exiters | Exiters Enrolled in Training That Did Not Complete Training | Exiters That<br>Completed<br>Training |
|------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------------|
|      |                        | % of Total Exiters | 100.0%      | 5.4%  | 19.5%                                 |
|      |                        | Training Rate      | 24.9%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
| All  | All                    | ER Q2              | 70.4%       | 71.7%   | 82.8%                                 |
|      |                        | ER Q4              | 69.6%       | 71.3%   | 81.1%                                 |
|      |                        | Median Earnings    | \$6,429     | \$5,640   | \$7,765                               |
|      |                        | % of Total Exiters | 7.5%        | 0.3%  | 1.0%                                  |
|      | Individuals            | Training Rate      | 17.8%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
| TS   | with a                 | ER Q2              | 54.6%       | 55.4%   | 70.2%                                 |
| tatı | Reported<br>Disability | ER Q4              | 52.9%       | 54.2%   | 68.7%                                 |
| S S  |                        | Median Earnings    | \$4,794     | \$4,760   | \$6,744                               |
|      |                        | % of Total Exiters | 73.1%       | 4.8%  | 17.3%                                 |
| ig w | Individuals            | Training Rate      | 30.2%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
|      | without a              | ER Q2              | 72.4%       | 73.2%   | 83.8%                                 |
|      | Reported<br>Disability | ER Q4              | 71.4%       | 72.7%   | 82.0%                                 |
|      | Disaointy              | Median Earnings    | \$6,581     | \$5,702   | \$7,838                               |

Table 4: PY 2018-2020 Average for Dislocated Worker WIOA by Sex

|     | Population<br>Group | Measure            | All Exiters | Exiters Enrolled in Training, Did Not Complete Training | Exiters That<br>Completed<br>Training |
|-----|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------------|
|     |                     | % of Total Exiters | 100.0%      | 3.0%  | 11.7%                                 |
|     |                     | Training Rate      | 14.7%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
| All | All                 | ER Q2              | 67.6%       | 74.5%   | 80.9%                                 |
|     |                     | ER Q4              | 69.3%       | 75.3%   | 80.6%                                 |
|     |                     | Median Earnings    | \$7,762     | \$8,260   | \$9,379                               |
|     |                     | % of Total Exiters | 49.9%       | 1.6%  | 6.5%                                  |
|     |                     | Training Rate      | 16.2%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
|     | Male                | ER Q2              | 67.6%       | 75.1%   | 81.2%                                 |
|     |                     | ER Q4              | 68.9%       | 75.9%   | 80.8%                                 |
| Sex |                     | Median Earnings    | \$8,717     | \$9,338   | \$10,501                              |
|     |                     | % of Total Exiters | 49.8%       | 1.4%  | 5.1%                                  |
|     |                     | Training Rate      | 13.1%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
|     | Female              | ER Q2              | 67.6%       | 73.9%   | 80.5%                                 |
|     |                     | ER Q4              | 69.7%       | 74.6%   | 80.3%                                 |
|     |                     | Median Earnings    | \$6,944     | \$7,292   | \$8,139                               |

Table 5: PY 2018-2020 Average for Dislocated Worker WIOA by Ethnicity and Race

|           | Population<br>Group         | Measure            | All Exiters | Exiters Enrolled<br>in Training, Did<br>Not Complete<br>Training | Exiters<br>That<br>Completed<br>Training |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--|--|
|           |                             | % of Total Exiters | 100.0%      | 3.0%   | 11.7%                                    |
|           |                             | Training Rate      | 14.7%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                                   |
| y.        | Hispanic                    | ER Q2              | 67.6%       | 74.5%  | 80.9%                                    |
|           | _                           | ER Q4              | 69.3%       | 75.3%  | 80.6%                                    |
| Ethnicity |                             | Median Earnings    | \$7,762     | \$8,260  | \$9,379                                  |
| thn       |                             | % of Total Exiters | 18.5%       | 0.6%   | 2.2%                                     |
| 田         |                             | Training Rate      | 15.1%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                                   |
|           | Non-Hispanic                | ER Q2              | 66.9%       | 73.0%  | 80.1%                                    |
|           |                             | ER Q4              | 69.2%       | 73.9%  | 79.8%                                    |
|           |                             | Median Earnings    | \$7,236     | \$7,998  | \$8,902                                  |
|           |                             | % of Total Exiters | 71.6%       | 2.1%   | 8.3%                                     |
|           | American                    | Training Rate      | 14.5%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                                   |
|           | Indian / Alaska             | ER Q2              | 68.2%       | 75.6%  | 81.7%                                    |
|           | Native                      | ER Q4              | 69.8%       | 76.1%  | 81.3%                                    |
|           |                             | Median Earnings    | \$7,892     | \$8,329  | \$9,519                                  |
|           |                             | % of Total Exiters | 2.4%        | 0.1%   | 0.2%                                     |
|           |                             | Training Rate      | 11.2%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                                   |
|           | Asian                       | ER Q2              | 67.3%       | 69.1%  | 77.5%                                    |
|           |                             | ER Q4              | 68.0%       | 68.0%  | 76.8%                                    |
|           |                             | Median Earnings    | \$6,608     | \$7,535  | \$8,475                                  |
|           | Black / African<br>American | % of Total Exiters | 5.1%        | 0.1%   | 0.6%                                     |
| 4)        |                             | Training Rate      | 13.9%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                                   |
| Race      |                             | ER Q2              | 62.5%       | 71.2%  | 72.8%                                    |
|           | American                    | ER Q4              | 65.9%       | 73.5%  | 72.9%                                    |
|           |                             | Median Earnings    | \$10,340    | \$12,019   | \$12,099                                 |
|           |                             | % of Total Exiters | 20.9%       | 0.7%   | 2.6%                                     |
|           | Native                      | Training Rate      | 15.7%       | 100.0%   | 100.0%                                   |
|           | Hawaiian /<br>Other Pacific | ER Q2              | 67.8%       | 75.4%  | 80.7%                                    |
|           | Islander                    | ER Q4              | 70.5%       | 75.9%  | 80.4%                                    |
|           | Islander                    | Median Earnings    | \$6,635     | \$7,312  | \$8,471                                  |
|           |                             | % of Total Exiters | 1.0%        | 0.0%   | 0.1%                                     |
|           |                             | Training Rate      | 9.3%        | 100.0%   | 100.0%                                   |
|           | White                       | ER Q2              | 66.6%       | 73.4%  | 79.2%                                    |
|           |                             | ER Q4              | 68.0%       | 69.1%  | 78.4%                                    |
|           |                             | Median Earnings    | \$7,104     | \$7,591  | \$9,324                                  |

|  |                       |                    |             | Exiters Enrolled in Training, Did | Exiters<br>That       |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
|  | Population<br>Group   | Measure            | All Exiters | Not Complete<br>Training          | Completed<br>Training |
|  | More than One<br>Race | % of Total Exiters | 58.8%       | 1.7%                              | 6.8%                  |
|  |                       | Training Rate      | 14.3%       | 100.0%                            | 100.0%                |
|  |                       | ER Q2              | 68.5%       | 74.7%                             | 82.3%                 |
|  |                       | ER Q4              | 69.5%       | 75.5%                             | 81.9%                 |
|  |                       | Median Earnings    | \$8,143     | \$8,526                           | \$9,664               |

Table 6: PY 2018-2020 Average for Dislocated Worker WIOA by Disability Status

|                   | Population<br>Group    | Measure            | All Exiters | Exiters Enrolled in Training That Did Not Complete Training | Exiters That<br>Completed<br>Training |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------------|
|                   |                        | % of Total Exiters | 100.0%      | 5.4%  | 19.5%                                 |
|                   |                        | Training Rate      | 24.9%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
| All               | All                    | ER Q2              | 70.4%       | 71.7%   | 82.8%                                 |
|                   |                        | ER Q4              | 69.6%       | 71.3%   | 81.1%                                 |
|                   |                        | Median Earnings    | \$6,429     | \$5,640   | \$7,765                               |
|                   |                        | % of Total Exiters | 7.5%        | 0.3%  | 1.0%                                  |
|                   | Individuals            | Training Rate      | 17.8%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
| ns                | with a Reported        | ER Q2              | 54.6%       | 55.4%   | 70.2%                                 |
| tatı              | Disability             | ER Q4              | 52.9%       | 54.2%   | 68.7%                                 |
| S                 |                        | Median Earnings    | \$4,794     | \$4,760   | \$6,744                               |
| Disability Status |                        | % of Total Exiters | 73.1%       | 4.8%  | 17.3%                                 |
| isat              | Individuals            | Training Rate      | 30.2%       | 100.0%  | 100.0%                                |
| D                 | without a              | ER Q2              | 72.4%       | 73.2%   | 83.8%                                 |
|                   | Reported<br>Disability | ER Q4              | 71.4%       | 72.7%   | 82.0%                                 |
|                   | Disability             | Median Earnings    | \$6,581     | \$5,702   | \$7,838                               |

Training rate of all above tables indicates the percentage of the total exiters that belongs to that demographic group.

### **In-Demand Occupations vs Training Programs by Race and Sex**

The information provided below is based on an examination of national labor market information (LMI) from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. States and local areas should use local LMI to complete a similar analysis to determine where increased investment in training will benefit industry and workers.

Table 1: Top 10 Growth Occupations Requiring a Postsecondary Non-Degree Award; Associates Degree Award; or Some College, No Degree<sup>41</sup>

| Occupation   | Employment change,<br>2021-31 (In<br>Thousands) | Typical education needed for entry | Typical on-the-job<br>training needed to<br>attain competency in<br>the occupation |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Medical Assistants                                   | 117.8   | Postsecondary<br>nondegree award   | None   |
| Heavy and Tractor-Trailer<br>Truck Drivers           | 90.9  | Postsecondary<br>nondegree award   | Short-term on-the-job training   |
| Preschool Teachers, Except<br>Special Education      | 72.9  | Associate's degree                 | None   |
| Nursing Assistants                                   | 62.7  | Postsecondary<br>nondegree award   | None   |
| Hairdressers, Hairstylists, and<br>Cosmetologists    | 60.8  | Postsecondary<br>nondegree award   | None   |
| Paralegals and Legal<br>Assistants                   | 49.9  | Associate's degree                 | None   |
| Computer User Support<br>Specialists                 | 42.7  | Some college, no degree            | Moderate-term on-the-job training  |
| Licensed Practical and<br>Licensed Vocational Nurses | 41.3  | Postsecondary<br>nondegree award   | None   |
| Manicurists and Pedicurists                          | 36.6  | Postsecondary<br>nondegree award   | None   |
| Dental Assistants                                    | 30.1  | Postsecondary nondegree award      | None   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Employment by detailed occupation, 2021 and projected 2031, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, (8 September 2022), https://www.bls.gov/emp/tables/emp-by-detailed-occupation.htm.

 
 Table 2: Top 10 Occupation Training Programs for WIOA Dislocated Worker Program
 Participants by Race and Sex<sup>42</sup>

| Black Female                 | Hispanic Female       |                                    |                               | Hispanic Male                |                                |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| DW                           | DW                    | White Female DW                    | Black Male DW                 | DW                           | White Male DW                  |
| Heavy and<br>Tractor-Trailer | Medical               |                                    | Heavy and                     | Heavy and<br>Tractor-Trailer | Heavy and<br>Tractor-Trailer   |
| Truck Drivers                | Assistants            | Medical Assistants                 | Tractor-Trailer Truck Drivers | Truck Drivers                | Truck Drivers                  |
| Truck Drivers                | Assistants            | Wedical Assistants                 | Truck Drivers                 | Truck Drivers                | Truck Drivers                  |
|                              | Educational           |                                    | Computer and                  |                              | Computer and                   |
|                              | Instruction and       |                                    | Information                   | Welders, Cutters,            | Information                    |
| Nursing                      | Library Workers,      | Medical Records                    | Systems                       | Solderers, and               | Systems                        |
| Assistants                   | All Other             | Specialists                        | Managers                      | Brazers                      | Managers                       |
|                              |                       |                                    | Heating, Air                  | Heating, Air                 |                                |
|                              |                       |                                    | Conditioning, and             | Conditioning, and            |                                |
|                              |                       |                                    | Refrigeration                 | Refrigeration                | Welders, Cutters,              |
| Medical                      | Medical Records       |                                    | Mechanics and                 | Mechanics and                | Solderers, and                 |
| Assistants                   | Specialists           | Nursing Assistants                 | Installers                    | Installers                   | Brazers                        |
|                              |                       | _                                  |                               |                              | TT                             |
|                              |                       |                                    | Natara da an d                |                              | Heating, Air                   |
| M-4:1                        |                       | Da alda anima                      | Network and                   |                              | Conditioning, and              |
| Medical<br>Records           | N                     | Bookkeeping,<br>Accounting, and    | Computer                      |                              | Refrigeration<br>Mechanics and |
| Specialists                  | Nursing<br>Assistants | Accounting, and<br>Auditing Clerks | Systems<br>Administrators     | Sagurity Guarda              | Installers                     |
| Licensed                     | Assistants            | Auditing Cierks                    | Administrators                | Security Guards              | Ilistallers                    |
| Practical and                |                       |                                    |                               | Network and                  |                                |
| Licensed                     |                       |                                    | Computer User                 | Computer                     | Project                        |
| Vocational                   | Office Clerks,        |                                    | Support                       | Systems                      | Management                     |
| Nurses                       | General               | Registered Nurses                  | Specialists                   | Administrators               | Specialists                    |
| ruises                       | General               | registered realises                | Бреснины                      | 7 Idiiiiiisti uto13          | Specialists                    |
| Computer and                 |                       |                                    |                               | Computer and                 | Network and                    |
| Information                  | Bookkeeping,          |                                    | Project                       | Information                  | Computer                       |
| Systems                      | Accounting, and       | Heavy and Tractor-                 | Management                    | Systems                      | Systems                        |
| Managers                     | Auditing Clerks       | Trailer Truck Drivers              | Specialists                   | Managers                     | Administrators                 |
|                              | _                     |                                    |                               |                              |                                |
| Project                      | Heavy and             | Medical Secretaries                |                               |                              |                                |
| Management                   | Tractor-Trailer       | and Administrative                 | Managers, All                 |                              | Managers, All                  |
| Specialists                  | Truck Drivers         | Assistants                         | Other                         | Electricians                 | Other                          |
| -                            |                       |                                    |                               |                              |                                |
|                              | Medical               | I : 1D (* 1                        | W-11 C "                      | Duning                       | C                              |
| M A 11                       | Secretaries and       | Licensed Practical                 | Welders, Cutters,             | Project                      | Computer User                  |
| Managers, All                | Administrative        | and Licensed                       | Solderers, and                | Management                   | Support<br>Specialists         |
| Other                        | Assistants            | Vocational Nurses                  | Brazers                       | Specialists                  | Specialists                    |
|                              |                       |                                    |                               |                              |                                |
| Registered                   | Customer Service      | Office Clerks,                     | Information                   | Managers, All                |                                |
| Nurses                       | Representatives       | General                            | Security Analysts             | Other                        | Animal Scientists              |
|                              | Licensed              |                                    |                               |                              |                                |
| Medical                      | Practical and         | Executive Secretaries              |                               |                              |                                |
| Secretaries and              | Licensed              | and Executive                      |                               | Computer User                |                                |
| Administrative               | Vocational            | Administrative                     |                               | Support                      | Procurement                    |
| Assistants                   | Nurses                | Assistants                         | Security Guards               | Specialists                  | Clerks                         |

<sup>\*</sup>Highlighted fields indicate a growth occupation, as defined in Table 1\*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Training Data (2022). U.S. Department of Labor.

Table 3: Top 10 Occupation Training Programs for WIOA Adult Program Participants by Race and Sex<sup>43</sup>

| Black Female        | Hispanic Female      | White Female           |                                | Hispanic Male       | White Male                 |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Adult               | Adult                | Adult                  | Black Male Adult               | Adult               | Adult                      |
|                     |                      | Heavy and              | Bookkeeping,                   | Bookkeeping,        | Bookkeeping,               |
| Nursing             | Nursing              | Tractor-Trailer        | Accounting, and                | Accounting, and     | Accounting, and            |
| Assistants          | Assistants           | Truck Drivers          | Auditing Clerks                | Auditing Clerks     | Auditing Clerks            |
| rissistants         | 1 issistants         | TIUCK DIIVCIS          | raditing Ciciks                | Computer            | rudning Ciciks             |
| Medical             | Medical Records      | Medical                | Database                       | Network Support     | Database                   |
| Assistants          | Specialists          | Assistants             | Administrators                 | Specialists         | Administrators             |
|                     | Heavy and            |                        |                                |                     |                            |
| Medical Records     | Tractor-Trailer      | Nursing                | Computer Network               | Database            | Skincare                   |
| Specialists         | Truck Drivers        | Assistants             | Support Specialists            | Administrators      | Specialists                |
| Heavy and           |                      |                        | Industrial                     | Industrial          | Industrial                 |
| Tractor-Trailer     | Medical              | Medical Records        | Production                     | Production          | Production                 |
| Truck Drivers       | Assistants           | Specialists            | Managers                       | Managers            | Managers                   |
|                     |                      |                        | Property, Real                 |                     |                            |
|                     |                      |                        | Estate, and                    |                     |                            |
| Bookkeeping,        | Bookkeeping,         | Bookkeeping,           | Community                      |                     | Heavy and                  |
| Accounting, and     | Accounting, and      | Accounting, and        | Association                    | Skincare            | Tractor-Trailer            |
| Auditing Clerks     | Auditing Clerks      | Auditing Clerks        | Managers                       | Specialists         | Truck Drivers              |
|                     | Medical              | Medical                |                                | Aircraft Structure, | Network and                |
| Human               | Secretaries and      | Secretaries and        | Education                      | Surfaces, Rigging,  | Computer                   |
| Resources           | Administrative       | Administrative         | Administrators, All            | and Systems         | Systems                    |
| Specialists         | Assistants           | Assistants             | Other                          | Assemblers          | Administrators             |
| Licensed            |                      | Licensed               |                                |                     |                            |
| Practical and       |                      | Practical and          |                                |                     |                            |
| Licensed            | Clinical and         | Licensed               | Network and                    | Heavy and           | Assemblers and             |
| Vocational          | Counseling           | Vocational             | Computer Systems               | Tractor-Trailer     | Fabricators, All           |
| Nurses              | Psychologists        | Nurses                 | Administrators                 | Truck Drivers       | Other                      |
| Medical             |                      |                        |                                |                     |                            |
| Secretaries and     | Human                | Human                  |                                |                     |                            |
| Administrative      | Resources            | Resources              | Human Resources                | Physical Therapist  | Manicurists and            |
| Assistants          | Specialists          | Specialists            | Managers                       | Assistants          | Pedicurists                |
|                     | Licensed             |                        |                                |                     |                            |
| Computer and        | Practical and        | C II                   |                                |                     | 7D                         |
| Information         | Licensed             | Computer User          |                                |                     | Training and               |
| Systems<br>Managers | Vocational<br>Nurses | Support<br>Specialists | Dogistored Nurses              | Nursing Assistants  | Development<br>Specialists |
| Managers            | 1101505              | Specialists            | Registered Nurses Janitors and | Property, Real      | Specialists                |
|                     |                      |                        | Cleaners, Except               | Estate, and         |                            |
| Computer            | Project              | Project                | Maids and                      | Community           | Loan                       |
| Network Support     | Management           | Management             | Housekeeping                   | Association         | Interviewers and           |
| Specialists         | Specialists          | Specialists            | Cleaners                       | Managers            | Clerks                     |
| Specialists         | <u> </u>             | Specialists            | Cleaners                       |                     | CICIKS                     |

<sup>\*</sup>Highlighted fields indicate a growth occupation, as defined in Table 1\*

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Training Data (2022), U.S. Department of Labor.

### Resources for a Career Counseling, Credentialling, and DEIA Training Toolkit

The below resources and training modules can be used to help AJC staff to provide quality career counseling and training equitably across all populations.

### Career Guidance Resources Available on CareerOneStop.org

- Career Advisor Portal. <a href="https://www.careeronestop.org/ResourcesFor/CareerAdvisor/career-advisor.aspx">https://www.careeronestop.org/ResourcesFor/CareerAdvisor/career-advisor.aspx</a>
- Certification Finder. <a href="https://www.careeronestop.org/Toolkit/Training/find-certifications.aspx">https://www.careeronestop.org/Toolkit/Training/find-certifications.aspx</a>
- License Finder. <a href="https://www.careeronestop.org/Toolkit/Training/find-licenses.aspx">https://www.careeronestop.org/Toolkit/Training/find-licenses.aspx</a>
- Local Training Finder. <a href="https://www.careeronestop.org/Toolkit/Training/find-local-training.aspx">https://www.careeronestop.org/Toolkit/Training/find-local-training.aspx</a>

All of the above CareerOneStop.org tools are integrated into the occupational profiles in MyNextMove (<a href="https://www.mynextmove.org/">https://www.mynextmove.org/</a>) a tool individuals can use to find career and training opportunities. The above tools are also included in O\*NET Online (<a href="https://www.onetonline.org/">https://www.onetonline.org/</a>), which individuals can use to explore occupational information.

#### **Career Counseling and Equity Resources**

- Clawson, Stacey. (21 April 2022). Designing with Equity in Mind: An Action Toolkit. Jobs for the Future. <a href="https://www.jff.org/resources/Designing-with-equity-in-mind-an-action-toolkit-for-cbe/">https://www.jff.org/resources/Designing-with-equity-in-mind-an-action-toolkit-for-cbe/</a>.
- Employment Coaching During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Lessons Learned. (3 June 2022).
   U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
   <a href="https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/report/employment-coaching-during-covid-19-pandemic-lessons-learned">https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/report/employment-coaching-during-covid-19-pandemic-lessons-learned</a>.
- Employment Coaching: What Do Participants Say? (29 October 2021).\_U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. <a href="https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/report/employment-coaching-what-do-participants-say">https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/report/employment-coaching-what-do-participants-say</a>.
- Evaluation of Employment Coaching for Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) and Related Populations & Long-Term Follow-Up Study. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. <a href="https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/project/evaluation-employment-coaching-tanf-and-related-populations-2016-2021">https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/project/evaluation-employment-coaching-tanf-and-related-populations-2016-2021</a>.
- *Helping Students Select Best Bets.* (December 2013). Jobs for the Future. <a href="https://jfforg-prod-new.s3.amazonaws.com/media/documents/CTC\_onepager\_COMMUNITYCOLLEGES\_122\_713.pdf">https://jfforg-prod-new.s3.amazonaws.com/media/documents/CTC\_onepager\_COMMUNITYCOLLEGES\_122\_713.pdf</a>.
- Henry-Nickie, Makada. (June 2021). Race Equity in Workforce Development: A Survey of Attitudes. National Association of Workforce Boards. <a href="https://irp.cdn-website.com/dc0a626e/files/uploaded/NAWB\_Race\_Equity\_Survey-060821%20%28002%29.pdf">https://irp.cdn-website.com/dc0a626e/files/uploaded/NAWB\_Race\_Equity\_Survey-060821%20%28002%29.pdf</a>.
- Johnson, Melissa, et al. (13 September 2019). *The Roadmap for Racial Equity*. National Skills Coalition. <a href="https://nationalskillscoalition.org/resource/publications/the-roadmap-for-racial-equity/">https://nationalskillscoalition.org/resource/publications/the-roadmap-for-racial-equity/</a>.

- My Skills, My Future. <a href="https://www.myskillsmyfuture.org">https://www.myskillsmyfuture.org</a>.
- Promising Practices In Achieving Nondiscrimination and Equal Opportunity: A Section 188
   Disability Reference Guide. (February 2019). LEAD Center WIOA Policy Development.
   <a href="https://leadcenter.org/resources/new-promising-practices-in-achieving-nondiscrimination-and-equal-opportunity-a-section-188-disability-reference-guide/">https://leadcenter.org/resources/new-promising-practices-in-achieving-nondiscrimination-and-equal-opportunity-a-section-188-disability-reference-guide/</a>.
- Rollins, Katie. *The Potential of Motivational Interviewing*. Chapin Hill and Public Consulting Group. <a href="https://www.chapinhall.org/project/the-potential-of-motivational-interviewing">https://www.chapinhall.org/project/the-potential-of-motivational-interviewing</a>.
- Skills Engine. <a href="https://builder.skillsengine.com/">https://builder.skillsengine.com/</a>.
- The YouthBuild Manual for Counseling, Case Management, and Program Culture. (20 December 2021). Workforce GPS.
   <a href="https://www.workforcegps.org/resources/2015/04/07/09/18/The\_YouthBuild\_Manual\_for\_Counseling\_Case\_Management\_Program\_Culture:">https://www.workforcegps.org/resources/2015/04/07/09/18/The\_YouthBuild\_Manual\_for\_Counseling\_Case\_Management\_Program\_Culture:</a>
   <a href="https://strategies.workforcegps.org/announcements/2021/12/20/15/17/~/link.aspx?id=E64E\_97E7351947D7A0ED812D871B880A&z=z.">https://strategies.workforcegps.org/announcements/2021/12/20/15/17/~/link.aspx?id=E64E\_97E7351947D7A0ED812D871B880A&z=z.</a>
- What is Motivational Interviewing? Head Start, Early Childhood Learning and Knowledge Center. https://eclkc.ohs.acf.hhs.gov/mental-health/article/what-motivational-interviewing.

### **Evidence of Effective Training Strategies and Approaches**

Below are several evaluations, databases, reports, and other resources that provide more detail about effectiveness of various training programs and strategies highlighted in this guidance. Not all of these resources were directly referenced in the TEGL itself but are included as an additional set of resources for interested parties.

- Andersson et al. (2013). Does federally-funded job training work? Nonexperimental estimates of WIA training impacts using longitudinal data on workers and firms. <a href="https://clear.dol.gov/Study/Does-federally-funded-job-training-work-Nonexperimental-estimates-WIA-training-impacts-1">https://clear.dol.gov/Study/Does-federally-funded-job-training-work-Nonexperimental-estimates-WIA-training-impacts-1</a>.
- Clearinghouse for Labor Evaluation and Research. U.S. Department of Labor. https://clear.dol.gov/.
- Deming et al. (2023). *Navigating public job training*. Harvard University Project on Workforce. <a href="https://www.pw.hks.harvard.edu/post/publicjobtraining">https://www.pw.hks.harvard.edu/post/publicjobtraining</a>.
- Employment coaching during the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons learned. (3 June 2022).
   U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
   <a href="https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/report/employment-coaching-during-covid-19-pandemic-lessons-learned">https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/report/employment-coaching-during-covid-19-pandemic-lessons-learned</a>.
- Heinrich, Carolyn J. Mueser, Peter R. Troske, Kenneth R. (December 2008). Workforce Investment Act non-experimental net impact evaluation. Impaq International. <a href="https://wdr.doleta.gov/research/FullText\_Documents/Workforce%20Investment%20Act%20Non-Experimental%20Net%20Impact%20Evaluation%20-%20Final%20Report.pdf">https://wdr.doleta.gov/research/FullText\_Documents/Workforce%20Investment%20Act%20Non-Experimental%20Net%20Impact%20Evaluation%20-%20Final%20Report.pdf</a>.
- Hendra et al. (2016). *Encouraging evidence on a sector-focused advancement strategy*. https://clear.dol.gov/Study/Encouraging-evidence-sector-focused-advancement-strategy-Hendra-et-al-2016-1.
- Hollenbeck, Kevin. Schroeder, Daniel. King, Christopher T. Huang, Wei-Jang. (2005).
   Net Impact estimates for services provided through the Workforce Investment Act. U.S.
   Department of Labor.
   https://wdr.doleta.gov/research/FullText\_Documents/Net%20Impact%20Estimates%20fo
  - https://wdr.doleta.gov/research/FullText\_Documents/Net%20Impact%20Estimates%20for%20Services%20Provided%20through%20the%20Workforce%20Investment%20Act-%20Final%20Report.pdf.
- Evidence review: Employment and training, what works. (2016). Centre for Local Economic Growth. <a href="https://whatworksgrowth.org/wp-content/uploads/16-06-15">https://whatworksgrowth.org/wp-content/uploads/16-06-15</a> Employment Training Update-1.pdf.
- Eyster et al. (December 2012). *Implementation evaluation of the Community-Based Job Training Grant (CBJTG) Program.* The Urban Institute. <a href="https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/23936/412890-Implementation-Evaluation-of-the-Community-Based-Job-Training-Grant-CBJTG-Program.PDF.">https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/23936/412890-Implementation-Evaluation-of-the-Community-Based-Job-Training-Grant-CBJTG-Program.PDF.</a>
- Mack, Melissa and Dunham, Kate. (2021). The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity
   Act (WIOA) research portfolio: A scan of key trends in the labor market and workforce
   development system. Mathematica.
   <a href="https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/evaluation/pdf/WIOA%20Portfolio%20Scan%20Labor%20Force%20and%20Workforce%20Trends.pdf">https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/evaluation/pdf/WIOA%20Portfolio%20Scan%20Labor%20Force%20and%20Workforce%20Trends.pdf</a>.

- Maguire et al. (2010). *Tuning in to local labor markets: Findings from the Sectoral Employment impact study*. <a href="https://clear.dol.gov/Study/Tuning-local-labor-markets-Findings-Sectoral-Employment-impact-study-Maguire-et-al-2010-3">https://clear.dol.gov/Study/Tuning-local-labor-markets-Findings-Sectoral-Employment-impact-study-Maguire-et-al-2010-3</a>.
- *Pathways to Work Evidence Clearinghouse*, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. <a href="https://www.pathwaystowork.acf.hhs.gov/">https://www.pathwaystowork.acf.hhs.gov/</a>
- Peck, L.R., Schwartz, D., Strawn, J., Weiss, C. C., Juras, R., de la Rosa, S. M., Greenstein, N., Morris, T., Durham, G., Lloyd, C. Abt Associates. (2021) A Meta-Analysis Of 46 Career Pathways Impact Evaluations: Final Report. Chief Evaluation Office, U.S. Department of Labor. <a href="https://www.abtassociates.com/insights/publications/report/meta-analysis-of-46-career-pathways-impact-evaluations">https://www.abtassociates.com/insights/publications/report/meta-analysis-of-46-career-pathways-impact-evaluations</a>.
- Perez-Johnson, Irma. Moore, Quinn. Santillano, Robert. (October 2011). Improving the
  effectiveness of Individual Training Accounts: Long-term findings from an experimental
  evaluation of three service delivery models. Mathematica.
  <a href="https://www.mathematica.org/publications/improving-the-effectiveness-of-individual-training-accounts-longterm-findings-appendices.">https://www.mathematica.org/publications/improving-the-effectiveness-of-individual-training-accounts-longterm-findings-appendices.</a>
- Reed et al. (July 2012). An Effectiveness Assessment and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Registered Apprenticeship in 10 States. Mathematica. <a href="https://wdr.doleta.gov/research/FullText\_Documents/ETAOP\_2012\_10.pdf">https://wdr.doleta.gov/research/FullText\_Documents/ETAOP\_2012\_10.pdf</a>.
- Schaberg, Kelsey. (2020). Sector strategies for success. MDRC. https://www.mdrc.org/sites/default/files/I%26E\_Sector\_Strategies.pdf
- What works in job training: A synthesis of the evidence. (2014). U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/legacy/files/jdt.pdf.
- *Ready to Work: Job-driven training and American opportunity.* (2014). The White House. https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/docs/skills\_report.pdf.
- Martinson, Karin. Cho, Sung-Woo. Loya, Karen. Dastrup, Samuel. (2021). Washington State's Integrated Basic Education and Skills Training (I-BEST) program: Three-year impact report. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
   <a href="https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/report/washington-states-integrated-basic-education-and-skills-training-i-best-program-three-0">https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/report/washington-states-integrated-basic-education-and-skills-training-i-best-program-three-0</a>.
- Workforce Investment Act Adult and Dislocated Worker Programs Gold Standard Evaluation. Mathematica. <a href="https://www.mathematica.org/projects/wia-gold-standard-evaluation">https://www.mathematica.org/projects/wia-gold-standard-evaluation</a>.

### **References and Resources**

The below resources are used in this TEGL:

- Adult and Dislocated Worker key resources- work-based learning. (2020). Department of Labor. <a href="https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/07/14/09/08/Work-Based-Learning-Desk-Reference">https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/07/14/09/08/Work-Based-Learning-Desk-Reference</a>.
- Anderson, T., et al. (2021). Racial and ethnic equity gaps in postsecondary career and technical education considerations for online learning. Urban Institute. <a href="https://www.urban.org/research/publication/racial-and-ethnic-equity-gaps-postsecondary-career-and-technical-education">https://www.urban.org/research/publication/racial-and-ethnic-equity-gaps-postsecondary-career-and-technical-education</a>.
- A meta-analysis of 46 career pathways impact evaluations. (December 2021). Abt
   Associates. U.S. Department of Labor.
   <a href="https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/evaluation/pdf/A%20Meta-Analysis%20of%2046%20Career%20Pathways%20Impact%20Evaluations\_final%20report.pdf">https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/evaluation/pdf/A%20Meta-Analysis%20of%2046%20Career%20Pathways%20Impact%20Evaluations\_final%20report.pdf</a>.
- Average cost-per-hire for companies is \$4,129, SHRM survey finds. (2016). SHRM, https://ima-net.org/average-cost-per-hire-for-companies-is-4129-shrm-survey-finds/#:~:text=42%20days%20is%20the%20average,new%20Human%20Capital%20Bench marking%20Report.
- Barden, Bret'. Juras, Randall. Redcross, Cindy. Farrell, Mary. Bloom, Dan. (May 2018). *The enhanced transitional jobs demonstration, new perspectives on creating jobs, final impacts of the next generation of subsidized employment programs*. MDRC. https://www.mdrc.org/publication/new-perspectives-creating-jobs/file-full.
- Bellotti, Jeanne, et al. (4 September 2018). Developing American Job Centers in *j*ails: anImplementation of the *L*inking to *E*mployment *A*ctivities Pre-Release (LEAP) Grants. Mathematica Policy Research. https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/legacy/files/LEAP-Final-Report.pdf.
- Bragg, Debra D. Giani, Matt S. (November 2019). "The impact of holistic student supports on credential attainment for diverse adult learners in the Health Pathways Consortium."
   Impacts of Key Community Strategies on Non-Degree Credential Completion by Adult Learners, Lumina Foundation. pg. 7. <a href="https://www.luminafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/impacts-of-key-community-college-strategies-on-non-degree-credential-completion-by-adult-learners.pdf">https://www.luminafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/impacts-of-key-community-college-strategies-on-non-degree-credential-completion-by-adult-learners.pdf</a>.
- Can subsidized employment programs help disadvantaged job seekers?(February 2020). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
   <a href="https://www.mdrc.org/sites/default/files/sted\_final\_synthesis\_report\_feb\_2020.pdf">https://www.mdrc.org/sites/default/files/sted\_final\_synthesis\_report\_feb\_2020.pdf</a>.
- Drago-Severson E. Helsing, D. Kegan, R. Popp, N.,Broderick, M.,Portnow, K. (2001). *The Power of a Cohort and of Collaborative Groups*. Focus on Basics (5). Issue B: 15-22. https://www.ncsall.net/index.html@id=254.html
- "Earnings and Ratios." *Women's Bureau*. U.S. Department of Labor. <a href="https://www.dol.gov/agencies/wb/data/earnings">https://www.dol.gov/agencies/wb/data/earnings</a>.
- *Employment by detailed occupation, 2021 and projected 2031.* (8 September 2022). U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. <a href="https://www.bls.gov/emp/tables/emp-by-detailed-occupation.htm">https://www.bls.gov/emp/tables/emp-by-detailed-occupation.htm</a>.

- Executive Order 13985 Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities
   Through the Federal Government. (20 January 2021).
   https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/01/25/2021-01753/advancing-racial-equity-and-support-for-underserved-communities-through-the-federal-government.
- Explore Registered Apprenticeship. (September 2020). U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.apprenticeship.gov/sites/default/files/dol-industry-factsheet-apprenticeship101-v10.pdf.
- Eyster, Lauren, et al. (December 2012). Implementation Evaluation of the Community-Based Job Training Grant (CBJTG) Program. The Urban Institute.
   <a href="https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/23936/412890-Implementation-Evaluation-of-the-Community-Based-Job-Training-Grant-CBJTG-Program.PDF">https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/23936/412890-Implementation-Evaluation-of-the-Community-Based-Job-Training-Grant-CBJTG-Program.PDF</a>.
- *Financial Reporting*. (1 September 2022). U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/grants/management/reporting.\_
- Glasmeier, Amy K. (2021). *Living Wage Calculator*. The Massachusetts Institute for Technology. <a href="https://livingwage.mit.edu/">https://livingwage.mit.edu/</a>.
- Good Jobs Principles Fact Sheet. (2022). U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/goodjobs/Good-Jobs-Summit-Principles-Factsheet.pdf.
- Hoops, J. (2010). A Working Model for Student Success: The Tennessee Technology Centers. Complete College America. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED536826.
- Impacts of Key Community College Strategies on Non-Degree Credential Completion by Adult Learners. (November 2021). Lumina Foundation.

  <a href="https://www.luminafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/impacts-of-key-community-college-strategies-on-non-degree-credential-completion-by-adult-learners.pdf">https://www.luminafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/impacts-of-key-community-college-strategies-on-non-degree-credential-completion-by-adult-learners.pdf</a>.
- Implementation of the Nondiscrimination and Equal Opportunity Provisions of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act, 29 C.F.R. part 38.
- Occupational Employment and Wage Statistics. (May 2021). U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. <a href="https://www.bls.gov/oes/tables.htm">https://www.bls.gov/oes/tables.htm</a>.
- Office of Apprenticeship. (May 2023). U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.apprenticeship.gov/?utm\_source=dol\_gov\_agencies\_eta\_apprenticeship&utm\_medium=text&utm\_campaign=apprenticeship\_homepage
- O\*Net Online. (November 2022). <a href="https://www.onetonline.org/">https://www.onetonline.org/</a>.
- *On-the-Job Training (OJT) Toolkit.*(2020), U.S. Department of Labor. https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/12/01/11/19/On-the-Job-Training-Toolkit.
- Perez-Johnson, Irma. Moore, Quinn. Santillano, Robert. (October 2011). Improving the
  Effectiveness of Individual Training Accounts: Long-Term Findings from an Experimental
  Evaluation of Three Service Delivery Models. Mathematica.
  <a href="https://www.mathematica.org/publications/improving-the-effectiveness-of-individual-training-accounts-longterm-findings-appendices">https://www.mathematica.org/publications/improving-the-effectiveness-of-individual-training-accounts-longterm-findings-appendices</a>.
- *Program Year 2021 preliminary expenditure data.* (1 September 2022)U.S. Department of Labor.
- Rapid evidence review strategies from the Great Recession to support employment. (March 2021), Mathematica.
   <a href="https://clear.dol.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/CLEAR\_GreatRecessionRR\_Synth\_2">https://clear.dol.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/CLEAR\_GreatRecessionRR\_Synth\_2</a>
   02107.pdf.

- Supportive Services Desk Reference. (August 2021). U.S. Department of Labor. <a href="https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/07/14/09/22/Supportive\_Services\_Desk\_Reference">https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/07/14/09/22/Supportive\_Services\_Desk\_Reference</a>.
- TEN 12-21: *Practitioners Guide to Supportive Services*.(15 October 2021). U.S. Department of Labor. https://wdr.doleta.gov/directives/corr\_doc.cfm?docn=9977.
- TEN 19-22, Change 1, Reporting Training in the U.S. Department of Labor's (DOL) Participant Individual Record Layout (PIRL). (27 February 2023).U.S. Department of Labor. <a href="https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/advisories/ten-19-22-change-1">https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/advisories/ten-19-22-change-1</a>.
- TEGL 08-19, Change 1. *Guidance on Registered Apprenticeship Provisions and Opportunities in the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA).* (17 May 2021), U.S. Department of Labor. <a href="https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/advisories/training-and-employment-guidance-letter-no-08-19-change-1">https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/advisories/training-and-employment-guidance-letter-no-08-19-change-1</a>.
- TEN 12-21, *Practitioners Guide to Supportive Services*. (15 October 2021). U.S. Department of Labor. <a href="https://wdr.doleta.gov/directives/corr\_doc.cfm?docn=9977">https://wdr.doleta.gov/directives/corr\_doc.cfm?docn=9977</a>
- TEN 13-12: Defining a Quality Pre-Apprenticeship Program and Related Tools and Resources. (30 November 2012). U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/ETA/advisories/TEN/2012/TEN\_13-12.pdf.
- TEGL 13-16, Guidance on Registered Apprenticeship Provisions and Opportunities in the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA). (12 January 2017). U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/ETA/advisories/TEGL/2017/TEGL\_13-16.pdf.
- TEGL 19-16, Guidance on Services provided through the Adult and Dislocated Worker Programs under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) and the Wagner-Peyser Act Employment Service (ES), as amended by title III of WIOA, and for Implementation of the WIOA Final Rules. (1 March 2017). U.S. Department of Labor. <a href="https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/advisories/training-and-employment-guidance-letter-no-19-16">https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/advisories/training-and-employment-guidance-letter-no-19-16</a>.
- TEN 12-21, *Practitioners Guide to Supportive Services*. (15 October 2021). U.S. Department of Labor. <a href="https://wdr.doleta.gov/directives/corr\_doc.cfm?docn=9977">https://wdr.doleta.gov/directives/corr\_doc.cfm?docn=9977</a>.
- Training Data. (2022).U.S. Department of Labor.
- What Works in Job Training: A Synthesis of the Evidence. (22 July 2014). U.S. Department of Labor. U.S. Department of Education. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
   <a href="https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/legacy/files/jdt.pdf">https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/legacy/files/jdt.pdf</a>.
- Work Based Learning Desk Reference. (2020). U.S. Department of Labor.
   <a href="https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/07/14/09/08/Work-Based-Learning-Desk-Reference">https://ion.workforcegps.org/resources/2017/07/14/09/08/Work-Based-Learning-Desk-Reference</a>.
- WIOA Performance Data: PY 2018, PY 2019, PY 2020. U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/performance/results.