

EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION ADVISORY SYSTEM U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR Washington, D.C. 20210	CLASSIFICATION WIA/Performance Reporting System
	CORRESPONDENCE SYMBOL OPDR
	DATE October 8, 2014

ADVISORY: TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT GUIDANCE LETTER NO. 9-14

TO: STATE WORKFORCE AGENCIES
STATE WORKFORCE ADMINISTRATORS
STATE WORKFORCE LIAISONS

FROM: PORTIA WU /s/
Assistant Secretary

SUBJECT: Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Program Year (PY) 2013 Annual Report Narrative

1. **Purpose.** To provide guidance to the states regarding the content of the WIA Annual Report Narrative, including: strategies for serving veterans, acceptable approaches to fulfilling the customer satisfaction requirements and the procedures for submission of the report to the Employment and Training Administration (ETA). The Annual Report Narrative is due on Friday, November 14, 2014.

2. **References.**

- WIA, Sections 134, 136, and 185;
- 20 CFR 667.300;
- Training and Employment Guidance Letter (TEGL) No. 17-05 and TEGL No. 17-05, Change 2, *Common Measures Policy for the Employment and Training Administration's Performance Accountability System and Related Performance Issues*;
- TEGL No. 09-07, *Revised Incentive and Sanction Policy for Workforce Investment Act Title IB Programs*;
- TEGL No. 09-11, *Guidance on Revised Fiscal Year 2012 "Advance Funding" Levels Available October 2011 for Workforce Investment Act Programs and Clarification on the Level of Governor's Reserve*;
- TEGL No. 21-10, Change 1, *Program Year 2010/Fiscal Year 2011 Performance Reporting and Data Validation Timeline*;
- TEGL No. 21-11, *Instructions for Submitting Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser Act State Plans for Program Year 2012*;
- TEGL No. 6-13, *Guidance on the Workforce Investment Act Annual Report Narrative*;
- TEGL No. 3-14, *Implementing a Job Driven Workforce System*;
- Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-94, *Revised Guidelines and Discount Rates for Benefit-Cost Analysis of Federal Programs*.

RESCISSIONS None	EXPIRATION DATE Continuing
----------------------------	--------------------------------------

3. **Background.** Each state that receives an allotment under WIA Section 127 (Youth activities) or Section 132 (Adult and Dislocated Worker activities) must prepare and submit a WIA Annual Report of performance progress to the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the requirements found in WIA Sections 136 and 185.

There are two components to the WIA Annual Report: (1) the required performance results, as specified in form ETA Form 9091—*WIA Title 1B Annual Report Form* (OMB No. 1205-0420) found at:

<http://www.doleta.gov/performance/guidance/WIA/WIAAnnualReportSpecifications.pdf>, and (2) a narrative report. This guidance focuses on the narrative report and what states should address in this report.

4. **WIA Annual Report Narrative.** The required portions of the WIA Annual Report Narrative include the information required by WIA sections 136(d)(1) and (2) and 185(d). This includes:

- Performance data on the core and customer satisfaction measures, including progress that local areas in the state made toward achieving local performance measures;
- Information on the status of state evaluation activities;
- Information on the cost of workforce investment activities relative to the effect of the activities on the performance of participants;
- Assurance that all required elements are reported uniformly so that a state-by-state comparison can be made;
- Information on participants in the workforce investment system (this information is also included in the performance results portion of the WIA Annual Report); and
- A listing of the waivers for which the state has received approval, information on how the waivers have changed the activities of the state and local areas, and how activities carried out under the waivers directly or indirectly affected state and local area performance outcomes. To the extent possible, states should discuss whether waiver implementation and outcomes advance the President's Job-Driven elements (For more information on the Job Driven Elements see TEGl 3-14). In future waiver requests, ETA will expect states to demonstrate how a given waiver will advance Job-Driven elements in the state.

We provided additional information regarding the content of the required components below.

Customer Satisfaction Measures

The public workforce system established under WIA serves a dual-customer base consisting of job seekers and employers. Recognizing the importance of measuring service quality, WIA Section 136(b)(2)(B) requires states to measure customer satisfaction for employers and participants of state and local agencies that provide employment and training services.

In PY 2005, ETA began approving a waiver to support adoption of the Common Measures, commonly referred to as the Common Measure waiver. States with an approved Common Measure waiver report only and are held accountable for the common performance measure outcomes; however, states must continue to collect customer satisfaction data. States with an

approved Common Measure waiver have provided information about their customer service results in the narrative portion of their WIA Annual Report to the Department of Labor (Department). Currently all but four states (Vermont, Rhode Island, Michigan, and Minnesota) and Puerto Rico have this waiver for PY 2013, resulting in significantly limited access to customer satisfaction data at the national level.

In TEGL No. 6-13, *Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Program Year (PY) 2012 Annual Report Narrative*, ETA was interested in what approaches and methodologies states were using to collect customer satisfaction information. In the PY 2012 WIA Annual Reports, some states identified the approaches and methodologies they were using, including descriptions of how the information was being used to improve customer service. Other states mentioned they were in the process of developing new customer satisfaction measures. Many states and local areas have begun piloting new and potentially less costly methods to collect customer satisfaction data other than the phone surveys required previously when using the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI), which was the common methodology used to capture and report customer satisfaction information prior to PY 2012.

For the reasons above, each state may select its own approach to capturing customer satisfaction information. States that have already established customer satisfaction measures should continue with those measures, and states that were in the process of identifying new measures in PY 2012 should present those new measures for the PY 2013 report. ETA is no longer contracting with ACSI for the procurement of national weights used in performing the ACSI calculations. (ACSI remains an acceptable methodology that states and locals may elect to use at their own cost.) We encourage states to use robust methodologies that use multiple strategies for data collection (telephone, e-mail, paper surveys, or other technology methods). ETA requires all states to describe their customer service methodology as part of their annual narrative. ETA requires quality customer satisfaction narratives to include, at a minimum:

1. The approach used (if possible include a sample of the survey);
2. The number of individuals/employers that were provided customer satisfaction outreach;
3. The response rate;
4. A summary of the results; and
5. Any processes for incorporating the customer satisfaction feedback.

This approach provides states with the requested flexibility to use new technologies, which may lead to higher quality services, while also providing the Department with better insight into each state's customer satisfaction initiatives. Through this increased flexibility and by requiring all states to follow, at a minimum, the above parameters for structuring their description of customer satisfaction activities, ETA hopes to enhance customer service. Additionally, this strategy for capturing customer satisfaction provides a more robust national understanding of state level activities.

For the PY 2013 Annual Report, similar to PY 2012 guidance, ETA is directing states with an approved Common Measure waiver to provide performance data on the core and customer satisfaction measures as a part of their narrative, including progress that local areas in the

state have made in achieving local performance measures. ETA made PY 2012 a transition year to allow states to develop the customer satisfaction measures that were most useful for them. States that do not have an approved Common Measure waiver must provide a brief overview of how they transitioned to the PY 2013 reporting requirements described above; they are exempt from entering information in the customer satisfaction field on ETA Form 9091. As described in TEGE No. 09-07, the omission of customer satisfaction from ETA Form 9091 will have no impact on states' eligibility for incentive grants.

Status of State Evaluation Activities

As WIA Section 134(a)(2)(B)(ii) notes, conducting evaluations of workforce investment activities under WIA section 136(e) is a required statewide activity. States should include information about all evaluation studies started and/or completed during the program year for which the WIA Annual Report is submitted. For each evaluation, the narrative should include:

- The timeline for starting and completing the evaluation;
- The questions for evaluation did/will address;
- A description of the evaluation's methodology, including description of any control or comparison group and description of the analysis technique employed;
- The timeline for the final report and other deliverables; and,
- A summary of evaluation findings, including summary of best practices, for those evaluations completed during the program year for which the WIA Annual report is submitted.

If no evaluations were started and/or completed during the program year for which the WIA Annual Report is submitted, then states should either cite the waiver that exempted them from the requirement to conduct these evaluations or submit the following information for each planned evaluation:

- An expected timeline for starting and completing the evaluation; and
- Questions the evaluation is expected to address.

These evaluation studies, conducted under WIA Title 1B, are to promote, establish, and implement methods for continuous improvement in the efficiency and effectiveness of the statewide workforce investment system in improving employability for job seekers and competitiveness for employers. These evaluation studies identify best practices or replicable models and tools, as well as challenges and potential workforce solutions. This information would be helpful to the public workforce system and may inform ETA's national evaluation and research agenda. As such, states are encouraged to share a copy of each final evaluation report with ETA via their regional representative. ETA will share relevant best practices, lessons learned, and other resources with the public workforce system through its online technical assistance (TA) platform (www.Workforce3One.org) and other appropriate TA events.

Costs of Workforce Investment Activities

States should explain how the mix of services for adults, dislocated workers, and youth affected the outcomes. For adults and dislocated workers, the activities that states may wish to address are core, intensive, and training services. For youth activities, states may wish to include information about front-end costs (*e.g.*, intake, assessment and case management) and aggregated direct service costs for the 10 youth program elements described in WIA Section 129(c)(2).

ETA has a long-term interest in improving program productivity to better serve both customers and taxpayers. Prior WIA State Annual Reports describe a variety of methods for calculating and presenting cost-related activities and measures. Among the most common cost calculations reported by the states is the “cost per participant” indicator.

To facilitate further discussion about alternative cost measures (*e.g.*, costs per outcome), ETA developed examples of potential alternative efficiency measures (see Attachment). The attachment provides states with optional measures to assist in describing and analyzing the overall health of the workforce program using cost data.

In addition to the required components of the WIA Annual Report Narrative, ETA encourages each state to include the following information in its narrative:

- A. Information from their strategic plans that highlights innovative service delivery strategies, including program activities that support dislocated workers, low-skilled/low-income adults and disadvantaged youth, the outcomes expected, as well as, the actual outcomes for their major customer populations. States may indicate actual federal outlays for selected activities, if such information is available.
- B. A discussion of the state’s unique programs and recent accomplishments. States can use the report to describe these accomplishments in the most advantageous manner to all stakeholders and partners, including Congress, governors, state legislators and workforce investment boards. States may want to highlight “success stories” that focus attention on how programs have been successful for participants, employers, and communities alike.
- C. Messages from the governor or other contextual information about state workforce investment board members, market analysis, strategies for improvement, and effects on major industries may also be included.
- D. A discussion of the activities funded by the state’s discretionary (“5 percent”) funds reserved subject to section 128(a) of WIA. In this section of the narrative report, states may describe activities undertaken in whole or in part with their discretionary funds, and how those activities directly or indirectly affect performance.
- E. A discussion of programs and strategies for serving employers at the state and local level, including the performance metrics used by states or local areas to measure

the effectiveness of such services and current available performance data. States also may wish to talk about effects on major industries.

- F. A discussion of the initiatives and activities outlined in the WIA and Wagner-Peyser Act State Strategic Plan to improve performance.
 - G. A discussion of the programs, initiatives, and strategies for serving veterans at the state and local level, including the performance metrics used by states or local areas to measure the effectiveness of such services and current available performance data. Include a description of the implementation of veterans' priority of service for all training programs funded by the Department. Please describe how the state has implemented the Gold Card Initiative, to provide intensive services to post 9/11 veterans, including how the 6 month follow-up has been implemented.
5. **Due Date.** The WIA Annual Report Narrative is usually due by October 1 following each program year. However, to give states sufficient time to develop its narrative, the report for PY 2013 will be due November 14, 2014. The complete WIA Annual Report, which includes the required performance reports and the narrative, will reflect accurate performance outcome information that is available by the time the WIA Annual Report for the program year is due. Please note that the WIA annual performance data outcome portion of the report, ETA Form 9091, was due on September 15, 2014. Failure to submit the performance progress reports by the deadline may lead to incentive grant ineligibility for a state.
 6. **Submission.** An electronic copy of the WIA Annual Report Narrative should be e-mailed to WIA.AR@dol.gov with a copy to the state's respective ETA Regional Administrator by November 14, 2014. In an effort to be environmentally conscious, hard copies are no longer required. ETA will publish each state's report on the Internet at www.doleta.gov/performance. Unlike previous years' submissions, ETA will now only accept 508 compliant PDF formats. Since we will post each state's report on the Performance Web site, all states must submit their WIA Annual Report electronically in a machine-readable format to comply with requirements set forth in Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act.
 7. **Action Requested.** States and grantees should distribute this TEGl to those personnel responsible for developing the WIA Annual Report Narrative, including personnel responsible for performance reporting, and to all local areas responsible for administering the WIA programs.
 8. **Inquiries.** Please direct questions concerning this TEGl to your appropriate regional office.
 9. **Attachment.** Overview of Potential Alternative Efficiency Measures for Consideration.