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1. Please provide your views on how EBSA should address and implement the action items set 
forth for EBSA in Executive Order 14030 on Climate-Related Financial Risk. Specifically, what 
agency actions can be taken under ERISA, FERSA, and any other relevant laws to protect the 
lifesavings and pensions of U.S. workers and families from the threats of climate-related 
financial risk?

Many climate-friendly investment funds are new, and do not have the 3-5 year track record that 
retirement plan fiduciaries often look for when assessing new funds to add to retirement plans. 
As we at Sphere have launched a climate-friendly passive index fund within the past year and 
have discussed adding it to retirement plans with many plan fiduciaries, we have found 
inconsistencies in how fiduciaries address their requirements for a 3-5 year track record. Some 
waive the requirement for funds that are not actively managed, if there is an 
independently-calculated 10-year back-test on the index that they can use to calculate risk and 
return parameters. Others apply the same 3-5 year requirement regardless of whether a fund is 
actively or passively managed. If EBSA were to address this inconsistency, it could open the 
door to far more climate-friendly investment options becoming available in retirement plans on a 
shorter time frame than otherwise would be possible. This step could be critical in enabling 
retirement plans to adopt climate-friendly investment options within the time scale that enables 
avoiding surpassing 1.5°C of warming in seven years

2. Executive Order 14030 uses the phrase “climate-related financial risk” to encompass a wide 
variety of risks under two broad categories: physical risks and transition risks. What are the 
most significant climate-related financial risks to retirement savings and why?

If regulations are put in place limiting the amount of fossil fuels that can be extracted and burned 
globally, those regulations could negatively impact the share prices of equities in the fossil fuel 
sector across the supply chain (not only major holders of fossil fuels). The 7-year time frame 
that remains to limit warming to 1.5°C, as recommended by the Intergovernmental Panel on 
Climate Change (IPCC), requires these types of regulations to be put in place, to avoid the 
worst effects of climate change. The risk is therefore high that investment exposure to the fossil 
fuel sector could result in financial losses to retirement plan participants. This was likely a factor 
in the decision-making that led to large investors such as the Harvard endowment ($50B), State 
of New York pension fund ($200B), and University of California system endowment ($30B) and 
pension fund ($90B), divesting from the fossil fuel industry. It is our opinion that all retirement 
plan participants should be protected from this risk.