

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> September 28, 2015
<b>Received:</b> September 24, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8lbe-ezr9
<b>Comments Due:</b> September 24, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-8086

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

---

## General Comment

I must protest the proposed exclusion of trading options, both puts and calls in this regulation. The trading of covered calls or cash covered puts should be included in the list of assets exempt from this rule. Using these strategies allows a retail investor to increase annual returns without increasing risk. In the past 5 years selling both covered calls and cash covered puts, I have increased the return of my IRA over 150%. Why ban an effective strategy to increase the value of our retirement account under the guise of "protecting" us. We don't need that kind of protection. Again, revise the rule to include covered call and cash covered puts in the list of exempt assets.