

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> September 28, 2015
<b>Received:</b> September 23, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8lar-4tlo
<b>Comments Due:</b> September 24, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-7335

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Rob Watts

**Address:**

4350 W. Earhart Way  
Chandler, AZ, 85226

**Email:** robwatts@cox.net

---

## General Comment

I have, and currently use Options within my IRA to help protect some of my investments, and to make money too. I've had many positive experiences with Options - a pretty straight forward tool once you spend just a little bit of time understanding the concepts.

I also resent the never expanding nanny state being brought on by rules like this. I earned the money, I do not need the governments help to manage it. In fact, if the government would spend time learning how to manage its monies, it wouldn't need to take so much from me - now that would be a paradigm buster, and a real help to all of us that work to support ourselves, our families, and our communities.

I do not want you eroding my freedoms with regulations like this anti-Option trading within a IRA. It is bad policy and very much UNWELCOMED.

Rob Watts

IRA Owner and Options User