

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> September 28, 2015
<b>Received:</b> September 21, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8197-mt63
<b>Comments Due:</b> September 24, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-5794

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Paul Clark

**Address:**

7236 Riley Ct

Indianapolis, IN, 46250-2518

**Email:** pclark55@gmail.com

**Phone:** 3172889649

---

## General Comment

I currently have a small retirement account where I can buy, sell real estate, trade stocks and options and make approved investments. I understand this new Conflict of Interest Rule - Retirement Investment Advice proposes elimination of Options trading within my account.

I will tell you to leave the option trading in the law as I have used it to protect my positions and actually enhance my positions in the equities market. I have never lost money trading options against my equities position and if no longer allowed, would certainly reduce my income and adversely affect my stock trading positions.

I respectfully request the Options trading be left alone and retirement account owners be allowed to make their own choices as to whether they choose to trade options or not.