

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

|   |
|---|
| <b>As of:</b> September 28, 2015        |
| <b>Received:</b> September 21, 2015     |
| <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post             |
| <b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8196-8i0z       |
| <b>Comments Due:</b> September 24, 2015 |
| <b>Submission Type:</b> Web             |

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-5668

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

---

## General Comment

Example:

Jane Doe is a small business owner and a financial planning client of Susan Smith. Jane would like to offer additional benefits to her employees. Due to the structure of Jane's business it is decided that Jane will implement a profit sharing plan - Susan will be the advisor charging an asset based fee of 1%. After a number of years Jane retires. As the profit sharing is a large part of her retirement savings she will need to tap it monthly for income. The plan does not provide for separated employees to set up monthly income streams so Jane must roll the funds to an IRA in order to sustain her lifestyle in retirement. Jane continues to have a very good working relationship with Susan who manages all her other investments.

Under the proposed rule Susan would not be allowed to advise her on these rollover funds. In this case Jane would need to take on another advisor even though she already has one.

Why would the DOL dictate who an investor can hire for advice?  
How can the DOL dictate who an individual has professional relationships with?  
Who gave the DOL the power to control an individual's choices?