

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Received:** July 18, 2020  
**Status:** Pending\_Post  
**Tracking No.** kcs-gsuh-p3x6  
**Comments Due:** July 30, 2020  
**Submission Type:** Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2020-0004  
Financial Factors in Selecting Plan Investments

**Comment On:** EBSA-2020-0004-0002  
Financial Factors in Selecting Plan Investments

**Document:** EBSA-2020-0004-DRAFT-0234  
Comment on FR Doc # 2020-13705

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

---

## General Comment

With regards to the RIN 1210-AB95, I would like to send my request and suggestion that financial return on investments not be the only factors in selecting plan investments. In addition to financial considerations, investments should also be selected based on the social and environmental impacts. Investments can positively or negatively impact the environment and society, so it is the responsibility of the government to ensure that they have a positive influence. For example, it would be far preferable to select an investment in a small renewable energy business that creates jobs for the community, than to invest in a company that performs destructive mountaintop removal mining. As someone who knows a federal employee, I know that they prefer (as I do) that investments be made towards socially and environmentally constructive causes, and we do not feel that we would be violated if fiduciaries accepted lower returns to achieve environmental and social goals. In fact, I would like for there to be an option for employees to decide that all investments made on behalf of them must specifically be socially and environmentally beneficial. Thank you.