

United States House of Representatives  
Committee on Financial Services  
2129 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515

March 17, 2017

Acting Secretary Ed Hugler  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20210

Dear Acting Secretary Hugler:

We write in support of the Department of Labor's (DOL's) proposal to delay its fiduciary rule applicability date for 60 days.<sup>1</sup> Such a delay is necessary to review the rule's scope and assess potential harm to investors, disruptions within the retirement services industry, and increases in litigation, as required by the Presidential Memorandum signed by President Trump on February 3, 2017.<sup>2</sup>

Members of the House Financial Services Committee have long been concerned with the DOL fiduciary rule's impacts on retail investors and the U.S. capital markets. As such, we have advocated that the expert regulator – the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) – should craft an applicable rule. In the 114<sup>th</sup> Congress, the House of Representatives passed H.R. 1090, the Retail Investor Protection Act, which prohibited the DOL from issuing a rule until the SEC first promulgated a rule pursuant to Section 913 of the Dodd-Frank Act (P.L. 111-203). Additionally, Congress approved a bipartisan resolution of disapproval on the DOL's final fiduciary rule, which, unfortunately was vetoed by President Obama.

The DOL fiduciary rule as written will harm the very people it claims to protect: low- and middle-income American families trying to save for retirement. With its onerous compliance and reporting requirements as well as enforcement through a private right of action, customers will see fewer choices, increased costs, and decreased access to reliable and affordable investment advice. We have already seen an adverse market reaction leading up to the April 10, 2017, applicability date with companies leaving the brokerage business, decreasing their product offerings, and switching to only fee-based advice. Additionally, recent studies report that the DOL fiduciary rule already has affected 92,000 financial advisers and up to 14 million customers, with total compliance costs of \$31.5 billion.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Definition of the Term "Fiduciary"; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Advice; Best Interest Contract Exemption (Prohibited Transaction Exemption 2016-01); Class Exemption for Principal Transactions in Certain Assets Between Investment Advice Fiduciaries and Employee Benefit Plans and IRAs (Prohibited Transaction Exemption 2016-02); Prohibited Transaction Exemptions 75-1, 77-4, 80-83, 83-1, 84-24, and 86-128, 82 Fed. Reg. 12319 (Mar. 2, 2017), available at <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/03/02/2017-04096/definition-of-the-term-fiduciary-conflict-of-interest-rule-retirement-investment-advice-best>

<sup>2</sup> See Presidential Memorandum on Fiduciary Duty Rule (Feb. 3, 2017), available at <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2017/02/03/presidential-memorandum-fiduciary-duty-rule>.

<sup>3</sup> See Sam Batkins, "Fiduciary Rule Has Already Taken Its Toll: \$100 Million In Costs, Fewer Options," American Action Forum (Feb. 22, 2017), available at <https://www.americanactionforum.org/insight/fiduciary-rule-already-taken-toll-100-million-costs-fewer-options/>.

Because of the negative impact on retail investors and the retirement services marketplace, a delay of at least 60 days is necessary and appropriate to give the DOL time to complete this review directed by the President. We strongly believe that a longer delay could be necessary to implement the review's findings, as the DOL notes in its proposal.<sup>4</sup> Extending the length of the delay beyond the currently proposed 60 days will provide the DOL with the needed flexibility to ensure compliance with the review directed by the Presidential Memorandum.

Lastly, we urge the DOL to make the delay's applicability date effective upon publication in the *Federal Register*. Good cause exists to allow such immediate effectiveness in order to prevent additional consequences to the retail investment market.<sup>5</sup>

Thank you for your attention to and consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely,



---

JEB HENSARLING  
Chairman



---

ANN WAGNER  
Chairman  
Subcommittee on Oversight  
and Investigations



---

BILL HUIZENGA  
Chairman  
Subcommittee on Capital  
Markets, Securities and  
Investment

---

<sup>4</sup> See Definition of the Term "Fiduciary", 82 Fed. Reg. 12319, 12320; "There are approximately 45 days until the applicability date of the final rule and the PTEs. The Department believes it may take more time that that to complete the examination mandated by the President's Memorandum. Additionally, absent an extension of the applicability date, if the examination prompts the Department to propose rescinding or revising the rule, affected advisers, retirement investors and other stakeholders might face two major changes in the regulatory environment rather than one. This could unnecessarily disrupt the marketplace, producing frictional costs that are not offset by commensurate benefits. This proposed 60-day extension of the applicability date aims to guard against this risk. The extension would make it possible for the Department to take additional steps (such as completing its examination, implementing any necessary additional extension(s), and proposing and implementing a revocation or revision of the rule) without the rule becoming applicable beforehand. In this way, advisers investors and other stakeholders would be spared the risk and expenses of facing two major changes in the regulatory environment."

<sup>5</sup> See 5 USC §808(2).

*Patrick M. Henry*  
PATRICK MCHENRY  
Vice Chairman

*Blaine Luetkemeyer*  
BLAINE LUETKEMEYER  
Chairman  
Subcommittee on Financial  
Institutions and Consumer  
Credit

*Sean Duffy*  
SEAN DUFFY  
Chairman  
Subcommittee on Housing and  
Insurance

*Andy Barr*  
ANDY BARR  
Chairman  
Subcommittee on Monetary  
Policy and Trade

*Stevan Pearce*  
STEVAN PEARCE  
Chairman  
Subcommittee on Terrorism  
and Illicit Finance

*Pete King*  
PETER KING  
Member

*Ed Royce CA-52*  
EDWARD ROYCE  
Member

*Frank D. Lucas*  
FRANK LUCAS  
Member

*Bill Posey FL-8*  
BILL POSEY  
Member

*Steve Stivers*  
STEVE STIVERS  
Member

*Randy Hultgren*  
RANDY HULTGREN  
Member

*Dennis Ross*  
DENNIS ROSS  
Member

*Robert Pittenger*  
ROBERT PITTENGER  
Member

*Keith Rothfus*  
KEITH ROTHFUS  
Member

*Luke Messer*  
LUKE MESSER  
Member

*Scott Tipton*  
SCOTT TIPTON  
Member

*Roger Williams*  
ROGER WILLIAMS  
Member

*Bruce Poliquin*  
BRUCE POLIQUIN  
Member

*Mia Love*  
MIA LOVE  
Member

*French Hill*  
FRENCH HILL  
Member

*Tom Emmer*  
TOM EMMER  
Member

*Lee Zeldin*  
LEE ZELDIN  
Member

*David Trot*  
DAVID TROTT  
Member

*Barry Loudermilk*  
BARRY LOUDERMILK  
Member

*Alexander Mooney*  
ALEXANDER MOONEY  
Member

*Thomas MacArthur*  
THOMAS MACARTHUR  
Member

*Warren Davidson*  
WARREN DAVIDSON  
Member

*Tedd Budd*  
TEDD BUDD  
Member

*David Kustoff*  
DAVID KUSTOFF  
Member

*Claudia Tenney*  
CLAUDIA TENNEY  
Member

*Trey Hollingsworth*  
TREY HOLLINGSWORTH  
Member