

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>Received:</b> March 15, 2017 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k1-8v9t-8k51 <b>Comments Due:</b> March 17, 2017 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term “Fiduciary”; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-3491

Definition of Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-15143

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-04096

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

---

## General Comment

My opinion on the DOL is pretty simple. It will no doubt hurt many consumers as the amount of work and time needed to be spent with a client will not allow an adviser to work with any clients without substantial wealth. I am all for transparency of fees and lowering fees and expenses but it shouldn't come from us as we work extremely hard for our clients. As currently drawn up along with litigation holding advisers personally liable is a lawyers dream but insane and will not work.