

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>Received:</b> March 10, 2017 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k1-8v6m-76c8 <b>Comments Due:</b> March 17, 2017 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term “Fiduciary”; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-3491

Definition of Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-13982

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-04096

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Margaret Dissinger

---

## General Comment

To me, particularly as a retiree, the rule to require financial advisors to consider the best interest of their clients in recommending investments to those clients is a "no-brainer" to use the vernacular of teen agers I know. An advisor should always act in the best interests of the client. The rule does not rule out recommending investments on which the advisor would earn a commission if the client was aware of the commission, agreed to it and the recommendation was in the best interest of the client.