

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>Received:</b> March 09, 2017 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k1-8v5x-he3b <b>Comments Due:</b> March 17, 2017 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term “Fiduciary”; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-3491

Definition of Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-13481

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-04096

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Katherine Casey

---

## General Comment

I support the implementation of the DOL conflict of interest rule and strongly oppose any delay.

As someone who's counting on my 401(k) for retirement, I want to know that my financial advisors are putting my interests first. However, under previous rules it's been possible for advisors to direct me towards products that make them money but hurt my financial well-being. It's vital that the DOL close those loopholes and strengthen protections for people saving for retirement. Delaying implementation would allow unscrupulous advisors to continue ripping off their clients.