PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: October 04, 2011 Received: September 29, 2011

Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 80f423dd

Comments Due: September 30, 2011

Submission Type: Web

Docket: EBSA-2010-0018

Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of

Preventive Services Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0018-0002

Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services under

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: Amendment

Document: EBSA-2010-0018-DRAFT-0601

Comment on FR Doc # 2011-19684

Submitter Information

Name: Terry Carlson

Address:

psc41 Box 3986 Apo, AE, 09464

Email: aberterry1@yahoo.com

Phone: 360-612-4804 Organization: individual

General Comment

Secretary Sibelius,

I am commenting on the amendment that was added to CMS-992-IFC2 that enables some religious organizations to withhold contraception as preventive care to their employees. While any of those employees are free to follow religious or other views to not use contraception, it is improper that the employing institutions impose the employers view on the employee and not allow use of contraception when contraception is considered to be normal preventative care. This amendment allows the employer to have reach into the personal lives of employees that is not acceptable. It hands over to the employer an authority in the employee's personal life that diminishes the employee's religious and personal freedom.

Allowing full coverage and full access to contraception cervices for the employee to made personal choices with is the right and just thing to do. Allowing employees full status as adults rather than child status under the decision making power of the employer is the right thing to do. Religious liberty lies with the individual and religious regulation should not be imposed upon them involuntarily through their health care program.

Please resist the efforts to control the lives of us little people, to impose religious views on us little people by larger employer institutions.