## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

As of: October 04, 2011 Received: September 28, 2011

Status: Pending\_Post Tracking No. 80f3fcab

Comments Due: September 30, 2011

**Submission Type:** Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0018

Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of

Preventive Services Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0018-0002

Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services

under Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: Amendment

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0018-DRAFT-0380

Comment on FR Doc # 2011-19684

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Gavin Thorinson

**Address:** 

Everett, WA,

## **General Comment**

The view that a church being forced to provide medical insurance complete with acess to contraceptives is a violation of their religious freedom is not only wrong, it is completely backwards. Allowing a church to make that kind of decision for its employees, even if they rae members of the church, is a violation of the employees' religious freedoms. The employee should be free to decide for themslef if birth control usage violates their religious beliefs or not free from any consequences from employers or church authorities.

Any and all exemptions made are an attack on religious freedom and equality, two things the country is suppose to be based on more than anything else.