PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: September 23, 2011 **Received:** September 21, 2011

Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 80f26b54

Comments Due: September 30, 2011

Submission Type: Web

Docket: EBSA-2010-0018

Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of

Preventive Services Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0018-0002

Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services under

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: Amendment

Document: EBSA-2010-0018-DRAFT-0203

Comment on FR Doc # 2011-19684

Submitter Information

Name: Robin Link

Address:

PO Box 109

411 Horizon Hill Rd Yachats, OR, 97498 **Email:** foxfire@mulder.com

Phone: 541-547-5288

Organization: None - Private TAX-PAYING citizen

General Comment

Regarding the controversy surrounding the contraceptive issue: Why not simply require any health plan that covers the cost of Viagra (or any drug/operation that enables or enhances sexual capability or performance in men) also be required to cover the cost of pregnancy prevention medication/surgery in women?

Simple and fair.

Of course the real issue is about Abortion, the "Morning After" pill and the current scientific definition of pregnancy (beginning after a fertilized egg attaches itself to the placenta) vs the religious definition (a POTENTIAL fertilized egg based on the fact a male ejaculated in the vacinity of a female).

Obama wants to tax my already taxed long-term capital gains at a higher rate? FINE as long as he taxes the tax-free religious organizations that enter the political arena and want to jam their social values down my throat. So let any religious organization that is willing to give up their tax-free status have an exemption. That's fair too.

Now watch all the tax-exempt religions that include Viagra in their medical plans scream that their religious rights would be violated.