General Comment

Re: Comment on 45 CFR 147.138(b)

Suggested change:

Add a section to 45 CFR 147.138(b) that requires a non-grandfathered plan to notify the beneficiary (via a reason code for each emergency service line on the explanation of benefits it provides the beneficiary) and medical professional and/or hospitals (via a reason code for each emergency service line on the reimbursement advise it provides a ER professionals and/or hospitals) that-
(a) the plan is a non-grandfathered plan and has determined its payment obligation for this covered emergency services line-item pursuant to the provisions 45 CFR 147.138(b)(3); or
(c) the plan is a non-grandfathered plan and the plan has determined that the emergency services billed under this line-charge are not covered pursuant to the definitions in 45 CFR 147.138(b)(4).

Discussion:
There is nothing in existing regulations, as far as I can determine, that requires a plan to inform a beneficiary or a provider (physician and/or facility) if the out-of-network payment rules of 45 CFR 147.138(b(3)) apply to each line-item that has been billed for out-of-network emergency services.
services. Absent this information neither beneficiaries nor providers will be able to easily flag questionable line-item payments by non-grandfathered plans for emergency services.

This undercuts the consumer protections in the proposed regulations (29 CFR Part 2590) which provide beneficiaries and providers with access to a streamlined dispute resolution process should they wish to dispute:

(i) a non-grandfathered plan's determination that the services rendered do not meet certain medical necessity criteria (e.g.: the definition of covered emergency services in 45 CFR 147.138 (b)(4));
and/or
(ii) (ii) that the amount being paid by non-grandfathered plan does not comply with the plan's out-of-network payment obligations pursuant to federal regulations (45 CFR 147.138(b)(3)).

Attachments
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Re: Comment on 45 CFR 147.138(b)(3) -- (Patient Protection Regulations pertaining to requiring non-grandfathered plans to reimburse appropriately for out-of-network emergency charges)

Suggested change:

Add a section to 45 CFR 147.138(b) that requires a non-grandfathered plan to notify the beneficiary (via a reason code for each emergency service line on the explanation of benefits it provides the beneficiary) and medical professional and/or hospitals (via a reason code for each emergency service line on the reimbursement advise it provides a ER professionals and/or hospitals) that-

(a) the plan is a non-grandfathered plan and has determined its payment obligation for this covered emergency services line-item pursuant to the provisions 45 CFR 147.138(b)(3);

or

(c) the plan is a non-grandfathered plan and the plan has determined that the emergency services billed under this line-charge are not covered pursuant to the definitions in 45 CFR 147.138(b)(4).

Discussion:

There is nothing in existing regulations, as far as I can determine, that requires a plan to inform a beneficiary or a provider (physician and/or facility) if the out-of-network payment rules of 45 CFR 147.138(b) apply to each line-item that has been billed for out-of-network emergency services. Absent this information neither beneficiaries nor providers will be able to easily flag questionable line-item payments by plans for out-of-network emergency services by non-grandfathered plans.

This undercuts the consumer protections in the proposed regulations (29 CFR Part 2590) which provide beneficiaries and providers with access to a streamlined dispute resolution process should they wish to dispute:

(i) a non-grandfathered plan’s determination that the services rendered do not meet certain medical necessity criteria (such as the definition of covered emergency services in 45 CFR 147.138(b)(4)); and/or

(ii) that the amount being paid by non-grandfathered plan does not comply with the plan’s out-of-network payment obligations pursuant to federal regulations (45 CFR 147.138(b)(3)).

Please contact me if you require additional details on any of the points I have raised.

Respectfully submitted

J Vincent Drucker
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