EXHIBIT A

AGREEMENT CONCERNING FIDUCIARY ENGAGEMENTS AND PROCESS REQUIREMENTS FOR EMPLOYER STOCK TRANSACTIONS

The Secretary of Labor (the "Secretary") and James F. Joyner, III (the "Trustee") agree that the policies and procedures described below (the "Process Agreement") apply whenever the Trustee serves as a trustee or other fiduciary of any employee stock ownership plan ("ESOP") subject to Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), in connection with transactions in which the ESOP is purchasing or selling, is contemplating purchasing or selling, or receives an offer to purchase or sell, employer securities that are not publicly traded.

A. Selection and Use of Valuation Advisor-General. In all transactions involving the purchase or sale of employer securities that are not publicly traded, the Trustee will hire a qualified valuation advisor, and will do the following:

1. Prudently investigate the valuation advisor's qualifications;
2. Take reasonable steps to determine that the valuation advisor receives complete, accurate, and current information necessary to value the employer securities; and,
3. Prudently determine that the Trustee's reliance on the valuation advisor's advice is reasonable before entering into any transaction in reliance on the advice.

B. Selection of Valuation Advisor - Conflicts of Interest. The Trustee will not use a valuation advisor for a transaction that has previously performed work - including but not limited to any preliminary valuation- for or on behalf of the ESOP sponsor (as distinguished from the ESOP), any counterparty to the ESOP involved in the transaction, or any other entity that is structuring the transaction (such as an investment bank) for any party other than the ESOP or its trustee. The Trustee will not use a valuation advisor for a transaction that has a familial or
corporate relationship (such as a parent-subsidiary relationship) to any of the aforementioned persons or entities. The Trustee will obtain written confirmation from the valuation advisor selected that none of the above-referenced relations exist.

C. Selection of Valuation Advisor - Process. In selecting a valuation advisor for a transaction involving the purchase or sale of employer securities, the Trustee will prepare a written analysis addressing the following topics:

1. The reason for selecting the particular valuation advisor;
2. A list of all the valuation advisors that the Trustee considered;
3. A discussion of the qualifications of the valuation advisors that the Trustee considered;
4. A list of references checked and discussion of the references' views on the valuation advisors;
5. Whether the valuation advisor was the subject of prior criminal or civil proceedings; and,
6. A full explanation of the bases for concluding that the Trustee's selection of the valuation advisor was prudent.

If the Trustee selects a valuation advisor from a roster of valuation advisors that he has previously used, the Trustee need not undertake anew the analysis outlined above if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. The Trustee previously performed the analysis in connection with a prior engagement of the valuation advisor;
2. The previous analysis was completed within the twenty-four (24) month period immediately preceding the valuation advisor's selection for a specific transaction;
3. The Trustee documents in writing that he previously performed the analysis, the date(s) on which the Trustee performed the analysis, and the results of the analysis; and,

4. The valuation advisor certifies that the information it previously provided pursuant to item C.5 above is still accurate.

D. Oversight of Valuation Advisor - Required Analysis. In connection with any purchase or sale of employer securities that are not publicly traded, the Trustee will request that the valuation advisor document the following items in its valuation report,¹ and if the valuation advisor does not so document properly, the Trustee will prepare supplemental documentation of the following items to the extent they were not documented by the valuation advisor:

1. Identify in writing the individuals responsible for providing any projections reflected in the valuation report, and as to those individuals, conduct reasonable inquiry as to:
   (a) whether those individuals have or reasonably may be determined to have any conflicts of interest in regard to the ESOP (including but not limited to any interest in the purchase or sale of the employer securities being considered);
   (b) whether those individuals serve as agents or employees of persons with such conflicts, and the precise nature of any such conflicts; and,
   (c) record in writing how the Trustee and the valuation advisor considered such conflicts in determining the value of employer securities;

2. Document in writing an opinion as to the reasonableness of any projections considered in connection with the proposed transaction and explain in writing why and to what extent the projections are or are not reasonable. At a minimum, the

¹ The phrase "valuation report," as used in this Agreement, means the final valuation report as opposed to previous versions or drafts.
analysis shall consider how the projections compare to, and whether they are reasonable in light of, the company's five-year historical averages and medians and the five-year historical averages and medians of a group of comparable public companies (if any exist) for the following metrics, unless five-year data are unavailable (in which case, the analyses shall use averages extending as far back as possible):

(a) Return on assets;
(b) Return on equity;
(c) EBIT margins;
(d) EBITDA margins;
(e) Ratio of capital expenditures to sales;
(f) Revenue growth rate; and,
(g) Ratio of free cash flows (of the enterprise) to sales.

3. If it is determined that any of these metrics should be disregarded in assessing the reasonableness of the projections, document in writing both the calculations of the metric (unless calculation is impossible) and the basis for the conclusion that the metric should be disregarded. The use of additional metrics to evaluate the reasonableness of projections other than those listed in section D.2(a)-(g) above is not precluded as long as the appropriateness of those metrics is documented in writing. If comparable companies are used for any part of a valuation - whether as part of a Guideline Public Company method, to gauge the reasonableness of projections, or for any other purpose - explain in writing the bases for concluding that the chosen guideline companies are actually comparable to the company being
valued, including on the basis of size, customer concentration (if such information is
publicly available), and volatility of earnings. If a Guideline Public Company
analysis is performed, explain in writing any discounts applied to the multiples
selected, and if no discount is applied to any given multiple, explain in significant
detail the reasons.

4. If the company is projected to meet or exceed its historical performance or the
historical performance of the group of comparable public companies on any of the
metrics described in paragraph D.2 above, document in writing all material
assumptions supporting such projections and why those assumptions are reasonable.

5. To the extent that the Trustee or its valuation advisor considers any of the
projections provided by the ESOP sponsor to be unreasonable, document in writing
any adjustments made to the projections.

6. If adjustments are applied to the company's historical or projected financial metrics
in a valuation analysis, determine and explain in writing why such adjustments are
reasonable.

7. If greater weight is assigned to some valuation methods than to others, explain in
writing the weighting assigned to each valuation method and the basis for the
weightings assigned. If the valuation advisor does not use a Discounted Cash Flow
analysis, explain in writing why such an analysis was not performed.

8. Consider, as appropriate, how the plan document provisions regarding stock
distributions, the duration of the ESOP loan, and the age and tenure of the ESOP
participants, may affect the ESOP sponsor's prospective repurchase obligation, the
prudence of the stock purchase, or the fair market value of the stock.
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9. Analyze and document in writing:

(a) whether the ESOP sponsor will be able to service the debt taken on in connection with the transaction (including the ability to service the debt in the event that the ESOP sponsor fails to meet the projections relied upon in valuing the stock);

(b) whether the transaction is fair to the ESOP from a financial point of view;

(c) whether the transaction is fair to the ESOP relative to all the other parties to the proposed transaction;

(d) whether the terms of the financing of the proposed transaction are market-based, commercially reasonable, and in the best interests of the ESOP; and,

(e) the financial impact of the proposed transaction on the ESOP sponsor, and document in writing the factors considered in such analysis and conclusions drawn therefrom.

E. Financial Statements.

1. The Trustee will request that the company provide the Trustee and his valuation advisor with financial statements examined in accordance with generally accepted United States auditing standards by a Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") for the preceding five fiscal years, unless financial statements extending back five years are unavailable (in which case, the Trustee will request audited financial statement extending as far back as possible).

2. If the ESOP Sponsor provides to the Trustee or his valuation advisor any audited financial statement containing any disclaimer or modification by the CPA, the Trustee will determine whether it is prudent to rely on a financial statement.
notwithstanding the risk posed by using financial statements containing a disclaimer or modification.

3. If the ESOP Sponsor provides to the Trustee or his valuation advisor financial statements not audited by a CPA, or provides financial statements as to which a CPA has provided a modified opinion of any type, for any of the preceding five fiscal years (including interim financial statements that update or supplement the last available audited statements), the Trustee will determine whether it is prudent to rely on the unaudited financial statements or financial statements as to which a CPA has provided a modified opinion or any type, notwithstanding the risk posed by using financial statements lacking an auditor's opinion or as to which a CPA has provided a modified opinion of any type.

4. If the Trustee proceeds with the transaction notwithstanding the lack of access to financial statements as to which a CPA has expressed an unqualified opinion (including interim financial statements that update or supplement the last available audited statements), the Trustee will document the bases for the Trustee's reasonable belief that it is prudent to rely on the financial statements, and explain in writing how he accounted for any risk posed by using financial statements lacking an auditor's opinion or as to which a CPA has provided a modified opinion of any type. If the Trustee does not believe that he can reasonably conclude that it would be prudent to rely on the financial statements used in the valuation report, the Trustee will not proceed with the transaction. Although the Trustee is not required to audit the financial statements himself, he must carefully consider the reliability of those statements in the manner set forth in this Process Agreement.
F. Fiduciary Review Process- General. In connection with any transaction involving the
purchase or sale of employer securities that are not publicly traded, the Trustee agrees to do all of
the following:

1. Take reasonable steps necessary to determine the prudence of relying on the ESOP
   sponsor's financial statements provided to the valuation advisor, as set out more
   fully in Section E above;

2. Critically assess the reasonableness of any projections (particularly management
   projections), and if the valuation report does not document in writing the
   reasonableness of such projections, the Trustee will prepare supplemental
   documentation explaining why and to what extent the projections are or are not
   reasonable; and,

3. Document in writing the Trustee's bases for concluding that the information
   supplied to the valuation advisor, whether directly from the ESOP sponsor or
   otherwise, was current, complete, and accurate.

G. Fiduciary Review Process-Documentation of Valuation Analysis. The Trustee will
document in writing his analysis of any final valuation report relating to a transaction involving
the purchase or sale of employer securities. The Trustee's documentation will specifically
address each of the following topics and will include the Trustee's conclusions regarding the
final valuation report's treatment of each topic and explain in writing the bases for his
conclusions:

1. Marketability discounts;

2. Minority interests and control premiums;
3. Projections of the company’s future economic performance and the reasonableness or unreasonableness of such projections, including, if applicable, the bases for assuming that the company’s future financial performance will meet or exceed historical performance or the expected performance of the relevant industry generally;

4. Analysis of the company's strengths and weaknesses, which may include, as appropriate, personnel, plant and equipment, capacity, research and development, marketing strategy, business planning, financial condition, and any other factors that reasonably could be expected to affect future performance;

5. Specific discount rates chosen, including whether any Cost of Equity or Weighted Average Cost of Capital used by the valuation advisor was based on the company's actual capital structure or that of the relevant industry and why the chosen capital structure weighting was reasonable;

6. All adjustments to the company's historical financial statements;

7. Consistency of the general economic and industry-specific narrative in the valuation report with the quantitative aspects of the valuation report;

8. Reliability and timeliness of the historical financial data considered, including a discussion of whether the financial statements used by the valuation advisor were the subject of unqualified audit opinions, and if not, why it would nevertheless be prudent to rely on them;

9. The comparability of the companies chosen as part of any analysis based on comparable companies;
10. Material assumptions underlying the valuation report and any testing and analyses of these assumptions;

11. Where the valuation report made choices between averages, medians, and outliers (e.g., in determining the multiple(s) used under the "guideline company method" of valuation), the reasons for the choices;

12. Treatment of corporate debt;

13. Whether the methodologies employed were standard and accepted methodologies and the bases for any departures from standard and accepted methodologies;

14. The ESOP sponsor's ability to service any debt or liabilities to be taken on in connection with the proposed transaction;

15. The proposed transaction's reasonably foreseeable risks as of the date of the transaction; and,

16. Any other material considerations or variables that could have a significant effect on the price of the employer securities.


1. The Trustee will perform all of the following and document in writing his work with respect to each:

   (a) read and understand the valuation report;

   (b) identify and question the valuation report's underlying assumptions;

   (c) make reasonable inquiry as to whether the information in the valuation report is materially consistent with information in the Trustee's possession;

   (d) analyze whether the valuation report's conclusions are consistent with the data and analyses; and,
(e) analyze whether the valuation report is internally consistent in all material aspects.

2. The Trustee will document in writing all of the following:
   (a) the identities of his partners, staff, assistants, associates, employees, or consultants who were responsible for the proposed transaction, including any person who participated in decisions about whether to proceed with the transaction or the price of the transaction;
   (b) any material points as to which such persons identified under Section H.2(a) above disagreed and why; and,
   (c) whether any such persons identified under Section H.2(a) above concluded or expressed the belief prior to the Trustee's approval of the transaction that the valuation report's conclusions were inconsistent with the data and analysis therein or that the valuation report was internally inconsistent in any material aspects.

3. If any person identified under Section H.2(a) responsible for performing the analysis believe that the valuation report's conclusions are not consistent with the data and analysis or that the valuation report is internally inconsistent in any material respects, the Trustee will not proceed with the transaction.

I. Preservation of Documents. In connection with any transaction completed by the Trustee, he will create and preserve, for at least six (6) years, notes and records that document in writing all of the following:

   1. As of the date of the Trustee's consideration of the proposed transaction, the full name, business address, telephone number, and email address of any person who
made any material decision(s) on behalf of the Trustee in connection with the proposed transaction, including any of the persons identified pursuant to Section H.2 above;

2. A signed certification in connection with the proposed transaction that the Trustee, and any person identified under Section H.2 above, has read the valuation report, identified its underlying assumptions, and considered the reasonableness of the valuation report's assumptions and conclusions;

3. All notes and records created by the Trustee in connection with his consideration of the proposed transaction, including all documentation required by this Process Agreement;

4. All documents the Trustee and any persons identified under Section H.2 above relied on in making their decisions; and,

5. All electronic or other written communications the Trustee and any persons identified under Section H.2 above had with any service providers (including any valuation advisor) in connection with the transaction, the ESOP sponsor, any non-ESOP counterparties, and any advisors retained by the ESOP sponsor or non-ESOP counterparties.

J. Fair Market Value. The Trustee will not cause an ESOP to purchase employer securities for more than their fair market value or sell employer securities for less than their fair market value. The Department of Labor interprets ERISA to require that the principal amount of the debt financing the transaction, irrespective of the interest rate, not exceed the securities' fair market value. Accordingly, the Trustee will not cause an ESOP to engage in a leveraged stock purchase transaction in which the principal amount of the debt financing the transaction exceeds
the fair market value of the stock acquired with that debt, irrespective of the interest rate or other terms of the debt used to finance the transaction.

**K. Consideration of Claw-Back.** In evaluating proposed stock transactions, the Trustee will consider whether it is appropriate to request a claw-back arrangement or other purchase or sale price adjustment(s) to protect the ESOP against the possibility of adverse consequences in the event of significant corporate events or changed circumstances. The Trustee will document in writing its consideration of the appropriateness of a claw-back or other purchase or sale price adjustment(s).

**L. Other Professionals.** The Trustee may, consistent with its fiduciary responsibilities under ERISA, employ, or delegate fiduciary authority to, qualified professionals to aid the Trustee in the exercise of its powers, duties, and responsibilities as long as it is prudent to do so.

**M. Insurance Coverage.** Before agreeing to serve as a trustee or fiduciary in connection with any transaction for the purchase or sale of employer stock by any ESOP, the Trustee must obtain insurance coverage under at least one of the following requirements:

1. Before the proposed ESOP transaction, the Trustee will use all good faith efforts to obtain insurance coverage under a non-wasting policy sufficient to provide coverage for liability under ERISA in connection with the proposed transaction.

2. In the event the Trustee is unable after good faith efforts to obtain insurance coverage as stated under Section M.1 above, the Trustee must be named as a covered individual under the ESOP sponsor's insurance policy as a condition for agreeing to serve as a trustee or fiduciary in connection with any transaction for the purchase or sale of employer stock by any ESOP. If the Trustee intends to obtain insurance coverage under this Section M.2, the Trustee must obtain a written agreement from...
the BSOP's sponsor that the Trustee must be named as a covered individual under the BSOP sponsor's insurance policy as a condition for the Trustee to serve as a trustee or fiduciary for the BSOP.

N. **Not An Exclusive List of Fiduciary Duties.** This Process Agreement is not intended to specify all of the Trustee's obligations as an BRISA fiduciary with respect to the purchase or sale of employer stock under BRISA, and in no way supersedes any of the Trustee's obligations under BRISA or its implementing regulations.

O. **Headings.** Any headings or titles preceding any of the sections or provisions of this Process Agreement are inserted solely for the convenience of reference, shall not constitute a part of this Process Agreement, and shall not otherwise affect the meanings, content, effect, or construction of this Process Agreement.

P. **Counterparts.** This Process Agreement may be signed in multiple counterparts and transmitted by facsimile or by electronic mail or by any other electronic means intended to preserve the original graphic and pictorial appearance of a party's signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, and intending to be legally bound, the Secretary and
James F. Joyner III have caused this Process Agreement to be executed personally or by their
duly authorized representatives as of the dates set forth below.

NICHOLAS C. GEALE
Acting Solicitor of Labor

OSCAR L. HAMPTON III
Regional Solicitor of Labor

GEOFFREY FORNEY
Senior Trial Attorney
United States Department of Labor
170 South Independence Mall West
Suite 630E, The Curtis Center
Philadelphia, PA 19106-3306
215-861-5137
fomey.geoffrey@dol.gov

Attorneys for the Secretary of Labor
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