

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> September 28, 2015
<b>Received:</b> September 24, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8lbe-sz0k
<b>Comments Due:</b> September 24, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-8072

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Tony Wetta

---

## General Comment

Well, I appreciate the government's concern in wanting to protect me, however, stricter regulations to my adviser or securities firm may well restrict the services they offer to me that allow diversification for my portfolio.. securities as defined in FINRA Rule 6710(p) or its successor, insurance and annuity contracts, guaranteed investment contracts, and equity securities within the meaning of 17 CFR 230.405 that are exchange-traded securities within the meaning of 17 CFR 242.600.

what I didn't see in this definition is any equity security that is a security future or a put, call, straddle, or other option or privilege of buying an equity security. By offering puts, calls and other options these services have allowed me to hedge my IRA portfolio.

I want these services to be offered by my broker, I don't want to see investment advice fiduciaries, broker dealers, profit motive increase for not offering these security calls, options or puts, which have given me extra security to my IRA.

Please don't let regulation restrict the services offered and available to me that keeps my IRA moving.