

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: September 28, 2015
Received: September 24, 2015
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jz-8lb8-krtw
Comments Due: September 24, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

Document: EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-7883

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Dahnke

Address:

11295 S Parliament Way
Parker, CO, 80138

Email: afewdents@yahoo.com

Phone: 3039524063

General Comment

Recently, I became aware of a proposed rule that would undermine my ability to plan for my retirement in ways I believe best for me, and I want to share my concerns with you.

My understanding is that the Department of Labor's (DOL's) proposed retirement rule would limit my ability to trade listed options in my IRA. It's a limitation that, to me, makes no sense.

I have traded options in my IRA, just as I have in non-retirement brokerage accounts, and I had to qualify for this privilege. Education and support from my brokerage firm are available to me 24-7 to answer questions or validate ideas. I can join online communities, take courses, watch instructional videos, test strategies, or speak to a representative any time I want.

This proposed rule would change all of that by making my brokerage firm a fiduciary for my IRA - responsible for looking out for my best interests - if it provides any research, tools or education that the rule views as "advice." My account in its current state would likely be considered an "advised IRA," and as I understand the rule, options trading would be prohibited in it.

In order to trade options in my IRA, my brokerage firm would likely be required to remove most research, tools and educational resources so my account would not be considered an "advised IRA." Or, my firm could convert my account to a more expensive investment advisory one. Neither appeals to me.

With this rule, it seems the government has determined that I am not smart enough to make my own informed investment decisions. I do not agree. The rule will only divert my attention and assets away from my IRA and

toward other, non-retirement accounts.

Saving for retirement is difficult enough. Why add more obstacles and complexity? I urge you to please preserve the freedoms investors currently enjoy to choose how we invest in our retirement accounts and plan for a better financial tomorrow.