Ladies and Gentlemen,

I am writing on behalf of Betterment LLC, an SEC-registered investment advisor, in opposition to the proposed delay of the Department of Labor’s fiduciary rule. As an automated investment service that provides fiduciary advice to more than 220,000 clients, we are strong supporters of the rule.

The fiduciary rule is necessary to ensure that Americans receive investment advice that is in their own interests, instead of conflicted sales pitches for high-fee products. For years, the financial industry has put its own interests first, costing investors billions of dollars. The fiduciary rule, which is currently slated to go into effect on April 10, would change that. We believe that any delay would needlessly perpetuate conflicted advice at investors’ expense.

Betterment has consistently supported the fiduciary rule and submitted a formal comment expressing our support in September 2015. Before finalizing the rule, the Department of Labor considered an extensive factual record and thousands of comments. The Department of Labor also significantly adjusted its initial proposal to accommodate the legitimate concerns of impacted firms. Since then, the industry has had nearly a year to prepare for the rule’s applicability and has expended significant resources in doing so.

The fiduciary rule may not be perfect—no regulation ever is. But the fiduciary rule is the only realistic hope for prompt action to improve the quality of retirement advice. A delay would be bad enough, but it would be even worse if the delay is used as an opportunity to dilute the rule or remove it altogether. We are extremely concerned about this possibility. If the Department of Labor wishes to further consider or revise aspects of the fiduciary rule, it can certainly do so once the rule is actually in effect. That is, the Department can take another look at the rule without imposing a delay that would imperil the rule itself.

Some firms that have opposed the rule claim that a “unified best interests standard,” which would also cover non-retirement accounts, would be preferable. That sounds great in theory, but it is not realistically happening any time soon—and these firms know
it. The fiduciary rule has already let to positive changes in the investment industry, such as reductions in fund fees and changes to conflicted service models. If the rule is delayed or watered down, these positive changes could disappear.

For the benefit of the millions of Americans saving for retirement, we ask that the Department of Labor allow the fiduciary rule to go into effect this April.

Sincerely,

Jon Stein
Founder & CEO