

From: [Leo Latraverse](#)
To: [E-OHPSCA2715.EBSA](#)
Subject: Summary of Benefits Requirement
Date: Thursday, October 13, 2011 9:01:26 AM

Dear Department of Labor,
PPACA requirements are putting a significant strain on the benefits industry. With the current March 23, 2012 deadline fast approaching and with several key issues still unresolved, we are requesting a delay in the effective date of the Summary of Benefits requirement for at least a year, and then have it applicable only at renewal of the group. Below are some of the key issues outstanding.

1. It appears that the proposed Summary of Benefits template was created from the fully-insured point of view, but the vast majority of US health plans are self-funded. The error is understandable since NAIC works with state insurance departments in their management of the fully-insured health marketplace, so its approach envisions fully-insured plans. Under ERISA's preemption provisions, state insurance departments generally do not have authority over self-funded welfare benefit plans.
2. The terminology and definitions used by self funded programs does not always math those being used by insurance carriers on their fully insured products.
3. The template is not user friendly for the self-funded plan sponsor nor for third party administrators that will be managing the process for their employer clients. Using the template in the suggested version from the NAIC, with persons who will be covered by a self-funded program, could lead them to believe that the program they are looking at is a fully-insured program, which it would not be. Providing a self-funded version of the Summary will help dissuade them of such a belief. Keeping this clarification has been a priority of NAIC for years, so proceeding with the template would be a step backward.
4. Finally, a lot of employee time will be required to create these Summaries. In the self-funded market each plan has customized features. They are not the standard plans used by many insurance carriers. Each summary will have to be individually crafted at a significant expense to the self-funded employer. If an employer has an indemnity plan, PPO plan, and a High Deductible HSA compatible plan, with 4 tiers of coverage each (single, single and spouse, single and children, and family) the number of separate Summaries multiplies quickly.
5. The requirements to provide in 4 different languages is outrageous. The progressive outlook would be to move towards a unilingual marketplace to reduce the administrative waste we already are faced with.

TRU Services represents over 200 employer sponsored health plans with over 150,000 participating members. We thank you for your consideration to give us the time and opportunity to improve compliance with the changes that fit self-funded benefit plans and we look forward to a positive response to our suggestions.

