

subject matter appealed, and it is crucial that OWCP consider all evidence relevant to the subject matter that was properly submitted to OWCP prior to the issuance of its final decision, even if received the same day of issuance.³ In the present case OWCP did not properly review the relevant evidence of record. The case will be remanded to OWCP for proper consideration of the evidence and an appropriate decision.⁴

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the decision of the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs dated May 29, 2013 is set aside and the case remanded for further action consistent with this order of the Board.

Issued: September 24, 2013
Washington, DC

Richard J. Daschbach, Chief Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Colleen Duffy Kiko, Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Patricia Howard Fitzgerald, Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

³ See *S.A.*, Docket No. 13-117 (issued April 25, 2013); *Linda Johnson*, 45 ECAB 439 (1994); *Patsy R. Tatum*, 44 ECAB 490 (1993).

⁴ Appellant requested an oral argument with respect to her appeal. In view of the Board's findings, an oral argument would only delay adjudication of the claim and serve no useful purpose.