

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> September 27, 2011
<b>Received:</b> September 24, 2011
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 80f29d13
<b>Comments Due:</b> September 30, 2011
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0018

Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0018-0002

Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services under Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: Amendment

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0018-DRAFT-0281

Comment on FR Doc # 2011-19684

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Ryan Stoddard

**Address:**

Jacksonville, NC,

**Organization:** United States Marine Corps

---

## General Comment

Coverage of contraceptive measures for women should still be a requirement for religious organizations to provide. Here, religious conservatives are attempting to pit Religious Rights vs Women's Rights; large groups vs the individual. Even though a religion may have strict and respectable morals regarding sexuality, you must account for individuals in those groups that do not necessarily associate themselves with a particular religion 100%. Regardless if it is an issue of awry members or simply planned parenthood, the personal options should still be available for women. An unplanned pregnancy is a very serious matter, whether religious or not, that can be avoided so long as the means are there.