## BRB No. 06-0262 BLA

DANNY CAUSEY	
Claimant-Petitioner	)
v.	)
BLEDSOE COAL COMPANY	)
and	)
JAMES RIVER COAL COMPANY	) DATE ISSUED: 07/27/2006
Employer/Carrier- Respondents	) ) )
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROGRAMS, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	) ) )
Party-in-Interest	) DECISION and ORDER

Appeal of the Decision and Order of Joseph E. Kane, Administrative Law Judge, United States Department of Labor.

Edmond Collett (Edmond Collett, P.S.C.), Hyden, Kentucky, for claimant.

James M. Kennedy (Baird & Baird, P.S.C.), Pikeville, Kentucky, for employer.

Barry H. Joyner (Howard M. Radzely, Solicitor of Labor; Allen H. Feldman, Associate Solicitor; Rae Ellen Frank James, Deputy Associate Solicitor; Michael J. Rutledge, Counsel for Administrative Litigation and Legal Advice), Washington, D.C., for the Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, United States Department of Labor.

Before: SMITH, HALL, and BOGGS, Administrative Appeals Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Claimant appeals the Decision and Order (04-BLA-6086) of Administrative Law Judge Joseph E. Kane denying benefits on a claim filed pursuant to the provisions of Title IV of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, as amended, 30 U.S.C. §901 *et seq.* (the Act). The administrative law judge found twenty-two years of qualifying coal mine employment. Decision and Order at 3. Based on the date of filing, the administrative law judge adjudicated the claim pursuant to 20 C.F.R. Part 718. Decision and Order at 8. The administrative law judge found that the evidence of record was insufficient to establish the existence of pneumoconiosis pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §718.202(a)(1)-(4) or the presence of a totally disabling respiratory or pulmonary impairment pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §718.204(b)(2). Decision and Order at 8-15. Accordingly, benefits were denied.

On appeal, claimant contends that the administrative law judge erred in failing to find the existence of pneumoconiosis established pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §718.202(a)(1) and in failing to find total disability established pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §718.204(b)(2)(iv). Claimant also asserts, with respect to the medical opinion evidence, that he was not provided a complete pulmonary evaluation as required by the Act and regulations. Employer responds urging affirmance of the administrative law judge's denial of benefits as supported by substantial evidence. The Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (the Director), has filed a letter stating that he takes no position with respect to the merits of the case but asserting that claimant was provided with a complete pulmonary examination.<sup>3</sup>

The Board's scope of review is defined by statute. The administrative law judge's Decision and Order must be affirmed if it is rational, supported by substantial evidence, and in accordance with applicable law. 33 U.S.C. §921(b)(3), as incorporated by 30

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The record indicates that claimant was last employed in the coal mine industry in Kentucky. Director's Exhibit 3. Accordingly, this case arises within the jurisdiction of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit *See Shupe v. Director, OWCP*, 12 BLR 1-200 (1989)(*en banc*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Claimant filed his claim for benefits on September 6, 2002, which was denied by the district director on December 24, 2003. Director's Exhibits 2, 26. Claimant subsequently requested a hearing before the Office of Administrative Law Judges. Director's Exhibit 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The administrative law judge's length of coal mine employment determination, as well as his findings pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §§718.202(a)(2)-(3), 718.304 and 718.204(b)(2)(i)-(iii), are affirmed as unchallenged on appeal. *Skrack v. Island Creek Coal Co.*, 6 BLR 1-710 (1983).

U.S.C. §932(a); O'Keeffe v. Smith, Hinchman & Grylls Associates, Inc., 380 U.S. 359 (1965).

In order to establish entitlement to benefits in a living miner's claim filed pursuant to 20 C.F.R. Part 718, claimant must establish the existence of pneumoconiosis, that the pneumoconiosis arose out of coal mine employment, and that the pneumoconiosis is totally disabling. 20 C.F.R. §§718.3, 718.202, 718.203, 718.204. Failure to establish any one of these elements precludes entitlement. *Trent v. Director, OWCP*, 11 BLR 1-26 (1987); *Perry v. Director, OWCP*, 9 BLR 1-1 (1986)(*en banc*).

After considering the administrative law judge's Decision and Order, the arguments raised on appeal and the evidence of record, we conclude that the Decision and Order of the administrative law judge is supported by substantial evidence and contains no reversible error. The administrative law judge rationally found that the evidence of record was insufficient to establish total disability. *See Kuchwara v. Director, OWCP*, 7 BLR 1-167 (1984).

Pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §718.204(b)(2)(iv), claimant initially asserts that in addressing the issue of total disability, the administrative law judge is required to consider the exertional requirements of claimant's usual coal mine work in conjunction with a physician's findings regarding the extent of any respiratory impairment. Claimant's Brief at 5, citing *Cornett v. Benham Coal, Inc.*, 227 F.3d 569, 22 BLR 2-107 (6th Cir. 2000); *Hvizdzak v. North American Coal Corp.*, 7 BLR 1-469 (1984); *Parsons v. Black Diamond Coal Co.*, 7 BLR 1-236 (1984). The only specific argument claimant sets forth, however, is that:

The claimant's usual coal mine work included being a bridge carrier man, bolt machine operator, and scoop operator. It can be reasonably concluded that such duties involved the claimant being exposed to heavy concentrations of dust on a daily basis. Taking into consideration the claimant's condition against such duties, it is rational to conclude that the claimant's condition prevents him from engaging in his usual employment in that such employment occurred in a dusty environment and involved exposure to dust on a daily basis.

Claimant's Brief at 5. Claimant's argument lacks merit. A statement that a miner should limit further exposure to coal dust is not equivalent to a finding of total disability. *Zimmerman v. Director, OWCP*, 871 F.2d 564, 12 BLR 2-254 (6th Cir. 1989); *Neace v. Director, OWCP*, 867 F.2d 264, 12 BLR 2-160 (6th Cir. 1889); *Taylor v. Evans and Gambrel Co.*, 12 BLR 1-83 (1988).

Because an administrative law judge's findings must be based solely on the medical evidence of record, we also reject claimant's argument that pneumoconiosis is a progressive disease that must have worsened, thus affecting his ability to perform his usual coal mine employment. White v. New White Coal Co., 23 BLR 1-1, 1-7 n.8 (2004). As claimant makes no other specific challenge to the administrative law judge's weighing of the medical opinion evidence of record with respect to total disability, we affirm the administrative law judge's finding that claimant did not establish that he is totally disabled pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §718.204(b)(2)(iv). See Sarf v. Director, OWCP, 10 BLR 1-119 (1987); Fish v. Director, OWCP, 6 BLR 1-107 (1983). Consequently, we affirm the denial of benefits as claimant has failed to establish total disability, an essential element of entitlement. See Trent, 11 BLR at 1-27; Perry, 9 BLR at 1-2.

Finally, claimant contends that because the administrative law judge did not fully credit Dr. Simpao's November 14, 2002 opinion, diagnosing pneumoconiosis, provided by the Department of Labor, "the Director has failed to provide claimant with a complete, credible pulmonary examination sufficient to substantiate the claim, as required under the Act." Claimant's Brief at 4. The Director responds that Section 413(b) has been satisfied as the Director is "only required to provide each miner-claimant with a complete and credible examination, not a dispositive one," and he states that claimant was provided the medical examination required by the Act and regulations. Director's Brief at 2.

The Act requires that "[e]ach miner who files a claim . . . be provided an opportunity to substantiate his or her claim by means of a complete pulmonary evaluation." 30 U.S.C. §923(b), implemented by 20 C.F.R. §§718.101(a), 725.406. The issue of whether the Director has met this duty may arise where "the administrative law judge finds a medical opinion incomplete," or where "the administrative law judge finds that the opinion, although complete, lacks credibility." *Hodges v. BethEnergy Mines*, 18 BLR 1-84, 1-88 n.3 (1994); *accord Cline v. Director, OWCP*, 917 F.2d 9, 11, 14 BLR 2-102, 2-105 (8th Cir. 1990); *Newman v. Director, OWCP*, 745 F.2d 1162, 1166, 7 BLR 2-25, 2-31 (8th Cir. 1984).

The record reflects that Dr. Simpao conducted an examination and the full range of testing required by the regulations, and addressed each element of entitlement on the Department of Labor examination form. 20 C.F.R. §§718.101(a), 718.104, 725.406(a); Director's Exhibit 10. The administrative law judge did not find nor does claimant allege that Dr. Simpao's report was incomplete. With respect to the issue of total disability, the element which defeated entitlement in this case, the administrative law judge fully credited Dr. Simpao's opinion, that claimant was totally disabled, but found it outweighed by the preponderance of contrary evidence. Decision and Order at 14-15. We reject, therefore, claimant's argument that the Director failed to fulfill his statutory obligation to provide claimant with a complete and credible pulmonary evaluation. See

Hodges, 18 BLR 1-84; Pettry v. Director, OWCP, 14 BLR 1-98 (1990)(en banc); Hall v. Director, OWCP, 14 BLR 1-51 (1990); see also Newman, 745 F. 2d 1162, 7 BLR 2-25.

Accordingly, the administrative law judge's Decision and Order denying benefits is affirmed.

SO ORDERED.

ROY P. SMITH
Administrative Appeals Judge

BETTY JEAN HALL
Administrative Appeals Judge

JUDITH S. BOGGS
Administrative Appeals Judge