Senior Community Service Employment Program Analysis of Service to Minority Individuals, PY 2018

Volume II

US Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration Office of Workforce Investment

Submitted by: The Charter Oak Group, LLC June 30, 2020

Table of Contents

Technical Notes on Reading the Tables in Appendices A and B	3
Appendix A: Participation Tables, Grantees	4
Table 1: Grantees by Minority Overall	
Table 2: Grantees by Hispanic	7
Table 3. Grantees by Black	10
Table 4. Grantees by Asian	13
Table 5. Grantees by American Indian	
Table 6. Grantees by Pacific Islander	19
Appendix B: Participation Tables, National Grantee by State	22
Technical Notes on Reading the Tables in Appendices C-H	67
Appendix C: Employment in Q2 Tables, Grantees	68
Table 1: Employment in Q2, Grantees by Race	68
Table 2: Employment in Q2, Grantees by Ethnicity	
Table 3: Employment in Q2, Grantees by Minority Status	85
Appendix D: Employment in Q4 Tables, Grantees	
Table 1: Employment in Q4, Grantees by Race	
Table 2: Employment in Q4, Grantees by Ethnicity	
Table 3: Employment in Q4, Grantees by Minority Status	107
Appendix E: Median Earnings Tables, Grantees	
Table 1: Median Earnings, Grantees by Race	112
Table 2: Median Earnings, Grantees by Ethnicity	114
Table 3: Median Earnings, Grantees by Minority Status	116
Appendix F: Employment in Q2 Tables, National Grantees by State	
Table 1: Employment in Q2, National Grantees by State, by Race	
Table 2: Employment in Q2, National Grantees by State, by Ethnicity	
Table 3: Employment in Q2, National Grantees by State, by Minority Status	145
Appendix G: Employment in Q4, National Grantees by State	
Table 1: Employment in Q4, National Grantees by State, by Race	
Table 2: Employment in Q4, National Grantees by State, by Ethnicity	
Table 3: Employment in Q4, National Grantees by State, by Minority Status	180
Appendix H: Median Earnings Tables, National Grantees by State	
Table 1: Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Race	
Table 2: Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Ethnicity	
Table 3: Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Minority Status	196

Technical Notes on Reading the Tables in Appendices A and B

In Appendices A and B of Volume II, grantees are highlighted as having a significantly lower rate of participation for a minority category only if they served less than 80% of the incidence of that minority category in the population and if the difference is also statistically significant at the .05 level.

The SCSEP percent minority and Census percent minority reported in the second and third columns of the tables in Appendices A and B carry more decimal places than are displayed in the tables. As a result, the percent differences in the fourth column of those tables are often slightly different from the results that would be obtained by using the numbers displayed in the second and third columns without the hidden decimal places.

If the Census percent minority column has a value of less than 0.5% or is actually zero, the incidence in the population is too small to permit meaningful analysis. In that case, the last four columns in the table will all show N/A.

The percent difference will have a value of 0.0% (0.00% for Pacific Islanders) when SCSEP serves none of the relevant minority population. Where this occurs, the column for <80% will show 1, the column for significance will show the result of the significance test, and the last column will show 0 or 1.

Given the very small population estimates for some minority groups, especially American Indians and Pacific Islanders, it is possible that a small Census estimate can still yield statistical significance. Although the associated participation rates may meet both criteria (less than 80% served and statistically significant), these instances do not meet the test of practical significance that the 80% rule was meant to determine. Therefore, if the population estimate for a minority category is less than 1%, and there are fewer than 200 individuals in that minority category in the population, the last four columns will show N/A, indicating that no meaningful rate of participation in SCSEP can be established.

For national grantees by state in Appendix B, the total row in each table shows the aggregate grantee data in the first three columns. (These data are the same as the data in the corresponding grantee tables in Appendix A.) The last three columns do not report the aggregate grantee performance. Instead, they display the count of the number of states for that grantee in which the Percent Difference is less than 80%, the difference is statistically significant, and both tests – less than 80% and statistical significance – have been met. The same is true for the nationwide, national grantees, and state grantees summary rows in the tables in Appendix A: The last three columns report the number of grantees in which the Percent Difference is less than 80%, the difference is statistically significant, and both tests – less than 80% and statistical significance – have been met.

For more information about these analyses, see Participation, Data Source and Methodology in Volume I of this report.

Appendix A: Participation Tables, Grantees¹

Table 1: Grantees by Minority Overall

Table 1. Grantees		Volum				
	SCSEP	Census		Less		
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Less Than
Grantee	Minority	Minority	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AARP	73.9%	51.5%	143.5%	0	0	0
ANPPM	84.9%	58.1%	146.1%	0	0	0
ATD	30.4%	11.0%	276.4%	0	0	0
Easter Seals	60.4%	38.7%	156.1%	0	0	0
Experience Works	20.9%	12.3%	169.9%	0	0	0
Goodwill Industries	45.7%	23.6%	193.6%	0	0	0
IID [S]	74.7%	38.9%	192.0%	0	0	0
National Able Network	32.3%	10.2%	316.7%	0	0	0
NAPCA [S]	97.1%	61.6%	157.6%	0	0	0
NAPCA [G]	72.2%	48.4%	149.2%	0	0	0
NCBA	64.3%	33.6%	191.4%	0	0	0
NCOA	57.4%	38.2%	150.3%	0	0	0
NICOA [S]	87.4%	50.5%	173.1%	0	0	0
NOWCC	22.4%	22.5%	99.6%	0	0	0
NUL	78.9%	47.0%	167.9%	0	0	0
OAGB	37.6%	30.3%	124.1%	0	0	0
SER	57.9%	38.6%	150.0%	0	0	0
SSAI	56.0%	33.6%	166.7%	0	0	0
The WorkPlace	80.3%	49.3%	162.9%	0	0	0
VANTAGE	63.1%	26.3%	239.9%	0	0	0
National Grantees	61.4%	38.1%	161.2%	0	0	0

¹ Highlighting indicates grantees that served less than 80% of a minority category where the difference was also significant at the .05 level.

Grantee	SCSEP Percent Minority	Census Percent Minority	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Alabama	67.5%	42.0%	160.7%	0	0	0
Alaska	40.4%	43.2%	93.5%	0	0	0
Arizona	36.3%	41.4%	87.7%	0	0	0
Arkansas	52.3%	25.7%	203.5%	0	0	0
California	67.0%	63.2%	106.0%	0	0	0
Colorado	71.0%	33.8%	210.1%	0	0	0
Connecticut	57.0%	33.6%	169.6%	0	0	0
Delaware	74.5%	36.0%	206.9%	0	0	0
District of						
Columbia	97.7%	89.3%	109.4%	0	0	0
Florida	62.7%	43.2%	145.1%	0	0	0
Georgia	68.4%	35.5%	192.7%	0	0	0
Hawaii	83.8%	70.6%	118.7%	0	0	0
Idaho	28.3%	13.1%	216.0%	0	0	0
Illinois	80.9%	48.9%	165.4%	0	0	0
Indiana	50.7%	13.7%	370.1%	0	0	0
Iowa	24.3%	9.7%	250.5%	0	0	0
Kansas	46.1%	23.1%	199.6%	0	0	0
Kentucky	11.7%	8.2%	142.7%	0	0	0
Louisiana	82.4%	49.4%	166.8%	0	0	0
Maryland	59.4%	43.1%	137.8%	0	0	0
Massachusetts	53.0%	30.5%	173.8%	0	0	0
Michigan	54.3%	28.7%	189.2%	0	0	0
Minnesota	23.0%	12.7%	181.1%	0	0	0
Mississippi	75.4%	45.0%	167.6%	0	0	0
Missouri	43.3%	25.5%	169.8%	0	0	0
Montana	23.0%	11.6%	198.3%	0	0	0
Nebraska	54.4%	27.0%	201.5%	0	0	0
Nevada	72.6%	47.2%	153.8%	0	0	0
New Hampshire	10.9%	5.6%	194.6%	0	0	0
New Jersey	76.2%	56.9%	133.9%	0	0	0
New Mexico	54.0%	69.8%	77.4%	1	1	1
New York	67.4%	56.4%	119.5%	0	0	0
North Carolina	69.9%	43.5%	160.7%	0	0	0
North Dakota	13.4%	11.1%	120.7%	0	0	0
Ohio	50.2%	14.1%	356.0%	0	0	0

Grantee	SCSEP Percent Minority	Census Percent Minority	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Oklahoma	43.4%	23.3%	186.3%	0	0	0
Oregon	20.2%	17.4%	116.1%	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	51.4%	25.1%	204.8%	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	99.2%	99.4%	99.8%	0	0	0
Rhode Island	35.5%	27.8%	127.7%	0	0	0
South Carolina	70.5%	43.1%	163.6%	0	0	0
South Dakota	35.2%	26.3%	133.8%	0	0	0
Tennessee	49.8%	28.0%	177.9%	0	0	0
Texas	56.9%	51.8%	109.8%	0	0	0
Utah	39.8%	26.9%	148.0%	0	0	0
Vermont	2.1%	6.8%	30.9%	1	1	1
Virginia	58.8%	37.1%	158.5%	0	0	0
Washington	34.0%	23.1%	147.2%	0	0	0
West Virginia	38.7%	7.4%	523.0%	0	0	0
Wisconsin	45.0%	19.9%	226.1%	0	0	0
Wyoming	21.3%	15.2%	140.1%	0	0	0
State Grantees	56.1%	38.0%	147.6%	2	2	2
Nationwide	60.3%	38.0%	158.7%	2	2	2

Table 2: Grantees by Hispanic

Table 2. Grantees t	y mopanie					
	SCSEP	Census		Less		
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Less Than
Grantee	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AARP	24.2%	27.6%	87.7%	0	1	0
ANPPM	40.6%	21.2%	191.5%	0	0	0
ATD	4.7%	2.8%	167.9%	0	0	0
Easter Seals	10.3%	13.9%	74.1%	1	1	1
Experience Works	4.6%	3.4%	135.3%	0	0	0
Goodwill Industries	5.0%	6.0%	83.3%	0	1	0
IID [S]	2.4%	6.1%	39.3%	1	1	1
National Able						
Network	1.8%	2.7%	66.7%	1	0	0
NAPCA [S]	2.9%	22.0%	13.2%	1	1	1
NAPCA [G]	7.7%	15.9%	48.4%	1	1	1
NCBA	3.7%	4.0%	92.5%	0	0	0
NCOA	11.8%	16.2%	72.8%	1	1	1
NICOA [S]	12.0%	14.0%	85.7%	0	0	0
NOWCC	9.7%	11.1%	87.4%	0	0	0
NUL	13.9%	17.7%	78.5%	1	1	1
OAGB	13.6%	12.2%	111.5%	0	0	0
SER	17.9%	19.4%	92.3%	0	1	0
SSAI	3.8%	7.3%	52.1%	1	1	1
The WorkPlace	14.3%	20.3%	70.4%	1	1	1
VANTAGE	1.4%	2.4%	58.3%	1	1	1
National Grantees	12.0%	14.0%	85.7%	10	12	9

Grantee	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Census Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Alabama	1.3%	1.9%	68.4%	1	0	0
Alaska	1.5%	3.6%	41.7%	1	1	1
Arizona	17.7%	16.5%	107.3%	0	0	0
Arkansas	1.5%	2.7%	55.6%	1	0	0
California	28.6%	34.4%	83.1%	0	1	0
Colorado	31.0%	22.5%	137.8%	0	0	0
Connecticut	6.5%	16.7%	38.9%	1	1	1
Delaware	1.9%	3.5%	54.3%	1	0	0
District of	2.20/	5 10/	45 10/	1	0	0
Columbia	2.3%	5.1%	45.1%	1	0	0
Florida	24.7%	24.6%	100.4%	0	0	0
Georgia	1.8%	3.0%	60.0%	1	0	0
Hawaii	9.2%	5.0%	184.0%	0	0	0
Idaho	22.6%	7.9%	286.1%	0	0	0
Illinois	5.1%	12.6%	40.5%	1	1	1
Indiana	2.6%	2.8%	92.9%	0	0	0
Iowa	2.0%	3.0%	66.7%	1	0	0
Kansas	4.3%	8.4%	51.2%	1	1	1
Kentucky	1.5%	0.9%	166.7%	0	0	0
Louisiana	4.7%	4.9%	95.9%	0	0	0
Maryland	1.6%	1.7%	94.1%	0	0	0
Massachusetts	17.8%	15.1%	117.9%	0	0	0
Michigan	1.9%	2.3%	82.6%	0	0	0
Minnesota	2.4%	2.5%	96.0%	0	0	0
Mississippi	1.5%	1.1%	136.4%	0	0	0
Missouri	1.0%	2.3%	43.5%	1	1	1
Montana	8.2%	2.2%	372.7%	0	0	0
Nebraska	5.6%	5.2%	107.7%	0	0	0
Nevada	9.5%	21.0%	45.2%	1	1	1
New Hampshire	3.1%	1.1%	281.8%	0	0	0
New Jersey	14.2%	26.1%	54.4%	1	1	1
New Mexico	22.0%	36.4%	60.4%	1	1	1
New York	19.5%	24.2%	80.6%	0	1	0
North Carolina	2.1%	3.5%	60.0%	1	0	0
North Dakota	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
Ohio	1.1%	1.3%	84.6%	0	0	0

	SCSEP Percent	Census Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Grantee	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
Oklahoma	5.4%	6.5%	83.1%	0	0	0
Oregon	6.5%	6.6%	98.5%	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	7.3%	6.7%	109.0%	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	99.2%	99.4%	99.8%	0	0	0
Rhode Island	12.9%	16.1%	80.1%	0	0	0
South Carolina	0.9%	2.2%	40.9%	1	1	1
South Dakota	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
Tennessee	2.2%	1.7%	129.4%	0	0	0
Texas	27.0%	34.4%	78.5%	1	1	1
Utah	12.6%	15.7%	80.3%	0	0	0
Vermont	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Virginia	2.1%	1.9%	110.5%	0	0	0
Washington	2.8%	5.7%	49.1%	1	1	1
West Virginia	0.9%	0.5%	180.0%	0	0	0
Wisconsin	3.3%	4.7%	70.2%	1	0	0
Wyoming	5.3%	4.5%	117.8%	0	0	0
State Grantees	10.7%	13.3%	80.5%	22	16	14
Nationwide	11.8%	13.9%	84.9%	32	28	23

Table 3. Grantees by Black

	1					
	SCSEP	Census				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Grantee	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
AARP	48.9%	19.7%	248.2%	0	0	0
ANPPM	42.1%	28.2%	149.3%	0	0	0
ATD	24.4%	4.9%	498.0%	0	0	0
Easter Seals	42.3%	15.7%	269.4%	0	0	0
Experience Works	2.7%	1.0%	270.0%	0	0	0
Goodwill Industries	37.6%	14.5%	259.3%	0	0	0
IID [S]	34.8%	27.7%	125.6%	0	0	0
National Able						
Network	27.5%	4.5%	611.1%	0	0	0
NAPCA [S]	9.8%	19.6%	50.0%	1	1	1
NAPCA [G]	43.7%	17.8%	245.5%	0	0	0
NCBA	59.9%	26.2%	228.6%	0	0	0
NCOA	44.8%	16.8%	266.7%	0	0	0
NICOA [S]	22.5%	7.3%	308.2%	0	0	0
NOWCC	4.6%	1.8%	255.6%	0	0	0
NUL	63.1%	23.2%	272.0%	0	0	0
OAGB	19.9%	9.8%	203.1%	0	0	0
SER	36.8%	10.7%	343.9%	0	0	0
SSAI	46.9%	20.9%	224.4%	0	0	0
The WorkPlace	63.2%	23.1%	273.6%	0	0	0
VANTAGE	59.9%	21.1%	283.9%	0	0	0
National Grantees	43.9%	17.7%	248.0%	1	1	1

Grantee	SCSEP Percent Black	Census Percent Black	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Alabama	64.5%	37.8%	170.6%	0	0	0
Alaska	9.4%	2.8%	335.7%	0	0	0
Arizona	12.9%	3.2%	403.1%	0	0	0
Arkansas	49.7%	19.2%	258.9%	0	0	0
California	32.0%	9.1%	351.6%	0	0	0
Colorado	36.0%	5.3%	679.2%	0	0	0
Connecticut	49.5%	13.6%	364.0%	0	0	0
Delaware	72.6%	27.2%	266.9%	0	0	0
District of Columbia	88.4%	79.9%	110.6%	0	0	0
Florida	37.9%	16.3%	232.5%	0	0	0
Georgia	66.0%	30.1%	219.3%	0	0	0
Hawaii	2.3%	1.4%	164.3%	0	0	0
Idaho	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Illinois	71.5%	30.0%	238.3%	0	0	0
Indiana	46.7%	8.0%	583.8%	0	0	0
Iowa	20.3%	4.2%	483.3%	0	0	0
Kansas	38.3%	9.6%	399.0%	0	0	0
Kentucky	10.7%	5.7%	187.7%	0	0	0
Louisiana	78.2%	41.2%	189.8%	0	0	0
Maryland	57.8%	38.7%	149.4%	0	0	0
Massachusetts	35.7%	8.7%	410.3%	0	0	0
Michigan	51.4%	22.2%	231.5%	0	0	0
Minnesota	11.9%	5.9%	201.7%	0	0	0
Mississippi	72.4%	42.6%	170.0%	0	0	0
Missouri	40.7%	19.6%	207.7%	0	0	0
Montana	4.9%	0.1%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Nebraska	43.3%	17.6%	246.0%	0	0	0
Nevada	59.5%	14.6%	407.5%	0	0	0
New Hampshire	1.6%	1.5%	106.7%	0	0	0
New Jersey	57.7%	23.7%	243.5%	0	0	0
New Mexico	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
New York	40.4%	20.5%	197.1%	0	0	0
North Carolina	61.4%	34.3%	179.0%	0	0	0
North Dakota	7.5%	2.0%	375.0%	0	0	0
Ohio	47.6%	10.4%	457.7%	0	0	0
Oklahoma	17.5%	5.9%	296.6%	0	0	0

	SCSEP	Census	D (Less	G: :C: ,	T 751
Grantee	Percent Black	Percent Black	Percent Difference	Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
	2.4%	2.5%	96.0%	0	0	0
Oregon	43.4%	15.1%	287.4%	0	0	0
Pennsylvania						-
Puerto Rico	47.4%	10.3%	460.2%	0	0	0
Rhode Island	22.6%	7.8%	289.7%	0	0	0
South Carolina	67.9%	38.2%	177.7%	0	0	0
South Dakota	0.0%	0.5%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Tennessee	46.8%	23.7%	197.5%	0	0	0
Texas	29.3%	14.0%	209.3%	0	0	0
Utah	14.6%	3.8%	384.2%	0	0	0
Vermont	2.1%	1.0%	210.0%	0	0	0
Virginia	55.5%	32.8%	169.2%	0	0	0
Washington	20.8%	3.8%	547.4%	0	0	0
West Virginia	36.9%	4.4%	838.6%	0	0	0
Wisconsin	36.0%	11.8%	305.1%	0	0	0
Wyoming	2.7%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
State Grantees	41.0%	16.5%	248.5%	1	1	1
Nationwide	43.3%	17.4%	248.9%	2	2	2

Table 4. Grantees by Asian

Grantee	SCSEP Percent Asian	Census Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AARP	1.0%	3.2%	31.2%	1	1	1
ANPPM	2.0%	6.9%	29.0%	1	1	1
ATD	0.3%	1.4%	21.4%	1	1	1
Easter Seals	2.6%	5.0%	52.0%	1	1	1
Experience Works	0.1%	0.8%	12.5%	1	1	1
Goodwill Industries	0.5%	1.0%	50.0%	1	1	1
IID [S]	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
National Able Network	0.1%	1.0%	10.0%	1	1	1
NAPCA [S]	84.4%	18.4%	458.7%	0	0	0
NAPCA [G]	20.6%	12.7%	162.2%	0	0	0
NCBA	0.4%	1.4%	28.6%	1	1	1
NCOA	2.0%	5.0%	40.0%	1	1	1
NICOA [S]	1.1%	2.7%	40.7%	1	1	1
NOWCC	1.7%	4.1%	41.5%	1	1	1
NUL	0.9%	4.8%	18.7%	1	1	1
OAGB	4.0%	7.8%	51.3%	1	1	1
SER	1.3%	6.5%	20.0%	1	1	1
SSAI	3.4%	3.6%	94.4%	0	0	0
The WorkPlace	1.8%	5.8%	31.0%	1	1	1
VANTAGE	0.2%	1.1%	18.2%	1	1	1
National Grantees	3.3%	4.2%	78.6%	17	17	17

Grantee	SCSEP Percent Asian	Census Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Alabama	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
Alaska	1.5%	6.5%	23.1%	1	1	1
Arizona	0.8%	1.9%	42.1%	1	0	0
Arkansas	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
California	4.0%	17.7%	22.6%	1	1	1
Colorado	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
Connecticut	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
Delaware	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
District of Columbia	9.3%	2.4%	387.5%	0	0	0
Florida	0.7%	1.8%	38.9%	1	1	1
Georgia	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
Hawaii	46.8%	41.9%	111.7%	0	0	0
Idaho	1.9%	0.8%	237.5%	0	0	0
Illinois	2.7%	5.2%	51.9%	1	1	1
Indiana	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
Iowa	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
Kansas	0.9%	2.4%	37.5%	1	0	0
Kentucky	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Louisiana	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
Maryland	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
Massachusetts	1.6%	6.0%	26.7%	1	1	1
Michigan	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
Minnesota	0.8%	2.4%	33.3%	1	1	1
Mississippi	0.7%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Missouri	0.3%	1.6%	18.8%	1	1	1
Montana	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Nebraska	3.3%	2.4%	137.5%	0	0	0
Nevada	4.8%	9.2%	52.2%	1	0	0
New Hampshire	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
New Jersey	2.8%	6.6%	42.4%	1	1	1
New Mexico	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
New York	9.8%	11.6%	84.5%	0	0	0
North Carolina	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
North Dakota	1.5%	1.1%	136.4%	0	0	0
Ohio	0.2%	0.9%	22.2%	1	1	1
Oklahoma	0.6%	1.4%	42.9%	1	0	0

Grantee	SCSEP Percent Asian	Census Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Oregon	3.2%	3.3%	97.0%	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	0.4%	2.6%	15.4%	1	1	1
Puerto Rico	0.0%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Rhode Island	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	1	1	1
South Carolina	0.4%	1.3%	30.8%	1	1	1
South Dakota	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Tennessee	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1	1	1
Texas	0.1%	2.1%	4.8%	1	1	1
Utah	3.9%	3.8%	102.6%	0	0	0
Vermont	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
Virginia	0.4%	1.2%	33.3%	1	0	0
Washington	2.8%	8.3%	33.7%	1	1	1
West Virginia	0.9%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Wisconsin	1.3%	1.8%	72.2%	1	0	0
Wyoming	1.3%	0.9%	144.4%	0	0	0
State Grantees	2.0%	4.9%	40.8%	37	31	31
Nationwide	3.0%	4.4%	68.2%	54	48	48

Table 5. Grantees by American Indian

Table 5. Grantees by American Indian									
	SCSEP Percent American	Census Percent American	Percent	Less Than		Less Than			
Grantee	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig			
AARP	0.7%	0.6%	116.7%	0	0	0			
ANPPM	0.7%	1.1%	63.6%	1	0	0			
ATD	2.0%	0.8%	250.0%	0	0	0			
Easter Seals	4.8%	2.5%	192.0%	0	0	0			
Experience Works	12.5%	6.0%	208.3%	0	0	0			
Goodwill Industries	1.5%	1.0%	150.0%	0	0	0			
IID [S]	31.4%	2.0%	1570.0%	0	0	0			
National Able									
Network	2.2%	0.7%	314.3%	0	0	0			
NAPCA [S]	0.2%	0.6%	33.3%	1	1	1			
NAPCA [G]	0.5%	0.7%	71.4%	1	0	0			
NCBA	0.9%	0.8%	112.5%	0	0	0			
NCOA	0.2%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA			
NICOA [S]	52.4%	24.8%	211.3%	0	0	0			
NOWCC	5.1%	2.7%	188.9%	0	0	0			
NUL	1.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA			
OAGB	0.4%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA			
SER	1.9%	1.0%	190.0%	0	0	0			
SSAI	1.7%	0.8%	212.5%	0	0	0			
The WorkPlace	1.1%	0.6%	183.3%	0	0	0			
VANTAGE	0.5%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA			
National Grantees	2.3%	1.3%	176.9%	3	1	1			

	SCSEP	Census				
	Percent American	Percent American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Grantee	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
Alabama	1.8%	0.6%	300.0%	0	0	0
Alaska	26.1%	26.1%	100.0%	0	0	0
Arizona	3.2%	19.0%	16.8%	1	1	1
Arkansas	1.5%	1.1%	136.4%	0	0	0
California	2.0%	0.8%	250.0%	0	0	0
Colorado	7.0%	1.9%	368.4%	0	0	0
Connecticut	3.2%	0.7%	457.1%	0	0	0
Delaware	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
District of Columbia	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
Florida	0.6%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Georgia	0.4%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hawaii	0.6%	0.5%	120.0%	0	0	0
Idaho	3.8%	2.1%	181.0%	0	0	0
Illinois	1.1%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Indiana	0.7%	0.5%	140.0%	0	0	0
Iowa	1.4%	0.6%	233.3%	0	0	0
Kansas	1.7%	1.0%	170.0%	0	0	0
Kentucky	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Louisiana	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Maryland	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Massachusetts	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Michigan	1.3%	0.6%	216.7%	0	0	0
Minnesota	5.6%	1.4%	400.0%	0	0	0
Mississippi	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Missouri	1.0%	0.5%	200.0%	0	0	0
Montana	13.1%	7.0%	187.1%	0	0	0
Nebraska	2.2%	0.9%	244.4%	0	0	0
Nevada	1.2%	0.8%	150.0%	0	0	0
New Hampshire	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
New Jersey	0.6%	0.6%	100.0%	0	0	0
New Mexico	30.0%	31.7%	94.6%	0	0	0
New York	0.8%	0.7%	114.3%	0	0	0
North Carolina	6.8%	4.0%	170.0%	0	0	0
North Dakota	4.5%	4.5%	100.0%	0	0	0
Ohio	0.0%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Oklahoma	6.0%	5.3%	113.2%	0	0	0

Grantee	SCSEP Percent American Indian	Census Percent American Indian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Oregon	5.6%	2.2%	254.5%	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	1.2%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Puerto Rico	0.0%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Rhode Island	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1	1	1
South Carolina	0.4%	0.5%	80.0%	0	0	0
South Dakota	33.3%	22.8%	146.1%	0	0	0
Tennessee	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Texas	0.4%	0.5%	80.0%	0	0	0
Utah	6.8%	1.0%	680.0%	0	0	0
Vermont	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
Virginia	0.4%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Washington	4.9%	2.5%	196.0%	0	0	0
West Virginia	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Wisconsin	4.0%	1.0%	400.0%	0	0	0
Wyoming	14.7%	9.7%	151.5%	0	0	0
State Grantees	2.4%	2.0%	120.0%	8	8	8
Nationwide	2.3%	1.4%	164.3%	11	9	9

Table 6. Grantees by Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent Pacific	Census Percent Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Grantee	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AARP	0.10%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
ANPPM	0.10%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
ATD	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Easter Seals	0.20%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Experience Works	0.10%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Goodwill Industries	0.10%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IID [S]	1.40%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
National Able Network	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NAPCA [S]	0.90%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NAPCA [G]	0.30%	0.30%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NCBA	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NCOA	0.20%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NICOA [S]	0.20%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NOWCC	1.30%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NUL	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
OAGB	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
SER	0.40%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA
SSAI	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
The WorkPlace	0.30%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
VANTAGE	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
National Grantees	0.20%	0.10%	200.00%	NA	NA	NA

Grantee	SCSEP Percent Pacific Islander	Census Percent Pacific Islander	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Alabama	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Alaska	0.50%	0.80%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arizona	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arkansas	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
California	0.20%	0.30%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Colorado	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Connecticut	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Delaware	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
District of				<u> </u>		
Columbia	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Florida	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Georgia	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hawaii	20.20%	11.40%	177.20%	0	0	0
Idaho	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Illinois	0.30%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Indiana	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Iowa	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Kansas	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Kentucky	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Louisiana	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Maryland	0.80%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Massachusetts	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Michigan	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Minnesota	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Mississippi	0.70%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Missouri	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Montana	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Nebraska	0.00%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Nevada	0.00%	0.60%	0.00%	1	1	1
New Hampshire	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
New Jersey	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
New Mexico	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
New York	0.20%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
North Carolina	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
North Dakota	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ohio	0.20%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA

	SCSEP Percent Pacific	Census Percent Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Grantee	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
Oklahoma	1.20%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Oregon	1.60%	0.40%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Pennsylvania	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Puerto Rico	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Rhode Island	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
South Carolina	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
South Dakota	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Tennessee	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Texas	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Utah	2.90%	2.00%	145.00%	0	0	0
Vermont	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Virginia	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Washington	1.40%	0.40%	NA	NA	NA	NA
West Virginia	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Wisconsin	2.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Wyoming	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
State Grantees	0.50%	0.30%	166.70%	1	1	1
Nationwide	0.30%	0.10%	300.00%	1	1	1

Appendix B: Participation Tables, National Grantee by State

Table 1: Grantees by Minority Overall

AARP: Minorities Overall

AARP	SCSEP Percent Minority Overall	Population Percent Minority Overall	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	56.7%	31.9%	177.7%	0	0	0
CO	67.0%	40.5%	165.4%	0	0	0
FL	62.6%	51.8%	120.8%	0	0	0
GA	92.8%	66.7%	139.1%	0	0	0
IA	55.5%	13.4%	414.2%	0	0	0
IN	65.7%	26.6%	247.0%	0	0	0
MO	86.4%	42.3%	204.3%	0	0	0
NV	51.8%	38.3%	135.2%	0	0	0
OH	83.9%	40.6%	206.7%	0	0	0
PA	65.7%	26.7%	246.1%	0	0	0
PR	99.2%	99.4%	99.8%	0	0	0
TX	86.0%	76.2%	112.9%	0	0	0
VA	88.0%	56.6%	155.5%	0	0	0
WA	46.5%	26.8%	173.5%	0	0	0
Total	73.9%	51.5%	143.5%	0	0	0

ANPPM: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ANPPM	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AZ	83.8%	38.4%	218.2%	0	0	0
CA	89.8%	63.1%	142.3%	0	0	0
DC	100.0%	89.3%	112.0%	0	0	0
LA	77.7%	50.9%	152.7%	0	0	0
PA	98.7%	72.8%	135.6%	0	0	0
Total	84.9%	58.1%	146.1%	0	0	0

ATD: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ATD	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
ME	12.2%	4.4%	277.3%	0	0	0
NY	52.8%	18.2%	290.1%	0	0	0
PA	22.2%	5.0%	444.0%	0	0	0
VT	11.4%	6.3%	181.0%	0	0	0
Total	30.4%	11.0%	276.4%	0	0	0

Easter Seals: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Easter Seals	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AL	79.6%	49.0%	162.4%	0	0	0
AZ	64.1%	35.9%	178.6%	0	0	0
IL	96.3%	64.2%	150.0%	0	0	0
NJ	87.0%	55.8%	155.9%	0	0	0
NY	87.0%	77.6%	112.1%	0	0	0
OH	72.1%	27.4%	263.1%	0	0	0
OK	52.8%	30.8%	171.4%	0	0	0
OR	15.7%	15.6%	100.6%	0	0	0
UT	30.9%	19.8%	156.1%	0	0	0
Total	60.4%	38.7%	156.1%	0	0	0

Experience Works: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
Experience	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Works	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
ID	17.1%	13.3%	128.6%	0	0	0
MN	11.5%	8.0%	143.8%	0	0	0
MT	27.3%	12.7%	215.0%	0	0	0
ND	24.3%	12.0%	202.5%	0	0	0
SD	24.4%	15.1%	161.6%	0	0	0
WY	15.7%	12.7%	123.6%	0	0	0
Total	20.9%	12.3%	169.9%	0	0	0

Goodwill: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Goodwill	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IL	27.5%	7.7%	357.1%	0	0	0
IN	59.2%	22.8%	259.6%	0	0	0
KY	21.6%	6.7%	322.4%	0	0	0
MO	18.5%	9.6%	192.7%	0	0	0
NM	55.0%	60.1%	91.5%	0	0	0
ОН	28.3%	7.6%	372.4%	0	0	0
SC	76.1%	45.5%	167.3%	0	0	0
VA	40.9%	14.7%	278.2%	0	0	0
WA	27.6%	21.0%	131.4%	0	0	0
Total	45.7%	23.6%	193.6%	0	0	0

IID [S]: Minorities Overall

[0].						
	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
IID [S]	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AR	44.6%	22.7%	196.5%	0	0	0
LA	71.4%	40.7%	175.4%	0	0	0
MS	100.0%	38.4%	260.4%	0	0	0
TX	93.0%	57.8%	160.9%	0	0	0
Total	74.7%	38.9%	192.0%	0	0	0

National Able Network: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
National	Percent	Percent				
Able	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Network	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IA	19.1%	5.0%	382.0%	0	0	0
IL	44.7%	14.8%	302.0%	0	0	0
IN	25.9%	8.0%	323.7%	0	0	0
NE	38.9%	12.6%	308.7%	0	0	0
Total	32.3%	10.2%	316.7%	0	0	0

NAPCA [S]: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA [S]	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	96.1%	66.5%	144.5%	0	0	0
IL	100.0%	64.2%	155.8%	0	0	0
MA	98.8%	39.3%	251.4%	0	0	0
NY	100.0%	76.2%	131.2%	0	0	0
PA	98.9%	69.0%	143.3%	0	0	0
TX	92.9%	73.4%	126.6%	0	0	0
WA	96.2%	36.6%	262.8%	0	0	0
Total	97.1%	61.6%	157.6%	0	0	0

NAPCA [G]: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP Percent Minority	Population Percent Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA [G]	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	70.6%	57.1%	123.6%	0	0	0
IL	68.2%	32.9%	207.3%	0	0	0
NY	100.0%	66.9%	149.5%	0	0	0
Total	72.2%	48.4%	149.2%	0	0	0

NCBA: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP Percent Minority	Population Percent Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCBA	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	45.5%	25.0%	182.0%	0	0	0
DC	99.2%	89.3%	111.1%	0	0	0
FL	56.7%	30.1%	188.4%	0	0	0
IL	98.5%	64.2%	153.4%	0	0	0
MI	64.7%	23.0%	281.3%	0	0	0
MO	15.0%	7.1%	211.3%	0	0	0
MS	93.8%	59.4%	157.9%	0	0	0
NC	89.0%	45.9%	193.9%	0	0	0
ОН	97.1%	52.3%	185.7%	0	0	0
Total	64.3%	33.6%	191.4%	0	0	0

NCOA: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCOA	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
CA	64.7%	64.8%	99.8%	0	0	0
GA	68.2%	44.1%	154.6%	0	0	0
KY	36.3%	10.7%	339.3%	0	0	0
NC	85.9%	50.3%	170.8%	0	0	0
NJ	57.2%	31.6%	181.0%	0	0	0
NY	75.1%	64.1%	117.2%	0	0	0
ОН	62.1%	25.1%	247.4%	0	0	0
PA	36.8%	10.1%	364.4%	0	0	0
PR	97.9%	99.5%	98.4%	0	1	0
TN	51.2%	28.4%	180.3%	0	0	0
VA	77.1%	44.1%	174.8%	0	0	0
WV	24.9%	6.0%	415.0%	0	0	0
Total	57.4%	38.2%	150.3%	0	1	0

NICOA [S]: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NICOA [S]	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	88.8%	52.0%	170.8%	0	0	0
CA	74.2%	58.1%	127.7%	0	0	0
MN	80.0%	29.3%	273.0%	0	0	0
ND	100.0%	50.4%	198.4%	0	0	0
NM	89.6%	68.9%	130.0%	0	0	0
OK	83.0%	39.1%	212.3%	0	0	0
SD	100.0%	60.3%	165.8%	0	0	0
WI	100.0%	50.7%	197.2%	0	0	0
Total	87.4%	50.5%	173.1%	0	0	0

NOWCC: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP Percent Minority	Population Percent Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NOWCC	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	22.4%	22.5%	99.6%	0	0	0
Total	22.4%	22.5%	99.6%	0	0	0

NUL: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent Minority	Percent Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NUL	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
FL	65.3%	48.8%	133.8%	0	0	0
KY	94.1%	33.7%	279.2%	0	0	0
MI	92.9%	46.5%	199.8%	0	0	0
NJ	90.7%	68.5%	132.4%	0	0	0
NY	68.9%	46.4%	148.5%	0	0	0
PA	80.0%	23.6%	339.0%	0	0	0
Total	78.9%	47.0%	167.9%	0	0	0

OAGB: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
OAGB	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
MA	59.1%	41.0%	144.1%	0	0	0
NH	7.0%	6.3%	111.1%	0	0	0
Total	37.6%	30.3%	124.1%	0	0	0

SER: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SER	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	66.6%	58.0%	114.8%	0	0	0
CO	45.3%	22.8%	198.7%	0	0	0
KS	40.1%	18.7%	214.4%	0	0	0
MO	24.3%	10.4%	233.7%	0	0	0
TX	82.4%	60.3%	136.7%	0	0	0
WI	58.4%	20.2%	289.1%	0	0	0
Total	57.9%	38.6%	150.0%	0	0	0

SSAI: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
AL	61.2%	37.3%	164.1%	0	0	0
IA	17.7%	7.0%	252.9%	0	0	0
IL	84.4%	46.1%	183.1%	0	0	0
IN	66.3%	18.4%	360.3%	0	0	0
MA	44.7%	21.6%	206.9%	0	0	0
MD	82.6%	52.5%	157.3%	0	0	0
MN	60.1%	21.9%	274.4%	0	0	0
MS	77.4%	51.3%	150.9%	0	0	0
NC	55.0%	28.9%	190.3%	0	0	0
NY	71.4%	53.9%	132.5%	0	0	0
TN	41.8%	22.5%	185.8%	0	0	0
TX	39.5%	33.3%	118.6%	0	0	0
WI	19.6%	7.4%	264.9%	0	0	0
Total	56.0%	33.6%	166.7%	0	0	0

The WorkPlace: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
The	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
WorkPlace	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CT	73.7%	38.2%	192.9%	0	0	0
NY	84.7%	72.4%	117.0%	0	0	0
PA	85.5%	52.1%	164.1%	0	0	0
RI	71.2%	26.9%	264.7%	0	0	0
Total	80.3%	49.3%	162.9%	0	0	0

VANTAGE: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP Percent Minority	Population Percent Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
VANTAGE	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
ОН	63.1%	26.3%	239.9%	0	0	0
Total	63.1%	26.3%	239.9%	0	0	0

Table 2: Grantees by Hispanic

AARP: Hispanic

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
AARP	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	1.1%	3.3%	33.3%	1	1	1
CO	14.8%	21.9%	67.6%	1	1	1
FL	21.8%	33.0%	66.1%	1	1	1
GA	3.9%	6.9%	56.5%	1	1	1
IA	0.9%	2.9%	31.0%	1	1	1
IN	1.7%	5.9%	28.8%	1	1	1
MO	0.3%	3.3%	9.1%	1	1	1
NV	9.0%	17.6%	51.1%	1	1	1
OH	1.3%	3.6%	36.1%	1	1	1
PA	17.2%	12.9%	133.3%	0	0	0
PR	99.2%	99.2%	100.0%	0	0	0
TX	52.9%	56.9%	93.0%	0	1	0
VA	0.8%	3.2%	25.0%	1	1	1
WA	5.7%	7.1%	80.3%	0	0	0
Total	24.2%	27.6%	87.7%	10	11	10

ANPPM: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population		Less		Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Than
ANPPM	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
AZ	75.3%	30.1%	250.2%	0	0	0
CA	74.5%	37.9%	196.6%	0	0	0
DC	61.5%	5.1%	1205.9%	0	0	0
LA	1.7%	2.0%	85.0%	0	0	0
PA	79.7%	13.5%	590.4%	0	0	0
Total	40.6%	21.2%	191.5%	0	0	0

ATD: Hispanic

ATD	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
ME	4.1%	0.8%	512.5%	0	0	0
NY	5.6%	4.7%	119.1%	0	0	0
PA	3.0%	1.7%	176.5%	0	0	0
VT	5.4%	1.3%	415.4%	0	0	0
Total	4.7%	2.8%	167.9%	0	0	0

Easter Seals: Hispanic

Easter Seals	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AL	1.7%	1.5%	113.3%	0	0	0
AZ	20.7%	23.8%	87.0%	0	0	0
IL	12.4%	16.4%	75.6%	1	0	0
NJ	27.7%	28.8%	96.2%	0	0	0
NY	12.0%	39.5%	30.4%	1	1	1
ОН	2.4%	0.9%	266.7%	0	0	0
OK	2.9%	4.3%	67.4%	1	1	1
OR	4.9%	5.7%	86.0%	0	0	0
UT	13.6%	11.2%	121.4%	0	0	0
Total	10.3%	13.9%	74.1%	3	2	2

Experience Works: Hispanic

Experience	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Works	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
ID	8.8%	7.6%	115.8%	0	0	0
MN	3.2%	1.7%	188.2%	0	0	0
MT	4.6%	2.2%	209.1%	0	0	0
ND	0.6%	1.2%	50.0%	1	0	0
SD	1.7%	1.4%	121.4%	0	0	0
WY	10.7%	8.2%	130.5%	0	0	0
Total	4.6%	3.4%	135.3%	1	0	0

Goodwill: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population		Less		Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Than
Goodwill	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IL	2.2%	1.7%	129.4%	0	0	0
IN	0.9%	3.9%	23.1%	1	1	1
KY	3.0%	0.6%	500.0%	0	0	0
MO	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
NM	41.7%	51.1%	81.6%	0	1	0
ОН	2.7%	1.7%	158.8%	0	0	0
SC	3.0%	2.3%	130.4%	0	0	0
VA	1.8%	1.6%	112.5%	0	0	0
WA	6.1%	7.2%	84.7%	0	0	0
Total	5.0%	6.0%	83.3%	2	3	2

IID [S]: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population		Less		Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Than
IID [S]	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AR	1.8%	5.0%	36.0%	1	0	0
LA	1.4%	2.6%	53.8%	1	0	0
MS	1.9%	1.4%	135.7%	0	0	0
TX	7.0%	23.0%	30.4%	1	1	1
Total	2.4%	6.1%	39.3%	3	1	1

National Able Network: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population		Less		Less
National Able	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Than
Network	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IA	0.9%	1.8%	50.0%	1	0	0
IL	0.7%	1.8%	38.9%	1	0	0
IN	2.5%	1.4%	178.6%	0	0	0
NE	2.9%	4.3%	67.4%	1	0	0
Total	1.8%	2.7%	66.7%	3	0	0

NAPCA [S]: Hispanic

NAPCA [S]	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
CA	4.3%	28.1%	15.3%	1	1	1
IL	1.4%	16.4%	8.5%	1	1	1
MA	0.0%	11.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
NY	0.0%	32.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	4.5%	12.8%	35.2%	1	1	1
TX	5.1%	35.5%	14.4%	1	1	1
WA	0.0%	4.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	2.9%	22.0%	13.2%	7	7	7

NAPCA [G]: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population		Less		Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Than
NAPCA [G]	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	$(P \le = .05)$	80%, Sig
CA	9.9%	19.1%	51.8%	1	1	1
IL	6.4%	10.6%	60.4%	1	1	1
NY	1.2%	21.5%	5.6%	1	1	1
Total	7.7%	15.9%	48.4%	3	3	3

NCBA: Hispanic

NCBA	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
	•	•		0070	(1 \03)	00 /0, 51g
AR	0.8%	3.1%	25.8%	1	1	I
DC	2.3%	5.1%	45.1%	1	1	1
FL	7.0%	5.4%	129.6%	0	0	0
IL	3.8%	16.4%	23.2%	1	1	1
MI	3.0%	3.1%	96.8%	0	0	0
MO	2.9%	1.9%	152.6%	0	0	0
MS	0.4%	0.9%	44.4%	1	0	0
NC	9.5%	4.0%	237.5%	0	0	0
OH	2.3%	5.5%	41.8%	1	1	1
Total	3.7%	4.0%	92.5%	5	4	4

NCOA: Hispanic

NCOA	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
CA	26.0%	30.4%	85.5%	0	0	0
GA	1.6%	3.3%	48.5%	1	1	1
KY	1.4%	1.2%	116.7%	0	0	0
NC	1.9%	5.0%	38.0%	1	1	1
NJ	7.9%	9.9%	79.8%	1	0	0
NY	29.4%	35.9%	81.9%	0	1	0
ОН	2.1%	4.6%	45.7%	1	1	1
PA	3.3%	3.6%	91.7%	0	0	0
PR	97.4%	99.3%	98.1%	0	1	0
TN	2.0%	4.0%	50.0%	1	1	1
VA	3.4%	7.8%	43.6%	1	1	1
WV	0.9%	0.5%	180.0%	0	0	0
Total	11.8%	16.2%	72.8%	6	7	5

NICOA [S]: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population		Less		Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Than
NICOA [S]	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AZ	16.8%	15.4%	109.1%	0	0	0
CA	58.1%	36.5%	159.2%	0	0	0
MN	5.0%	3.4%	147.1%	0	0	0
ND	0.0%	0.9%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NM	22.9%	33.9%	67.6%	1	0	0
OK	2.6%	5.7%	45.6%	1	1	1
SD	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
WI	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	12.0%	14.0%	85.7%	4	3	3

NOWCC: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population		Less		Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Than
NOWCC	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	$(P \le 0.05)$	80%, Sig
CA	9.7%	11.1%	87.4%	0	0	0
Total	9.7%	11.1%	87.4%	0	0	0

NUL: Hispanic

NUL	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
FL	27.8%	30.0%	92.7%	0	(F<=.03) ()	00%, Sig
KY	3.0%	2.4%	125.0%	0	0	0
MI	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
NJ	18.3%	30.9%	59.2%	1	1	1
NY	13.1%	17.1%	76.6%	1	1	1
PA	0.8%	1.0%	80.0%	0	0	0
Total	13.9%	17.7%	78.5%	3	3	3

OAGB: Hispanic

	,	,				
	SCSEP	Population		Less		Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Than
OAGB	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
MA	20.9%	16.7%	125.1%	0	0	0
NH	3.1%	2.2%	140.9%	0	0	0
Total	13.6%	12.2%	111.5%	0	0	0

SER: Hispanic

SER	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
CA	34.5%	34.8%	99.1%	00%	(F<03)	00%, Sig
СО	34.1%	17.9%	190.5%	0	0	0
KS	8.2%	6.5%	126.2%	0	0	0
MO	4.0%	1.3%	307.7%	0	0	0
TX	15.1%	26.3%	57.4%	1	1	1
WI	8.2%	4.6%	178.3%	0	0	0
Total	17.9%	19.4%	92.3%	1	1	1

SSAI: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population		Less		Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Than
SSAI	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AL	2.4%	2.0%	120.0%	0	0	0
IA	4.6%	3.0%	153.3%	0	0	0
IL	2.7%	10.5%	25.7%	1	1	1
IN	0.6%	3.2%	18.8%	1	1	1
MA	13.5%	11.8%	114.4%	0	0	0
MD	3.4%	5.6%	60.7%	1	1	1
MN	2.0%	3.4%	58.8%	1	1	1
MS	0.3%	0.8%	37.5%	1	0	0
NC	1.0%	4.0%	25.0%	1	1	1
NY	4.0%	19.7%	20.3%	1	1	1
TN	1.3%	1.8%	72.2%	1	0	0
TX	13.5%	18.0%	75.0%	1	1	1
WI	1.8%	1.7%	105.9%	0	0	0
Total	3.8%	7.3%	52.1%	9	7	7

The WorkPlace: Hispanic

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
The WorkPlace	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
CT	19.1%	20.1%	95.0%	0	0	0
NY	24.2%	35.9%	67.4%	1	1	1
PA	2.2%	9.2%	23.9%	1	1	1
RI	28.2%	16.0%	176.2%	0	0	0
Total	14.3%	20.3%	70.4%	2	2	2

VANTAGE: Hispanic

VANTAGE	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
ОН	1.4%	2.4%	58.3%	1	1	1
Total	1.4%	2.4%	58.3%	1	1	1

Table 3: Grantees by Black

AARP: Black

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
AARP	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
AR	55.0%	24.9%	220.9%	0	0	0
CO	50.5%	11.3%	446.9%	0	0	0
FL	40.7%	16.7%	243.7%	0	0	0
GA	87.9%	51.7%	170.0%	0	0	0
IA	51.1%	7.5%	681.3%	0	0	0
IN	62.8%	18.4%	341.3%	0	0	0
MO	85.9%	34.9%	246.1%	0	0	0
NV	40.0%	9.9%	404.0%	0	0	0
ОН	81.5%	32.0%	254.7%	0	0	0
PA	47.9%	11.5%	416.5%	0	0	0
PR	11.0%	9.5%	115.8%	0	0	0
TX	32.1%	15.0%	214.0%	0	0	0
VA	86.2%	48.5%	177.7%	0	0	0
WA	30.2%	4.8%	629.2%	0	0	0
Total	48.9%	19.7%	248.2%	0	0	0

ANPPM: Black

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ANPPM	Black	Black	Difference	80%	Significant (P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	5.8%	2.5%	232.0%	0	0	0
CA	8.5%	10.0%	85.0%	0	0	0
DC	44.2%	79.9%	55.3%	1	1	1
LA	75.7%	46.1%	164.2%	0	0	0
PA	19.0%	52.2%	36.4%	1	1	1
Total	42.1%	28.2%	149.3%	2	2	2

ATD: Black

ATD	SCSEP Percent Black	Population Percent Black	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
ME	4.7%	0.5%	940.0%	0	0	0
NY	46.6%	9.8%	475.5%	0	0	0
PA	18.2%	1.7%	1070.6%	0	0	0
VT	4.8%	0.7%	685.7%	0	0	0
Total	24.4%	4.9%	498.0%	0	0	0

Easter Seals: Black

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Easter Seals	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AL	76.1%	45.0%	169.1%	0	0	0
AZ	38.9%	6.1%	637.7%	0	0	0
IL	81.2%	40.2%	202.0%	0	0	0
NJ	48.0%	13.2%	363.6%	0	0	0
NY	74.5%	28.6%	260.5%	0	0	0
ОН	64.8%	23.7%	273.4%	0	0	0
OK	34.3%	11.0%	311.8%	0	0	0
OR	5.1%	2.4%	212.5%	0	0	0
UT	8.7%	1.5%	580.0%	0	0	0
Total	42.3%	15.7%	269.4%	0	0	0

Experience Works: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
Experience	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Works	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
ID	1.8%	0.8%	225.0%	0	0	0
MN	5.1%	1.8%	283.3%	0	0	0
MT	0.0%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
ND	3.4%	1.3%	261.5%	0	0	0
SD	4.3%	1.2%	358.3%	0	0	0
WY	2.5%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	2.7%	1.0%	270.0%	0	0	0

Goodwill: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Goodwill	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IL	21.7%	4.0%	542.5%	0	0	0
IN	56.6%	16.2%	349.4%	0	0	0
KY	17.2%	4.4%	390.9%	0	0	0
MO	12.4%	4.8%	258.3%	0	0	0
NM	6.7%	2.2%	304.5%	0	0	0
ОН	22.5%	3.8%	592.1%	0	0	0
SC	70.7%	41.2%	171.6%	0	0	0
VA	36.7%	11.3%	324.8%	0	0	0
WA	14.9%	3.7%	402.7%	0	0	0
Total	37.6%	14.5%	259.3%	0	0	0

IID [S]: Black

	acaep	D 1.0				
	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
	reicein	reicent		Less Than	0	
IID [S]	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
AR	28.6%	12.7%	225.2%	0	0	0
LA	47.9%	34.0%	140.9%	0	0	0
MS	0.0%	30.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
TX	44.2%	28.6%	154.5%	0	0	0
Total	34.8%	27.7%	125.6%	1	1	1

National Able Network: Black

National	SCSEP	Population				
Able	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Network	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IA	16.1%	1.4%	1150.0%	0	0	0
IL	41.8%	10.5%	398.1%	0	0	0
IN	21.0%	2.9%	724.1%	0	0	0
NE	31.6%	4.4%	718.2%	0	0	0
Total	27.5%	4.5%	611.1%	0	0	0

NAPCA [S]: Black

NAPCA [S]	SCSEP Percent Black	Population Percent Black	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
CA	4.8%	8.4%	57.1%	1	1	1
IL	2.8%	40.2%	7.0%	1	1	1
MA	0.0%	14.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
NY	0.0%	19.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	37.5%	49.1%	76.4%	1	1	1
TX	20.4%	28.0%	72.9%	1	0	0
WA	0.0%	9.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	9.8%	19.6%	50.0%	7	6	6

NAPCA [G]: Black

	acaep	7 0 1 .1				
	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA [G]	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	43.0%	14.7%	292.5%	0	0	0
IL	52.5%	17.6%	298.3%	0	0	0
NY	3.7%	33.8%	10.9%	1	1	1
Total	43.7%	17.8%	245.5%	1	1	1

NCBA: Black

NCBA	SCSEP Percent Black	Population Percent Black	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	42.7%	19.0%	224.7%	0	0	0
DC	98.4%	79.9%	123.2%	0	0	0
FL	48.1%	20.9%	230.1%	0	0	0
IL	92.4%	40.2%	229.9%	0	0	0
MI	61.1%	16.3%	374.8%	0	0	0
MO	9.2%	1.5%	613.3%	0	0	0
MS	92.5%	57.5%	160.9%	0	0	0
NC	85.5%	38.0%	225.0%	0	0	0
ОН	91.9%	44.2%	207.9%	0	0	0
Total	59.9%	26.2%	228.6%	0	0	0

NCOA: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCOA	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	24.9%	6.0%	415.0%	0	0	0
GA	66.4%	38.3%	173.4%	0	0	0
KY	34.6%	7.4%	467.6%	0	0	0
NC	83.7%	42.4%	197.4%	0	0	0
NJ	47.8%	16.0%	298.7%	0	0	0
NY	35.5%	19.6%	181.1%	0	0	0
ОН	59.7%	17.8%	335.4%	0	0	0
PA	32.8%	4.7%	697.9%	0	0	0
PR	18.7%	12.6%	148.4%	0	0	0
TN	48.4%	20.8%	232.7%	0	0	0
VA	69.0%	23.2%	297.4%	0	0	0
WV	23.4%	4.1%	570.7%	0	0	0
Total	44.8%	16.8%	266.7%	0	0	0

NICOA [S]: Black

	CCCED	D11				
	SCSEP	Population			aa.	Y 701
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NICOA [S]	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AZ	4.7%	3.1%	151.6%	0	0	0
CA	9.7%	9.1%	106.6%	0	0	0
MN	40.0%	17.5%	228.6%	0	0	0
ND	0.0%	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NM	2.1%	1.5%	140.0%	0	0	0
OK	45.8%	15.2%	301.3%	0	0	0
SD	0.0%	0.6%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WI	40.7%	11.9%	342.0%	0	0	0
Total	22.5%	7.3%	308.2%	0	0	0

NOWCC: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NOWCC	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	4.6%	1.8%	255.6%	0	0	0
Total	4.6%	1.8%	255.6%	0	0	0

NUL: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NUL	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
KY	35.6%	16.7%	213.2%	0	0	0
MA	91.1%	28.1%	324.2%	0	0	0
MI	92.1%	38.7%	238.0%	0	0	0
NJ	71.7%	32.4%	221.3%	0	0	0
NY	47.8%	13.3%	359.4%	0	0	0
PA	79.2%	19.8%	400.0%	0	0	0
Total	63.1%	23.2%	272.0%	0	0	0

OAGB: Black

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
OAGB	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
MA	31.7%	13.5%	234.8%	0	0	0
NH	3.1%	1.4%	221.4%	0	0	0
Total	19.9%	9.8%	203.1%	0	0	0

SER: Black

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SER	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	26.0%	7.2%	361.1%	0	0	0
СО	5.8%	1.0%	580.0%	0	0	0
KS	28.8%	7.8%	369.2%	0	0	0
MO	19.7%	6.8%	289.7%	0	0	0
TX	65.4%	26.5%	246.8%	0	0	0
WI	49.0%	12.5%	392.0%	0	0	0
Total	36.8%	10.7%	343.9%	0	0	0

SSAI: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AL	58.1%	32.9%	176.6%	0	0	0
IA	8.5%	2.0%	425.0%	0	0	0
IL	80.6%	29.0%	277.9%	0	0	0
IN	65.1%	12.0%	542.5%	0	0	0
MA	29.2%	5.8%	503.4%	0	0	0
MD	75.1%	39.0%	192.6%	0	0	0
MN	50.1%	11.7%	428.2%	0	0	0
MS	76.5%	48.5%	157.7%	0	0	0
NC	51.8%	21.2%	244.3%	0	0	0
NY	33.6%	21.6%	155.6%	0	0	0
TN	40.0%	18.3%	218.6%	0	0	0
TX	23.8%	11.7%	203.4%	0	0	0
WI	7.9%	1.1%	718.2%	0	0	0
Total	46.9%	20.9%	224.4%	0	0	0

The WorkPlace: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
The	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
WorkPlace	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CT	52.8%	14.7%	359.2%	0	0	0
NY	54.4%	27.0%	201.5%	0	0	0
PA	82.0%	36.1%	227.1%	0	0	0
RI	37.8%	6.8%	555.9%	0	0	0
Total	63.2%	23.1%	273.6%	0	0	0

VANTAGE: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
VANTAGE	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
OH	59.9%	21.1%	283.9%	0	0	0
Total	59.9%	21.1%	283.9%	0	0	0

Table 4: Grantees by Asian

AARP: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
AARP	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.4%	1.5%	26.7%	1	1	1
СО	1.1%	4.7%	23.4%	1	1	1
FL	0.8%	1.9%	42.1%	1	1	1
GA	1.3%	6.6%	19.7%	1	1	1
IA	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
IN	0.6%	0.6%	100.0%	0	0	0
MO	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
NV	2.9%	7.1%	40.8%	1	1	1
ОН	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	1.1%	1.8%	61.1%	1	0	0
PR	0.0%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TX	1.4%	3.4%	41.2%	1	1	1
VA	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
WA	4.9%	10.4%	47.1%	1	1	1
Total	1.0%	3.2%	31.2%	12	11	11

ANPPM: Asian

ANPPM	SCSEP Percent Asian	Population Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
ANFFWI	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	00%	(F<=.03)	50%, Sig
CA	6.6%	13.3%	49.6%	1	1	1
DC		2.4%	0.0%	1	1	1
	0.0%			1	1	1
LA	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	0.6%	6.4%	9.4%	1	1	1
Total	2.0%	6.9%	29.0%	5	5	5

ATD: Asian

4.700	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ATD	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
ME	0.6%	0.6%	100.0%	0	0	0
NY	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	1.0%	0.8%	125.0%	0	0	0
VT	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	0.3%	1.4%	21.4%	2	2	2

Easter Seals: Asian

Easter Seals	SCSEP Percent Asian	Population Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AL	0.3%	1.0%	30.0%	1	(1 <=.03)	0070, 51g
AZ	1.5%	3.3%	45.5%	1	1	1
IL	0.5%	6.6%	7.6%	1	1	1
NJ	12.5%	13.6%	91.9%	0	0	0
NY	1.5%	11.9%	12.6%	1	1	1
ОН	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
OK	0.3%	1.5%	20.0%	1	1	1
OR	2.7%	3.4%	79.4%	1	0	0
UT	1.4%	1.8%	77.8%	1	0	0
Total	2.6%	5.0%	52.0%	8	6	6

Experience Works: Asian

	SCSEP	Population	D	Y (5)	G: :G	
Experience	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Works	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
ID	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	1	1	1
MN	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
MT	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
ND	0.0%	0.5%	NA	NA	NA	NA
SD	0.0%	0.6%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WY	0.8%	1.4%	57.1%	1	0	0
Total	0.1%	0.8%	12.5%	3	2	2

Goodwill: Asian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Goodwill	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
IL	0.7%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IN	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
KY	0.2%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MO	0.4%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NM	1.7%	0.7%	242.9%	0	0	0
ОН	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
SC	0.4%	0.8%	50.0%	1	0	0
VA	0.2%	0.5%	40.0%	1	0	0
WA	3.9%	5.3%	73.6%	1	0	0
Total	0.5%	1.0%	50.0%	4	1	1

IID [S]: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
IID [S]	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	1	1	1
LA	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
MS	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TX	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	3	3	3

National Able Network: Asian

National	SCSEP	Population				
Able	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Network	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IA	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IL	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1	1	1
IN	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
NE	0.4%	1.3%	30.8%	1	1	1
Total	0.1%	1.0%	10.0%	3	3	3

NAPCA [S]: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA [S]	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	84.1%	28.2%	298.2%	0	0	0
IL	97.2%	6.6%	1472.7%	0	0	0
MA	98.8%	12.6%	784.1%	0	0	0
NY	100.0%	24.5%	408.2%	0	0	0
PA	61.4%	6.4%	959.4%	0	0	0
TX	67.3%	8.9%	756.2%	0	0	0
WA	96.2%	17.6%	546.6%	0	0	0
Total	84.4%	18.4%	458.7%	0	0	0

NAPCA [G]: Asian

	CCCED	D1 - 4				
	SCSEP	Population	Dansant	I ass These	Cionificant	Laca Than
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA [G]	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
CA	17.2%	20.0%	86.0%	0	0	0
IL	9.4%	3.5%	268.6%	0	0	0
NY	95.1%	13.2%	720.5%	0	0	0
Total	20.6%	12.7%	162.2%	0	0	0

NCBA: Asian

NCBA	SCSEP Percent Asian	Population Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
DC	0.8%	2.4%	33.3%	1	1	1
FL	0.4%	1.9%	21.1%	1	1	1
IL	0.8%	6.6%	12.1%	1	1	1
MI	0.2%	1.3%	15.4%	1	1	1
MO	0.6%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MS	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NC	0.3%	1.8%	16.7%	1	1	1
ОН	2.3%	1.5%	153.3%	0	0	0
Total	0.4%	1.4%	28.6%	6	6	6

NCOA: Asian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCOA	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	12.1%	26.2%	46.2%	1	1	1
GA	0.6%	1.4%	42.9%	1	1	1
KY	0.2%	0.5%	40.0%	1	0	0
NC	0.7%	1.5%	46.7%	1	0	0
NJ	1.8%	4.7%	38.3%	1	1	1
NY	12.1%	8.7%	139.1%	0	0	0
ОН	0.4%	0.9%	44.4%	1	0	0
PA	0.6%	1.0%	60.0%	1	0	0
PR	0.0%	0.1%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TN	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
VA	4.1%	10.7%	38.3%	1	1	1
WV	0.2%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	2.0%	5.0%	40.0%	9	5	5

NICOA [S]: Asian

NICOA [S]	SCSEP Percent Asian	Population Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AZ	2.8%	1.7%	164.7%	0	0	0
CA	3.2%	10.5%	30.5%	1	1	1
MN	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
ND	0.0%	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NM	2.1%	0.8%	262.5%	0	0	0
OK	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
SD	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WI	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	1.1%	2.7%	40.7%	4	4	4

NOWCC: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NOWCC	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	1.7%	4.1%	41.5%	1	1	1
Total	1.7%	4.1%	41.5%	1	1	1

NUL: Asian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NUL	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
FL	0.8%	1.7%	47.1%	1	1	1
KY	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
MI	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
NJ	0.6%	4.4%	13.6%	1	1	1
NY	3.1%	14.2%	21.8%	1	1	1
PA	0.4%	1.7%	23.5%	1	1	1
Total	0.9%	4.8%	18.7%	6	6	6

OAGB: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
OAGB	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
MA	6.5%	10.6%	61.3%	1	1	1
NH	0.4%	1.4%	28.6%	1	1	1
Total	4.0%	7.8%	51.3%	2	2	2

SER: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SER	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	4.3%	13.8%	31.2%	1	1	1
CO	0.9%	1.3%	69.2%	1	0	0
KS	0.2%	1.7%	11.8%	1	1	1
MO	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TX	0.2%	6.2%	3.2%	1	1	1
WI	0.1%	1.4%	7.1%	1	1	1
Total	1.3%	6.5%	20.0%	5	4	4

SSAI: Asian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	$(P \le 0.05)$	80%, Sig
AL	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
IA	0.8%	0.6%	133.3%	0	0	0
IL	0.2%	5.5%	3.6%	1	1	1
IN	0.3%	1.2%	25.0%	1	1	1
MA	2.0%	2.0%	100.0%	0	0	0
MD	2.5%	6.3%	39.7%	1	1	1
MN	3.6%	4.4%	81.8%	0	0	0
MS	0.3%	0.6%	50.0%	1	0	0
NC	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
NY	33.4%	12.3%	271.5%	0	0	0
TN	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
TX	0.3%	1.9%	15.8%	1	1	1
WI	0.4%	1.9%	21.1%	1	1	1
Total	3.4%	3.6%	94.4%	9	8	8

The WorkPlace: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
The WorkPlace	Percent Asian	Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
CT	0.5%	2.4%	20.8%	1	1	1
NY	6.5%	11.6%	56.0%	1	1	1
PA	0.7%	6.0%	11.7%	1	1	1
RI	1.3%	2.2%	59.1%	1	0	0
Total	1.8%	5.8%	31.0%	4	3	3

VANTAGE: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
VANTAGE	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
OH	0.2%	1.1%	18.2%	1	1	1
Total	0.2%	1.1%	18.2%	1	1	1

Table 5: Grantees by American Indian

AARP: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
AARP	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.4%	1.0%	40.0%	1	0	0
CO	2.7%	1.2%	225.0%	0	0	0
FL	0.5%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
GA	1.0%	0.6%	166.7%	0	0	0
IA	1.3%	0.6%	216.7%	0	0	0
IN	0.6%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MO	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
NV	0.4%	2.0%	20.0%	1	1	1
ОН	0.5%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PA	0.6%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PR	0.0%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TX	0.5%	0.5%	100.0%	0	0	0
VA	0.8%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WA	4.1%	1.9%	215.8%	0	0	0
Total	0.7%	0.6%	116.7%	3	2	2

ANPPM: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ANPPM	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AZ	1.9%	3.8%	50.0%	1	0	0
CA	0.2%	0.9%	22.2%	1	1	1
DC	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
LA	1.0%	0.7%	142.9%	0	0	0
PA	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	0.7%	1.1%	63.6%	4	3	3

ATD: American Indian

ATD	SCSEP Percent American Indian	Population Percent American Indian	Percent Difference	Less Than	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
TID	maran	maran	Difference	0070	(1 <05)	0070, 515
ME	2.9%	1.1%	263.6%	0	0	0
NY	2.2%	0.8%	275.0%	0	0	0
PA	0.0%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
VT	3.0%	1.0%	300.0%	0	0	0
Total	2.0%	0.8%	250.0%	0	0	0

Easter Seals: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Easter Seals	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AL	1.7%	0.7%	242.9%	0	0	0
AZ	3.5%	2.1%	166.7%	0	0	0
IL	2.8%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NJ	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.5%	0.6%	83.3%	0	0	0
OH	0.0%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
OK	14.8%	9.2%	160.9%	0	0	0
OR	1.2%	1.2%	100.0%	0	0	0
UT	6.1%	3.6%	169.4%	0	0	0
Total	4.8%	2.5%	192.0%	0	0	0

Experience Works: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
Experience	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Works	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
ID	4.1%	2.0%	205.0%	0	0	0
MN	3.8%	3.2%	118.8%	0	0	0
MT	21.0%	8.4%	250.0%	0	0	0
ND	20.3%	8.1%	250.6%	0	0	0
SD	16.7%	10.9%	153.2%	0	0	0
WY	2.5%	1.2%	208.3%	0	0	0
Total	12.5%	6.0%	208.3%	0	0	0

Goodwill: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Goodwill	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IL	2.2%	0.6%	366.7%	0	0	0
IN	0.5%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
KY	0.4%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MO	3.6%	0.9%	400.0%	0	0	0
NM	5.4%	6.0%	90.0%	0	0	0
ОН	2.1%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
SC	0.7%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
VA	1.2%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WA	1.1%	2.4%	45.8%	1	0	0
Total	1.5%	1.0%	150.0%	1	0	0

IID [S]: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
IID [S]	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AR	1.8%	1.4%	128.6%	0	0	0
LA	16.4%	1.7%	964.7%	0	0	0
MS	100.0%	4.7%	2127.7%	0	0	0
TX	32.6%	0.5%	6520.0%	0	0	0
Total	31.4%	2.0%	1570.0%	0	0	0

National Able Network: American Indian

National	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
Able	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Network	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IA	1.3%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IL	1.4%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IN	1.2%	0.1%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NE	3.6%	1.5%	240.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	2.2%	0.7%	314.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

NAPCA [S]: American Indian

	SCSEP Percent American	Population Percent American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA [S]	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
CA	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
IL	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MA	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
TX	1.0%	0.5%	200.0%	0	0	0
WA	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	0.2%	0.6%	33.3%	4	4	4

NAPCA [G]: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA [G]	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	1.1%	1.0%	110.0%	0	0	0
IL	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.5%	0.7%	71.4%	0	0	0

NCBA: American Indian

NCBA	SCSEP Percent American Indian	Population Percent American Indian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	1.4%	0.8%	175.0%	0	0	0
DC	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
FL	0.6%	0.6%	100.0%	0	0	0
IL	1.5%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MI	0.7%	0.8%	87.5%	0	0	0
MO	2.3%	1.0%	230.0%	0	0	0
MS	0.4%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NC	0.3%	1.1%	27.3%	1	1	1
OH	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.9%	0.8%	112.5%	2	2	2

NCOA: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	ъ.		aa.	
NGOA	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCOA	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
CA	0.5%	0.9%	55.6%	1	0	0
GA	0.0%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
KY	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NC	0.2%	0.5%	40.0%	1	0	0
NJ	0.2%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
OH	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PR	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TN	0.8%	0.6%	133.3%	0	0	0
VA	0.9%	0.5%	180.0%	0	0	0
WV	0.2%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.2%	0.4%	NA	4	2	2

NICOA [S]: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NICOA [S]	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
AZ	65.4%	31.2%	209.6%	0	0	0
CA	6.5%	0.9%	722.2%	0	0	0
MN	40.0%	1.5%	2666.7%	0	0	0
ND	100.0%	49.2%	203.3%	0	0	0
NM	62.5%	32.2%	194.1%	0	0	0
OK	34.6%	11.1%	311.7%	0	0	0
SD	100.0%	56.2%	177.9%	0	0	0
WI	59.3%	31.0%	191.3%	0	0	0
Total	52.4%	24.8%	211.3%	0	0	0

NOWCC: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NOWCC	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	5.1%	2.7%	188.9%	0	0	0
Total	5.1%	2.7%	188.9%	0	0	0

NUL: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NUL	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
FL	0.7%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
KY	1.5%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MI	0.0%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NJ	0.3%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	3.8%	0.5%	760.0%	0	0	0
PA	0.0%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	1.0%	0.3%	NA	0	0	0

OAGB: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
OAGB	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
MA	0.6%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NH	0.0%	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.4%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA

SER: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SER	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	1.3%	0.9%	144.4%	0	0	0
CO	7.6%	2.3%	330.4%	0	0	0
KS	1.6%	0.8%	200.0%	0	0	0
MO	0.6%	0.6%	100.0%	0	0	0
TX	1.5%	0.6%	250.0%	0	0	0
WI	1.6%	1.1%	145.5%	0	0	0
Total	1.9%	1.0%	190.0%	0	0	0

SSAI: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AL	0.4%	0.6%	66.7%	1	0	0
IA	3.8%	0.7%	542.9%	0	0	0
IL	1.1%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IN	0.3%	0.7%	42.9%	1	0	0
MA	0.6%	0.5%	120.0%	0	0	0
MD	0.9%	0.5%	180.0%	0	0	0
MN	2.2%	1.3%	169.2%	0	0	0
MS	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
NC	2.1%	1.8%	116.7%	0	0	0
NY	0.8%	0.6%	133.3%	0	0	0
TN	0.6%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TX	1.6%	0.7%	228.6%	0	0	0
WI	9.0%	1.9%	473.7%	0	0	0
Total	1.7%	0.8%	212.5%	3	1	1

The WorkPlace: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
The	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
WorkPlace	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CT	1.3%	0.6%	216.7%	0	0	0
NY	0.8%	0.5%	160.0%	0	0	0
PA	0.6%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
RI	2.6%	1.0%	260.0%	0	0	0
Total	1.1%	0.6%	183.3%	0	0	0

VANTAGE: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
VANTAGE	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
OH	0.5%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.5%	0.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA

Table 6: Grantees by Pacific Islander

AARP: Pacific Islander

	ic islander					1
	SCSEP Percent Pacific	Population Percent Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
AARP	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
CO	0.00%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA
FL	0.20%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
GA	0.70%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IA	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IN	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MO	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NV	0.40%	0.60%	66.70%	1	0	0
ОН	0.30%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PR	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TX	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
VA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WA	0.80%	0.30%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.10%	0.10%	NA	1	0	0

ANPPM: Pacific Islander

111 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
	SCSEP	Population						
	Percent	Percent						
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than		
ANPPM	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig		
AZ	0.00%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CA	0.20%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA		
DC	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA		
LA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA		
PA	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Total	0.10%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA		

ATD: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ATD	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
ME	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
VT	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA

Easter Seals: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Easter Seals	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AL	0.30%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
AZ	1.50%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IL	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NJ	0.20%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
ОН	0.00%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA
OK	0.20%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
OR	0.00%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA
UT	0.30%	0.90%	33.30%	1	1	1
Total	0.20%	0.20%	NA	1	1	1

Experience Works: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
Experience	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Works	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
ID	0.00%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MN	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MT	0.40%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
ND	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
SD	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WY	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.10%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA

Goodwill: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Goodwill	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IL	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IN	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
KY	0.20%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MO	0.40%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NM	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
ОН	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
SC	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
VA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WA	0.60%	0.50%	120.00%	0	0	0
Total	0.10%	0.10%	NA	0	0	0

IID [S]: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
IID [S]	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AR	5.40%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
LA	0.70%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MS	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TX	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	1.40%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA

National Able Network: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
National	Percent	Percent				
Able	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Network	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
IA	0.40%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IL	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IN	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NE	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA

NAPCA [S]: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent Pacific	Population Percent Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA [S]	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	2.90%	0.40%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IL	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PA	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TX	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WA	0.00%	0.60%	0.00%	1	1	1
Total	0.90%	0.20%	NA	1	1	1

NAPCA [G]: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA [G]	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	0.70%	0.60%	116.70%	0	0	0
IL	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.30%	0.30%	NA	0	0	0

NCBA: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCBA	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
DC	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
FL	0.40%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IL	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MI	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MO	0.30%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MS	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NC	0.30%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
ОН	0.60%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA

NCOA: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent Pacific	Population Percent Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCOA	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	1.40%	0.40%	NA	NA	NA	NA
GA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
KY	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NC	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NJ	0.20%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.80%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
ОН	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PA	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PR	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TN	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
VA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WV	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.20%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA

NICOA [S]: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NICOA [S]	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
CA	0.00%	0.30%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MN	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
ND	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NM	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
OK	0.70%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
SD	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WI	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.20%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA

NOWCC: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	р	Y (7)	a: :a	Y (7)
NOWCC	Pacific	Pacific	Percent Difference	Less Than	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than
NOWCC	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(F<03)	80%, Sig
CA	1.30%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	1.30%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA

NUL: Pacific Islander

	Islander					
	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NUL	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
FL	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
KY	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MI	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NJ	0.30%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.30%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA

OAGB: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
OAGB	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
MA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NH	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA

SER: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SER	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CA	1.20%	0.30%	NA	NA	NA	NA
CO	0.40%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
KS	0.20%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MO	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TX	0.20%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WI	0.10%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.40%	0.20%	NA	NA	NA	NA

SSAI: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
AL	0.40%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IA	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IL	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
IN	0.30%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MA	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MD	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MN	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
MS	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NC	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TN	0.10%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
TX	0.10%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
WI	0.20%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.10%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA

The WorkPlace: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
The	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
WorkPlace	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P < = .05)	80%, Sig
CT	0.30%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
NY	0.00%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
PA	0.40%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
RI	0.60%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.30%	0.10%	NA	NA	NA	NA

VANTAGE: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
VANTAGE	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P <= .05)	80%, Sig
ОН	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	0.00%	0.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA

Technical Notes on Reading the Tables in Appendices C-H

There are two different tests of significance for the SCSEP employment outcome measures: the Fisher's Exact test for the percentage employed and the Wilcoxon Rank Sum and Signed Rank Test for median earnings. The Fisher's Exact test is used for the Q2 Employment and Q4 Employment measures of the percentage of participants employed at each period. The test is only performed on the positive value, i.e., employed, not the negative value, i.e., not employed. The Wilcoxon test is used for the median earnings measure. The Wilcoxon test is a non-parametric alternative to the paired t-test. Both Fishers' and Wilcoxon tests are two-sided tests with significance level p < 0.05, meaning there were fewer than 5 chances in 100 that the result would have occurred by chance.

The differences in pairs of percentages for Q2 Employment and Q4 Employment are denoted by different subscripts in the individual cells. However, cells in a particular row in a table are highlighted only when the disparity in the numbers indicates that the majority is favored over the minority group. For example, if the White cell has an "a" and the Black cell has a "b" and the percentage for the White cell is larger than that for the Black cell, those two percentages are significantly different, and the cells will be highlighted. If White is "a" and Black is "a," then there is no significant difference, and there will be no highlighting. Moreover, if the table row has White as "a" and Black as "b," but the Black group's percentage is higher than the White group's, the row is not highlighted because the disparity favors the minority group. Cells with no subscripts are not included in the tests. Tests assume equal variances.

For median earnings, all differences that are statistically significant are denoted by an asterisk ("*"). However, as with Q2 Employment and Q4 Employment, only significant differences that disadvantage the minority category are highlighted.

In certain instances, there are slight discrepancies between the percentages or medians presented for national and state grantees in Volume I and the same numbers presented in the tables in Volume II. These discrepancies, usually a fraction of a percent or a few dollars (in the case of median earnings), are the result of differences in the calculation methods. In no instance are they substantive.

-

² The Wilcoxon Rank Sum is equivalent to the Mann-Whitney test.

Appendix C: Employment in Q2 Tables, Grantees

Table 1: Employment in Q2, Grantees by Race

Table 1: Emp	oro y mem				Measures	Employ	ment in O	2 Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		W	hite		lack		sian	Am	erican dian		slander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	Employed in Q2	796a	60.4%	<mark>596</mark> ₀	<mark>51.8%</mark>	9 _{a,b}	52.9%	4 _{a,b}	28.6%	3 _{a,b}	75.0%
	Not employed in Q2	521 _a	39.6%	555 _b	48.2%	8 _{a,b}	47.1%	10 _{a,b}	71.4%	1 _{a,b}	25.0%
ANPPM	Employed in Q2	60a	31.4%	42 _a	36.5%	2 _a	12.5%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	131 _a	68.6%	73 _a	63.5%	14 _a	87.5%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%
ATD	Employed in Q2	77 _a	45.8%	16a	39.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	91 _a	54.2%	25 _a	61.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	6 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%
Easter Seals	Employed in Q2	153 _a	43.2%	159 _a	51.0%	10 _a	43.5%	12 _a	31.6%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	201 _a	56.8%	153 _a	49.0%	13 _a	56.5%	26 _a	68.4%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
Experience Works	Employed in Q2	55 _a	23.4%	2 _a	12.5%	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	17.4%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	180 _a	76.6%	14 _a	87.5%	01,2	0.0%	19 _a	82.6%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
Goodwill	Employed in Q2	259a	44.1%	151 _a	45.9%	1 _a	25.0%	5 _a	38.5%	02	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	328 _a	55.9%	178 _a	54.1%	3 _a	75.0%	8 _a	61.5%	22	100.0%

			Co	mmon l	Measures	Employ	ment in Q	2, Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		W	'hite	В	lack	A	sian		erican dian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
IID [S]	Employed in Q2	9 _a	40.9%	5 _a	29.4%	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	44.4%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	13 _a	59.1%	12 _a	70.6%	01,2	0.0%	10 _a	55.6%	01,2	0.0%
National Able Network	Employed in Q2	28 _a	21.2%	16 _a	28.6%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	104 _a	78.8%	40 _a	71.4%	01,2	0.0%	4 ²	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
NAPCA [S]	Employed in Q2	O ²	0.0%	9 _a	56.3%	59 _a	42.8%	1 _a	50.0%	11,2	100.0%
	Not employed in Q2	3 ²	100.0%	7 _a	43.8%	79 _a	57.2%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
NAPCA [G]	Employed in Q2	20 _a	27.0%	30 _a	29.4%	9 _a	39.1%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	54 _a	73.0%	72 _a	70.6%	14 _a	60.9%	2 ²	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
NCBA	Employed in Q2	229 _a	51.1%	392 _b	60.2%	4 _{a,b}	36.4%	3 _{a,b}	37.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	Not employed in Q2	219 _a	48.9%	259 _b	39.8%	7 _{a,b}	63.6%	5 _{a,b}	62.5%	01,2	0.0%
NCOA	Employed in Q2	345 _a	38.1%	312 _a	40.2%	14 _a	33.3%	2 _a	28.6%	2 _a	50.0%
	Not employed in Q2	560 _a	61.9%	464 _a	59.8%	28 _a	66.7%	5 _a	71.4%	2 _a	50.0%
NICOA [S]	Employed in Q2	8 _a	42.1%	9 _a	39.1%	1 _a	50.0%	12 _a	20.3%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	11 _a	57.9%	14 _a	60.9%	1 _a	50.0%	47 _a	79.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%

			Co	mmon l	Measures	Employ	ment in Q	2, Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		V	hite /	В	lack	A	sian		erican dian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NOWCC	Employed in Q2	21 _a	42.0%	3 _a	42.9%	1 _a	25.0%	2 _a	28.6%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	29 _a	58.0%	4 _a	57.1%	3 _a	75.0%	5 _a	71.4%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
NUL	Employed in Q2	73 _a	49.0%	143 _a	48.1%	1 _a	25.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	76 _a	51.0%	154 _a	51.9%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
OAGB	Employed in Q2	19 _a	23.5%	8 _a	23.5%	2 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	62 _a	76.5%	26 _a	76.5%	4 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
SER	Employed in Q2	152 _a	37.6%	80 _a	30.2%	3 _a	37.5%	6 _a	40.0%	O ²	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	252 _a	62.4%	185 _a	69.8%	5 _a	62.5%	9 _a	60.0%	42	100.0%
SSAI	Employed in Q2	340 _{a,b}	36.1%	304 _a	32.9%	44 _{a,b}	44.0%	21 _b	58.3%	1 _{a,b}	50.0%
	Not employed in Q2	603 _{a,b}	63.9%	621 _a	67.1%	56 _{a,b}	56.0%	15 _b	41.7%	1 _{a,b}	50.0%
The WorkPlace	Employed in Q2	23 _a	21.9%	71 _a	31.8%	3 _{a,b}	50.0%	5 _b	83.3%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	82 _a	78.1%	152 _a	68.2%	3 _{a,b}	50.0%	1 _b	16.7%	01,2	0.0%
VANTAGE	Employed in Q2	17 _a	25.8%	13 _a	19.4%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	49 _a	74.2%	54 _a	80.6%	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon I	Measures	Employ	ment in Q	2, Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		V	/hite	В	lack	A	sian		erican dian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
National Grantees	Employed in Q2	2684 _a	42.9%	2361 _a	43.5%	165 _{a,b}	40.5%	<mark>89</mark> b	<mark>33.6%</mark>	8 _{a,b}	33.3%
	Not employed in Q2	3569 _a	57.1%	3062 _a	56.5%	242 _{a,b}	59.5%	176 _b	66.4%	16 _{a,b}	66.7%
Alabama	Employed in Q2	9 _a	34.6%	21 _a	40.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	17 _a	65.4%	31 _a	59.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Alaska	Employed in Q2	28 _a	60.9%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	10 _a	47.6%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	18 _a	39.1%	4 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	52.4%	01,2	0.0%
Arizona	Employed in Q2	8 _a	19.5%	2 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	33 _a	80.5%	3 _a	60.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Arkansas	Employed in Q2	7 _a	28.0%	7 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	18 _a	72.0%	21 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
California	Employed in Q2	23 _a	24.7%	7 _a	12.3%	3 _a	30.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	70 _a	75.3%	50 _a	87.7%	7 _a	70.0%	11,2	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
Colorado	Employed in Q2	6 _a	50.0%	3 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	6 _a	50.0%	6 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	4 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

		Common Measures Employment in Q2, Grantees by Race									
		White		Black		Asian		American Indian		Pacific Islander	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Connecticut	Employed in Q2	2 _a	22.2%	4 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	7 _a	77.8%	4 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Delaware	Employed in Q2	11 _a	52.4%	25 _a	41.7%	01,2	0.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	10 _a	47.6%	35 _a	58.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
DC	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
Florida	Employed in Q2	57 _a	50.9%	37 _a	50.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	11,2	100.0%
	Not employed in Q2	55 _a	49.1%	37 _a	50.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Georgia	Employed in Q2	14 _a	48.3%	21 _a	38.2%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	15 _a	51.7%	34 _a	61.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Hawaii	Employed in Q2	2 _a	14.3%	O ²	0.0%	15 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	10.0%
	Not employed in Q2	12 _a	85.7%	4 ²	100.0%	20 _a	57.1%	01,2	0.0%	9 _a	90.0%
Idaho	Employed in Q2	3 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	15 _a	83.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon l	Measures	Employ	ment in Q	2, Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		W	'hite	В	lack	A	sian		erican dian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Illinois	Employed in Q2	17 _a	42.5%	<mark>21</mark> _b	<mark>23.1%</mark>	O ²	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	23 _a	57.5%	70 _b	76.9%	22	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Indiana	Employed in Q2	7 _a	12.1%	4 _a	13.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	51 _a	87.9%	26 _a	86.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
Iowa	Employed in Q2	13 _a	48.1%	4 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	14 _a	51.9%	8 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Kansas	Employed in Q2	4 _a	30.8%	2 _a	14.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	9 _a	69.2%	12 _a	85.7%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Kentucky	Employed in Q2	11 _a	20.0%	1 _a	11.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	44 _a	80.0%	8 _a	88.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Louisiana	Employed in Q2	6 _a	31.6%	12 _a	28.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	13 _a	68.4%	30 _a	71.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Maryland	Employed in Q2	9 _a	47.4%	4 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	10a	52.6%	16 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%	22	100.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon I	Measures	Employ	ment in Q	2, Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		W	/hite	В	lack	A	sian		erican dian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Massachusetts	Employed in Q2	10 _a	33.3%	3 _a	17.6%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	20 _a	66.7%	14 _a	82.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Michigan	Employed in Q2	18 _a	43.9%	31 _a	63.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	23 _a	56.1%	18 _a	36.7%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Minnesota	Employed in Q2	16 _a	30.8%	5 _a	55.6%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	36 _a	69.2%	4 _a	44.4%	01,2	0.0%	7 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Mississippi	Employed in Q2	5 _a	33.3%	7 _a	25.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	10 _a	66.7%	20 _a	74.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Missouri	Employed in Q2	17 _a	34.7%	16 _a	35.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	32 _a	65.3%	29 _a	64.4%	11,2	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Montana	Employed in Q2	7 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	14 _a	66.7%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Nebraska	Employed in Q2	4 _a	40.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	6 _a	60.0%	7 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon l	Measures	Employ	ment in Q	2, Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		W	'hite	В	lack	A	sian		erican dian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Nevada	Employed in Q2	5 _a	55.6%	2 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	4 _a	44.4%	6 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
New Hampshire	Employed in Q2	6 _a	28.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	15 _a	71.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
New Jersey	Employed in Q2	23 _a	63.9%	33 _a	56.9%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	13 _a	36.1%	25 _a	43.1%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
New Mexico	Employed in Q2	3 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	4 _a	57.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	5 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
New York	Employed in Q2	13 _a	24.1%	20 _a	36.4%	4 _a	30.8%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%
	Not employed in Q2	41 _a	75.9%	35 _a	63.6%	9 _a	69.2%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 _a	50.0%
North Carolina	Employed in Q2	11 _a	39.3%	23 _a	41.1%	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	17 _a	60.7%	33 _a	58.9%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%
North Dakota	Employed in Q2	4 _a	28.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	10 _a	71.4%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon I	Measures	Employ	ment in Q	2, Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		W	/hite	В	lack	A	sian		erican dian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Ohio	Employed in Q2	21 _a	31.3%	14 _a	24.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	46 _a	68.7%	44 _a	75.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Oklahoma	Employed in Q2	8 _a	30.8%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	18 _a	69.2%	6 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
Oregon	Employed in Q2	7 _a	28.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	18 _a	72.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Pennsylvania	Employed in Q2	61 _a	53.0%	58 _a	49.2%	1 _a	33.3%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	54 _a	47.0%	60 _a	50.8%	2 _a	66.7%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%
Puerto Rico	Employed in Q2	10 _a	38.5%	2 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	16 _a	61.5%	6 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
Rhode Island	Employed in Q2	2 _a	33.3%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	4 _a	66.7%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
South Carolina	Employed in Q2	13 _a	43.3%	20 _a	42.6%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	17 _a	56.7%	27 _a	57.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon I	Measures	Employ	ment in Q	2, Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		V	/hite	В	lack	A	sian		erican dian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
South Dakota	Employed in Q2	2 _a	22.2%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	7 _a	77.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	6 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Tennessee	Employed in Q2	9 _a	19.6%	24 _b	70.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	37 _a	80.4%	10 _b	29.4%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Texas	Employed in Q2	52 _a	45.2%	30 _a	43.5%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	63 _a	54.8%	39 _a	56.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Utah	Employed in Q2	7 _a	35.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	1 _a	25.0%
	Not employed in Q2	13 _a	65.0%	5 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	3 _a	75.0%
Vermont	Employed in Q2	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	3 _a	75.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Virginia	Employed in Q2	15 _a	50.0%	18 _a	48.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	15 _a	50.0%	19 _a	51.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Washington	Employed in Q2	10 _a	30.3%	1 _a	14.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%	2 ²	100.0%
	Not employed in Q2	23 _a	69.7%	6 _a	85.7%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	75.0%	0 ²	0.0%

			Co	mmon I	Measures	Employ	ment in Q	2, Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		V	'hite	В	lack	A	sian		erican dian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
West Virginia	Employed in Q2	4 _a	16.7%	1 _a	9.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	20 _a	83.3%	10 _a	90.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Wisconsin	Employed in Q2	18 _a	36.7%	8 _a	22.9%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	31 _a	63.3%	27 _a	77.1%	01,2	0.0%	3 a	75.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
Wyoming	Employed in Q2	1 _a	12.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	7 _a	87.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
American Samoa	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	2.3%
	Not employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	43 _a	97.7%
Guam	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	7.4%
	Not employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	25 _a	92.6%
Mariana Islands	Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	4 ²	100.0%
Virgin Islands	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	15.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	17 _a	85.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon l	Measures	Employ	ment in Q	2, Grant	ees by Ra	ce	
		White		В	Black		sian		erican	Pacific	sIslander
		-						ın	dian		
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
State Grantees	Employed	620 _a	36.5%	502 _a	36.3%	26 _a	36.1%	25 _a	28.4%	<mark>9</mark> b	<mark>9.4%</mark>
	in Q2										
	Not	1078 _a	63.5%	880 _a	63.7%	46 _a	63.9%	63 _a	71.6%	87 _b	90.6%
	employed										
	in Q2										
Nationwide	Employed	3304 _a	41.6%	2863 _a	42.1%	191 _{a,b}	39.9%	<mark>114</mark> _b	32.3%	17 _c	<mark>14.2%</mark>
	in Q2										
	Not	4647 _a	58.4%	3942a	57.9%	288 _{a,b}	60.1%	239 _b	67.7%	103 _c	85.8%
	employed										
	in Q2										

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< .05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.³

^{1.} This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

^{2.} This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

^{3.} Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 2: Employment in Q2, Grantees by Ethnicity

Table 2: Emp	Common Measures Employment in Q2, Grantees							
			by Eth	nicity				
		Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic			
	_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
AARP	Employed in Q2	440 _a	63.0%	979 _b	53.7%			
	Not employed in Q2	258 _a	37.0%	845 _b	46.3%			
ANPPM	Employed in Q2	49 _a	33.8%	66 _a	31.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	96 _a	66.2%	147 _a	69.0%			
ATD	Employed in Q2	6 _a	35.3%	90 _a	44.1%			
	Not employed in Q2	11 _a	64.7%	114 _a	55.9%			
Easter Seals	Employed in Q2	31 _a	43.7%	313 _a	46.6%			
	Not employed in Q2	40 _a	56.3%	358 _a	53.4%			
Experience	Employed in Q2	4 _a	25.0%	58 _a	22.0%			
Works	Not employed in Q2	12 _a	75.0%	206 _a	78.0%			
Goodwill	Employed in Q2	29 _a	48.3%	379 _a	43.8%			
	Not employed in Q2	31 _a	51.7%	486a	56.2%			
IID [S]	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	22 _a	40.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	2 ¹	100.0%	33 _a	60.0%			
National Able	Employed in Q2	2 _a	33.3%	43 _a	22.9%			
Network	Not employed in Q2	4 _a	66.7%	145 _a	77.1%			
NAPCA [S]	Employed in Q2	1 _a	25.0%	68 _a	43.3%			
	Not employed in Q2	3 _a	75.0%	89 _a	56.7%			
NAPCA [G]	Employed in Q2	2 _a	11.8%	61 _a	31.9%			
	Not employed in Q2	15 _a	88.2%	130 _a	68.1%			
NCBA	Employed in Q2	22 _a	55.0%	587 _a	55.4%			
	Not employed in Q2	18 _a	45.0%	473 _a	44.6%			
NCOA	Employed in Q2	58 _a	36.7%	581 _a	39.3%			
	Not employed in Q2	100 _a	63.3%	897 _a	60.7%			
NICOA [S]	Employed in Q2	4 _a	44.4%	26 _a	34.2%			
	Not employed in Q2	5 _a	55.6%	50 _a	65.8%			
NOWCC	Employed in Q2	6 _a	66.7%	23 _a	34.8%			
	Not employed in Q2	3 _a	33.3%	43 _a	65.2%			
NUL	Employed in Q2	28 _a	41.8%	201 _a	50.8%			
	Not employed in Q2	39 _a	58.2%	195 _a	49.2%			
OAGB	Employed in Q2	11 _a	39.3%	25 _a	23.4%			
	Not employed in Q2	17 _a	60.7%	82 _a	76.6%			

		Common Measures Employment in Q2, Grante by Ethnicity						
		Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic			
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
SER	Employed in Q2	50 _a	34.5%	193 _a	34.8%			
	Not employed in Q2	95 _a	65.5%	362 _a	65.2%			
SSAI	Employed in Q2	<mark>19</mark> a	<mark>24.1%</mark>	667 _b	35.9%			
	Not employed in Q2	60 _a	75.9%	1189 _b	64.1%			
The WorkPlace	Employed in Q2	<mark>7</mark> a	<mark>14.0%</mark>	94 _b	31.2%			
	Not employed in Q2	43 _a	86.0%	207 _b	68.8%			
VANTAGE	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	33 _a	26.6%			
	Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	91 _a	73.4%			
National	Employed in Q2	769 _a	47.4%	4509 _b	42.3%			
Grantees	Not employed in Q2	853 _a	52.6%	6142 _b	57.7%			
Alabama	Employed in Q2	2 ¹	100.0%	26 _a	36.1%			
	Not employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	46 _a	63.9%			
Alaska	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	39 _a	53.4%			
	Not employed in Q2	O ^{1,2}	0.0%	34 _a	46.6%			
Arizona	Employed in Q2	2 _a	33.3%	8 _a	20.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	4 _a	66.7%	32 _a	80.0%			
Arkansas	Employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	13 _a	26.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	37 _a	74.0%			
California	Employed in Q2	14 _a	26.4%	22 _a	19.6%			
	Not employed in Q2	39 _a	73.6%	90 _a	80.4%			
Colorado	Employed in Q2	5 _a	83.3%	4 _b	22.2%			
	Not employed in Q2	1 _a	16.7%	14 _b	77.8%			
Connecticut	Employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	6 _a	35.3%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	64.7%			
Delaware	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	36 _a	45.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	44 _a	55.0%			
DC	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	9 _a	50.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	9 _a	50.0%			
Florida	Employed in Q2	27 _a	55.1%	73 _a	51.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	22 _a	44.9%	70 _a	49.0%			
Georgia	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	36 _a	43.9%			
	Not employed in Q2	2 ¹	100.0%	46 _a	56.1%			
Hawaii	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	18 _a	30.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	6 ¹	100.0%	42 _a	70.0%			

		Common Measures Employment in Q2, Grante by Ethnicity						
		Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic			
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
Idaho	Employed in Q2	2 _a	40.0%	2 _a	13.3%			
	Not employed in Q2	3 _a	60.0%	13 _a	86.7%			
Illinois	Employed in Q2	5 _a	41.7%	30 _a	26.3%			
	Not employed in Q2	7 _a	58.3%	84 _a	73.7%			
Indiana	Employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	10 _a	12.2%			
	Not employed in Q2	O ^{1,2}	0.0%	72 _a	87.8%			
Iowa	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	18 _a	45.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	22 _a	55.0%			
Kansas	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	6 _a	21.4%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	22 _a	78.6%			
Kentucky	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	20.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	48 _a	80.0%			
Louisiana	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	16 _a	29.1%			
	Not employed in Q2	2 ¹	100.0%	39 _a	70.9%			
Maryland	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	14 _a	34.1%			
	Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	27 _a	65.9%			
Massachusetts	Employed in Q2	2 _a	33.3%	14 _a	31.1%			
	Not employed in Q2	4 _a	66.7%	31 _a	68.9%			
Michigan	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	48 _a	53.9%			
	Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	41 _a	46.1%			
Minnesota	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	22 _a	32.8%			
	Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	45 _a	67.2%			
Mississippi	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	29.3%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	29 _a	70.7%			
Missouri	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	30 _a	33.3%			
	Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	60 _a	66.7%			
Montana	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	7 _a	31.8%			
	Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	15 _a	68.2%			
Nebraska	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	23.5%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	13 _a	76.5%			
Nevada	Employed in Q2	11,2	100.0%	6 _a	37.5%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	10 _a	62.5%			
New Hampshire	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	6 _a	30.0%			
· 	Not employed in Q2	11,2	100.0%	14 _a	70.0%			

		Common Measures Employment in Q2, Grantee						
			by Eth	nicity				
		Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic			
	T	Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
New Jersey	Employed in Q2	8 _a	57.1%	54 _a	60.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	6 _a	42.9%	36 _a	40.0%			
New Mexico	Employed in Q2	4 _a	66.7%	O ¹	0.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	2 _a	33.3%	6 ¹	100.0%			
New York	Employed in Q2	10 _a	34.5%	30 _a	30.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	19 _a	65.5%	70 _a	70.0%			
North Carolina	Employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	34 _a	45.3%			
	Not employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	41 _a	54.7%			
North Dakota	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	29.4%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	70.6%			
Ohio	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	32 _a	27.8%			
	Not employed in Q2	2 ¹	100.0%	83 _a	72.2%			
Oklahoma	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	11 _a	28.9%			
	Not employed in Q2	4 ¹	100.0%	27 _a	71.1%			
Oregon	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	6 _a	23.1%			
	Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	20 _a	76.9%			
Pennsylvania	Employed in Q2	12 _a	52.2%	112 _a	51.1%			
	Not employed in Q2	11 _a	47.8%	107 _a	48.9%			
Puerto Rico	Employed in Q2	12 _a	35.3%	01,2	0.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	22 _a	64.7%	01,2	0.0%			
Rhode Island	Employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	2 _a	25.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	6 _a	75.0%			
South Carolina	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	32 _a	41.6%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	45 _a	58.4%			
South Dakota	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	13.3%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	13 _a	86.7%			
Tennessee	Employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	33 _a	42.3%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	45 _a	57.7%			
Texas	Employed in Q2	22 _a	52.4%	65 _a	43.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	20 _a	47.6%	86 _a	57.0%			
Utah	Employed in Q2	11,2	100.0%	8 _a	27.6%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	21 _a	72.4%			
Vermont	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	20.0%			
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	80.0%			

		Common M	leasures Emp	-	2, Grantees		
		Hisp	anic	Not Hispanic			
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Virginia	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	31 _a	47.7%		
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	34 _a	52.3%		
Washington	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	14 _a	31.1%		
	Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	31 _a	68.9%		
West Virginia	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	14.3%		
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	30 _a	85.7%		
Wisconsin	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	27 _a	31.0%		
	Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	60 _a	69.0%		
Wyoming	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	11.1%		
	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	88.9%		
American	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	2.3%		
Samoa	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	43 _a	97.7%		
Guam	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	7.7%		
	Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	24 _a	92.3%		
Mariana Islands	Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	5 ¹	100.0%		
Virgin Islands	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	2 _a	12.5%		
	Not employed in Q2	5 ¹	100.0%	14 _a	87.5%		
State Grantees	Employed in Q2	141 _a	41.8%	1057 _b	35.4%		
	Not employed in Q2	196 _a	58.2%	1931 _b	64.6%		
Nationwide	Employed in Q2	910 _a	46.5%	5566 _b	40.8%		
	Not employed in Q2	1049 _a	53.5%	8073 _b	59.2%		

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< .05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.3

^{1.} This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni

Table 3: Employment in Q2, Grantees by Minority Status

		Common Measures Employment in Q2, Grantees by Minority Status								
		Mino		Not Mi						
	T	Count	Percent	Count	Percent					
AARP	Employed in Q2	1045 _a	55.9%	396 _a	56.3%					
	Not employed in Q2	825 _a	44.1%	308 _a	43.8%					
ANPPM	Employed in Q2	94 _a	33.8%	24 _a	28.2%					
	Not employed in Q2	184 _a	66.2%	61 _a	71.8%					
ATD	Employed in Q2	23 _a	37.7%	76 _a	46.3%					
	Not employed in Q2	38 _a	62.3%	88 _a	53.7%					
Easter Seals	Employed in Q2	212 _a	47.5%	144 _a	43.1%					
	Not employed in Q2	234 _a	52.5%	190 _a	56.9%					
Experience	Employed in Q2	11 _a	19.0%	52 _a	22.5%					
Works	Not employed in Q2	47 _a	81.0%	179 _a	77.5%					
Goodwill	Employed in Q2	192 _a	45.2%	242 _a	43.7%					
	Not employed in Q2	233 _a	54.8%	312a	56.3%					
IID [S]	Employed in Q2	14 _a	35.9%	9 _a	45.0%					
	Not employed in Q2	25 _a	64.1%	11 _a	55.0%					
National Able	Employed in Q2	18 _a	26.9%	28 _a	21.5%					
Network	Not employed in Q2	49 _a	73.1%	102 _a	78.5%					
NAPCA [S]	Employed in Q2	71 _a	44.4%	O ¹	0.0%					
	Not employed in Q2	89 _a	55.6%	3 ¹	100.0%					
NAPCA [G]	Employed in Q2	43 _a	30.1%	20 _a	28.2%					
	Not employed in Q2	100 _a	69.9%	51 _a	71.8%					
NCBA	Employed in Q2	417 _a	59.1%	224 _b	51.1%					
	Not employed in Q2	288 _a	40.9%	214 _b	48.9%					
NCOA	Employed in Q2	382 _a	39.5%	347 _a	38.9%					
	Not employed in Q2	586a	60.5%	546a	61.1%					
NICOA [S]	Employed in Q2	27 _a	29.0%	8 _a	42.1%					
	Not employed in Q2	66a	71.0%	11 _a	57.9%					
NOWCC	Employed in Q2	12 _a	42.9%	17 _a	35.4%					
	Not employed in Q2	16 _a	57.1%	31 _a	64.6%					
NUL	Employed in Q2	173 _a	47.1%	58 _a	52.7%					
	Not employed in Q2	194 _a	52.9%	52 _a	47.3%					
OAGB	Employed in Q2	20 _a	30.3%	18 _a	24.7%					
-	Not employed in Q2	46 _a	69.7%	55 _a	75.3%					

		Common Mo	easures Emplo	yment in Q2, 0	Grantees by
			Minority	Status	
		Mino	ority	Not Mi	nority
	Г	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
SER	Employed in Q2	137 _a	31.7%	117 _a	37.5%
	Not employed in Q2	295 _a	68.3%	195 _a	62.5%
SSAI	Employed in Q2	394 _a	34.2%	339 _a	36.5%
	Not employed in Q2	758 _a	65.8%	591 _a	63.5%
The WorkPlace	Employed in Q2	85 _a	30.1%	19 _a	22.9%
	Not employed in Q2	197 _a	69.9%	64 _a	77.1%
VANTAGE	Employed in Q2	15 _a	20.5%	18 _a	27.3%
	Not employed in Q2	58 _a	79.5%	48a	72.7%
National	Employed in Q2	3385 _a	43.9%	2156 _b	40.9%
Grantees	Not employed in Q2	4328 _a	56.1%	3112 _b	59.1%
Alabama	Employed in Q2	22 _a	40.7%	9 _a	34.6%
	Not employed in Q2	32 _a	59.3%	17 _a	65.4%
Alaska	Employed in Q2	12 _a	41.4%	28 _a	59.6%
	Not employed in Q2	17 _a	58.6%	19 _a	40.4%
Arizona	Employed in Q2	4 _a	33.3%	6 _a	16.7%
	Not employed in Q2	8 _a	66.7%	30 _a	83.3%
Arkansas	Employed in Q2	8 _a	27.6%	6 _a	24.0%
	Not employed in Q2	21 _a	72.4%	19 _a	76.0%
California	Employed in Q2	24 _a	19.5%	16 _a	24.6%
	Not employed in Q2	99 _a	80.5%	49 _a	75.4%
Colorado	Employed in Q2	8 _a	42.1%	1 _a	14.3%
	Not employed in Q2	11 _a	57.9%	6a	85.7%
Connecticut	Employed in Q2	5 _a	55.6%	2 _a	20.0%
	Not employed in Q2	4 _a	44.4%	8 _a	80.0%
Delaware	Employed in Q2	27 _a	42.2%	11 _a	55.0%
	Not employed in Q2	37 _a	57.8%	9 _a	45.0%
DC	Employed in Q2	9 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	9 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
Florida	Employed in Q2	63 _a	52.1%	38 _a	50.0%
	Not employed in Q2	58 _a	47.9%	38 _a	50.0%
Georgia	Employed in Q2	21 _a	38.2%	15 _a	50.0%
	Not employed in Q2	34 _a	61.8%	15 _a	50.0%
Hawaii	Employed in Q2	16 _a	28.1%	2 _a	20.0%
	Not employed in Q2	41 _a	71.9%	8 _a	80.0%

		Common Mo	easures Emplo Minority		Grantees by
		Mino		Not Mi	nority
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Idaho	Employed in Q2	2 _a	33.3%	2 _a	13.3%
	Not employed in Q2	4 _a	66.7%	13 _a	86.7%
Illinois	Employed in Q2	26 _a	25.0%	13 _a	36.1%
	Not employed in Q2	78 _a	75.0%	23 _a	63.9%
Indiana	Employed in Q2	5 _a	15.6%	7 _a	11.9%
	Not employed in Q2	27 _a	84.4%	52 _a	88.1%
Iowa	Employed in Q2	5 _a	38.5%	13 _a	48.1%
	Not employed in Q2	8 _a	61.5%	14 _a	51.9%
Kansas	Employed in Q2	2 _a	13.3%	4 _a	30.8%
	Not employed in Q2	13 _a	86.7%	9 _a	69.2%
Kentucky	Employed in Q2	1 _a	11.1%	11 _a	20.0%
	Not employed in Q2	8 _a	88.9%	44 _a	80.0%
Louisiana	Employed in Q2	12 _a	27.3%	6 _a	35.3%
	Not employed in Q2	32 _a	72.7%	11 _a	64.7%
Maryland	Employed in Q2	<mark>4</mark> a	<mark>17.4%</mark>	10 _b	52.6%
	Not employed in Q2	19 _a	82.6%	9 _b	47.4%
Massachusetts	Employed in Q2	6 _a	25.0%	11 _a	34.4%
	Not employed in Q2	18 _a	75.0%	21 _a	65.6%
Michigan	Employed in Q2	31 _a	60.8%	19 _a	45.2%
	Not employed in Q2	20 _a	39.2%	23 _a	54.8%
Minnesota	Employed in Q2	6 _a	31.6%	17 _a	32.7%
	Not employed in Q2	13 _a	68.4%	35 _a	67.3%
Mississippi	Employed in Q2	7 _a	25.9%	5 _a	33.3%
	Not employed in Q2	20 _a	74.1%	10 _a	66.7%
Missouri	Employed in Q2	17 _a	34.7%	16 _a	34.0%
	Not employed in Q2	32 _a	65.3%	31 _a	66.0%
Montana	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	7 _a	35.0%
	Not employed in Q2	4 ¹	100.0%	13 _a	65.0%
Nebraska	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	4 _a	40.0%
	Not employed in Q2	71	100.0%	6 _a	60.0%
Nevada	Employed in Q2	3 _a	33.3%	4 _a	50.0%
	Not employed in Q2	6 _a	66.7%	4 _a	50.0%
New Hampshire	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	6 _a	30.0%
	Not employed in Q2	2 ¹	100.0%	14 _a	70.0%

		Common M	•	yment in Q2, 0	Grantees by
			Minority	Status	
		Mino	ority	Not Mi	nority
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
New Jersey	Employed in Q2	42 _a	55.3%	20 _a	66.7%
	Not employed in Q2	34 _a	44.7%	10 _a	33.3%
New Mexico	Employed in Q2	4 _a	36.4%	O ¹	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	7 _a	63.6%	2 ¹	100.0%
New York	Employed in Q2	33 _a	34.4%	8 _a	20.5%
	Not employed in Q2	63 _a	65.6%	31 _a	79.5%
North Carolina	Employed in Q2	28 _a	44.4%	11 _a	39.3%
	Not employed in Q2	35 _a	55.6%	17 _a	60.7%
North Dakota	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	4 _a	28.6%
	Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	10 _a	71.4%
Ohio	Employed in Q2	14 _a	23.0%	21 _a	31.3%
	Not employed in Q2	47 _a	77.0%	46a	68.7%
Oklahoma	Employed in Q2	3 _a	15.8%	8 _a	34.8%
	Not employed in Q2	16 _a	84.2%	15 _a	65.2%
Oregon	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	7 _a	28.0%
	Not employed in Q2	4 ¹	100.0%	18 _a	72.0%
Pennsylvania	Employed in Q2	72 _a	50.0%	55 _a	53.4%
	Not employed in Q2	72 _a	50.0%	48a	46.6%
Puerto Rico	Employed in Q2	12 _a	35.3%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	22 _a	64.7%	01,2	0.0%
Rhode Island	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	4 _a	50.0%
	Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	4 _a	50.0%
South Carolina	Employed in Q2	21 _a	42.9%	13 _a	43.3%
	Not employed in Q2	28 _a	57.1%	17 _a	56.7%
South Dakota	Employed in Q2	01	0.0%	2 _a	22.2%
	Not employed in Q2	7 ¹	100.0%	7 _a	77.8%
Tennessee	Employed in Q2	25 _a	65.8%	9 _b	19.6%
	Not employed in Q2	13 _a	34.2%	37 _b	80.4%
Texas	Employed in Q2	54 _a	47.4%	34 _a	41.5%
	Not employed in Q2	60 _a	52.6%	48 _a	58.5%
Utah	Employed in Q2	3 _a	25.0%	6 _a	30.0%
	Not employed in Q2	9 _a	75.0%	14 _a	70.0%
Vermont	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%
	Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	3 _a	75.0%

		Common Me	Common Measures Employment in Q2, Grantees by Minority Status								
		Mino	ority	Not Minority							
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent						
Virginia	Employed in Q2	18 _a	48.6%	15 _a	48.4%						
	Not employed in Q2	19 _a	51.4%	16 _a	51.6%						
Washington	Employed in Q2	4 _a	26.7%	10 _a	31.3%						
	Not employed in Q2	11 _a	73.3%	22 _a	68.8%						
West Virginia	Employed in Q2	1 _a	9.1%	4 _a	16.7%						
	Not employed in Q2	10 _a	90.9%	20 _a	83.3%						
Wisconsin	Employed in Q2	11 _a	25.6%	18 _a	36.7%						
	Not employed in Q2	32 _a	74.4%	31 _a	63.3%						
Wyoming	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	11.1%						
	Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	8 _a	88.9%						
American	Employed in Q2	1 _a	2.3%	01,2	0.0%						
Samoa	Not employed in Q2	43 _a	97.7%	01,2	0.0%						
Guam	Employed in Q2	2 _a	6.9%	01,2	0.0%						
	Not employed in Q2	27 _a	93.1%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%						
Mariana Islands	Not employed in Q2	4 ¹	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%						
Virgin Islands	Employed in Q2	3 _a	12.5%	01,2	0.0%						
	Not employed in Q2	21 _a	87.5%	01,2	0.0%						
State Grantees	Employed in Q2	699 _a	35.5%	540 _a	35.6%						
	Not employed in Q2	1272 _a	64.5%	975 _a	64.4%						
Nationwide	Employed in Q2	4084 _a	42.2%	2696 _b	39.7%						
	Not employed in Q2	5600 _a	57.8%	4087 _b	60.3%						

Note mployed in Q2 | 5600_a | 57.8% | 4087_b | 60.3% |

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< .05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal

^{1.} This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Appendix D: Employment in Q4 Tables, Grantees

Table 1: Employment in Q4, Grantees by Race

Table 1. Emp						s Emplo	yment in C	4, Grante	es by Rac	9	
		W	/hite		ack		sian		ın Indian		slander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	Employed in Q4	711 _a	46.7%	<mark>457</mark> ₅	<mark>37.7%</mark>	9 _{a,b}	47.4%	4 _{a,b}	33.3%	3 _{a,b}	50.0%
	Not employed in Q4	810 _a	53.3%	754 _b	62.3%	10 _{a,b}	52.6%	8 _{a,b}	66.7%	3 _{a,b}	50.0%
ANPPM	Employed in Q4	47 _a	30.5%	24 _a	26.4%	2 _a	14.3%	O ¹	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	107 _a	69.5%	67 _a	73.6%	12 _a	85.7%	2 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
ATD	Employed in Q4	62 _a	34.8%	12 _a	29.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	116 _a	65.2%	29 _a	70.7%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%
Easter Seals	Employed in Q4	133 _a	32.6%	137 _b	46.6%	8 _{a,b}	38.1%	10 _{a,b}	27.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	275 _a	67.4%	157 _b	53.4%	13 _{a,b}	61.9%	27 _{a,b}	73.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
Experience Works	Employed in Q4	68 _a	22.3%	2 _a	12.5%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	13.9%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	237 _a	77.7%	14 _a	87.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	31 _a	86.1%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
Goodwill	Employed in Q4	206 _a	33.9%	124 _a	37.5%	1 _a	20.0%	4 _a	26.7%	01	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	402 _a	66.1%	207 _a	62.5%	4 _a	80.0%	11 _a	73.3%	2 ¹	100.0%

		Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees by Race									
		W	/hite	ВІ	ack	А	sian	America	n Indian	Pacific Islande	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
IID [S]	Employed in Q4	7 _a	63.6%	2 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	4 _a	36.4%	6 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%
National Able Network	Employed in Q4	25 _a	17.0%	11 _a	24.4%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	122 _a	83.0%	34 _a	75.6%	01,2	0.0%	4 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
NAPCA [S]	Employed in Q4	01	0.0%	6 _a	35.3%	56 _a	36.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	9 ¹	100.0%	11 _a	64.7%	99 _a	63.9%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
NAPCA [G]	Employed in Q4	22 _a	27.2%	31 _a	34.1%	6 _a	22.2%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	59a	72.8%	60a	65.9%	21 _a	77.8%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	2 ¹	100.0%
NCBA	Employed in Q4	151 _a	34.5%	340 _b	53.5%	3 _{a,b}	50.0%	3 _{a,b}	30.0%	1 _{a,b}	50.0%
	Not employed in Q4	287 _a	65.5%	296 _b	46.5%	3 _{a,b}	50.0%	7 _{a,b}	70.0%	1 _{a,b}	50.0%
NCOA	Employed in Q4	275 _a	29.2%	220 _a	27.8%	11 _a	25.0%	2 _a	28.6%	1 _a	25.0%
	Not employed in Q4	666 _a	70.8%	571 _a	72.2%	33 _a	75.0%	5 _a	71.4%	3 _a	75.0%
NICOA [S]	Employed in Q4	7 _a	29.2%	6a	30.0%	11,2	100.0%	9 _a	13.2%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	17 _a	70.8%	14 _a	70.0%	01,2	0.0%	59 _a	86.8%	01,2	0.0%

		Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees by Race									
		W	hite/	ВІ	ack	А	sian	America	n Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NOWCC	Employed in Q4	20 _a	31.3%	5 _a	55.6%	O ¹	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	44 _a	68.8%	4 _a	44.4%	2 ¹	100.0%	2 _a	66.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
NUL	Employed in Q4	55 _a	36.4%	110 _a	39.1%	1 _a	20.0%	4 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	96 _a	63.6%	171 _a	60.9%	4 _a	80.0%	1 _a	20.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
OAGB	Employed in Q4	13 _a	16.5%	7 _a	17.5%	O ¹	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	66 _a	83.5%	33 _a	82.5%	6 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
SER	Employed in Q4	136 _a	31.1%	68 _a	29.4%	5 _a	55.6%	5 _a	31.3%	1 _a	33.3%
	Not employed in Q4	301 _a	68.9%	163 _a	70.6%	4 _a	44.4%	11 _a	68.8%	2 _a	66.7%
SSAI	Employed in Q4	249 _a	29.8%	289 _a	32.3%	39 _a	44.3%	12 _a	44.4%	1 _a	33.3%
	Not employed in Q4	587 _a	70.2%	605 _a	67.7%	49 _a	55.7%	15 _a	55.6%	2 _a	66.7%
The WorkPlace	Employed in Q4	21 _a	20.6%	78 _a	33.5%	1 _a	20.0%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	81 _a	79.4%	155 _a	66.5%	4 _a	80.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
VANTAGE	Employed in Q4	14 _a	22.2%	16a	19.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	49 _a	77.8%	66 _a	80.5%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%

			(Commor	n Measure	s Emplo	oyment in C	4, Grante	es by Rac	е	
		W	/hite	ВІ	ack	Д	sian	America	n Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
National Grantees	Employed in Q4	2222 _a	33.9%	1945 _a	36.3%	144 _a	35.2%	<mark>65</mark> _b	<mark>24.8%</mark>	7 _{a,b}	25.9%
	Not employed in Q4	4335 _a	66.1%	3417 _a	63.7%	265 _a	64.8%	197 _ь	75.2%	20 _{a,b}	74.1%
Alabama	Employed in Q4	11 _a	44.0%	14 _a	26.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	14 _a	56.0%	38 _a	73.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Alaska	Employed in Q4	21 _a	45.7%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	44.4%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	25 _a	54.3%	2 _a	66.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	10 _a	55.6%	01,2	0.0%
Arizona	Employed in Q4	7 _a	25.0%	3 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	21 _a	75.0%	6 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Arkansas	Employed in Q4	4 _a	14.8%	8 _a	22.2%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	23 _a	85.2%	28 _a	77.8%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
California	Employed in Q4	18 _a	20.5%	7 _a	19.4%	1 _a	12.5%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	70 _a	79.5%	29 _a	80.6%	7 _a	87.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	2 ¹	100.0%
Colorado	Employed in Q4	5 _a	33.3%	1 _a	14.3%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	10 _a	66.7%	6 _a	85.7%	01,2	0.0%	4 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%

		Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees by Race									
		W	/hite	ВІ	lack	Д	sian	America	n Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Connecticut	Employed in Q4	1 _a	11.1%	9 _b	64.3%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	8 _a	88.9%	5 _b	35.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Delaware	Employed in Q4	9 _a	39.1%	15 _a	32.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	14 _a	60.9%	31 _a	67.4%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
DC	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	57.1%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	9 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
Florida	Employed in Q4	40 _a	35.1%	20 _a	31.3%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	Not employed in Q4	74 _a	64.9%	44 _a	68.8%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Georgia	Employed in Q4	8 _a	24.2%	10 _a	20.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	25 _a	75.8%	38 _a	79.2%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Hawaii	Employed in Q4	1 _a	8.3%	01	0.0%	11 _a	36.7%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	11 _a	91.7%	5 ¹	100.0%	19 _a	63.3%	01,2	0.0%	7 ¹	100.0%
Idaho	Employed in Q4	2 _a	9.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	19 _a	90.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			(Commor	n Measure	s Emplo	yment in Q	4, Grante	es by Rac	е	
		W	/hite	В	ack	Д	sian	America	n Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Illinois	Employed in Q4	15 _a	31.9%	18 _a	22.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	32 _a	68.1%	62 _a	77.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Indiana	Employed in Q4	14 _a	18.4%	5 _a	18.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	62 _a	81.6%	22 _a	81.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Iowa	Employed in Q4	14 _a	45.2%	3 _a	21.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	17 _a	54.8%	11 _a	78.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Kansas	Employed in Q4	01	0.0%	1 _a	10.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	6 ¹	100.0%	9 _a	90.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Kentucky	Employed in Q4	11 _a	20.8%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	42 _a	79.2%	8 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Louisiana	Employed in Q4	4 _a	19.0%	10 _a	22.2%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	17 _a	81.0%	35 _a	77.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Maryland	Employed in Q4	8 _a	34.8%	3 _a	10.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	15 _a	65.2%	26 _a	89.7%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%

		Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees by Race									
		W	/hite	ВІ	ack	А	sian	America	n Indian	Pacific	Islander
	_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Massachusetts	Employed in Q4	6 _a	27.3%	3 _a	27.3%	O ¹	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	16 _a	72.7%	8 _a	72.7%	2 ¹	100.0%	1 _a	33.3%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
Michigan	Employed in Q4	13 _a	31.0%	19 _a	40.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	29 _a	69.0%	28 _a	59.6%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Minnesota	Employed in Q4	14 _a	24.1%	3 _a	37.5%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	44 _a	75.9%	5 _a	62.5%	01,2	0.0%	5 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Mississippi	Employed in Q4	4 _a	19.0%	4 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	17 _a	81.0%	16 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Missouri	Employed in Q4	11 _a	25.6%	8 _a	18.2%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	32 _a	74.4%	36 _a	81.8%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Montana	Employed in Q4	5 _a	23.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	16 _a	76.2%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Nebraska	Employed in Q4	5 _a	35.7%	2 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	9 _a	64.3%	10 _a	83.3%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees by Race								
		W	hite/	ВІ	ack	А	sian	America	n Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Nevada	Employed in Q4	3 _a	30.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	7 _a	70.0%	6 ¹	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
New Hampshire	Employed in Q4	7 _a	35.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	13 _a	65.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
New Jersey	Employed in Q4	24 _a	58.5%	22 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	17 _a	41.5%	33 _a	60.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	2 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
New Mexico	Employed in Q4	2 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	28.6%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	8 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	71.4%	01,2	0.0%
New York	Employed in Q4	9 _a	15.0%	20 _b	35.7%	3 _{a,b}	37.5%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	Not employed in Q4	51 _a	85.0%	36₀	64.3%	5 _{a,b}	62.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
North Carolina	Employed in Q4	7 _a	29.2%	21 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	21	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	17 _a	70.8%	21 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	O ¹	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
North Dakota	Employed in Q4	6a	35.3%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	11 _a	64.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%

			(Commor	Measure	s Emplo	yment in C	4, Grante	es by Rac	е	
		W	hite/	ВІ	ack	А	sian	America	n Indian	Pacific	Islander
	_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Ohio	Employed in Q4	17 _a	22.7%	7 _a	14.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	58 _a	77.3%	41 _a	85.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Oklahoma	Employed in Q4	9 _a	29.0%	1 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	22 _a	71.0%	4 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%	6 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Oregon	Employed in Q4	7 _a	35.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	13 _a	65.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
Pennsylvania	Employed in Q4	39 _a	40.2%	41 _a	41.4%	O ¹	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	58 _a	59.8%	58 _a	58.6%	2 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Puerto Rico	Employed in Q4	10 _a	34.5%	2 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	19 _a	65.5%	8 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Rhode Island	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	3 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
South Carolina	Employed in Q4	11 _a	44.0%	15 _a	34.1%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	14 _a	56.0%	29 _a	65.9%	01,2	0.0%	4 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees by Race								
		W	hite/	ВІ	ack	А	sian	America	n Indian	Pacific	slander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
South Dakota	Not employed in Q4	8 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	71	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Tennessee	Employed in Q4	5 _a	10.0%	16 _b	39.0%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	45 _a	90.0%	25 _b	61.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Texas	Employed in Q4	47 _a	40.5%	25 _a	39.1%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	69 _a	59.5%	39 _a	60.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
Utah	Employed in Q4	4 _a	23.5%	1 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	13 _a	76.5%	5 _a	83.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 ¹	100.0%
Vermont	Employed in Q4	3 _a	37.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	5 _a	62.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Virginia	Employed in Q4	11 _a	33.3%	10 _a	21.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	22 _a	66.7%	37 _a	78.7%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Washington	Employed in Q4	10 _a	27.0%	2 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	O ¹	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	Not employed in Q4	27 _a	73.0%	4 _a	66.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	5 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees by Race								
		W	hite	ВІ	ack	А	sian	America	ın Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
West Virginia	Employed in Q4	1 _a	5.0%	1 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	19 _a	95.0%	4 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Wisconsin	Employed in Q4	20 _a	37.0%	7 _a	20.6%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	34 _a	63.0%	27 _a	79.4%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	6 ¹	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
Wyoming	Not employed in Q4	17 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
American Samoa	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	24 ¹	100.0%
Guam	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	14.3%
	Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	21	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	18 _a	85.7%
Mariana Islands	Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	7 ¹	100.0%
Virgin Islands	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	11.1%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	24 _a	88.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
State Grantees	Employed in Q4	504 _a	28.9%	374 _a	28.8%	17 _{a,b}	27.0%	20 _{a,b}	21.5%	<mark>6</mark> ₅	<mark>8.6%</mark>
	Not employed in Q4	1240 _a	71.1%	924 _a	71.2%	46 _{a,b}	73.0%	73 _{a,b}	78.5%	64 _b	91.4%

			Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees by Race									
		W	/hite	ВІ	ack	А	sian	America	n Indian	Pacific	Islander	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Nationwide	Employed	2726 _a	32.8%	2319 _a	34.8%	161 _a	34.1%	85 _b	<mark>23.9%</mark>	13 _b	<mark>13.4%</mark>	
	in Q4											
	Not	5575 _a	67.2%	4341 _a	65.2%	311 _a	65.9%	270 _b	76.1%	84 _b	86.6%	
	employed											
	in Q4											

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< .05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.³

1. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

- This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 2: Employment in Q4, Grantees by Ethnicity

•	noyment in Q4, Gra	Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees Ethnicity						
		Hisp		icity Not His	spanic			
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
AARP	Employed in Q4	391a	49.5%	795 _b	39.5%			
	Not employed in Q4	399 _a	50.5%	1220 _b	60.5%			
ANPPM	Employed in Q4	43 _a	38.4%	42 _b	22.8%			
	Not employed in Q4	69 _a	61.6%	142 _b	77.2%			
ATD	Employed in Q4	8 _a	42.1%	67 _a	31.8%			
	Not employed in Q4	11 _a	57.9%	144 _a	68.2%			
Easter Seals	Employed in Q4	25 _a	35.2%	270 _a	38.1%			
	Not employed in Q4	46 _a	64.8%	439 _a	61.9%			
Experience	Employed in Q4	5 _a	26.3%	73 _a	20.3%			
Works	Not employed in Q4	14 _a	73.7%	286a	79.7%			
Goodwill	Employed in Q4	23 _a	42.6%	312 _a	34.7%			
	Not employed in Q4	31 _a	57.4%	587 _a	65.3%			
IID [S]	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	39.3%			
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	17 _a	60.7%			
National Able	Employed in Q4	1 _a	16.7%	36 _a	19.1%			
Network	Not employed in Q4	5 _a	83.3%	152 _a	80.9%			
NAPCA [S]	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	61 _a	34.1%			
	Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	118 _a	65.9%			
NAPCA [G]	Employed in Q4	2 _a	11.1%	57 _a	30.3%			
	Not employed in Q4	16 _a	88.9%	131 _a	69.7%			
NCBA	Employed in Q4	9 _a	37.5%	469 _a	44.5%			
	Not employed in Q4	15 _a	62.5%	586a	55.5%			
NCOA	Employed in Q4	47 _a	29.9%	447 _a	28.8%			
	Not employed in Q4	110 _a	70.1%	1104 _a	71.2%			
NICOA [S]	Employed in Q4	4 _a	50.0%	22 _a	28.6%			
	Not employed in Q4	4 _a	50.0%	55a	71.4%			
NOWCC	Employed in Q4	6 _a	85.7%	21 _b	27.3%			
	Not employed in Q4	1 _a	14.3%	56 _b	72.7%			
NUL	Employed in Q4	<mark>11</mark> a	<mark>19.0%</mark>	162 _b	40.6%			
	Not employed in Q4	47 _a	81.0%	237 _b	59.4%			
OAGB	Employed in Q4	3 _a	12.0%	20 _a	17.9%			
	Not employed in Q4	22 _a	88.0%	92 _a	82.1%			

		Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees I							
			Ethn	icity					
		Hispanic Not Hispanic							
	T	Count	Percent	Count	Percent				
SER	Employed in Q4	48 _a	32.4%	162 _a	30.3%				
	Not employed in Q4	100 _a	67.6%	373 _a	69.7%				
SSAI	Employed in Q4	20 _a	26.3%	548 _a	32.2%				
	Not employed in Q4	56 _a	73.7%	1153 _a	67.8%				
The WorkPlace	Employed in Q4	8 _a	18.2%	97 _a	31.3%				
	Not employed in Q4	36 _a	81.8%	213 _a	68.7%				
VANTAGE	Employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	28 _a	20.6%				
	Not employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	108 _a	79.4%				
National	Employed in Q4	657 _a	40.0%	3700 _b	33.9%				
Grantees	Not employed in Q4	985 _a	60.0%	7213 _b	66.1%				
Alabama	Employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	20 _a	28.6%				
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	50 _a	71.4%				
Alaska	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	31 _a	44.3%				
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	39 _a	55.7%				
Arizona	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	10 _a	28.6%				
	Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	25 _a	71.4%				
Arkansas	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	15 _a	22.4%				
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	52 _a	77.6%				
California	Employed in Q4	10 _a	23.3%	18 _a	18.4%				
	Not employed in Q4	33 _a	76.7%	80 _a	81.6%				
Colorado	Employed in Q4	4 _a	44.4%	2 _a	12.5%				
	Not employed in Q4	5 _a	55.6%	14 _a	87.5%				
Connecticut	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	9 _a	42.9%				
	Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	12 _a	57.1%				
Delaware	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	23 _a	34.3%				
	Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	44 _a	65.7%				
DC	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	13 _a	56.5%				
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	10 _a	43.5%				
Florida	Employed in Q4	22 _a	47.8%	39 _b	28.3%				
	Not employed in Q4	24 _a	52.2%	99 _b	71.7%				
Georgia	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	19 _a	24.7%				
	Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	58 _a	75.3%				
Hawaii	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	13 _a	22.0%				
	Not employed in Q4	5 ²	100.0%	46a	78.0%				

		Common Mo	easures Emplo Ethn	•	Grantees by		
		Hispanic Not Hispanic					
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Idaho	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	2 _a	10.5%		
	Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	17 _a	89.5%		
Illinois	Employed in Q4	6 _a	33.3%	23 _a	22.3%		
	Not employed in Q4	12 _a	66.7%	80 _a	77.7%		
Indiana	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	17 _a	17.7%		
	Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	79 _a	82.3%		
Iowa	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	17 _a	37.8%		
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	28 _a	62.2%		
Kansas	Employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 _a	6.7%		
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	14 _a	93.3%		
Kentucky	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	18.6%		
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	48 _a	81.4%		
Louisiana	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	13 _a	21.7%		
	Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	47 _a	78.3%		
Maryland	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	22.2%		
	Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	42 _a	77.8%		
Massachusetts	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	11 _a	28.9%		
	Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	27 _a	71.1%		
Michigan	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	32 _a	35.6%		
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	58 _a	64.4%		
Minnesota	Employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	15 _b	22.1%		
	Not employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	53 _b	77.9%		
Mississippi	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	19.5%		
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	33 _a	80.5%		
Missouri	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	17 _a	20.2%		
	Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	67 _a	79.8%		
Montana	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	5 _a	21.7%		
	Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	18 _a	78.3%		
Nebraska	Employed in Q4	0^{2}	0.0%	6a	24.0%		
	Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	19 _a	76.0%		
Nevada	Employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	1 _b	7.1%		
	Not employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	13 _b	92.9%		
New Hampshire	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	7 _a	38.9%		
	Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	11 _a	61.1%		

		Common Measures Employment in Q4, Grantees b						
		Hispanic Not Hispanic						
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
New Jersey	Employed in Q4	10 _a	55.6%	40 _a	46.5%			
	Not employed in Q4	8 _a	44.4%	46 _a	53.5%			
New Mexico	Employed in Q4	3 _a	37.5%	2 _a	20.0%			
	Not employed in Q4	5 _a	62.5%	8 _a	80.0%			
New York	Employed in Q4	4 _a	19.0%	30 _a	28.8%			
	Not employed in Q4	17 _a	81.0%	74 _a	71.2%			
North Carolina	Employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	28 _a	46.7%			
	Not employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	32 _a	53.3%			
North Dakota	Employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	6 _a	31.6%			
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	13 _a	68.4%			
Ohio	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	21 _a	18.6%			
	Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	92 _a	81.4%			
Oklahoma	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	11 _a	26.2%			
	Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	31 _a	73.8%			
Oregon	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	34.8%			
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	15 _a	65.2%			
Pennsylvania	Employed in Q4	6 _a	37.5%	77 _a	41.4%			
	Not employed in Q4	10 _a	62.5%	109 _a	58.6%			
Puerto Rico	Employed in Q4	12 _a	30.8%	01,2	0.0%			
	Not employed in Q4	27 _a	69.2%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%			
Rhode Island	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	14.3%			
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	6 _a	85.7%			
South Carolina	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	25 _a	36.2%			
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	44 _a	63.8%			
South Dakota	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	16 ²	100.0%			
Tennessee	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	20 _a	22.7%			
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	68 _a	77.3%			
Texas	Employed in Q4	20 _a	50.0%	58 _a	38.9%			
	Not employed in Q4	20 _a	50.0%	91 _a	61.1%			
Utah	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	21.7%			
	Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	18 _a	78.3%			
Vermont	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	33.3%			
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	6 _a	66.7%			

		Common Me	easures Emplo Ethn	•	Grantees by
		Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Virginia	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	20 _a	25.3%
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	59 _a	74.7%
Washington	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	14 _a	27.5%
	Not employed in Q4	11,2	100.0%	37 _a	72.5%
West Virginia	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	8.0%
	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	23 _a	92.0%
Wisconsin	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	27 _a	29.7%
	Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	64 _a	70.3%
Wyoming	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	19 ²	100.0%
American	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	24 ²	100.0%
Samoa					
Guam	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	3 _a	14.3%
	Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	18 _a	85.7%
Mariana Islands	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	8 ²	100.0%
Virgin Islands	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	3 _a	11.1%
	Not employed in Q4	42	100.0%	24 _a	88.9%
State Grantees	Employed in Q4	113 _a	35.5%	814 _b	27.7%
	Not employed in Q4	205 _a	64.5%	2128 _b	72.3%
Nationwide	Employed in Q4	770 _a	39.3%	4514 _b	32.6%
	Not employed in Q4	1190 _a	60.7%	9341 _b	67.4%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p<.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.3

^{1.} This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

^{2.} This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 3: Employment in Q4, Grantees by Minority Status

Table 3: Emp	oloyment in Q4, Gra		•		
		Common M		yment in Q4, 0	Grantees by
			Minority		
		Min	ority	Not Mi	nority
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	Employed in Q4	855a	42.4%	349 _a	41.6%
	Not employed in Q4	1162 _a	57.6%	490 _a	58.4%
ANPPM	Employed in Q4	67 _a	30.9%	20 _a	23.3%
	Not employed in Q4	150 _a	69.1%	66a	76.7%
ATD	Employed in Q4	20 _a	31.3%	58 _a	33.7%
	Not employed in Q4	44 _a	68.8%	114 _a	66.3%
Easter Seals	Employed in Q4	180 _a	42.6%	121 _b	31.6%
	Not employed in Q4	243 _a	57.4%	262 _b	68.4%
Experience	Employed in Q4	13 _a	16.0%	65 _a	21.6%
Works	Not employed in Q4	68 _a	84.0%	236 _a	78.4%
Goodwill	Employed in Q4	157 _a	36.9%	195 _a	33.6%
	Not employed in Q4	268 _a	63.1%	386a	66.4%
IID [S]	Employed in Q4	<mark>4</mark> a	<mark>22.2%</mark>	7 _b	63.6%
	Not employed in Q4	14 _a	77.8%	4 _b	36.4%
National Able	Employed in Q4	12 _a	21.8%	25 _a	17.4%
Network	Not employed in Q4	43 _a	78.2%	119 _a	82.6%
NAPCA [S]	Employed in Q4	63 _a	35.8%	O ¹	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	113 _a	64.2%	9 ¹	100.0%
NAPCA [G]	Employed in Q4	40 _a	28.8%	20 _a	27.4%
	Not employed in Q4	99a	71.2%	53a	72.6%
NCBA	Employed in Q4	354 _a	51.8%	150 _b	34.2%
	Not employed in Q4	329a	48.2%	288 _b	65.8%
NCOA	Employed in Q4	276 _a	28.1%	277 _a	29.3%
	Not employed in Q4	707 _a	71.9%	669a	70.7%
NICOA [S]	Employed in Q4	21 _a	21.6%	7 _a	29.2%
	Not employed in Q4	76 _a	78.4%	17 _a	70.8%
NOWCC	Employed in Q4	12 _a	50.0%	16 _b	25.4%
	Not employed in Q4	12 _a	50.0%	47 _b	74.6%
NUL	Employed in Q4	128 _a	36.5%	49 _a	40.8%
	Not employed in Q4	223 _a	63.5%	71 _a	59.2%
OAGB	Employed in Q4	10 _a	14.9%	13 _a	18.1%
	Not employed in Q4	57 _a	85.1%	59 _a	81.9%
SER	Employed in Q4	126 _a	31.1%	100 _a	30.2%

		Common Me	easures Emplo	-	Grantees by			
		Minority Status Minority Not Minority						
					•			
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
	Not employed in Q4	279 _a	68.9%	231 _a	69.8%			
SSAI	Employed in Q4	361 _a	33.1%	245 _a	29.4%			
	Not employed in Q4	728 _a	66.9%	588 _a	70.6%			
The WorkPlace	Employed in Q4	89 _a	31.6%	19 _a	21.6%			
	Not employed in Q4	193 _a	68.4%	69 _a	78.4%			
VANTAGE	Employed in Q4	19 _a	21.3%	14 _a	22.2%			
	Not employed in Q4	70 _a	78.7%	49 _a	77.8%			
National	Employed in Q4	2807 _a	36.5%	1750 _b	31.4%			
Grantees	Not employed in Q4	4878 _a	63.5%	3827 _b	68.6%			
Alabama	Employed in Q4	14 _a	25.9%	11 _a	44.0%			
	Not employed in Q4	40 _a	74.1%	14 _a	56.0%			
Alaska	Employed in Q4	11 _a	42.3%	21 _a	45.7%			
	Not employed in Q4	15 _a	57.7%	25 _a	54.3%			
Arizona	Employed in Q4	5 _a	35.7%	6 _a	23.1%			
	Not employed in Q4	9 _a	64.3%	20 _a	76.9%			
Arkansas	Employed in Q4	10 _a	25.6%	5 _a	17.2%			
	Not employed in Q4	29 _a	74.4%	24 _a	82.8%			
California	Employed in Q4	18 _a	19.8%	13 _a	18.6%			
	Not employed in Q4	73 _a	80.2%	57 _a	81.4%			
Colorado	Employed in Q4	5 _a	25.0%	1 _a	16.7%			
	Not employed in Q4	15 _a	75.0%	5 _a	83.3%			
Connecticut	Employed in Q4	10 _a	62.5%	1 _b	11.1%			
	Not employed in Q4	6 _a	37.5%	8 _b	88.9%			
Delaware	Employed in Q4	15 _a	31.3%	9 _a	40.9%			
	Not employed in Q4	33 _a	68.8%	13 _a	59.1%			
DC	Employed in Q4	13 _a	56.5%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%			
	Not employed in Q4	10 _a	43.5%	01,2	0.0%			
Florida	Employed in Q4	42 _a	38.2%	21 _a	27.3%			
-	Not employed in Q4	68 _a	61.8%	56 _a	72.7%			
Georgia	Employed in Q4	10 _a	20.8%	9 _a	26.5%			
	Not employed in Q4	38 _a	79.2%	25 _a	73.5%			
Hawaii	Employed in Q4	12 _a	21.8%	1 _a	11.1%			
awan	Not employed in Q4	43 _a	78.2%	8 _a	88.9%			

		Common Me	easures Emplo	•	Grantees by
			Minority	Status	
		Mino	ority	Not Mi	nority
	Г	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Idaho	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	2 _a	10.5%
	Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	17 _a	89.5%
Illinois	Employed in Q4	24 _a	24.2%	9 _a	25.7%
	Not employed in Q4	75 _a	75.8%	26 _a	74.3%
Indiana	Employed in Q4	5 _a	17.2%	14 _a	18.4%
	Not employed in Q4	24 _a	82.8%	62 _a	81.6%
Iowa	Employed in Q4	3 _a	20.0%	14 _a	45.2%
	Not employed in Q4	12 _a	80.0%	17 _a	54.8%
Kansas	Employed in Q4	2 _a	18.2%	O ¹	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	9 _a	81.8%	6 ¹	100.0%
Kentucky	Employed in Q4	O ¹	0.0%	11 _a	20.8%
	Not employed in Q4	8 ¹	100.0%	42 _a	79.2%
Louisiana	Employed in Q4	10 _a	21.3%	4 _a	21.1%
	Not employed in Q4	37 _a	78.7%	15 _a	78.9%
Maryland	Employed in Q4	<mark>4</mark> a	<mark>12.1%</mark>	8 _b	36.4%
	Not employed in Q4	29 _a	87.9%	14 _b	63.6%
Massachusetts	Employed in Q4	5 _a	25.0%	6 _a	26.1%
	Not employed in Q4	15 _a	75.0%	17 _a	73.9%
Michigan	Employed in Q4	19 _a	39.6%	14 _a	31.8%
	Not employed in Q4	29 _a	60.4%	30 _a	68.2%
Minnesota	Employed in Q4	6 _a	31.6%	12 _a	21.8%
	Not employed in Q4	13 _a	68.4%	43 _a	78.2%
Mississippi	Employed in Q4	4 _a	20.0%	4 _a	19.0%
	Not employed in Q4	16 _a	80.0%	17 _a	81.0%
Missouri	Employed in Q4	9 _a	18.4%	10 _a	25.0%
	Not employed in Q4	40 _a	81.6%	30 _a	75.0%
Montana	Employed in Q4	O ¹	0.0%	5 _a	25.0%
	Not employed in Q4	5 ¹	100.0%	15 _a	75.0%
Nebraska	Employed in Q4	2 _a	13.3%	5 _a	35.7%
	Not employed in Q4	13 _a	86.7%	9 _a	64.3%
Nevada	Employed in Q4	2 _a	20.0%	1 _a	14.3%
	Not employed in Q4	8 _a	80.0%	6a	85.7%
New Hampshire	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	7 _a	36.8%
•	Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	12 _a	63.2%

		Common Me	easures Emplo	•	Grantees by	
			Minority			
		Mino			inority	
	1	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
New Jersey	Employed in Q4	<mark>32</mark> a	<mark>42.1%</mark>	19 _b	63.3%	
	Not employed in Q4	44 _a	57.9%	11 _b	36.7%	
New Mexico	Employed in Q4	5 _a	33.3%	O ¹	0.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	10 _a	66.7%	41	100.0%	
New York	Employed in Q4	27 _a	31.8%	8 _a	16.3%	
	Not employed in Q4	58a	68.2%	41 _a	83.7%	
North Carolina	Employed in Q4	25 _a	52.1%	7 _b	28.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	23 _a	47.9%	18 _b	72.0%	
North Dakota	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	6 _a	35.3%	
	Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	11 _a	64.7%	
Ohio	Employed in Q4	7 _a	14.0%	17 _a	22.7%	
	Not employed in Q4	43 _a	86.0%	58 _a	77.3%	
Oklahoma	Employed in Q4	4 _a	23.5%	8 _a	27.6%	
	Not employed in Q4	13 _a	76.5%	21 _a	72.4%	
Oregon	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	7 _a	35.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	13 _a	65.0%	
Pennsylvania	Employed in Q4	48 _a	40.7%	38 _a	42.7%	
	Not employed in Q4	70 _a	59.3%	51 _a	57.3%	
Puerto Rico	Employed in Q4	12 _a	30.8%	01,2	0.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	27 _a	69.2%	01,2	0.0%	
Rhode Island	Employed in Q4	O ¹	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	3 ¹	100.0%	3 _a	75.0%	
South Carolina	Employed in Q4	15 _a	31.3%	11 _a	44.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	33 _a	68.8%	14 _a	56.0%	
South Dakota	Employed in Q4	O ¹	0.0%	O ¹	0.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	8 ¹	100.0%	8 ¹	100.0%	
Tennessee	Employed in Q4	16 _a	34.8%	5 _b	10.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	30 _a	65.2%	45 _b	90.0%	
Texas	Employed in Q4	47 _a	43.9%	34 _a	39.5%	
	Not employed in Q4	60 _a	56.1%	52 _a	60.5%	
Utah	Employed in Q4	1 _a	10.0%	4 _a	25.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	9 _a	90.0%	12 _a	75.0%	
Vermont	Employed in Q4	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	3 _a	37.5%	
	Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	5 _a	62.5%	

		Common Mo	easures Emplo Minority	•	Grantees by	
		Mino		Not Minority		
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Virginia	Employed in Q4	10 _a	20.8%	11 _a	33.3%	
	Not employed in Q4	38 _a	79.2%	22 _a	66.7%	
Washington	Employed in Q4	4 _a	25.0%	10 _a	26.3%	
	Not employed in Q4	12 _a	75.0%	28 _a	73.7%	
West Virginia	Employed in Q4	1 _a	20.0%	1 _a	5.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	4 _a	80.0%	19 _a	95.0%	
Wisconsin	Employed in Q4	8 _a	<mark>18.2%</mark>	20 _b	37.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	36 _a	81.8%	34 _b	63.0%	
Wyoming	Employed in Q4	O ¹	0.0%	O ¹	0.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	2 ¹	100.0%	17 ¹	100.0%	
American	Employed in Q4	O ¹	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
Samoa	Not employed in Q4	24 ¹	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	
Guam	Employed in Q4	3 _a	12.5%	O ¹	0.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	21 _a	87.5%	3 ¹	100.0%	
Mariana Islands	Employed in Q4	O ¹	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	7 ¹	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	
Virgin Islands	Employed in Q4	3 _a	9.7%	01,2	0.0%	
	Not employed in Q4	28 _a	90.3%	01,2	0.0%	
State Grantees	Employed in Q4	532 _a	28.7%	434 _a	27.9%	
	Not employed in Q4	1324 _a	71.3%	1124 _a	72.1%	
Nationwide	Employed in Q4	3339 _a	35.0%	2184 _b	30.6%	
	Not employed in Q4	6202 _a	65.0%	4951₅	69.4%	

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p<.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.³

This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

^{3.} Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Appendix E: Median Earnings Tables, Grantees

Table 1: Median Earnings, Grantees by Race

Table 1: Median Earr		Common Measures Median Earnings, Grantees by Race										
	W	hite	В	lack	As	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	c Islander		
	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median		
AARP	643	2880	501	3197*	8	3864	4	1290	3	1500		
ANPPM	52	4080	28	3690	2	5916	0		0			
ATD	60	2795	10	3544.5*	0		1	3319	0			
Easter Seals	124	3586	132	4644*	9	5200	11	1463	0			
Experience Works	44	3435	2	3250	0		3	2600	0			
Goodwill	201	2700	111	2850	1	5428	3	8280*	0			
IID [S]	8	3475	3	4500	0		5	6312*	0			
National Able Network	23	2789	11	3412	0		0		0			
NAPCA [S]	0		8	1932	44	3856	0		1	7736		
NAPCA [G]	17	4376	20	3371	6	5874	0		0			
NCBA	194	2798.5	323	3088	3	3705	2	4454.5	1	8262		
NCOA	261	2475	237	2700	11	4725	2	4080	1	800		
NICOA [S]	8	2858.5	6	2542.5	1	500	6	1690	0			
NOWCC	16	3282.5	3	2000	1	6765	0		0			
NUL	62	3248	119	3584	1	5400	1	5762	0			
OAGB	12	2470	6	4725	2	5285	0		0			
SER	120	3550.5	62	3262.5	3	3307	6	2564.5	0			
SSAI	256	2883	235	2958	34	2808	16	2438	1	5625		
The WorkPlace	20	4590	60	3817	3	2340	5	2600	0			
VANTAGE	10	2800	11	3554	2	1	0		0			
National Grantees	2131	2923	1888	3130.5	131	3705	65	2700	7	3264		
Alabama	8	1971	17	2492	0		0		0			
Alaska	22	4814	0		0		7	5782	0			
Arizona	5	4050	2	5132.5	0		0		0			
Arkansas	5	2340	7	2210	0		0		0			
California	16	6260	5	2640	3	3412	0		0			
Colorado	4	1929	2	3786.5	0		0		0			
Connecticut	1	10400	3	1453	0		0		0			
Delaware	10	2697.5	19	2709	0		1	6164	0			
DC	0		7	4770	0		1	3180	0			
Florida	41	3000	29	2500	1	8500	0		1	6240		
Georgia	9	2550	14	2142	0		0		0			
Hawaii	2	0	0		12	4848*	0		1	0		
Idaho	2	4524	0		0		0		0			
Illinois	15	5280	14	4597.5	0		0		0			
Indiana	6	2387.5	3	995	0		0		0			
Iowa	12	3855	4	2109	0		0		0			

		Common Measures Median Earnings, Grantees by Race								
	W	hite	В	lack	As	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	c Islander
	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median
Kansas	2	4857.5	1	13729	0		0		0	
Kentucky	8	2394	0		0		0		0	
Louisiana	6	2720.5	11	3370	0		0		0	
Maryland	7	3030	3	3600	0		0		0	
Massachusetts	7	3600	3	3960	0		1	4800	0	
Michigan	14	2476.5	23	2823	0		0		0	
Minnesota	13	3150	4	7035.5*	0		0		0	
Mississippi	3	3480	5	2287	0		0		0	
Missouri	14	2908.5	<mark>12</mark>	<mark>1950*</mark>	0		0		0	
Montana	6	4563	0		0		0		0	
Nebraska	3	4485	0		0		0		0	
Nevada	3	2128	0		0		0		0	
New Hampshire	6	3515	0		0		0		0	
New Jersey	20	4257.5	23	4147	0		0		0	
New Mexico	2	2970	0		0		0		0	
New York	9	4500	10	5400	2	5610	0		1	7800
North Carolina	10	2160	18	2746	0		2	2234	0	
North Dakota	4	5305	0		0		1	8320	0	
Ohio	17	2555	6	3132	0		0		0	
Oklahoma	7	3049	0		0		0		0	
Oregon	4	2914.5	0		0		0		0	
Pennsylvania	49	2592	47	2352	0		1	5200	0	
Puerto Rico	6	4405	2	4107	0		0		0	
Rhode Island	1	4064	0		0		0		0	
South Carolina	12	3818.5	15	3225	0		1	0	0	
South Dakota	2	13.5	0		0		0		0	
Tennessee	7	1414	16	3840	0		0		0	
Texas	41	3150	24	3567.5	1	4200	0		0	
Utah	5	4800	0		0		1	3517	0	
Vermont	1	8539	0		0		0		0	
Virginia	10	2313	12	1904.5	0		0		0	
Washington	8	4500	1	11737	0		1	4200	1	3000
West Virginia	1	5760	1	5040	0		0		0	
Wisconsin	14	3729.5	6	2765.5	0		0		0	
Guam	0		0		0		0		2	8243
Virgin Islands	0		2	3151.5	0		0		0	
State Grantees	480	3127	371	2860	19	4621	17	4586	6	6410
Nationwide	2611	2983	2259	3120	150	3846.5	82	3263.5	13	5625

Table 2: Median Earnings, Grantees by Ethnicity

Table 2: Median Earni	Common M	leasures Medi Ethr	an Earnings, G	rantees by
	Hispa			spanic
	Count	Median	Count	Median
AARP	360	2923	810	3119
ANPPM	42	4095	49	3840
ATD	6	3400	66	3055.5
Easter Seals	23	3900	259	3766
Experience Works	3	3900	47	3298
Goodwill	27	2688	284	2808
IID [S]	0		16	5020
National Able Network	1	9448	34	3014
NAPCA [S]	1	9530	51	3853
NAPCA [G]	2	12145.5*	45	4500
NCBA	15	2800	489	2990
NCOA	42	2466.5	433	2680
NICOA [S]	2	2607.5	18	1743
NUL	20	4297.5	172	3320.5
NOWCC	4	4875	17	2990
OAGB	9	4800	19	3120
SER	42	3775.5	149	3238
SSAI	16	3092	505	2880
The WorkPlace	7	3640	80	3817
VANTAGE	0		24	2800
National Grantees	622	3081	3567	3082
Alabama	2	1832	21	2031
Alaska	0		30	5006
Arizona	1	7920	6	3625
Arkansas	1	0	11	2340
California	9	6280	18	3574.5
Colorado	3	2448	3	1512
Connecticut	0		4	4106.5
Delaware	1	6164	28	2703.5
DC	0		8	4635
Florida	19	3120	55	2860
Georgia	0		24	2226
Hawaii	0		15	4621
Idaho	1	1768	2	4680
Illinois	4	11424.5*	23	4875
Indiana	1	1420	8	2387.5
Iowa	0		16	2985
Kansas	0		3	7631

	Common N	leasures Medi Ethr	an Earnings, G	rantees by
	Hispa		•	spanic
	Count	Median	Count	Median
Kentucky	0		8	2394
Louisiana	0		15	3120
Maryland	0		11	3276
Massachusetts	1	2880	10	4317.5
Michigan	1	2215	36	2544.5
Minnesota	1	5040	17	3642
Mississippi	0		8	2883.5
Missouri	1	3087	24	2577
Montana	0		6	4563
Nebraska	0		3	4485
Nevada	1	2128	2	1320.5
New Hampshire	0		6	3515
New Jersey	8	3529	40	4111
New Mexico	3	3960	0	
New York	8	5490	16	5400
North Carolina	2	3226	26	2584
North Dakota	0		5	7410
Ohio	0		20	3146.5
Oklahoma	0		10	3132.5
Oregon	0		4	2914.5
Pennsylvania	10	2080	90	2583
Puerto Rico	8	4405	0	
Rhode Island	1	3923	1	4064
South Carolina	0		27	3225
South Dakota	0		2	13.5
Tennessee	0		23	3695
Texas	18	3075	52	3015
Utah	1	8593	5	3517
Vermont	0		1	8539
Virginia	0		20	2195
Washington	0		11	4200
West Virginia	0		2	5400
Wisconsin	1	9120	20	3129.5
Guam	0		2	8243
Virgin Islands	0		1	3118
State Grantees	107	3763	799	3100
Nationwide	729	3155	4366	3083

Table 3: Median Earnings, Grantees by Minority Status

Table 3: Median Ear			an Earnings, Gr	antees by
		Minority		Ť
	Mino	ority	Not M	nority
	Count	Median	Count	Median
AARP	869	3118	318	2859
ANPPM	72	3902	22	3936
ATD	16	3416.5	59	2850
Easter Seals	175	4416	117	3484
Experience Works	9	3208	41	3360
Goodwill	146	2850	184	2715
IID [S]	9	6000	8	3475
National Able Network	12	3656	23	2789
NAPCA [S]	54	3856	0	
NAPCA [G]	30	4577.5	17	4376
NCBA	341	3088	190	2870
NCOA	289	2649	261	2520
NICOA [S]	16	1951.5	8	2858.5
NOWCC	9	2990	12	3282.5
NUL	143	3696	51	3078
OAGB	17	4800*	11	2470
SER	111	3520	87	3238
SSAI	307	2932	255	2880
The WorkPlace	74	3672.5	16	4690
VANTAGE	13	3386	11	2600
National Grantees	2712	3144	1691	2880
Alabama	18	2326	8	1971
Alaska	9	5782	22	4814
Arizona	3	7065	4	3311
Arkansas	8	2187	4	2600
California	17	3763	14	4904
Colorado	5	2448	1	324
Connecticut	3	1453	1	10400
Delaware	20	2784.5	10	2697.5
DC	8	4635	0	
Florida	48	2730	27	2900
Georgia	14	2142	10	2413.5
Hawaii	13	4848	2	0
Idaho	1	1768	2	4680
Illinois	18	7153	11	4800
Indiana	4	1207.5	6	2387.5
Iowa	4	2109	12	3855

	Common N		an Earnings, Gr	rantees by
		Minority		
	Mino	ority	Not M	inority
	Count	Median	Count	Median
Kansas	1	13729	2	4857.5
Kentucky	0		8	2394
Louisiana	11	3370	6	2720.5
Maryland	3	3600	8	3015
Massachusetts	5	3960	7	3600
Michigan	23	2823	15	2500
Minnesota	5	6084*	13	3150
Mississippi	5	2287	3	3480
Missouri	13	2145	13	2730
Montana	0		6	4563
Nebraska	0		3	4485
Nevada	1	2128	2	1320.5
New Hampshire	0		6	3515
New Jersey	31	3600	17	4225
New Mexico	3	3960	0	
New York	20	5400	5	2647
North Carolina	21	2667	10	2160
North Dakota	1	8320	4	5305
Ohio	6	3132	17	2555
Oklahoma	3	3216	7	3049
Oregon	0		4	2914.5
Pennsylvania	58	2350.5	44	2686
Puerto Rico	8	4405	0	
Rhode Island	1	3923	3	4074
South Carolina	16	3012.5	12	3818.5
South Dakota	0		2	13.5
Tennessee	16	3840	7	1414
Texas	44	3075	26	3015
Utah	2	6055	4	3622.5
Vermont	0		1	8539
Virginia	12	1904.5	10	2313
Washington	3	4200	8	4500
West Virginia	1	5040	1	5760
Wisconsin	7	3100	14	3729.5
Guam	2	8243	0	
Virgin Islands	2	3151.5	0	
State Grantees	517	3162	422	3099.5
Nationwide	3229	3146	2113	2925

Appendix F: Employment in Q2 Tables, National Grantees by State

Table 1: Employment in Q2, National Grantees by State, by Race

Table 1: I	r	<i>j</i>		Common Measures Employment in Q2, by National Grantees by State, by Race									
				White		ack		sian		an Indian		slander	
	_		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
AARP	AR	Employed in Q2	27 _a	58.7%	<mark>6</mark> ₅	<mark>22.2%</mark>	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
		Not employed in Q2	19 _a	41.3%	21 _b	77.8%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	
	со	Employed in Q2	16a	45.7%	15 _a	37.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
		Not employed in Q2	19 _a	54.3%	25 _a	62.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
	FL	Employed in Q2	194 _a	58.4%	97 _a	55.4%	2 ²	100.0%	2 _a	66.7%	11,2	100.0%	
		Not employed in Q2	138 _a	41.6%	78 _a	44.6%	0 ²	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	
	GA	Employed in Q2	6 ²	100.0%	56 _a	70.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
		Not employed in Q2	02	0.0%	24 _a	30.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
	IA	Employed in Q2	14 _a	43.8%	23 _a	46.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
		Not employed in Q2	18 _a	56.3%	26 _a	53.1%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
	IN	Employed in Q2	23 _a	53.5%	20 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
		Not employed in Q2	20 _a	46.5%	30 _a	60.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	

		Co	mmon Me	easures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by F	Race
			White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
	_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
МО	Employed in Q2	6 _a	75.0%	64 _a	71.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	2 _a	25.0%	25 _a	28.1%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
NV	Employed in Q2	22 _a	39.3%	10 _a	30.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	22	100.0%
	Not employed in Q2	34 _a	60.7%	23 _a	69.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%
ОН	Employed in Q2	17 _a	77.3%	89 _a	69.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	5 _a	22.7%	40 _a	31.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
PA	Employed in Q2	57 _a	55.9%	48 _a	48.0%	2 _a	40.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	45 _a	44.1%	52 _a	52.0%	3 a	60.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
PR	Employed in Q2	29 _a	46.8%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	33 _a	53.2%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
TX	Employed in Q2	352 _a	69.2%	87 _b	38.7%	1 _{a,b}	50.0%	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	157 _a	30.8%	138 _b	61.3%	1 _{a,b}	50.0%	3 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
VA	Employed in Q2	10 _a	47.6%	70a	55.6%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	11 _a	52.4%	56a	44.4%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	easures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by F	lace
				White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		ī	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	WA	Employed in Q2	23 _a	53.5%	8 _a	33.3%	O ²	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	20 _a	46.5%	16 _a	66.7%	2 ²	100.0%	2 ²	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
ANPPM	AZ	Employed in Q2	15 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	20 _a	57.1%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	CA	Employed in Q2	29 _a	35.4%	3 _a	42.9%	2 _a	12.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	53 _a	64.6%	4 _a	57.1%	14 _a	87.5%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	DC	Employed in Q2	O ²	0.0%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	6 ²	100.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	LA	Employed in Q2	14 _a	24.6%	32 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	43 _a	75.4%	64 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	3 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q2	2 _a	18.2%	4 _a	57.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	9 _a	81.8%	3 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
ATD	ME	Employed in Q2	16 _a	38.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	26 _a	61.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	easures	Employme	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by F	Race
				White	В	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		•	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Employed in Q2	30 _a	45.5%	14 _a	41.2%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	36 _a	54.5%	20 _a	58.8%	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q2	17 _a	47.2%	2 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	19 _a	52.8%	3 _a	60.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	VT	Employed in Q2	14 _a	58.3%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	10 _a	41.7%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Easter Seals	AL	Employed in Q2	13 _a	61.9%	56 _a	67.5%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	8 _a	38.1%	27 _a	32.5%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	AZ	Employed in Q2	11 _a	52.4%	5 _a	26.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	10 _a	47.6%	14 _a	73.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	IL	Employed in Q2	4 _a	50.0%	21 _a	63.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	4 _a	50.0%	12 _a	36.4%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NJ	Employed in Q2	13 _a	61.9%	23 _a	51.1%	8 _a	61.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	8 _a	38.1%	22 _a	48.9%	5 _a	38.5%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	easures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by R	lace
				White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Employed in Q2	3 _a	27.3%	11 _a	22.4%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	8 _a	72.7%	38 _a	77.6%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	ОН	Employed in Q2	6 _a	54.5%	<mark>2</mark> b	<mark>15.4%</mark>	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	5 _a	45.5%	11 _b	84.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	ОК	Employed in Q2	32 _{a,b}	43.2%	36 _a	60.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	9 _b	31.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	42 _{a,b}	56.8%	24 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	20 _b	69.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	OR	Employed in Q2	45 _a	36.6%	2 _a	40.0%	1 _a	25.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	78 _a	63.4%	3 _a	60.0%	3 _a	75.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	UT	Employed in Q2	26 _a	40.6%	3 _a	60.0%	O ²	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	38 _a	59.4%	2 _a	40.0%	2 ²	100.0%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%
Experience Works	ID	Employed in Q2	13 _a	20.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	51 _a	79.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	MN	Employed in Q2	9 _a	24.3%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	28 _a	75.7%	5 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	easures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by R	lace
				White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	МТ	Employed in Q2	10 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	30 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%
	ND	Employed in Q2	11 _a	29.7%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	26 _a	70.3%	4 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	9 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%
	SD	Employed in Q2	9 _a	34.6%	2 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	17 _a	65.4%	2 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	5 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	WY	Employed in Q2	3 _a	9.7%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	28 _a	90.3%	22	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
Goodwill	IL	Employed in Q2	15 _a	38.5%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	24 _a	61.5%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	IN	Employed in Q2	26 _a	35.6%	35 _a	36.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	47 _a	64.4%	62 _a	63.9%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	KY	Employed in Q2	41 _a	42.3%	9 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	56a	57.7%	12 _a	57.1%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%

			Co	mmon Me	asures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by F	Race
				White	В	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		I	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	МО	Employed in Q2	15 _a	36.6%	1 _a	14.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	26 _a	63.4%	6 _a	85.7%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NM	Employed in Q2	26 _a	52.0%	0 ²	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	3 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	24 _a	48.0%	6 ²	100.0%	2 ²	100.0%	3 _a	50.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	ОН	Employed in Q2	28 _a	31.1%	6 _a	28.6%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	62 _a	68.9%	15 _a	71.4%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	sc	Employed in Q2	52 _a	56.5%	73 _a	53.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	40 _a	43.5%	64 _a	46.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	VA	Employed in Q2	34 _a	66.7%	21 _a	77.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	17 _a	33.3%	6 _a	22.2%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	WA	Employed in Q2	22 _a	40.7%	5 _a	50.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	32 _a	59.3%	5 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
IID [S]	AR	Employed in Q2	3 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	4 _a	57.1%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	easures	Employm	ent in Q	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by F	Race
				White	В	ack	А	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	LA	Employed in Q2	6 _a	42.9%	2 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	60.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	8 _a	57.1%	10 _a	83.3%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%
	MS	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	83.3%	01,2	0.0%
	TX	Employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	57.1%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%
National Able	IA	Employed in Q2	11 _a	17.5%	1 _a	10.0%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Network		Not employed in Q2	52 _a	82.5%	9 _a	90.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	IL	Employed in Q2	4 _a	28.6%	6 _a	37.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	10 _a	71.4%	10 _a	62.5%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	IN	Employed in Q2	4 _a	18.2%	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	18 _a	81.8%	22	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NE	Employed in Q2	9 _a	27.3%	9 _a	32.1%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	24 _a	72.7%	19 _a	67.9%	01,2	0.0%	22	100.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	asures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by F	Race
				White	В	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NAPCA [S]	CA	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%	14 _a	32.6%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	3 _a	75.0%	29 _a	67.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	IL	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	9 _a	52.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	47.1%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	MA	Employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	19 _a	61.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	38.7%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NY	Employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	88.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	11.1%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	71.4%	1 _b	7.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	2 _a	28.6%	12 _b	92.3%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	TX	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	75.0%	4 _a	57.1%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 _a	25.0%	3 _a	42.9%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	WA	Employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	22.2%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	14 _a	77.8%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	easures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by R	lace
				White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NAPCA [G]	CA	Employed in Q2	10 _a	27.0%	19 _a	32.8%	2 _a	15.4%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	27 _a	73.0%	39 _a	67.2%	11 _a	84.6%	22	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	IL	Employed in Q2	10 _a	27.0%	11 _a	25.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	27 _a	73.0%	33 _a	75.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NY	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	6 _a	85.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	14.3%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
NCBA	AR	Employed in Q2	56 _a	50.0%	42 _a	55.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	56 _a	50.0%	34 _a	44.7%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	DC	Employed in Q2	11,2	100.0%	34 _a	73.9%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	12 _a	26.1%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	FL	Employed in Q2	44 _a	64.7%	37 _a	59.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
		Not employed in Q2	24 _a	35.3%	25 _a	40.3%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	IL	Employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	21 _a	65.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	34.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	asures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by F	Race
				White	В	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	МІ	Employed in Q2	72 _a	49.7%	99 _a	51.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	60.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	73 _a	50.3%	95 _a	49.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%
	МО	Employed in Q2	25 _a	30.9%	2 _a	66.7%	0 ²	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	56 _a	69.1%	1 _a	33.3%	2 ²	100.0%	32	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	MS	Employed in Q2	9 _a	90.0%	56 _a	73.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	10.0%	20 _a	26.3%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NC	Employed in Q2	20 _a	74.1%	82 _a	65.6%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	7 _a	25.9%	43 _a	34.4%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	ОН	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	19 _a	51.4%	1 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	18 _a	48.6%	5 _a	83.3%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
NCOA	CA	Employed in Q2	22 _a	46.8%	10 _{a,b}	30.3%	<mark>2</mark> b	<mark>10.5%</mark>	01,2	0.0%	22	100.0%
		Not employed in Q2	25 _a	53.2%	23 _{a,b}	69.7%	17 _b	89.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	02	0.0%
	GA	Employed in Q2	30 _a	36.1%	36 _a	29.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	53a	63.9%	86a	70.5%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

		Со	mmon Me	easures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by F	Race
			White	В	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
_		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
K	Y Employed in Q2	45 _a	43.3%	15 _a	32.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	59 _a	56.7%	31 _a	67.4%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
N	C Employed in Q2	10 _a	52.6%	59 _a	50.9%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	9 _a	47.4%	57 _a	49.1%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
N.	J Employed in Q2	41 _a	45.6%	27 _a	41.5%	4 ²	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	49 _a	54.4%	38 _a	58.5%	0 ²	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
N'	Y Employed in Q2	16 _a	47.1%	18 _a	40.9%	3 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	18 _a	52.9%	26 _a	59.1%	3 _a	50.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
0	H Employed in Q2	9 _a	52.9%	26 _a	57.8%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	8 _a	47.1%	19 _a	42.2%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
P	A Employed in Q2	94 _a	37.3%	58 _a	43.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	158 _a	62.7%	77 _a	57.0%	1 _a	50.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
PI	R Employed in Q2	6a	15.4%	4 _a	26.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	33 _a	84.6%	11 _a	73.3%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Со	mmon Me	asures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by F	Race
				White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		ī	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	TN	Employed in Q2	11 _a	26.2%	16 _a	37.2%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	31 _a	73.8%	27 _a	62.8%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	VA	Employed in Q2	13 _a	44.8%	33 _a	46.5%	3 _a	37.5%	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	16 _a	55.2%	38 _a	53.5%	5 _a	62.5%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	WV	Employed in Q2	48 _a	32.2%	10 _a	24.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	101 _a	67.8%	31 _a	75.6%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
NICOA [S]	AZ	Employed in Q2	1 _a	25.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	12.5%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	75.0%	3 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	21 _a	87.5%	01,2	0.0%
	CA	Employed in Q2	3 _a	60.0%	01,2	0.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	MN	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%
	ND	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	easures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by R	lace
				White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NM	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	28.6%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	0 ²	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	5 _a	71.4%	01,2	0.0%
	ОК	Employed in Q2	3 _a	37.5%	7 _a	43.8%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	37.5%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	5 _a	62.5%	9 _a	56.3%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	62.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	SD	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	83.3%	01,2	0.0%
	WI	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	83.3%	01,2	0.0%
NOWCC	CA	Employed in Q2	21 _a	42.0%	3 _a	42.9%	1 _a	25.0%	2 _a	28.6%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	29 _a	58.0%	4 a	57.1%	3 _a	75.0%	5 _a	71.4%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
NUL	FL	Employed in Q2	46 _a	45.1%	17 _a	37.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	56 _a	54.9%	29 _a	63.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	KY	Employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	18 _a	40.9%	01,2	0.0%	O ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	26 _a	59.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	easures	Employm	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by R	Race
				White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	МІ	Employed in Q2	1 _a	25.0%	25 _a	47.2%	01,2	0.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	75.0%	28 _a	52.8%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NJ	Employed in Q2	5 _a	55.6%	18 _a	34.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	4 _a	44.4%	35 _a	66.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NY	Employed in Q2	13 _a	76.5%	23 _a	74.2%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	4 _a	23.5%	8 _a	25.8%	3 _a	75.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q2	7 _a	43.8%	42 _a	60.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	9 _a	56.3%	28 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
OAGB	MA	Employed in Q2	4 _a	12.1%	7 _a	22.6%	1 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	29 _a	87.9%	24 _a	77.4%	4 _a	80.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NH	Employed in Q2	15 _a	31.3%	1 _a	33.3%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	33 _a	68.8%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
SER	CA	Employed in Q2	52 _a	39.1%	30 _a	45.5%	3 _a	37.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ²	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	81 _a	60.9%	36 _a	54.5%	5 _a	62.5%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	22	100.0%

			Common Measures Employment in Q2, by National Grantees by State, by Race								lace	
				White	В	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	СО	Employed in Q2	14 _a	36.8%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	24 _a	63.2%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
	KS	Employed in Q2	32 _a	40.5%	14 _a	42.4%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	47 _a	59.5%	19 _a	57.6%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%
	МО	Employed in Q2	14 _a	45.2%	5 _a	41.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	17 _a	54.8%	7 _a	58.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	TX	Employed in Q2	7 _a	25.9%	21 _a	40.4%	01,2	0.0%	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	20 _a	74.1%	31 _a	59.6%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	WI	Employed in Q2	33 _a	34.4%	10 _b	10.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _{a,b}	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	63 _a	65.6%	90 _b	90.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _{a,b}	75.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
SSAI	AL	Employed in Q2	34 _a	37.8%	48 _a	41.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	56 _a	62.2%	68 _a	58.6%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	IA	Employed in Q2	13 _a	36.1%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	23 _a	63.9%	2 _a	66.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

		Common Measures Employment in Q2, by National Grantees by State, by Race								Race	
			White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
	1	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
IL.	Employed in Q2	4 _a	22.2%	26 _a	22.4%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	14 _a	77.8%	90 _a	77.6%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
IN	Employed in Q2	10 _a	28.6%	21 _a	28.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	25 _a	71.4%	52 _a	71.2%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
MA	Employed in Q2	34 _a	42.0%	13 _a	50.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	47 _a	58.0%	13 _a	50.0%	2 _a	66.7%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
MD	Employed in Q2	9 _a	28.1%	29 _a	25.4%	O ²	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	23 _a	71.9%	85 _a	74.6%	2 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
MN	Employed in Q2	24 _a	28.9%	11 _a	19.0%	1 _a	12.5%	2 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	59a	71.1%	47 _a	81.0%	7 _a	87.5%	2 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
MS	Employed in Q2	12 _a	36.4%	24 _a	41.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	21 _a	63.6%	34 _a	58.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
NC		32 _{a,b}	35.2%	37 _a	31.1%	01,2	0.0%	5 _b	83.3%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q2	59 _{a,b}	64.8%	82 _a	68.9%	01,2	0.0%	1 _b	16.7%	01,2	0.0%

			Со	Common Measures Employment in Q2, by National Grantees by State, by Race								lace
				White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Employed in Q2	32 _a	68.1%	31 _a	50.8%	40 _a	48.8%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	15 _a	31.9%	30 _a	49.2%	42 _a	51.2%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%
	TN	Employed in Q2	39 _a	31.0%	46 _a	38.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	87 _a	69.0%	75 _a	62.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	TX	Employed in Q2	49 _a	30.1%	11 _a	26.8%	1 _a	50.0%	1 _a	50.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
		Not employed in Q2	114 _a	69.9%	30 _a	73.2%	1 _a	50.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	WI	Employed in Q2	48 _a	44.4%	6 _a	31.6%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	10 _a	55.6%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	60 _a	55.6%	13 _a	68.4%	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	44.4%	01,2	0.0%
The WorkPlace	СТ	Employed in Q2	5 _a	13.9%	9 _a	17.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	31 _a	86.1%	44 _a	83.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NY	Employed in Q2	6 _a	27.3%	12 _a	26.7%	2 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	16 _a	72.7%	33 _a	73.3%	3 _a	60.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q2	11 _a	29.7%	49a	45.0%	01,2	0.0%	4 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	26a	70.3%	60 _a	55.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Co	mmon Me	asures	Employme	ent in Q2	2, by Natio	nal Grant	ees by Sta	ate, by R	lace
				White	ВІ	ack	A	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	RI	Employed	1 _a	10.0%	1 _a	6.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		in Q2										
		Not	9 _a	90.0%	15 _a	93.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		employed										
		in Q2										
VANTAGE	ОН	Employed	17 _a	25.8%	13 _a	19.4%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		in Q2										
		Not	49 _a	74.2%	54 _a	80.6%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		employed										
		in Q2										

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< .05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.³

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

- This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 2: Employment in Q2, National Grantees by State, by Ethnicity

			Common Measures Employment in Q2, National						
				rantees by Sta	te, by Ethnicity	/			
			Hispa	anic	Not His	panic			
	1	1	Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
AARP	AR	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	34 _a	45.99			
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	40 _a	54.19			
	СО	Employed in Q2	4 _a	50.0%	28 _a	41.29			
		Not employed in Q2	4 _a	50.0%	40a	58.89			
	FL	Employed in Q2	78 _a	55.7%	227 _a	58.79			
		Not employed in Q2	62 _a	44.3%	160 _a	41.39			
	GA	Employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	63 _a	74.19			
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	22 _a	25.99			
	IA	Employed in Q2	02	0.0%	38 _a	46.99			
		Not employed in Q2	2 ²	100.0%	43 _a	53.19			
	IN	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	42 _a	46.79			
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	48 _a	53.39			
	МО	Employed in Q2	11,2	100.0%	68 _a	70.89			
NV		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	28 _a	29.29			
	NV	Employed in Q2	6 _a	46.2%	29 _a	35.89			
		Not employed in Q2	7 _a	53.8%	52a	64.29			
	ОН	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	107 _a	69.9 ^c			
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	46a	30.19			
	PA	Employed in Q2	14 _a	42.4%	97 _a	54.29			
		Not employed in Q2	19 _a	57.6%	82 _a	45.89			
	PR	Employed in Q2	32 _a	47.8%	01,2	0.0			
		Not employed in Q2	35 _a	52.2%	01,2	0.09			
	TX	Employed in Q2	302 _a	71.2%	141 _b	43.79			
		Not employed in Q2	122 _a	28.8%	182 _b	56.39			
	VA	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	82 _a	55.09			
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	67 _a	45.09			
	WA	Employed in Q2	1 _a	25.0%	23 _a	39.7			
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	75.0%	35 _a	60.39			
ANPPM	AZ	Employed in Q2	12 _a	44.4%	4 _a	36.49			
		Not employed in Q2	15 _a	55.6%	7 _a	63.69			
	CA	Employed in Q2	26 _a	37.7%	9 _a	24.3			
		Not employed in Q2	43 _a	62.3%	28 _a	75.7°			

			Common Measures Employment in Q2, National						
		-		rantees by Sta	te, by Ethnicity	/			
		_	Hispa	anic	Not His	spanic			
		_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
	DC	Employed in Q2	1 _a	14.3%	2 _a	66.7%			
		Not employed in Q2	6 _a	85.7%	1 _a	33.3%			
	LA	Employed in Q2	O ²	0.0%	46 _a	29.9%			
		Not employed in Q2	2 ²	100.0%	108 _a	70.1%			
	PA	Employed in Q2	10 _a	<mark>25.0%</mark>	5 _b	62.5%			
		Not employed in Q2	30 _a	75.0%	3 _b	37.5%			
ATD	ME	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	14 _a	33.3%			
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	28 _a	66.7%			
	NY	Employed in Q2	4 _a	30.8%	44 _a	45.8%			
		Not employed in Q2	9 _a	69.2%	52 _a	54.2%			
	PA	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	19 _a	46.3%			
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	22 _a	53.7%			
	VT	Employed in Q2	2 ²	100.0%	13 _a	52.0%			
		Not employed in Q2	0^2	0.0%	12 _a	48.0%			
Easter	AL	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	68 _a	66.0%			
Seals		Not employed in Q2	11,2	100.0%	35 _a	34.0%			
	AZ	Employed in Q2	3 _a	37.5%	14 _a	38.9%			
		Not employed in Q2	5 _a	62.5%	22 _a	61.1%			
	IL	Employed in Q2	4 _a	44.4%	21 _a	60.0%			
		Not employed in Q2	5 _a	55.6%	14 _a	40.0%			
	NJ	Employed in Q2	13 _a	65.0%	35 _a	53.0%			
		Not employed in Q2	7 _a	35.0%	31 _a	47.0%			
	NY	Employed in Q2	2 _a	20.0%	12 _a	23.5%			
		Not employed in Q2	8 _a	80.0%	39 _a	76.5%			
	ОН	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	39.3%			
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	17 _a	60.7%			
	ОК	Employed in Q2	3 _a	42.9%	78 _a	46.7%			
		Not employed in Q2	4 _a	57.1%	89 _a	53.3%			
	OR	Employed in Q2	3 _a	50.0%	48a	38.1%			
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	50.0%	78 _a	61.9%			
	UT	Employed in Q2	3 _a	30.0%	26 _a	44.1%			
		Not employed in Q2	7 _a	70.0%	33 _a	55.9%			

			Common Measures Employment in Q2, National						
			G	Frantees by Sta	ate, by Ethnicit	у			
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic			
	1		Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
Experience	ID	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	11 _a	17.7%			
Works		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	51 _a	82.3%			
	MN	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	10 _a	25.0%			
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	30 _a	75.0%			
	MT	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	25.6%			
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	32 _a	74.4%			
	ND	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	14 _a	26.4%			
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	39 _a	73.6%			
	SD	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	11 _a	32.4%			
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	23 _a	67.6%			
	WY	Employed in Q2	2 _a	22.2%	1 _a	3.1%			
		Not employed in Q2	7 _a	77.8%	31 _a	96.9%			
Goodwill	IL	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	30.8%			
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	18 _a	69.2%			
	IN	Employed in Q2	O ²	0.0%	61 _a	36.3%			
		Not employed in Q2	3 ²	100.0%	107 _a	63.7%			
	KY	Employed in Q2	4 _a	66.7%	47 _a	39.8%			
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	33.3%	71 _a	60.2%			
	МО	Employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	17 _a	32.7%			
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	35 _a	67.3%			
	NM	Employed in Q2	17 _a	48.6%	14 _a	42.4%			
		Not employed in Q2	18 _a	51.4%	19 _a	57.6%			
	ОН	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	33 _a	30.8%			
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	74 _a	69.2%			
	SC	Employed in Q2	5 _a	62.5%	124 _a	53.9%			
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	37.5%	106 _a	46.1%			
	VA	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	49 _a	69.0%			
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	22 _a	31.0%			
	WA	Employed in Q2	1 _a	20.0%	26 _a	43.3%			
		Not employed in Q2	4 _a	80.0%	34 _a	56.7%			
IID [S]	AR	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	42.9%			
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	57.1%			
	LA	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	36.7%			
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	19 _a	63.3%			

			Common I	Measures Emp	oloyment in Q2	, National
			G	rantees by Sta	ate, by Ethnicity	У
			Hisp	anic	Not His	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	MS	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	16.7%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	83.3%
	TX	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	7 _a	58.3%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	5 _a	41.7%
National	IA	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	12 _a	16.0%
Able		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	63 _a	84.0%
Network	IL	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	10 _a	34.5%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	19 _a	65.5%
	IN	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	13.6%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	19 _a	86.4%
	NE	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	18 _a	29.0%
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	44 _a	71.0%
NAPCA [S]	CA	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	16 _a	32.7%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	33 _a	67.3%
	IL	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	8 _a	50.0%
	MA	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	19 _a	61.3%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	38.7%
	NY	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	88.9%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	11.1%
	PA	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	6 _a	30.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	14 _a	70.0%
	TX	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	61.5%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	38.5%
	WA	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	15.8%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	16 _a	84.2%
NAPCA [G]	CA	Employed in Q2	2 _a	12.5%	33 _a	32.4%
		Not employed in Q2	14 _a	87.5%	69 _a	67.6%
	IL	Employed in Q2	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	22 _a	26.8%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	60 _a	73.2%
	NY	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	6 _a	85.7%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 a	14.3%

				Measures Empl		
		-		rantees by Stat		
		-	Hispa	anic	Not His	panic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NCBA	AR	Employed in Q2	02	0.0%	93 _a	52.0%
		Not employed in Q2	5 ²	100.0%	86 _a	48.0%
	DC	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	34 _a	75.6%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	11 _a	24.4%
	FL	Employed in Q2	5 _a	62.5%	66 _a	59.5%
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	37.5%	45 _a	40.5%
	IL	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	21 _a	65.6%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	11 _a	34.4%
	МІ	Employed in Q2	4 _a	44.4%	170 _a	50.6%
		Not employed in Q2	5 _a	55.6%	166 _a	49.4%
	МО	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	26 _a	29.9%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	61 _a	70.1%
	MS	Employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	65 _a	75.6%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	21 _a	24.4%
	NC	Employed in Q2	8 _a	88.9%	91 _a	65.5%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	11.1%	48 _a	34.5%
	ОН	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	21 _a	46.7%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	24 _a	53.3%
NCOA	CA	Employed in Q2	13 _a	46.4%	30 _a	34.1%
		Not employed in Q2	15 _a	53.6%	58 _a	65.9%
	GA	Employed in Q2	11,2	100.0%	42 _a	29.8%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	99a	70.2%
	KY	Employed in Q2	42	100.0%	53 _a	38.7%
		Not employed in Q2	02	0.0%	84 _a	61.3%
	NC	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	69 _a	50.4%
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	68 _a	49.6%
	NJ	Employed in Q2	11 _a	78.6%	62 _b	45.3%
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	21.4%	75 _b	54.7%
	NY	Employed in Q2	12 _a	44.4%	28 _a	42.4%
		Not employed in Q2	15 _a	55.6%	38 _a	57.6%
	ОН	Employed in Q2	2 _a	50.0%	47 _a	56.6%
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	50.0%	36 _a	43.4%
	PA	Employed in Q2	2 _a	16.7%	144 _a	38.7%
		Not employed in Q2	10 _a	83.3%	228 _a	61.3%

			Common Measures Employment in Q2, National							
		-		rantees by Sta	te, by Ethnicity	/				
		-	Hisp	anic	Not His	spanic				
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent				
	PR	Employed in Q2	10 _a	16.7%	1 _a	50.0%				
		Not employed in Q2	50 _a	83.3%	1 _a	50.0%				
	TN	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	25 _a	31.3%				
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	55 _a	68.8%				
	VA	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	39 _a	42.9%				
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	52a	57.1%				
	WV	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	41 _a	28.5%				
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	103 _a	71.5%				
NICOA [S]	AZ	Employed in Q2	2 _a	50.0%	5 _a	20.8%				
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	50.0%	19 _a	79.2%				
	CA	Employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	42	100.0%				
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	0^{2}	0.0%				
	MN	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%				
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%				
	ND	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%				
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%				
	NM	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	50.0%				
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	5 _a	50.0%				
	ОК	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	34.8%				
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	15 _a	65.2%				
	SD	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%				
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	75.0%				
	WI	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	20.0%				
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	80.0%				
NOWCC	CA	Employed in Q2	6 _a	66.7%	23 _a	34.8%				
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	33.3%	43 _a	65.2%				
NUL	FL	Employed in Q2	14 _a	34.1%	52 _a	48.1%				
		Not employed in Q2	27 _a	65.9%	56a	51.9%				
	KY	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	19 _a	44.2%				
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	24 _a	55.8%				
	MI	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	26 _a	47.3%				
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	29 _a	52.7%				
	NJ	Employed in Q2	5 _a	33.3%	21 _a	36.8%				
		Not employed in Q2	10 _a	66.7%	36 _a	63.2%				

			Common I	Measures Emp	loyment in Q2	, National
				rantees by Sta	te, by Ethnicit	у
			Hispa	anic	Not His	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Employed in Q2	9 _a	90.0%	34 _a	72.3%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	10.0%	13 _a	27.7%
	PA	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	49 _a	57.0%
		Not employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	37 _a	43.0%
OAGB	MA	Employed in Q2	11 _a	40.7%	9 _b	15.8%
		Not employed in Q2	16 _a	59.3%	48 _b	84.2%
	NH	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	16 _a	32.0%
		Not employed in Q2	11,2	100.0%	34 _a	68.0%
SER	CA	Employed in Q2	31 _a	36.9%	59 _a	41.5%
		Not employed in Q2	53 _a	63.1%	83 _a	58.5%
	СО	Employed in Q2	6 _a	40.0%	8 _a	32.0%
		Not employed in Q2	9 _a	60.0%	17 _a	68.0%
	KS	Employed in Q2	3 _a	33.3%	44 _a	41.5%
		Not employed in Q2	6a	66.7%	62 _a	58.5%
	МО	Employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	19 _a	44.2%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	24 _a	55.8%
	TX	Employed in Q2	4 _a	19.0%	23 _a	37.7%
		Not employed in Q2	17 _a	81.0%	38 _a	62.3%
	WI	Employed in Q2	4 _a	30.8%	40 _a	22.5%
		Not employed in Q2	9 _a	69.2%	138 _a	77.5%
SSAI	AL	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	79 _a	39.9%
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	119 _a	60.1%
	IA	Employed in Q2	O ²	0.0%	14 _a	36.8%
		Not employed in Q2	2 ²	100.0%	24 _a	63.2%
	IL	Employed in Q2	O ²	0.0%	31 _a	24.2%
		Not employed in Q2	9 ²	100.0%	97 _a	75.8%
	IN	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	28 _a	28.9%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	69 _a	71.1%
	MA	Employed in Q2	3 _a	23.1%	44 _a	47.3%
		Not employed in Q2	10 _a	76.9%	49 _a	52.7%
	MD	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	37 _a	26.1%
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	105 _a	73.9%
	MN	Employed in Q2	O ²	0.0%	40 _a	25.6%
		Not employed in Q2	2 ²	100.0%	116 _a	74.4%

			Common I	Measures Emp	oloyment in Q2	, National
			G	rantees by Sta	ate, by Ethnicit	у
			Hisp	anic	Not His	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	MS	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	34 _a	39.1%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	53 _a	60.9%
	NC	Employed in Q2	1 _a	25.0%	69 _a	34.5%
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	75.0%	131 _a	65.5%
	NY	Employed in Q2	4 _a	40.0%	98 _a	55.7%
		Not employed in Q2	6 _a	60.0%	78 _a	44.3%
	TN	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	77 _a	35.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	143 _a	65.0%
	TX	Employed in Q2	6 _a	23.1%	55 _a	30.4%
		Not employed in Q2	20 _a	76.9%	126 _a	69.6%
	WI	Employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	61 _a	43.6%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	79 _a	56.4%
The	СТ	Employed in Q2	1 _a	8.3%	14 _a	17.7%
WorkPlace		Not employed in Q2	11 _a	91.7%	65 _a	82.3%
	NY	Employed in Q2	5 _a	20.8%	14 _a	25.5%
		Not employed in Q2	19 _a	79.2%	41 _a	74.5%
	PA	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	64 _a	43.2%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	84 _a	56.8%
	RI	Employed in Q2	02	0.0%	2 _a	10.5%
		Not employed in Q2	12 ²	100.0%	17 _a	89.5%
VANTAGE	ОН	Employed in Q2	01,2	0.0%	33 _a	26.6%
		Not employed in Q2	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	91 _a	73.4%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< .05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.³

This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 3: Employment in Q2, National Grantees by State, by Minority Status

			Common Measures Employment in Q2, National Grantees by State, by Minority Status								
			Gran	tees by State,	by Minority St	atus					
			Mino	ority	Not Mi	nority					
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent					
AARP	AR	Employed in Q2	<mark>7</mark> a	<mark>23.3%</mark>	27 _b	58.7%					
		Not employed in Q2	23 _a	76.7%	19 _b	41.3%					
	СО	Employed in Q2	19 _a	38.8%	14 _a	46.79					
		Not employed in Q2	30 _a	61.2%	16a	53.3%					
	FL	Employed in Q2	180 _a	56.6%	130 _a	59.19					
		Not employed in Q2	138 _a	43.4%	90 _a	40.99					
	GA	Employed in Q2	59 _a	71.1%	6 ¹	100.0%					
		Not employed in Q2	24 _a	28.9%	O ¹	0.09					
	IA	Employed in Q2	24 _a	46.2%	14 _a	45.2%					
		Not employed in Q2	28 _a	53.8%	17 _a	54.89					
	IN	Employed in Q2	20 _a	39.2%	23 _a	53.5%					
		Not employed in Q2	31 _a	60.8%	20 _a	46.59					
	МО	Employed in Q2	65 _a	71.4%	6 _a	75.09					
		Not employed in Q2	26 _a	28.6%	2 _a	25.09					
	NV	Employed in Q2	17 _a	35.4%	18 _a	39.19					
		Not employed in Q2	31 _a	64.6%	28 _a	60.99					
	ОН	Employed in Q2	90 _a	68.7%	18 _a	75.09					
		Not employed in Q2	41 _a	31.3%	6a	25.09					
	PA	Employed in Q2	<mark>65</mark> a	<mark>46.8%</mark>	46 _b	61.39					
		Not employed in Q2	74 _a	53.2%	29 _b	38.79					
	PR	Employed in Q2	32 _a	47.8%	01,2	0.0%					
		Not employed in Q2	35 _a	52.2%	01,2	0.09					
	TX	Employed in Q2	386 _a	59.5%	60 _a	56.69					
		Not employed in Q2	263 _a	40.5%	46a	43.49					
	VA	Employed in Q2	72 _a	55.8%	10 _a	47.69					
		Not employed in Q2	57 _a	44.2%	11 _a	52.49					
	WA	Employed in Q2	<mark>9</mark> a	<mark>27.3%</mark>	24 _b	50.09					
		Not employed in Q2	24 _a	72.7%	24 _b	50.09					
ANPPM	AZ	Employed in Q2	13 _a	44.8%	3 _a	33.39					
		Not employed in Q2	16 _a	55.2%	6a	66.79					
	CA	Employed in Q2	31 _a	33.7%	4 _a	26.79					
		Not employed in Q2	61 _a	66.3%	11 _a	73.39					

			Common I	Measures Emp	oloyment in Q2	2, National
			Gran	tees by State,	by Minority St	atus
			Mino	ority	Not M	inority
	-		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	DC	Employed in Q2	4 _a	36.4%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	7 _a	63.6%	01,2	0.0%
	LA	Employed in Q2	32 _a	32.3%	15 _a	25.4%
		Not employed in Q2	67 _a	67.7%	44 _a	74.6%
	PA	Employed in Q2	14 _a	29.8%	2 ¹	100.0%
		Not employed in Q2	33 _a	70.2%	O ¹	0.0%
ATD	ME	Employed in Q2	1 _a	25.0%	16 _a	37.2%
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	75.0%	27 _a	62.8%
	NY	Employed in Q2	18 _a	38.3%	30 _a	48.4%
		Not employed in Q2	29 _a	61.7%	32 _a	51.6%
	PA	Employed in Q2	2 _a	33.3%	17 _a	47.2%
		Not employed in Q2	4 _a	66.7%	19 _a	52.8%
	VT	Employed in Q2	2 _a	50.0%	13 _a	56.5%
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	50.0%	10 _a	43.5%
Easter	AL	Employed in Q2	57 _a	67.1%	14 _a	63.6%
Seals		Not employed in Q2	28 _a	32.9%	8 _a	36.4%
	AZ	Employed in Q2	8 _a	28.6%	9 _a	47.4%
		Not employed in Q2	20 _a	71.4%	10 _a	52.6%
	IL	Employed in Q2	25 _a	58.1%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	18 _a	41.9%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	NJ	Employed in Q2	44 _a	57.1%	6 _a	46.2%
		Not employed in Q2	33 _a	42.9%	7 _a	53.8%
	NY	Employed in Q2	13 _a	21.7%	3 _a	33.3%
		Not employed in Q2	47 _a	78.3%	6 _a	66.7%
	ОН	Employed in Q2	<mark>2</mark> a	<mark>13.3%</mark>	10 _b	66.7%
		Not employed in Q2	13 _a	86.7%	5 _b	33.3%
	ОК	Employed in Q2	49 _a	49.0%	32 _a	42.7%
		Not employed in Q2	51 _a	51.0%	43 _a	57.3%
	OR	Employed in Q2	7 _a	43.8%	45 _a	36.6%
		Not employed in Q2	9 _a	56.3%	78 _a	63.4%
	UT	Employed in Q2	7 _a	31.8%	25 _a	43.9%
		Not employed in Q2	15 _a	68.2%	32 _a	56.1%

			Common I	Measures Emp	oloyment in Q2	, National
			Gran	tees by State,	by Minority St	atus
			Mino	ority	Not M	inority
	<u> </u>		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Experience	ID	Employed in Q2	1 _a	16.7%	12 _a	19.4%
Works		Not employed in Q2	5 _a	83.3%	50 _a	80.6%
	MN	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	10 _a	26.3%
		Not employed in Q2	5 ¹	100.0%	28 _a	73.7%
	MT	Employed in Q2	1 _a	20.0%	10 _a	25.0%
		Not employed in Q2	4 _a	80.0%	30 _a	75.0%
	ND	Employed in Q2	3 _a	18.8%	11 _a	28.9%
		Not employed in Q2	13 _a	81.3%	27 _a	71.1%
	SD	Employed in Q2	4 _a	30.8%	8 _a	33.3%
		Not employed in Q2	9 _a	69.2%	16 _a	66.7%
	WY	Employed in Q2	2 _a	15.4%	1 _a	3.4%
		Not employed in Q2	11 _a	84.6%	28 _a	96.6%
Goodwill	IL	Employed in Q2	1 _a	33.3%	15 _a	38.5%
		Not employed in Q2	2 _a	66.7%	24 _a	61.5%
	IN	Employed in Q2	35 _a	34.3%	27 _a	37.5%
		Not employed in Q2	67 _a	65.7%	45 _a	62.5%
	KY	Employed in Q2	12 _a	38.7%	39 _a	41.5%
		Not employed in Q2	19 _a	61.3%	55 _a	58.5%
	МО	Employed in Q2	4 _a	30.8%	15 _a	36.6%
		Not employed in Q2	9 _a	69.2%	26 _a	63.4%
	NM	Employed in Q2	21 _a	42.0%	14 _a	53.8%
		Not employed in Q2	29 _a	58.0%	12 _a	46.2%
	ОН	Employed in Q2	6 _a	25.0%	28 _a	30.8%
		Not employed in Q2	18 _a	75.0%	63 _a	69.2%
	sc	Employed in Q2	82 _a	53.6%	49 _a	55.1%
		Not employed in Q2	71 _a	46.4%	40 _a	44.9%
	VA	Employed in Q2	24 _a	77.4%	33 _a	66.0%
		Not employed in Q2	7 _a	22.6%	17 _a	34.0%
	WA	Employed in Q2	7 _a	38.9%	22 _a	42.3%
		Not employed in Q2	11 _a	61.1%	30 _a	57.7%
IID [S]	AR	Employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	3 _a	42.9%
-		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	50.0%	4 _a	57.1%
	LA	Employed in Q2	5 _a	27.8%	6 _a	46.2%
		Not employed in Q2	13 _a	72.2%	7 _a	53.8%

			Common I	Measures Emp	oloyment in Q2	2, National
			Gran	tees by State,	by Minority St	atus
			Mine	ority	Not M	inority
		_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	MS	Employed in Q2	1 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	5 _a	83.3%	01,2	0.0%
	TX	Employed in Q2	7 _a	53.8%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	6 _a	46.2%	01,2	0.0%
National	IA	Employed in Q2	2 _a	13.3%	11 _a	17.5%
Able		Not employed in Q2	13 _a	86.7%	52 _a	82.5%
Network	IL	Employed in Q2	6 _a	37.5%	4 _a	28.6%
		Not employed in Q2	10 _a	62.5%	10 _a	71.4%
	IN	Employed in Q2	O ¹	0.0%	4 _a	18.2%
		Not employed in Q2	2 ¹	100.0%	18 _a	81.8%
	NE	Employed in Q2	10 _a	29.4%	9 _a	29.0%
		Not employed in Q2	24 _a	70.6%	22 _a	71.0%
NAPCA [S]	CA	Employed in Q2	17 _a	34.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	33 _a	66.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	IL	Employed in Q2	9 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	9 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
	MA	Employed in Q2	19 _a	61.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	12 _a	38.7%	01,2	0.0%
	NY	Employed in Q2	8 _a	88.9%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	11.1%	01,2	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q2	6 _a	30.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	14 _a	70.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	TX	Employed in Q2	8 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	4 _a	33.3%	11,2	100.0%
	WA	Employed in Q2	4 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	16 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%
NAPCA [G]	CA	Employed in Q2	25 _a	28.4%	10 _a	29.4%
		Not employed in Q2	63 _a	71.6%	24 _a	70.6%
	IL	Employed in Q2	12 _a	25.0%	10 _a	27.0%
		Not employed in Q2	36 _a	75.0%	27 _a	73.0%
	NY	Employed in Q2	6 _a	85.7%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	14.3%	01,2	0.0%

			Common N	Measures Emp	oloyment in Q2	, National
		_	Gran	tees by State,	by Minority St	atus
		-	Mino	ority	Not M	inority
	ı		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NCBA	AR	Employed in Q2	43 _a	51.2%	57 _a	51.8%
		Not employed in Q2	41 _a	48.8%	53 _a	48.2%
	DC	Employed in Q2	36 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	12 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
	FL	Employed in Q2	43 _a	60.6%	42 _a	64.6%
		Not employed in Q2	28 _a	39.4%	23 _a	35.4%
	IL	Employed in Q2	22 _a	64.7%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	12 _a	35.3%	01,2	0.0%
	MI	Employed in Q2	106 _a	51.5%	73 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q2	100 _a	48.5%	73 _a	50.0%
	МО	Employed in Q2	3 _a	30.0%	24 _a	30.0%
		Not employed in Q2	7 _a	70.0%	56 _a	70.0%
	MS	Employed in Q2	57 _a	74.0%	9 _a	90.0%
N		Not employed in Q2	20 _a	26.0%	1 _a	10.0%
	NC	Employed in Q2	86 _a	66.2%	18 _a	72.0%
		Not employed in Q2	44 _a	33.8%	7 _a	28.0%
	ОН	Employed in Q2	21 _a	46.7%	1 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q2	24 _a	53.3%	1 _a	50.0%
NCOA	CA	Employed in Q2	27 _a	32.5%	18 _a	46.2%
		Not employed in Q2	56a	67.5%	21 _a	53.8%
	GA	Employed in Q2	37 _a	30.1%	33 _a	37.9%
		Not employed in Q2	86a	69.9%	54 _a	62.1%
	KY	Employed in Q2	18 _a	36.0%	44 _a	40.7%
		Not employed in Q2	32 _a	64.0%	64 _a	59.3%
	NC	Employed in Q2	61 _a	50.4%	10 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q2	60 _a	49.6%	10 _a	50.0%
	NJ	Employed in Q2	43 _a	51.2%	39 _a	44.3%
		Not employed in Q2	41 _a	48.8%	49 _a	55.7%
	NY	Employed in Q2	31 _a	42.5%	10 _a	41.7%
		Not employed in Q2	42 _a	57.5%	14 _a	58.3%
	ОН	Employed in Q2	29 _a	58.0%	24 _a	52.2%
	L	Not employed in Q2	21 _a	42.0%	22 _a	47.8%
-	PA	Employed in Q2	61 _a	40.4%	93 _a	36.8%
		Not employed in Q2	90 _a	59.6%	160a	63.2%

			Common I	Measures Emp	ployment in Q2	, National
			Gran	tees by State,	by Minority St	atus
			Mino	ority	Not M	inority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	PR	Employed in Q2	11 _a	18.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	50 _a	82.0%	11,2	100.0%
	TN	Employed in Q2	16 _a	36.4%	12 _a	27.9%
		Not employed in Q2	28 _a	63.6%	31 _a	72.1%
	VA	Employed in Q2	37 _a	44.6%	16 _a	48.5%
		Not employed in Q2	46a	55.4%	17 _a	51.5%
	WV	Employed in Q2	11 _a	24.4%	48 _a	31.8%
		Not employed in Q2	34 _a	75.6%	103 _a	68.2%
NICOA [S]	AZ	Employed in Q2	6 _a	18.8%	1 _a	33.3%
		Not employed in Q2	26 _a	81.3%	2 _a	66.7%
	CA	Employed in Q2	3 _a	75.0%	3 _a	60.0%
		Not employed in Q2	1 _a	25.0%	2 _a	40.0%
	MN	Employed in Q2	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	75.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	ND	Employed in Q2	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NM	Employed in Q2	4 _a	36.4%	1 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q2	7 _a	63.6%	1 _a	50.0%
	ОК	Employed in Q2	10 _a	40.0%	3 _a	37.5%
		Not employed in Q2	15 _a	60.0%	5 _a	62.5%
	SD	Employed in Q2	1 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	5 _a	83.3%	01,2	0.0%
	WI	Employed in Q2	1 _a	14.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q2	6a	85.7%	01,2	0.0%
NOWCC	CA	Employed in Q2	12 _a	42.9%	17 _a	35.4%
		Not employed in Q2	16 _a	57.1%	31 _a	64.6%
NUL	FL	Employed in Q2	<mark>31</mark> a	<mark>35.6%</mark>	35 _b	53.8%
		Not employed in Q2	56 _a	64.4%	30 _b	46.2%
	KY	Employed in Q2	18 _a	40.9%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
		Not employed in Q2	26 _a	59.1%	01,2	0.0%
	МІ	Employed in Q2	27 _a	49.1%	1 _a	20.0%
		Not employed in Q2	28 _a	50.9%	4 _a	80.0%
	NJ	Employed in Q2	23 _a	34.8%	3 _a	37.5%
		Not employed in Q2	43 _a	65.2%	5 _a	62.5%

			Common N	Measures Emp	oloyment in Q2	2, National
			Gran	tees by State,	by Minority St	atus
			Mino	ority	Not M	inority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Employed in Q2	32 _a	72.7%	11 _a	73.3%
		Not employed in Q2	12 _a	27.3%	4 _a	26.7%
	PA	Employed in Q2	42 _a	59.2%	7 _a	43.8%
		Not employed in Q2	29 _a	40.8%	9 _a	56.3%
OAGB	MA	Employed in Q2	18 _a	29.5%	3 _a	11.5%
		Not employed in Q2	43 _a	70.5%	23 _a	88.5%
	NH	Employed in Q2	2 _a	40.0%	15 _a	31.9%
		Not employed in Q2	3 _a	60.0%	32 _a	68.1%
SER	CA	Employed in Q2	65 _a	40.6%	29 _a	37.2%
		Not employed in Q2	95 _a	59.4%	49 _a	62.8%
	со	Employed in Q2	6 _a	35.3%	11 _a	36.7%
		Not employed in Q2	11 _a	64.7%	19 _a	63.3%
	KS	Employed in Q2	19 _a	41.3%	30 _a	41.1%
		Not employed in Q2	27 _a	58.7%	43 _a	58.9%
	МО	Employed in Q2	7 _a	43.8%	14 _a	46.7%
		Not employed in Q2	9 _a	56.3%	16 _a	53.3%
	TX	Employed in Q2	25 _a	32.9%	4 _a	30.8%
		Not employed in Q2	51 _a	67.1%	9 _a	69.2%
	WI	Employed in Q2	<mark>15</mark> a	<mark>12.8%</mark>	29 _b	33.0%
		Not employed in Q2	102 _a	87.2%	59 _b	67.0%
SSAI	AL	Employed in Q2	51 _a	41.8%	34 _a	38.2%
		Not employed in Q2	71 _a	58.2%	55 _a	61.8%
	IA	Employed in Q2	1 _a	16.7%	13 _a	38.2%
		Not employed in Q2	5 _a	83.3%	21 _a	61.8%
	IL	Employed in Q2	27 _a	21.6%	4 _a	26.7%
		Not employed in Q2	98 _a	78.4%	11 _a	73.3%
	IN	Employed in Q2	21 _a	28.4%	10 _a	29.4%
		Not employed in Q2	53 _a	71.6%	24 _a	70.6%
	MA	Employed in Q2	18 _a	40.9%	31 _a	44.3%
		Not employed in Q2	26 _a	59.1%	39 _a	55.7%
	MD	Employed in Q2	30 _a	25.2%	9 _a	28.1%
		Not employed in Q2	89 _a	74.8%	23 _a	71.9%
	MN	Employed in Q2	16 _a	20.8%	24 _a	28.9%
		Not employed in Q2	61 _a	79.2%	59 _a	71.1%

			Common I	Measures Emp	oloyment in Q2	2, National	
			Gran	tees by State,	by Minority Status		
			Mino	ority	Not Minority		
		_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
	MS	Employed in Q2	24 _a	40.0%	12 _a	37.5%	
		Not employed in Q2	36 _a	60.0%	20 _a	62.5%	
	NC	Employed in Q2	43 _a	33.1%	32 _a	36.0%	
		Not employed in Q2	87 _a	66.9%	57 _a	64.0%	
	NY	Employed in Q2	<mark>76</mark> a	<mark>49.0%</mark>	31 _b	70.5%	
		Not employed in Q2	79 _a	51.0%	13 _b	29.5%	
	TN	Employed in Q2	47 _a	37.6%	49 _a	30.4%	
		Not employed in Q2	78 _a	62.4%	112 _a	69.6%	
	TX	Employed in Q2	20 _a	27.4%	44 _a	31.2%	
		Not employed in Q2	53 _a	72.6%	97 _a	68.8%	
	WI	Employed in Q2	20 _a	47.6%	46 _a	43.4%	
		Not employed in Q2	22 _a	52.4%	60 _a	56.6%	
The	СТ	Employed in Q2	10 _a	15.2%	5 _a	17.9%	
WorkPlace		Not employed in Q2	56 _a	84.8%	23 _a	82.1%	
	NY	Employed in Q2	19 _a	25.7%	2 _a	25.0%	
		Not employed in Q2	55 _a	74.3%	6 _a	75.0%	
	PA	Employed in Q2	54 _a	47.0%	11 _a	30.6%	
		Not employed in Q2	61 _a	53.0%	25 _a	69.4%	
	RI	Employed in Q2	2 _a	7.4%	1 _a	9.1%	
		Not employed in Q2	25 _a	92.6%	10 _a	90.9%	
VANTAGE	ОН	Employed in Q2	15 _a	20.5%	18 _a	27.3%	
		Not employed in Q2	58 _a	79.5%	48 _a	72.7%	

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< .05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.³

This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Appendix G: Employment in Q4, National Grantees by State

Table 1: Employment in Q4, National Grantees by State, by Race

			Comr	Common Measures Employment in Q4 Tables, National Grantees by State, by Race									
			Wł	nite	ВІ	ack	As	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
AARP	AR	Employed in Q4	19 _a	39.6%	6a	19.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
		Not employed in Q4	29 _a	60.4%	25 _a	80.6%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	
	СО	Employed in Q4	18 _a	39.1%	10a	23.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
		Not employed in Q4	28 _a	60.9%	32 _a	76.2%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	
	FL	Employed in Q4	150 _a	42.0%	60 _a	34.1%	1 _a	20.0%	1 _a	33.3%	11,2	100.0%	
		Not employed in Q4	207 _a	58.0%	116 _a	65.9%	4 _a	80.0%	2 _a	66.7%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	
	GA	Employed in Q4	7 _a	77.8%	49 _a	55.1%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	22.2%	40 _a	44.9%	1 _a	25.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
	IA	Employed in Q4	15 _a	42.9%	17 _a	37.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	57.1%	28 _a	62.2%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	
	IN	Employed in Q4	17 _a	26.6%	12 _a	20.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	
		Not employed in Q4	47 _a	73.4%	47 _a	79.7%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	

		Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	rantees by	State, by	/ Race
		Wł	nite	ВІ	lack	As	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
_		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
М	O Employed in Q4	8 _a	72.7%	55 _a	54.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	3 _a	27.3%	46 _a	45.5%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
N\	V Employed in Q4	33 _a	47.1%	6 _a	20.7%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%
	Not employed in Q4	37 _a	52.9%	23 _a	79.3%	1 _a	50.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 _a	33.3%
OF	H Employed in Q4	15 _a	46.9%	74 _a	57.8%	01,2	0.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	17 _a	53.1%	54 _a	42.2%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
PA	Employed in Q4	51 _a	44.7%	48 _a	44.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	63 _a	55.3%	61 _a	56.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
PF	R Employed in Q4	17 _a	29.8%	1 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	40 _a	70.2%	5 _a	83.3%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
ХТ	Employed in Q4	337 _a	58.4%	<mark>64</mark> _b	<mark>27.5%</mark>	01,2	0.0%	1 _{a,b}	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	240 _a	41.6%	169 _b	72.5%	01,2	0.0%	2 _{a,b}	66.7%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
VA	Employed in Q4	6a	17.6%	45a	33.6%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	Not employed in Q4	28 _a	82.4%	89 _a	66.4%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	mon Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	rantees by	State, by	Race
			WI	nite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		1	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	WA	Employed in Q4	18 _a	26.9%	10 _a	34.5%	2 _a	66.7%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	49 _a	73.1%	19 _a	65.5%	1 _a	33.3%	1 _a	50.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
ANPPM	AZ	Employed in Q4	12 _a	46.2%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	14 _a	53.8%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	CA	Employed in Q4	17 _a	32.7%	1 _a	25.0%	2 _a	15.4%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	35 _a	67.3%	3 _a	75.0%	11 _a	84.6%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	DC	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	LA	Employed in Q4	14 _a	23.0%	16 _a	22.9%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	O ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	47 _a	77.0%	54 _a	77.1%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q4	3 _a	27.3%	3 _a	27.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	8 _a	72.7%	8 _a	72.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
ATD	ME	Employed in Q4	16 _a	34.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	30 _a	65.2%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	rantees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	ack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Employed in Q4	17 _a	28.8%	11 _a	29.7%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	42 _a	71.2%	26 _a	70.3%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q4	14 _a	40.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	21 _a	60.0%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	VT	Employed in Q4	15 _a	39.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	23 _a	60.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
Easter Seals	AL	Employed in Q4	15 _a	75.0%	53 _a	63.9%	01,2	0.0%	22	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	5 _a	25.0%	30 _a	36.1%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	AZ	Employed in Q4	3 _a	14.3%	3 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	O ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	18 _a	85.7%	12 _a	80.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	IL	Employed in Q4	8 _a	72.7%	15 _a	45.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	27.3%	18 _a	54.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	NJ	Employed in Q4	14 _a	50.0%	25 _a	55.6%	7 _a	50.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	14 _a	50.0%	20 _a	44.4%	7 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	mon Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	antees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Employed in Q4	2 _a	20.0%	14 _a	27.5%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	8 _a	80.0%	37 _a	72.5%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	4 _a	50.0%	2 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	4 _a	50.0%	8 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	ОК	Employed in Q4	23 _a	28.0%	20 _a	43.5%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	18.5%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	59a	72.0%	26 _a	56.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	22 _a	81.5%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	OR	Employed in Q4	44 _a	28.2%	2 _a	40.0%	1 _a	50.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	112 _a	71.8%	3 _a	60.0%	1 _a	50.0%	2 ²	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	UT	Employed in Q4	20 _a	27.8%	3 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	52 _a	72.2%	3 _a	50.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	2 _a	50.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
Experience Works	ID	Employed in Q4	13 _a	17.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	63 _a	82.9%	11,2	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	MN	Employed in Q4	12 _a	26.1%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	34 _a	73.9%	5 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	22	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional Gr	antees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	МТ	Employed in Q4	13 _a	28.3%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	30.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	33 _a	71.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	7 _a	70.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	ND	Employed in Q4	15 _a	26.3%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	14.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	42 _a	73.7%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	85.7%	01,2	0.0%
	SD	Employed in Q4	8 _a	23.5%	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	26 _a	76.5%	3 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	7 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	WY	Employed in Q4	7 _a	15.2%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	39 _a	84.8%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
Goodwill	IL	Employed in Q4	11 _a	36.7%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	19 _a	63.3%	3 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	IN	Employed in Q4	20 _a	33.3%	27 _a	34.2%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	40 _a	66.7%	52 _a	65.8%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	KY	Employed in Q4	30a	26.1%	9 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	85 _a	73.9%	12 _a	57.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	antees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	МО	Employed in Q4	18 _a	32.1%	1 _a	12.5%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	38 _a	67.9%	7 _a	87.5%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	NM	Employed in Q4	17 _a	33.3%	02	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	3 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	34 _a	66.7%	3 ²	100.0%	2 ²	100.0%	3 _a	50.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	28 _a	30.8%	9 _a	40.9%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	63 _a	69.2%	13 _a	59.1%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	3 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	sc	Employed in Q4	35 _a	38.0%	65 _a	41.4%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	57 _a	62.0%	92 _a	58.6%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	2 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	VA	Employed in Q4	26 _a	48.1%	9 _a	34.6%	01,2	0.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	28 _a	51.9%	17 _a	65.4%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	WA	Employed in Q4	21 _a	35.6%	4 _a	33.3%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	38 _a	64.4%	8 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
IID [S]	AR	Employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	antees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	LA	Employed in Q4	4 _a	57.1%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	42.9%	6 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	MS	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%
	TX	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	75.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
National Able	IA	Employed in Q4	8 _a	12.9%	3 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
Network		Not employed in Q4	54 _a	87.1%	9 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	IL	Employed in Q4	7 _a	38.9%	6 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	11 _a	61.1%	9 _a	60.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	IN	Employed in Q4	4 _a	16.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	21 _a	84.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NE	Employed in Q4	6a	14.3%	2 _a	11.8%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	36 _a	85.7%	15 _a	88.2%	01,2	0.0%	22	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	mon Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional Gr	rantees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
	T		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NAPCA [S]	CA	Employed in Q4	02	0.0%	2 _a	40.0%	14 _a	30.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	22	100.0%	3 _a	60.0%	32 _a	69.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	IL	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	MA	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	19 _a	57.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	14 _a	42.4%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	NY	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	55.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	44.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	4 _a	40.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	6a	60.0%	10 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	TX	Employed in Q4	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	35.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	22	100.0%	11,2	100.0%	9 _a	64.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	WA	Employed in Q4	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	5.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	18 _a	94.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	antees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
	ı	_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NAPCA [G]	CA	Employed in Q4	9 _a	27.3%	17 _a	34.0%	3 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	24 _a	72.7%	33 _a	66.0%	15 _a	83.3%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	IL	Employed in Q4	13 _a	27.1%	14 _a	34.1%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	35 _a	72.9%	27 _a	65.9%	3 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	NY	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
NCBA	AR	Employed in Q4	36 _a	34.6%	23 _a	38.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	68 _a	65.4%	37 _a	61.7%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	DC	Employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	31 _a	62.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	O ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	19 _a	38.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	FL	Employed in Q4	30 _a	43.5%	28 _a	41.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q4	39 _a	56.5%	39 _a	58.2%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 _a	50.0%
	IL	Employed in Q4	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	18 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	18 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	rantees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	MI	Employed in Q4	51 _a	35.4%	78 _a	45.1%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	93 _a	64.6%	95 _a	54.9%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	50.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	МО	Employed in Q4	11 _a	13.6%	22	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	70 _a	86.4%	02	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	MS	Employed in Q4	6 _a	50.0%	64 _a	67.4%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	6 _a	50.0%	31 _a	32.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	NC	Employed in Q4	15 _a	65.2%	68 _a	62.4%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	8 _a	34.8%	41 _a	37.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	28 _a	63.6%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	16 _a	36.4%	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
NCOA	CA	Employed in Q4	15 _a	25.9%	4 _a	14.3%	5 _a	18.5%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q4	43 _a	74.1%	24 _a	85.7%	22 _a	81.5%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%
	GA	Employed in Q4	20 _a	24.1%	16 _b	<mark>12.0%</mark>	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	63 _a	75.9%	117 _b	88.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	rantees by	State, by	/ Race
			Wh	nite	ВІ	ack	As	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		1	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	KY	Employed in Q4	25 _a	25.8%	12 _a	26.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	72 _a	74.2%	34 _a	73.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	NC	Employed in Q4	4 _a	23.5%	50 _a	42.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	13 _a	76.5%	67 _a	57.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	NJ	Employed in Q4	41 _a	44.6%	29 _a	36.3%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	51 _a	55.4%	51 _a	63.8%	1 _a	33.3%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	NY	Employed in Q4	22 _a	55.0%	29 _a	52.7%	2 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	18 _a	45.0%	26 _a	47.3%	3 _a	60.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	7 _a	25.9%	19 _a	41.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	74.1%	27 _a	58.7%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q4	74 _a	28.2%	32 _a	26.2%	1 _a	50.0%	1 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	188 _a	71.8%	90 _a	73.8%	1 _a	50.0%	1 _a	50.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	PR	Employed in Q4	5 _a	14.7%	2 _a	11.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	29 _a	85.3%	16 _a	88.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	antees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	ack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		1	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	TN	Employed in Q4	15 _a	27.3%	8 _a	19.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	40 _a	72.7%	34 _a	81.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	VA	Employed in Q4	8 _a	36.4%	13 _a	19.4%	1 _a	16.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	14 _a	63.6%	54 _a	80.6%	5 _a	83.3%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	WV	Employed in Q4	39 _a	25.3%	6 _a	16.2%	01,2	0.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	115 _a	74.7%	31 _a	83.8%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
NICOA [S]	AZ	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	15.8%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	3 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	16 _a	84.2%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	CA	Employed in Q4	3 _a	37.5%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	5 _a	62.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	MN	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	80.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	ND	Employed in Q4	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	40.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	60.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

		L	Common Measures Employment in Q4 Tables, National Grantees by									Race
			Wh	nite	ВІ	lack	As	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NM	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	8.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	91.7%	01,2	0.0%
	ОК	Employed in Q4	2 _a	22.2%	6 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	8.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	7 _a	77.8%	9 _a	60.0%	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	91.7%	01,2	0.0%
	SD	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	8.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	11 _a	91.7%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	WI	Not employed in Q4	O ^{1,2}	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
NOWCC	CA	Employed in Q4	20 _a	31.3%	5 _a	55.6%	0 ²	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	44 _a	68.8%	4 _a	44.4%	2 ²	100.0%	2 _a	66.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
NUL	FL	Employed in Q4	32 _a	32.7%	12 _a	28.6%	01,2	0.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	66 _a	67.3%	30 _a	71.4%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	KY	Employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	14 _a	30.4%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	32 _a	69.6%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	mon Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	antees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	МІ	Employed in Q4	1 _a	14.3%	18 _a	32.7%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	6 _a	85.7%	37 _a	67.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	NJ	Employed in Q4	2 _a	33.3%	15 _a	28.8%	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	4 _a	66.7%	37 _a	71.2%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	NY	Employed in Q4	11 _a	47.8%	13 _a	50.0%	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	12 _a	52.2%	13 _a	50.0%	3 _a	75.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q4	6 _a	46.2%	38 _a	63.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	7 _a	53.8%	22 _a	36.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
OAGB	MA	Employed in Q4	3 _a	8.6%	7 _a	18.4%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	32 _a	91.4%	31 _a	81.6%	5 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	NH	Employed in Q4	10 _a	22.7%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	34 _a	77.3%	2 ²	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
SER	CA	Employed in Q4	50 _a	35.7%	23 _a	35.9%	3 _a	50.0%	11,2	100.0%	1 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q4	90 _a	64.3%	41 _a	64.1%	3 _a	50.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	antees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
	_		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	СО	Employed in Q4	20 _a	40.0%	1 _a	25.0%	2 _a	66.7%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	30 _a	60.0%	3 _a	75.0%	1 _a	33.3%	2 _a	66.7%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	KS	Employed in Q4	26 _a	32.9%	13 _a	40.6%	01,2	0.0%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	53 _a	67.1%	19 _a	59.4%	01,2	0.0%	3 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	МО	Employed in Q4	10 _a	31.3%	3 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	22 _a	68.8%	6 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	TX	Employed in Q4	6 _a	22.2%	20 _a	37.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	21 _a	77.8%	34 _a	63.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	WI	Employed in Q4	24 _a	22.0%	8 _a	11.8%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	16.7%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	85 _a	78.0%	60a	88.2%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	83.3%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
SSAI	AL	Employed in Q4	21 _a	27.6%	41 _a	41.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	55 _a	72.4%	59 _a	59.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	IA	Employed in Q4	9 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	36 _a	80.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	rantees by	State, by	/ Race
			Wh	nite	ВІ	ack	As	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
_	T		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Employed in Q4	4 _a	22.2%	32 _a	28.8%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	6	Not employed in Q4	14 _a	77.8%	79 _a	71.2%	2 ²	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
l		Employed in Q4	8 _a	25.0%	16 _a	21.9%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	•	Not employed in Q4	24 _a	75.0%	57 _a	78.1%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
1		Employed in Q4	29 _a	43.3%	11 _a	40.7%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%
	6	Not employed in Q4	38 _a	56.7%	16 _a	59.3%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%
1		Employed in Q4	10 _a	32.3%	32 _a	25.6%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	•	Not employed in Q4	21 _a	67.7%	93 _a	74.4%	3 ²	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
ſ		Employed in Q4	25 _a	28.1%	11 _a	16.9%	1 _a	16.7%	1 _a	25.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	•	Not employed in Q4	64 _a	71.9%	54 _a	83.1%	5 _a	83.3%	3 _a	75.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
1		Employed in Q4	10 _a	32.3%	22 _a	40.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	•	Not employed in Q4	21 _a	67.7%	32 _a	59.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
1		Employed in Q4	25 _a	29.4%	42 _a	36.8%	01,2	0.0%	3 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
	•	Not employed in Q4	60 _a	70.6%	72 _a	63.2%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	antees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	ack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Employed in Q4	16 _a	48.5%	39 _a	59.1%	36 _a	50.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	17 _a	51.5%	27 _a	40.9%	35 _a	49.3%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	TN	Employed in Q4	19 _a	20.0%	32 _a	29.9%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	76 _a	80.0%	75 _a	70.1%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	TX	Employed in Q4	36 _a	26.7%	8 _a	21.6%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	99 _a	73.3%	29 _a	78.4%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
	WI	Employed in Q4	37 _a	37.4%	3 _a	21.4%	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	38.5%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	62 _a	62.6%	11 _a	78.6%	01,2	0.0%	8 a	61.5%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
The WorkPlace	СТ	Employed in Q4	6 _a	16.2%	9 _a	20.5%	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	31 _a	83.8%	35 _a	79.5%	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%
	NY	Employed in Q4	4 _a	19.0%	15 _a	29.4%	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	17 _a	81.0%	36 _a	70.6%	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
	PA	Employed in Q4	10 _a	31.3%	54 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%	3 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	22 _a	68.8%	72 _a	57.1%	01,2	0.0%	0 ²	0.0%	0 ^{1,2}	0.0%

			Comr	non Meas	ures En	nployment	in Q4 T	ables, Na	tional G	antees by	State, by	Race
			Wł	nite	В	ack	As	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	RI	Employed	1 _a	8.3%	0 ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		in Q4										
		Not	11 _a	91.7%	12 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		employed										
		in Q4										
VANTAGE	ОН	Employed	14 _a	22.2%	16 _a	19.5%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%	01,2	0.0%
		in Q4										
		Not	49 _a	77.8%	66 _a	80.5%	01,2	0.0%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	01,2	0.0%
		employed										
		in Q4										

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p<.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.³

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

- This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 2: Employment in Q4, National Grantees by State, by Ethnicity

			Common M	leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National			
			Grantees by State, by Ethnicity						
			Hisp	anic	Not His	spanic			
		_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
AARP	AR	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	25 _a	31.3%			
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	55 _a	68.8%			
	CO	Employed in Q4	5 _a	62.5%	24 _a	31.2%			
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	37.5%	53 _a	68.89			
	FL	Employed in Q4	51 _a	34.0%	163 _a	39.9%			
		Not employed in Q4	99a	66.0%	246 _a	60.1%			
	GA	Employed in Q4	4 ²	100.0%	56 _a	57.1%			
		Not employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	42 _a	42.9%			
	IA	Employed in Q4	3 _a	60.0%	30 _a	39.5%			
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	40.0%	46 _a	60.5%			
	IN	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	28 _a	23.19			
		Not employed in Q4	4 ²	100.0%	93 _a	76.9%			
	МО	Employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	59 _a	54.69			
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	49 _a	45.4%			
	NV	Employed in Q4	4 _a	30.8%	38 _a	40.9%			
		Not employed in Q4	9 _a	69.2%	55 _a	59.19			
	ОН	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	90 _a	55.9%			
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	71 _a	44.19			
	PA	Employed in Q4	16 _a	34.0%	87 _a	46.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	31 _a	66.0%	102 _a	54.0%			
	PR	Employed in Q4	18 _a	27.7%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	47 _a	72.3%	01,2	0.0%			
	TX	Employed in Q4	286 _a	59.1%	119 _b	34.7%			
		Not employed in Q4	198 _a	40.9%	224 _b	65.3%			
	VA	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	52 _a	30.6%			
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	118 _a	69.49			
	WA	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	24 _a	26.79			
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	66 _a	73.3%			
ANPPM	AZ	Employed in Q4	10 _a	55.6%	3 _a	27.3%			
		Not employed in Q4	8 _a	44.4%	8 _a	72.79			
	CA	Employed in Q4	16 _a	36.4%	4 _a	14.8%			
	L	Not employed in Q4	28 _a	63.6%	23 _a	85.2%			

			Common M	/leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National
			Gr	rantees by Sta	ate, by Ethnic	ity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
		_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	DC	Employed in Q4	3 _a	50.0%	2 _a	66.7%
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	50.0%	1 _a	33.3%
	LA	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	29 _a	22.5%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	100 _a	77.5%
	РА	Employed in Q4	13 _a	31.7%	4 _a	28.6%
		Not employed in Q4	28 _a	68.3%	10 _a	71.4%
ATD	ME	Employed in Q4	11,2	100.0%	13 _a	28.9%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	32 _a	71.1%
	NY	Employed in Q4	4 _a	30.8%	26 _a	28.6%
		Not employed in Q4	9 _a	69.2%	65 _a	71.4%
	РА	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	14 _a	37.8%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	23 _a	62.2%
	VT	Employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	14 _a	36.8%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	24 _a	63.2%
Easter	AL	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	70 _a	66.7%
Seals		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	35 _a	33.3%
	AZ	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	6 _a	18.2%
		Not employed in Q4	7 ²	100.0%	27 _a	81.8%
	IL	Employed in Q4	7 _a	58.3%	15 _a	45.5%
		Not employed in Q4	5 _a	41.7%	18 _a	54.5%
	NJ	Employed in Q4	11 _a	50.0%	38 _a	51.4%
		Not employed in Q4	11 _a	50.0%	36 _a	48.6%
	NY	Employed in Q4	2 _a	18.2%	15 _a	28.8%
		Not employed in Q4	9 _a	81.8%	37 _a	71.2%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	9 _a	40.9%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	13 _a	59.1%
	ок	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	48 _a	30.0%
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	112 _a	70.0%
	OR	Employed in Q4	2 _a	25.0%	45 _a	28.7%
		Not employed in Q4	6 _a	75.0%	112 _a	71.3%
	UT	Employed in Q4	2 _a	28.6%	24 _a	32.9%
		Not employed in Q4	5 _a	71.4%	49 _a	67.1%
Experience	ID	Employed in Q4	2 _a	33.3%	12 _a	15.8%
Works		Not employed in Q4	4 _a	66.7%	64 _a	84.2%

			Common M	leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National
			Gr	antees by Sta	ate, by Ethnic	ity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	MN	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	13 _a	24.1%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	41 _a	75.9%
	MT	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	16 _a	28.6%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	40 _a	71.4%
	ND	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	18 _a	23.7%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	58 _a	76.3%
	SD	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	17.8%
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	37 _a	82.2%
	WY	Employed in Q4	1 _a	12.5%	6 _a	11.5%
		Not employed in Q4	7 _a	87.5%	46 _a	88.5%
Goodwill	IL	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	9 _a	31.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	20 _a	69.0%
	IN	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	47 _a	33.8%
		Not employed in Q4	3 ²	100.0%	92 _a	66.2%
	KY	Employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	38 _a	27.1%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	102 _a	72.9%
	МО	Employed in Q4	11,2	100.0%	18 _a	27.7%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	47 _a	72.3%
	NM	Employed in Q4	17 _a	43.6%	11 _a	31.4%
		Not employed in Q4	22 _a	56.4%	24 _a	68.6%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	34 _a	32.1%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	72 _a	67.9%
	SC	Employed in Q4	3 _a	60.0%	95 _a	38.9%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	40.0%	149 _a	61.1%
	VA	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	34 _a	47.2%
		Not employed in Q4	3 ²	100.0%	38 _a	52.8%
	WA	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	26 _a	37.7%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	43 _a	62.3%
IID [S]	AR	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	5 ²	100.0%
	LA	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	25.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	75.0%
	MS	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	33.3%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	66.7%
	1					

			Common M	leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National
			Gr	antees by Sta	ate, by Ethnic	ity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	TX	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	75.0%
National	IA	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	11 _a	15.1%
Able		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	62 _a	84.9%
Network	IL	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	13 _a	43.3%
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	17 _a	56.7%
	IN	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	16.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	21 _a	84.0%
	NE	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	8 _a	13.3%
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	52 _a	86.7%
NAPCA [S]	CA	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	16 _a	30.2%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	37 _a	69.8%
	IL	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	11 _a	47.8%
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	12 _a	52.2%
	MA	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	19 _a	54.3%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	16 _a	45.7%
	NY	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	55.6%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	44.4%
	PA	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	19.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	17 _a	81.0%
	TX	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	5 _a	29.4%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	70.6%
	WA	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	4.8%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	20 _a	95.2%
NAPCA [G]	CA	Employed in Q4	1 _a	7.7%	29 _a	30.2%
		Not employed in Q4	12 _a	92.3%	67 _a	69.8%
	IL	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	25 _a	29.1%
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	61 _a	70.9%
	NY	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	3 _a	50.0%
NCBA	AR	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	57 _a	34.8%
		Not employed in Q4	4 ²	100.0%	107 _a	65.2%
	DC	Employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	30 _a	61.2%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	19 _a	38.8%

			Common N	/leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National
			Gı	rantees by Sta	ate, by Ethnic	ity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	FL	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	48 _a	38.4%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	77 _a	61.6%
	IL	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	18 _a	52.9%
		Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	16 _a	47.1%
	MI	Employed in Q4	3 _a	33.3%	126 _a	39.6%
		Not employed in Q4	6a	66.7%	192 _a	60.4%
	МО	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	13 _a	15.5%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	71 _a	84.5%
	MS	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	70 _a	65.4%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	37 _a	34.6%
	NC	Employed in Q4	3 ²	100.0%	79 _a	62.7%
		Not employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	47 _a	37.3%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	28 _a	58.3%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	20 _a	41.7%
NCOA	CA	Employed in Q4	5 _a	20.0%	22 _a	21.2%
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	80.0%	82 _a	78.8%
	GA	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	23 _a	14.0%
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	141 _a	86.0%
	KY	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	32 _a	24.2%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	100a	75.8%
	NC	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	54 _a	39.7%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	82 _a	60.3%
	NJ	Employed in Q4	8 _a	61.5%	68 _a	42.8%
		Not employed in Q4	5 _a	38.5%	91 _a	57.2%
	NY	Employed in Q4	16 _a	55.2%	39 _a	48.1%
		Not employed in Q4	13 _a	44.8%	42 _a	51.9%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	2 _a	50.0%	35 _a	36.5%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	50.0%	61 _a	63.5%
	PA	Employed in Q4	3 _a	16.7%	100 _a	27.9%
		Not employed in Q4	15 _a	83.3%	259 _a	72.1%
	PR	Employed in Q4	8 _a	14.5%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	47 _a	85.5%	11,2	100.0%
	TN	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	21 _a	24.1%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	66a	75.9%

			Common M	leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National
			Gr	antees by Sta	ate, by Ethnic	ity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
		_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	VA	Employed in Q4	3 _a	60.0%	17 _b	20.7%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	40.0%	65 _b	79.3%
	WV	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	36 _a	24.0%
		Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	114 _a	76.0%
NICOA [S]	AZ	Employed in Q4	2 _a	40.0%	5 _a	26.3%
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	60.0%	14 _a	73.7%
	CA	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	4 _a	57.1%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	3 _a	42.9%
	MN	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	50.0%
	ND	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	2 _a	40.0%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	3 _a	60.0%
	NM	Employed in Q4	11,2	100.0%	2 _a	14.3%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	12 _a	85.7%
	ОК	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	7 _a	33.3%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	14 _a	66.7%
	SD	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	1 _a	14.3%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	6 _a	85.7%
	WI	Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	2 ²	100.0%
NOWCC	CA	Employed in Q4	6 _a	85.7%	21 _b	27.3%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	14.3%	56 _b	72.7%
NUL	FL	Employed in Q4	<mark>6</mark> a	<mark>17.6%</mark>	40 _b	36.4%
		Not employed in Q4	28 _a	82.4%	70 _b	63.6%
	KY	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	17 _a	35.4%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	31 _a	64.6%
	МІ	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	20 _a	33.3%
		Not employed in Q4	11,2	100.0%	40 _a	66.7%
	NJ	Employed in Q4	3 _a	18.8%	17 _a	28.8%
		Not employed in Q4	13 _a	81.3%	42 _a	71.2%
	NY	Employed in Q4	2 _a	28.6%	25 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q4	5 _a	71.4%	25 _a	50.0%
	PA	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	43 _a	59.7%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	29 _a	40.3%

			Common M	leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National
			Gr	antees by Sta	ate, by Ethnic	ity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
		_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
OAGB	MA	Employed in Q4	3 _a	13.0%	10 _a	15.4%
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	87.0%	55 _a	84.6%
	NH	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	10 _a	21.3%
		Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	37 _a	78.7%
SER	CA	Employed in Q4	33 _a	35.5%	46 _a	36.2%
		Not employed in Q4	60 _a	64.5%	81 _a	63.8%
	СО	Employed in Q4	8 _a	47.1%	13 _a	32.5%
		Not employed in Q4	9 _a	52.9%	27 _a	67.5%
	KS	Employed in Q4	4 _a	20.0%	34 _a	36.6%
		Not employed in Q4	16 _a	80.0%	59 _a	63.4%
	МО	Employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	15 _a	34.1%
		Not employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	29 _a	65.9%
	TX	Employed in Q4	1 _a	14.3%	21 _a	34.4%
		Not employed in Q4	6 _a	85.7%	40 _a	65.6%
	WI	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	33 _a	19.4%
		Not employed in Q4	9 ²	100.0%	137 _a	80.6%
SSAI	AL	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	57 _a	34.1%
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	110 _a	65.9%
	IA	Employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	8 _a	18.2%
		Not employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	36 _a	81.8%
	IL	Employed in Q4	3 _a	37.5%	33 _a	26.6%
		Not employed in Q4	5 _a	62.5%	91 _a	73.4%
	IN	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	20 _a	22.2%
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	70 _a	77.8%
	MA	Employed in Q4	3 _a	42.9%	37 _a	42.5%
		Not employed in Q4	4 _a	57.1%	50 _a	57.5%
	MD	Employed in Q4	2 _a	50.0%	40 _a	26.0%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	50.0%	114 _a	74.0%
	MN	Employed in Q4	1 _a	14.3%	37 _a	23.9%
		Not employed in Q4	6 _a	85.7%	118 _a	76.1%
	MS	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	29 _a	36.7%
		Not employed in Q4	11,2	100.0%	50 _a	63.3%
	NC	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	66 _a	35.7%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	119 _a	64.3%

				leasures Emp	•	,
			Hisp		Not Hispanic	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	88 _a	56.8%
		Not employed in Q4	6 ²	100.0%	67 _a	43.2%
	TN	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	52 _a	27.1%
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	140 _a	72.9%
	TX	Employed in Q4	7 _a	25.9%	35 _a	24.3%
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	74.1%	109 _a	75.7%
	WI	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	46 _a	36.8%
		Not employed in Q4	4 ²	100.0%	79 _a	63.2%
The	СТ	Employed in Q4	2 _a	20.0%	11 _a	15.9%
WorkPlace		Not employed in Q4	8 _a	80.0%	58 _a	84.1%
	NY	Employed in Q4	6 _a	28.6%	18 _a	30.0%
		Not employed in Q4	15 _a	71.4%	42 _a	70.0%
	PA	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	67 _a	42.1%
		Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	92 _a	57.9%
	RI	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	1 _a	4.5%
		Not employed in Q4	11 ²	100.0%	21 _a	95.5%
VANTAGE	ОН	Employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	28 _a	20.6%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	108 _a	79.4%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p<.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.³

This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 3: Employment in Q4, National Grantees by State, by Minority Status

			Common M	leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National
			Grant	ees by State,	by Minority S	tatus
			Mino	ority	Not m	inority
	1	_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	AR	Employed in Q4	6a	18.8%	19 _a	38.8%
		Not employed in Q4	26 _a	81.3%	30 _a	61.2%
	СО	Employed in Q4	16 _a	31.4%	14 _a	34.1%
		Not employed in Q4	35 _a	68.6%	27 _a	65.9%
	FL	Employed in Q4	<mark>114</mark> a	<mark>34.3%</mark>	104 _b	44.4%
		Not employed in Q4	218 _a	65.7%	130 _b	55.6%
	GA	Employed in Q4	53 _a	56.4%	7 _a	77.8%
		Not employed in Q4	41 _a	43.6%	2 _a	22.2%
	IA	Employed in Q4	21 _a	40.4%	13 _a	41.9%
		Not employed in Q4	31 _a	59.6%	18 _a	58.1%
	IN	Employed in Q4	12 _a	19.4%	17 _a	26.2%
		Not employed in Q4	50 _a	80.6%	48 _a	73.8%
	МО	Employed in Q4	56 _a	53.8%	8 _a	80.0%
		Not employed in Q4	48 _a	46.2%	2 _a	20.0%
	NV	Employed in Q4	<mark>11</mark> a	<mark>23.4%</mark>	31 _b	51.7%
		Not employed in Q4	36 _a	76.6%	29 _b	48.3%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	76 _a	58.0%	15 _a	44.1%
		Not employed in Q4	55 _a	42.0%	19 _a	55.9%
	PA	Employed in Q4	64 _a	40.5%	40 _a	49.4%
		Not employed in Q4	94 _a	59.5%	41 _a	50.6%
	PR	Employed in Q4	18 _a	27.7%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	47 _a	72.3%	01,2	0.0%
	TX	Employed in Q4	348 _a	48.8%	57 _a	49.1%
		Not employed in Q4	365 _a	51.2%	59 _a	50.9%
	VA	Employed in Q4	46 _a	33.6%	6 _a	17.6%
		Not employed in Q4	91 _a	66.4%	28 _a	82.4%
	WA	Employed in Q4	14 _a	35.9%	18 _a	24.0%
		Not employed in Q4	25 _a	64.1%	57 _a	76.0%
ANPPM	AZ	Employed in Q4	10 _a	52.6%	3 _a	30.0%
		Not employed in Q4	9 _a	47.4%	7 _a	70.0%
	CA	Employed in Q4	19 _a	31.1%	1 _a	9.1%
		Not employed in Q4	42 _a	68.9%	10 _a	90.9%

			Common N	/leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National
			Grant	tees by State,	by Minority S	tatus
			Mino	ority	Not m	inority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	DC	Employed in Q4	6 _a	60.0%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	4 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%
	LA	Employed in Q4	16 _a	21.6%	14 _a	22.6%
		Not employed in Q4	58 _a	78.4%	48 _a	77.4%
	PA	Employed in Q4	16 _a	30.2%	2 _a	66.7%
		Not employed in Q4	37 _a	69.8%	1 _a	33.3%
ATD	ME	Employed in Q4	2 _a	33.3%	15 _a	32.6%
		Not employed in Q4	4 _a	66.7%	31 _a	67.4%
	NY	Employed in Q4	14 _a	28.0%	16 _a	29.6%
		Not employed in Q4	36 _a	72.0%	38 _a	70.4%
	PA	Employed in Q4	2 _a	40.0%	13 _a	38.2%
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	60.0%	21 _a	61.8%
	VT	Employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	14 _a	36.8%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	24 _a	63.2%
Easter	AL	Employed in Q4	56 _a	65.1%	16 _a	76.2%
Seals		Not employed in Q4	30 _a	34.9%	5 _a	23.8%
	AZ	Employed in Q4	3 _a	12.5%	3 _a	16.7%
		Not employed in Q4	21 _a	87.5%	15 _a	83.3%
	IL	Employed in Q4	22 _a	48.9%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%
		Not employed in Q4	23 _a	51.1%	01,2	0.0%
	NJ	Employed in Q4	43 _a	53.8%	7 _a	38.9%
		Not employed in Q4	37 _a	46.3%	11 _a	61.1%
	NY	Employed in Q4	15 _a	24.6%	3 _a	37.5%
		Not employed in Q4	46a	75.4%	5 _a	62.5%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	3 _a	25.0%	6 _a	60.0%
		Not employed in Q4	9 _a	75.0%	4 _a	40.0%
	ОК	Employed in Q4	26 _a	33.3%	23 _a	26.4%
		Not employed in Q4	52 _a	66.7%	64 _a	73.6%
	OR	Employed in Q4	5 _a	26.3%	43 _a	27.9%
		Not employed in Q4	14 _a	73.7%	111 _a	72.1%
	UT	Employed in Q4	7 _a	38.9%	19 _a	28.8%
		Not employed in Q4	11 _a	61.1%	47 _a	71.2%

			Common N	/leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National			
			Grantees by State, by Minority Status						
			Mino	ority					
	1	1	Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
Experience	ID	Employed in Q4	2 _a	20.0%	12 _a	16.4%			
Works		Not employed in Q4	8 _a	80.0%	61 _a	83.6%			
	MN	Employed in Q4	2 _a	18.2%	12 _a	26.1%			
		Not employed in Q4	9 _a	81.8%	34 _a	73.9%			
	MT	Employed in Q4	5 _a	35.7%	12 _a	26.7%			
		Not employed in Q4	9 _a	64.3%	33 _a	73.3%			
	ND	Employed in Q4	3 _a	16.7%	15 _a	25.4%			
		Not employed in Q4	15 _a	83.3%	44 _a	74.6%			
	SD	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	8 _a	23.5%			
		Not employed in Q4	12 ²	100.0%	26 _a	76.5%			
	WY	Employed in Q4	1 _a	6.3%	6 _a	13.6%			
		Not employed in Q4	15 _a	93.8%	38 _a	86.4%			
Goodwill	IL	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	11 _a	36.7%			
		Not employed in Q4	3 ²	100.0%	19 _a	63.3%			
	IN	Employed in Q4	27 _a	31.4%	20 _a	34.5%			
		Not employed in Q4	59 _a	68.6%	38 _a	65.5%			
	KY	Employed in Q4	10 _a	33.3%	30 _a	26.1%			
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	66.7%	85 _a	73.9%			
	МО	Employed in Q4	2 _a	20.0%	18 _a	31.6%			
		Not employed in Q4	8 _a	80.0%	39 _a	68.4%			
	NM	Employed in Q4	21 _a	40.4%	8 _a	27.6%			
		Not employed in Q4	31 _a	59.6%	21 _a	72.4%			
	ОН	Employed in Q4	10 _a	37.0%	28 _a	30.1%			
		Not employed in Q4	17 _a	63.0%	65 _a	69.9%			
	sc	Employed in Q4	70 _a	41.2%	33 _a	37.1%			
		Not employed in Q4	100 _a	58.8%	56 _a	62.9%			
	VA	Employed in Q4	12 _a	37.5%	26 _a	51.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	62.5%	25 _a	49.0%			
	WA	Employed in Q4	5 _a	33.3%	21 _a	35.6%			
		Not employed in Q4	10 _a	66.7%	38 _a	64.4%			
IID [S]	AR	Employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	3 _a	75.0%			
	L	Not employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	1 _a	25.0%			
	LA	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	4 _a	57.1%			
		Not employed in Q4	9 ²	100.0%	3 _a	42.9%			

			Common N	/leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National			
			Grantees by State, by Minority Status						
			Mino	ority	Not m	inority			
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
	MS	Employed in Q4	1 _a	33.3%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	66.7%	01,2	0.0%			
	TX	Employed in Q4	1 _a	25.0%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	75.0%	01,2	0.0%			
National	IA	Employed in Q4	4 _a	22.2%	8 _a	13.3%			
Able		Not employed in Q4	14 _a	77.8%	52 _a	86.7%			
Network	IL	Employed in Q4	6 _a	40.0%	7 _a	38.9%			
		Not employed in Q4	9 _a	60.0%	11 _a	61.1%			
	IN	Employed in Q4	01,2	0.0%	4 _a	16.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%	21 _a	84.0%			
	NE	Employed in Q4	2 _a	9.5%	6 _a	14.6%			
		Not employed in Q4	19 _a	90.5%	35 _a	85.4%			
NAPCA [S]	CA	Employed in Q4	17 _a	32.1%	0 ²	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	36 _a	67.9%	2 ²	100.0%			
	IL	Employed in Q4	12 _a	48.0%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	13 _a	52.0%	01,2	0.0%			
	MA	Employed in Q4	19 _a	57.6%	O ²	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	14 _a	42.4%	2 ²	100.0%			
	NY	Employed in Q4	5 _a	55.6%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	4 _a	44.4%	01,2	0.0%			
	PA	Employed in Q4	4 _a	20.0%	O ²	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	16 _a	80.0%	2 ²	100.0%			
	TX	Employed in Q4	5 _a	33.3%	O ²	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	10 _a	66.7%	2 ²	100.0%			
	WA	Employed in Q4	1 _a	4.8%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	95.2%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%			
NAPCA [G]	CA	Employed in Q4	22 _a	26.2%	8 _a	29.6%			
		Not employed in Q4	62 _a	73.8%	19 _a	70.4%			
	IL	Employed in Q4	15 _a	31.3%	12 _a	26.1%			
		Not employed in Q4	33 _a	68.8%	34 _a	73.9%			
	NY	Employed in Q4	3 _a	42.9%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	4 _a	57.1%	01,2	0.0%			

			Common N	/leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National
			Gran	tees by State,	by Minority S	tatus
			Mino	ority	Not m	inority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NCBA	AR	Employed in Q4	24 _a	34.3%	38 _a	35.5%
		Not employed in Q4	46 _a	65.7%	69 _a	64.5%
	DC	Employed in Q4	32 _a	62.7%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	19 _a	37.3%	01,2	0.0%
	FL	Employed in Q4	30 _a	41.1%	30 _a	42.9%
		Not employed in Q4	43 _a	58.9%	40 _a	57.1%
	IL	Employed in Q4	18 _a	47.4%	01,2	0.0%
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	52.6%	01,2	0.0%
	MI	Employed in Q4	82 _a	44.1%	49 _a	34.3%
		Not employed in Q4	104 _a	55.9%	94 _a	65.7%
	МО	Employed in Q4	2 _a	28.6%	11 _a	13.6%
		Not employed in Q4	5 _a	71.4%	70 _a	86.4%
	MS	Employed in Q4	65 _a	67.7%	6 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q4	31 _a	32.3%	6 _a	50.0%
	NC	Employed in Q4	71 _a	63.4%	15 _a	65.2%
		Not employed in Q4	41 _a	36.6%	8 _a	34.8%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	30 _a	60.0%	1 _a	50.0%
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	40.0%	1 _a	50.0%
NCOA	CA	Employed in Q4	15 _a	18.5%	13 _a	23.6%
		Not employed in Q4	66 _a	81.5%	42 _a	76.4%
	GA	Employed in Q4	<mark>16</mark> a	<mark>11.8%</mark>	23 _b	26.1%
		Not employed in Q4	120 _a	88.2%	65 _b	73.9%
	KY	Employed in Q4	12 _a	25.0%	25 _a	25.5%
		Not employed in Q4	36 _a	75.0%	73 _a	74.5%
	NC	Employed in Q4	51 _a	42.1%	4 _a	21.1%
		Not employed in Q4	70 _a	57.9%	15 _a	78.9%
	NJ	Employed in Q4	39 _a	39.8%	43 _a	45.3%
		Not employed in Q4	59 _a	60.2%	52 _a	54.7%
	NY	Employed in Q4	45 _a	52.9%	12 _a	41.4%
		Not employed in Q4	40 _a	47.1%	17 _a	58.6%
	ОН	Employed in Q4	21 _a	42.0%	18 _a	30.0%
		Not employed in Q4	29 _a	58.0%	42 _a	70.0%
	PA	Employed in Q4	37 _a	25.7%	75 _a	28.7%
		Not employed in Q4	107 _a	74.3%	186 _a	71.3%

			Common N	/leasures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National			
			Grantees by State, by Minority Status						
			Mino	ority	Not m	inority			
		_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
	PR	Employed in Q4	8 _a	14.3%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	48 _a	85.7%	1 ^{1,2}	100.0%			
	TN	Employed in Q4	8 _a	18.6%	16 _a	28.1%			
		Not employed in Q4	35 _a	81.4%	41 _a	71.9%			
	VA	Employed in Q4	17 _a	21.5%	9 _a	36.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	62 _a	78.5%	16 _a	64.0%			
	WV	Employed in Q4	7 _a	16.7%	39 _a	24.7%			
		Not employed in Q4	35 _a	83.3%	119 _a	75.3%			
NICOA [S]	AZ	Employed in Q4	6 _a	21.4%	1 _a	25.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	22 _a	78.6%	3 _a	75.0%			
	CA	Employed in Q4	2 _a	50.0%	3 _a	37.5%			
		Not employed in Q4	2 _a	50.0%	5 _a	62.5%			
	MN	Employed in Q4	1 _a	20.0%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	4 _a	80.0%	01,2	0.0%			
	ND	Employed in Q4	2 _a	40.0%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	3 _a	60.0%	01,2	0.0%			
	NM	Employed in Q4	2 _a	14.3%	1 _a	33.3%			
		Not employed in Q4	12 _a	85.7%	2 _a	66.7%			
	ОК	Employed in Q4	7 _a	25.9%	2 _a	22.2%			
		Not employed in Q4	20 _a	74.1%	7 _a	77.8%			
	SD	Employed in Q4	1 _a	8.3%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	11 _a	91.7%	01,2	0.0%			
	WI	Employed in Q4	O ²	0.0%	01,2	0.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	2 ²	100.0%	01,2	0.0%			
NOWCC	CA	Employed in Q4	12 _a	50.0%	16 _b	25.4%			
		Not employed in Q4	12 _a	50.0%	47 _b	74.6%			
NUL	FL	Employed in Q4	<mark>19</mark> a	<mark>24.4%</mark>	28 _b	40.6%			
		Not employed in Q4	59 _a	75.6%	41 _b	59.4%			
	KY	Employed in Q4	14 _a	29.8%	3 _a	75.0%			
		Not employed in Q4	33 _a	70.2%	1 _a	25.0%			
	МІ	Employed in Q4	20 _a	35.1%	1 _a	14.3%			
		Not employed in Q4	37 _a	64.9%	6a	85.7%			
	NJ	Employed in Q4	20 _a	28.2%	1 _a	16.7%			
		Not employed in Q4	51 _a	71.8%	5 _a	83.3%			

			0	4	-1	4. National
					oloyment in Qoby by Minority S	
			Mino		Not m	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Employed in Q4	17 _a	44.7%	10 _a	47.6%
	INI	Not employed in Q4	21 _a	55.3%	10 _a	52.4%
	PA	Employed in Q4	38 _a	63.3%		46.2%
		Not employed in Q4	22 _a	36.7%	6 _a	53.8%
OAGB	MA	Employed in Q4	10 _a	16.4%	3 _a	10.3%
OAGB	IVIA	Not employed in Q4	51 _a	83.6%	26 _a	89.7%
	NH	Employed in Q4	0^{2}	0.0%	10 _a	23.3%
	INIT	Not employed in Q4	6 ²	100.0%	33 _a	76.7%
SER	CA	Employed in Q4	61 _a	36.7%	25 _a	36.2%
SEK	I CA	Not employed in Q4	105 _a	63.3%	44 _a	63.8%
	СО	Employed in Q4	103 _a	42.3%	13 _a	32.5%
		Not employed in Q4	15 _a	57.7%	27 _a	67.5%
	KS	Employed in Q4	17 _a	30.9%	27 _a	35.9%
		Not employed in Q4	38 _a	69.1%	41 _a	64.1%
	МО	Employed in Q4	7 _a	50.0%	10 _a	31.3%
	IWIO	Not employed in Q4	7 _a	50.0%	22 _a	68.8%
	TX	Employed in Q4	21 _a	33.9%	5 _a	23.8%
	'/\	Not employed in Q4	41 _a	66.1%	16 _a	76.2%
	WI	Employed in Q4	9 _a	11.0%	24 _b	22.9%
		Not employed in Q4	73 _a	89.0%	81 _b	77.1%
SSAI	AL	Employed in Q4	42 _a	39.6%	20 _a	26.3%
		Not employed in Q4	64 _a	60.4%	56 _a	73.7%
	IA	Employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	8 _a	18.2%
		Not employed in Q4	1 _a	50.0%	36 _a	81.8%
	IL	Employed in Q4	35 _a	28.9%	2 _a	12.5%
		Not employed in Q4	86 _a	71.1%	14 _a	87.5%
	IN	Employed in Q4	16 _a	21.6%	8 _a	25.8%
		Not employed in Q4	58a	78.4%	23 _a	74.2%
	MA	Employed in Q4	16 _a	41.0%	27 _a	43.5%
	L	Not employed in Q4	23 _a	59.0%	35 _a	56.5%
	MD	Employed in Q4	34 _a	25.4%	9 _a	30.0%
		Not employed in Q4	100 _a	74.6%	21 _a	70.0%
	MN	Employed in Q4	14 _a	17.3%	24 _a	28.6%
		Not employed in Q4	67 _a	82.7%	60 _a	71.4%

			Common N	Measures Emp	oloyment in Q	4, National	
			Grant	tees by State,	by Minority S	tatus	
			Mino	ority	Not minority		
		1	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
	MS	Employed in Q4	22 _a	39.3%	10 _a	32.3%	
		Not employed in Q4	34 _a	60.7%	21 _a	67.7%	
	NC	Employed in Q4	46 _a	38.0%	25 _a	29.8%	
		Not employed in Q4	75 _a	62.0%	59 _a	70.2%	
	NY	Employed in Q4	76 _a	52.8%	16 _a	51.6%	
		Not employed in Q4	68 _a	47.2%	15 _a	48.4%	
	TN	Employed in Q4	35 _a	31.3%	29 _a	21.6%	
		Not employed in Q4	77 _a	68.8%	105 _a	78.4%	
	TX	Employed in Q4	15 _a	22.4%	30 _a	27.0%	
		Not employed in Q4	52 _a	77.6%	81 _a	73.0%	
	WI	Employed in Q4	9 _a	28.1%	37 _a	37.4%	
		Not employed in Q4	23 _a	71.9%	62 _a	62.6%	
The	СТ	Employed in Q4	10 _a	18.5%	5 _a	15.6%	
WorkPlace		Not employed in Q4	44 _a	81.5%	27 _a	84.4%	
	NY	Employed in Q4	22 _a	30.1%	3 _a	30.0%	
		Not employed in Q4	51 _a	69.9%	7 _a	70.0%	
	PA	Employed in Q4	57 _a	43.8%	10 _a	32.3%	
		Not employed in Q4	73 _a	56.2%	21 _a	67.7%	
	RI	Employed in Q4	0 ²	0.0%	1 _a	6.7%	
		Not employed in Q4	25 ²	100.0%	14 _a	93.3%	
VANTAGE	ОН	Employed in Q4	19 _a	21.3%	14 _a	22.2%	
		Not employed in Q4	70 _a	78.7%	49 _a	77.8%	

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p<.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.³

This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Appendix H: Median Earnings Tables, National Grantees by State

Table 1: Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Race

Table 1: Med	iaii La	linings, i			res Median			Grantees I	ov State, b	v Race	
		W	hite		lack		sian		an Indian		Islander
		Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median
AARP	AR	24	2789	6	2752.5	1	3888	0		0	
	СО	15	3579	12	4248.5	0		0		0	
	FL	154	2600	75	3000	2	3051.5	2	1290	1	1500
	GA	4	4195	49	3960	2	3645	0		0	
	IA	13	3000	15	2400	0		0		0	
	IN	18	2167.5	15	1400	0		0		0	
	МО	5	2470	50	3250.5	0		0		0	
	NV	17	4940	9	2052	0		0		2	1632
	ОН	12	4146	75	2860	0		0		0	
	PA	44	3153	43	3661	2	3720	1	0	0	
	PR	26	2095.5	3	780	0		0		0	
	TX	285	3000	<mark>79</mark>	<mark>2160*</mark>	0		0		0	
	VA	8	3477.5	62	3613	1	4680	1	2925	0	
	WA	18	4137.5	8	3525	0		0		0	
ANPPM	AZ	15	5460	0		0		0		0	
	CA	24	2760	2	7733	2	5916	0		0	
	DC	0		2	3390	0		0		0	
	LA	12	3936	21	3600	0		0		0	
	PA	1	5616	3	3381	0		0		0	
ATD	ME	13	2601	0		0		1	3319	0	
	NY	22	2837	10	3544.5	0		0		0	
	PA	12	2460	0		0		0		0	
	VT	13	2930	0		0		0		0	
Easter Seals	AL	12	3775	49	5200	0		1	0	0	
	ΑZ	6	3090	4	2158.5	0		0		0	
	IL	3	3200	15	5760	0		0		0	
	NJ	12	5760	20	6240	8	4760	0		0	
	NY	3	5030	10	5801	0		0		0	
	ОН	5	4800	0		0		0		0	
	OK	24	2036.5	29	1	0		8	1717.5	0	
	OR	41	3658	2	5381.5	1	5548	1	4973	0	
	UT	18	2780	3	2500	0		1	0	0	
Experience Works	ID	10	4030	0		0		0		0	
VVUINO	MN	9	2651	0		0		0		0	
	MT	5	3146	0		0		1	3208	0	
	ND	10	5980	0		0		2	2470	0	
	SD	9	3250	2	3250	0		0		0	

			Commo	n Measui	es Median	Earnings	, National G	Frantees I	by State, b	y Race	
		W	hite	В	lack	А	sian	America	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median
	WY	1	4550	0		0		0		0	
Goodwill	IL	8	1936	0		0		0		0	
	IN	19	2493	29	2858	0		0		0	
	KY	35	2600	7	2496	0		0		0	
	МО	13	2304	1	4680	0		0		0	
	NM	23	2532	0		0		2	6079*	0	
	ОН	23	2880	6	2713.5	0		0		0	
	SC	39	3840	50	3157.5	0		1	10320	0	
	VA	20	1994.5	15	2418	0		0		0	
	WA	21	3456	3	2201	1	5428	0		0	
IID [S]	AR	2	3390	0		0		0		0	
	LA	6	4270	2	4750	0		1	6000	0	
	MS	0		0		0		1	7928	0	
	TX	0		1	0	0		3	6312	0	
National Able Network	IA	11	2789	1	8949	0		0		0	
Network	IL	3	4420	5	3331	0		0		0	
	IN	3	2343	0		0		0		0	
	NE	6	4550	5	3900	0		0		0	
NAPCA [S]	CA	0		1	2011	9	3180	0		1	7736
	IL	0		0		6	3425.5	0		0	
	MA	0		0		17	4044	0		0	
	NY	0		0		4	8316	0		0	
	PA	0		5	1853	1	2842	0		0	
	TX	0		2	3136	3	3467	0		0	
	WA	0		0		4	2662	0		0	
NAPCA [G]	CA	9	5450	13	3120	2	3164	0		0	
	IL	8	3003.5	7	3600	0		0		0	
	NY	0		0		4	7110	0		0	
NCBA	AR	48	2671.5	36	3107	0		0		0	
	DC	1	5000	27	3603	0		0		0	
	FL	36	3420.5	30	2668	1	3705	0		1	8262
	IL	1	1650	16	3659.5	0		0		0	
	MI	62	2438.5	83	2400	0		2	4454.5	0	
	MO	18	3105	1	5980	0		0		0	
	MS	9	2730	49	2730	0		0		0	
	NC	18	3100.5	62	2900	1	3900	0		0	
	ОН	1	3900	19	3744	1	1510	0		0	
NCOA	CA	19	2680	6	1950	2	7245.5	0		1	800
	GA	23	1200	29	800	0		0		0	
	KY	32	2268	9	2291	0		0		0	

			Commo	n Measui	res Median	Earnings	, National G	Frantees I	ov State, b	v Race	
		W	hite		lack		sian		an Indian		Islander
		Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median
	NC	4	3447	52	2968	0		1	2700	0	
	NJ	30	1528	18	2662	4	3375	1	5460	0	
	NY	12	3925	17	3750	2	3670.5	0		0	
	ОН	5	1755	20	2827.5	0		0		0	
	PA	75	2610	42	2758.5	1	8880	0		0	
	PR	6	2247	2	1608.5	0		0		0	
	TN	6	2550	14	1921	0		0		0	
	VA	12	3132	20	2685	2	2500	0		0	
	WV	37	2450	8	2506.5	0		0		0	
NICOA [S]	AZ	1	3789	0		0		3	1201	0	
	CA	3	1728	0		1	500	0		0	
	ND	0		0		0		1	4264	0	
	NM	1	7967	2	1836	0		1	1622	0	
	OK	3	1928	4	2542.5	0		1	4306	0	
NOWCC	CA	16	3282.5	3	2000	1	6765	0		0	
NUL	FL	41	3261	<mark>17</mark>	<mark>1200*</mark>	0		0		0	
	KY	1	3250	13	3355	0		0		0	
	MI	1	2197	23	3696	0		1	5762	0	
	NJ	3	7435	13	4530	0		0		0	
	NY	9	3024	16	3143	1	5400	0		0	
	PA	7	2417	37	5040	0		0		0	
OAGB	MA	3	4800	5	3600	1	8410	0		0	
055	NH	9	2470	1	5850	1	2160	0		0	
SER	CA	43	3973	22	6162	3	3307	1	2013	0	
	СО	10	4051	0		0		3	3745	0	
	KS	21	3642	11	3321	0		1	1400	0	
	MO	14	2833	5	1920	0		0		0	
	TX	3	4440	15	2700	0		0		0	
0041	WI	29	2660	9	2897	0		1	3116	0	
SSAI	AL	24	2487	39	1863	0		0		0	
	IA	8	2860.5	1	1200	0		0		0	
	IL	3	2160	19	3575	0		1	771	0	
	IN	6	4115.5	14	2416	0		0		0	
	MA	28	3155.5	9	5950	1	486	0		0	
	MD	9	3636	19	2888	0		1	1580	0	
	MN	18	3265.5	9	3000	1	400	2	2400	0	
	MS	8	3320	19	2184	0		0		0	
	NC	24	2880	33	3780	0		4	2438	0	
	NY	23	4176	27	4449	<mark>30</mark>	3063.5*	1	1100	0	
	TN	31	3152	32	3027	0		0		0	

			Common Measures Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Race										
		W	White		Black		Asian		an Indian	Pacific	Islander		
		Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median	Count	Median		
	TX	37	2368	9	2245	1	1484	1	6718	1	5625		
	WI 37 2400		2400	5	4180*	1	4013	6	4162	0			
The	СТ	4	2751.5	9	3949	1	1872	0		0			
WorkPlace	NY	6	3204	12	3947	2	2730	0		0			
	PA 9		6729	<mark>39</mark>	<mark>3726*</mark>	0		<mark>4</mark>	3055*	0			
	RI 1 1			0		0		1	924	0			
VANTAGE	ОН	10	2800	11	3554	2	1	0		0			

Table 2: Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Ethnicity

Common Measures Me				•	
		Hi	lispanic		
		Count	Median	Count	Median
AARP	AR	0		31	2805
	СО	4	4712.5	24	3243
	FL	65	2460	177	2709
	GA	2	3645	54	4050
	IA	0		29	2880
	IN	0		32	2160
	МО	1	1350	54	3185
	NV	5	5040	24	2656.5
	ОН	0		88	3152
	PA	11	4002	81	3400
	PR	29	2016	0	
	TX	242	3036*	125	2400
	VA	0		72	3613
ANIDOM	WA	1	5200	19	3244
ANPPM	AZ	12	4777.5	3	5460
	CA	21	2964	8	3456
	DC	1	3360	1	3780
	LA	0		33	3840
ATD	PA	8	4052	4	2500.5
ATD	ME	0		11	2465
	NY	4	2724.5	31	3308
	PA	0		12	2460
Easter Seals	VT	2	3400	12	2926.5
Laster Sears	AL	0		60	5116
	AZ	1	7440	10	3090
	IL	3	3200	15	5760
	NJ	12	4704	32	5640
	NY	1	5030	11	5265
	ОН	0		7	4142
	OK	1	3900	60	1153.5
	OR	3	2793	44	3763
	UT	2	3847.5	20	2368

Common Measures Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Ethnicity						
		Hi	ispanic	Not F	lispanic	
		Count	Median	Count	Median	
Experience Works	ID	1	3900	9	4160	
	MN	0		9	2651	
	МТ	0		6	3177	
	ND	0		12	4227.5	
	SD	1	2600	11	3269	
	WY	1	4550	0		
Goodwill	IL	0		4	2026	
	IN	0		48	2840	
	KY	2	2523	40	2561	
	МО	1	1920	13	2340	
	NM	17	2676	10	3195	
	ОН	0		28	2853.5	
	sc	5	4420	87	3360	
	VA	1	579	31	2200	
	WA	1	5548	23	3456	
IID [S]	AR	0		2	3390	
	LA	0		9	5000	
	MS	0		1	7928	
	TX	0		4	6276	
National Able Network	IA	1	9448	12	2792	
	IL	0		8	3371.5	
	IN	0		3	2343	
NA POA (O)	NE	0		11	3900	
NAPCA [S]	CA	1	9530	11	3180	
	IL	0		5	3024	
	MA	0		17	4044	
	NY	0		4	8316	
	PA	0		6	2347.5	
	TX	0		5	3467	
NIABOA (O)	WA	0		3	2836	
NAPCA [G]	CA	2	12145.5*	26	4461.5	
	IL	0		15	3142	
	NY	0		4	7110	

Common Measures Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Ethnicity							
		Hi	ispanic	Not Hispanic			
		Count Median		Count	Median		
NCBA	AR	0		81	2762		
	DC	1	5000	26	3621.5		
	FL	3	2340	52	3154.5		
	IL	1	1650	16	3659.5		
	MI	4	1856	144	2400		
	МО	0		19	3116		
	MS	0		58	2730		
	NC	5	2800	72	3058		
	ОН	1	3250	21	3744		
NCOA	CA	8	1521.5	21	2680		
	GA	0		31	846		
	KY	4	1559	36	2375.5		
	NC	1	3150	56	3030		
	NJ	7	1556	49	2512		
	NY	8	3099.5	23	3960		
	ОН	2	3622.5	32	2902.5		
	PA	2	2925	109	2630		
	PR	8	2045	1	1172		
	TN	0		18	1921		
	VA	1	4050	25	2922		
	WV	1	3600	32	2625		
NICOA [S]	AZ	1	2940	5	1201		
	CA	1	2275	4	1114		
	ND	0		1	4264		
	NM	0		4	2647		
Nowoo	ОК	0		4	4884.5		
NOWCC	CA	4	4875	17	2990		
NUL	FL	10	3852	51	3146		
	KY	0		14	3302.5		
	MI	0		24	3698		
	NJ	4	5923	14	3972.5		
	NY	6	5050	25	3202		
	PA	0		44	4383.5		

Common Measures N	Median Earnings	s, National	Grantees by S	tate, by E	thnicity
		His	spanic	Not Hispanic	
		Count	Median	Count	Median
OAGB	MA	9	4800	8	4200
	NH	0		11	2470
SER	CA	27	4265	43	3900
	со	5	3806	5	3792
	KS	2	3123.5	31	3520
	МО	2	2736	19	2468
	TX	2	4820	16	2812.5
	WI	4	2347.5	35	2795
SSAI	AL	1	2677	61	2128
	IA	0		9	2704
	IL	0		23	2940
	IN	0		17	2607
	MA	3	0	34	3274
	MD	0		28	3244
	MN	0		31	3067
	MS	0		25	2618
	NC	1	3366	59	3000
	NY	4	4452.5	74	3648
	TN	1	3360	55	3152
	TX	4	2575	43	2299
	WI	2	3092	46	2593.5
The WorkPlace	СТ	1	1820	13	3478
	NY	5	4500	14	3719.5
	PA	1	3640	52	3975
	RI	0		1	1878
VANTAGE	ОН	0		24	2800

Table 3: Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Minority Status

Common Measures Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Minority Status					
		Mino	ority	Not Mi	inority
		Count	Count Median		Median
AARP	AR	7	3667	24	2789
	СО	16	3388	13	3580
	FL	145	2640	101	2600
	GA	52	4050	4	4195
	IA	16	2142.5	13	3000
	IN	15	1400	18	2167.5
	MO	51	3250	5	2470
	NV	15	2850	14	3118
	ОН	76	2990	13	4480
	PA	57	3661	35	3132
	PR	29	2016	0	0102
	TX	317	2923	51	2525
	VA	64	3613	8	3477.5
	WA	9	3600	19	3968
ANPPM	AZ	12	4777.5	3	5460
	CA	25	4680	4	1739.5
	DC	3	3360	0	
	LA	21	3600	13	4032
	PA	11	3964	2	3450
ATD	ME	1	3319	13	2601
	NY	13	3514	22	3289
	PA	0		12	2460
	VT	2	3400	12	2926.5
Easter Seals	AL	50	5200	13	3780
	AZ	5	3437	6	3090
	IL	18	4000	0	
	NJ	40	5240	6	6360
	NY	11	5265	3	6460
	ОН	0		8	3655
	ОК	38	325	23	1885
	OR	7	4973	41	3658
	UT	6	2889.5	17	2578
Experience Works	ID	1	3900	9	4160
	MN	0		9	2651
	MT	1	3208	5	3146
	ND	2	2470	10	5980
	SD	4	2949	8	3259.5
	WY	1	4550	0	

Common Measures Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Minority Status					
		Min	Minority		inority
		Count	Median	Count	Median
Goodwill	IL	0		8	1936
	IN	29	2858	19	2493
	KY	8	2263	34	2611
	MO	2	3300	13	2304
	NM	20	3121.5	11	2800
	ОН	6	2713.5	23	2880
	SC	58	3234	36	3720
	VA	18	2359	19	2160
	WA	5	3864	21	3456
IID [S]	AR	1	2555	2	3390
	LA	3	5000	6	4270
	MS	1	7928	0	
	TX	4	6276	0	
National Able	IA	2	9198.5*	11	2789
Network	IL	5	3331	3	4420
	IN	0		3	2343
	NE	5	3900	6	4550
NAPCA [S]	CA	12	3709.5	0	
	IL	6	3425.5	0	
	MA	17	4044	0	
	NY	4	8316	0	
	PA	6	2347.5	0	
	TX	5	3467	0	
	WA	4	2662	0	
NAPCA [G]	CA	19	4547	9	5450
	IL	7	3600	8	3003.5
	NY	4	7110	0	
NCBA	AR	37	3120	49	2691
	DC	28	3621.5	0	
	FL	34	2668	35	3510
	IL	17	3575	0	
	MI	89	2400	62	2486
	МО	1	5980	18	3105
	MS	49	2730	9	2730
	NC	65	2900	16	3150.5
	ОН	21	3510	1	3900
NCOA	CA	17	1500	14	3750
	GA	29	800	26	1280
	KY	12	2167.5	30	2410.5
	NC	54	2968	4	3447

Common Measure	es Median Ea	rnings, National	Grantees by	State, by Mind	ority Status
		Mine	ority	Not Minority	
		Count	Median	Count	Median
	NJ	30	2125	31	1690
	NY	<mark>25</mark>	<mark>3250*</mark>	7	6240
	ОН	22	2902.5	16	2940
	PA	45	2880	74	2620
	PR	9	2045	0	
	TN	14	1921	7	2460
	VA	23	2922	15	2286
	WV	9	2625	37	2431
NICOA [S]	AZ	5	1201	1	3789
	CA	2	1387.5	3	1728
	ND	1	4264	0	
	NM	3	1622	1	7967
	OK	5	2940	3	1928
NOWCC	CA	9	2990	12	3282.5
NUL	FL	27	3146	34	3198.5
	KY	13	3355	1	3250
	MI	25	3700	1	2197
	NJ	17	4530	1	1800
	NY	24	4601.5	7	3024
	PA	37	5040	7	2417
OAGB	MA	15	4800	2	2400
	NH	2	4005	9	2470
SER	CA	53	3973	19	3581
	CO	5	3806	8	4044
	KS	15	3321	19	3770
	MO	7	2304	14	2833
	TX	17	2925	2	3600
	WI	14	3006.5	25	2660
SSAI	AL	42	1986	24	2487
	IA	1	1200	8	2860.5
	IL	20	3266.5	3	2160
	IN	14	2416	6	4115.5
	MA	14	5011.5	25	3168
	MD	20	2656	9	3636
	MN	13	2688	18	3265.5
	MS	19	2184	8	3320
	NC	38	3303	24	2880
	NY	62	3648	21	4176
	TN	33	3060	41	2880
	TX	16	2525	33	2368

Common Measures Median Earnings, National Grantees by State, by Minority Status						
		Min	ority	Not Minority		
		Count	Median	Count	Median	
	WI	15	3600*	35	2368	
TWP	CT	10	3713.5	4	2751.5	
	NY	19	3734	2	3204	
	PA	<mark>44</mark>	<mark>3640*</mark>	9	6729	
	RI	1	924	1	1878	
VANTAGE	ОН	13	3386	11	2600	