## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

**Docket:** EBSA-2020-0004 Financial Factors in Selecting Plan Investments

**Comment On:** EBSA-2020-0004-0002 Financial Factors in Selecting Plan Investments

**Document:** EBSA-2020-0004-DRAFT-0460 Comment on FR Doc # 2020-13705 Received: July 27, 2020 Tracking No. 1k4-9i1v-edzy Comments Due: July 30, 2020 Submission Type: API

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Jonathan Baker

## **General Comment**

To whom it may concern,

I support the proposed rules to strengthen ERISA and clarify that ESG investments are not suited for private pensions. As the beneficiary of a private pension plan, I support the proposed rules for several reasons;

-- Private pensions are intended to maximize the return on investment for retirees, NOT pursue ESG, social, or political goals;

-- ESG investments have been shown to have lower returns and higher fees;

-- ERISA states - It is UNLAWFUL for a fiduciary to sacrifice return or accept additional risk to promote a public policy, political, or any other nonpecuniary goal;

-- The manager of my private pension plan should be working with the financial ambitions of their beneficiaries in mind, NOT the social and political ambitions of activists and progressive policy makers.

Under ERISA, its abundantly clear that ESG investing falls under the designation of a nonpecuniary goal. The proposed rules are necessary and should be finalized as soon as possible.

Sincerely, Jonathan R. Baker