## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

**Docket:** EBSA-2020-0004 Financial Factors in Selecting Plan Investments

**Comment On:** EBSA-2020-0004-0002 Financial Factors in Selecting Plan Investments

**Document:** EBSA-2020-0004-DRAFT-0380 Comment on FR Doc # 2020-13705 Received: July 24, 2020 Tracking No. 1k4-9hzy-1m48 Comments Due: July 30, 2020 Submission Type: Web

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Craig Drizin

## **General Comment**

I strongly oppose the Department of Labor's newly proposed rule on Financial Factors in Selecting Plan Investments. This proposal is essentially an attack on the ability of investors to choose funds that take environmental, social and corporate governance (ESG) criteria into account, alongside traditional financial analysis.

Numerous studies affirm that socially responsible or ESG funds are competitive over the longterm. There is no financial basis for excluding these funds. It is also crucial to understand that "returns" are always financial, environmental, and social - it is high time to recognize this as ESG funds do.

More and more investors want to divest from fossil fuels for the sake of their portfolios and the planet. There is no sound reason to deny this. There is no benefit, and only harm, to investors by restricting access to socially responsible (ESG) funds.

I am outraged that you want to restrict where I can invest my own money. Have you no shame?

I urge you to withdraw this rule and maintain the right of employer-sponsored pension and retirement plans to be invested in socially and environmentally responsible funds.