articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NATA–TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. there was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA–TAA–05164; Kysor Panel Systems, A Div. of Enodis Corp., Portland, OR

NAFTA–TAA–04953; G.E. Lighting, Inc., Bucyrus, OH

NAFTA–TAA–04455; Sunlite Casual Furniture, Paragould, AR

NAFTA–TAA–05326; FB Johnston Group, North Carolina Div., Hillsborough, NC

NAFTA–TAA–05081 & A, B, C & G; Spartan International, Inc., Cherokee Finishing Plant, SC, Spartan Plant, Spartansburg, SC, Rosemont Plant, Jonesville, SC King Finishing Plant, Dover, GA and Retail Business Office, Charlotte, NC

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

NAFTA–TAA–05346; Contract Apparel, Inc., El Paso, TX

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA–TAA

NAFTA–TAA–05081D; & E, F, H & I; Spartan International, King Mill, August, GA, Cleveland Mills, Lawndale, NC, Cleveland-Caroknit, Jefferson SC, Sales Office, New York, NY and Corporate Office, Spartanburg, SC: July 13, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05434; Tect, Inc., Sewing and Embellishment Departments, Allentown, PA: October 12, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05433; Tect, Inc., Temple Sewing Plant, Temple, PA: October 12, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05430; Tect, Inc., Topton Sewing Plants, Topton, PA: October 12, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05432; Tect, Inc., Knitting Department, Allentown, PA: October 12, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05349; Brooks Automation, Tracking Div., Including Leased Workers of K Force Professional Staffing, Volt Contractors, Superior Contractors and Aerotek Contractors, Colorado Springs, Co: September 20, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05393; Liebert Corp., Irvine California Operations, Irvine, CA: September 27, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–04988; California Cedar Products Co., Roseburg Sawmill, Roseburg, OR: June 11, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05342; Curtain and Drapery Fashions, Inc., Gastonia, NC: September 20, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05431; Tect, Inc., Cutting and Automated Sewing Departments, Allentown, PA: October 12, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05374; Axiohm Transaction Solutions, Inc., American Magnetics Division, Cypress, CA: September 20, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05494; Sportrack Accessories, Div. of Sportrack Automotive, Shelburne, VT: October 26, 2000.

NAFTA–TAA–05398; IFF, Inc., Salem, OR: October 3, 2000.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of November and December, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C–5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: November 30, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–31634 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–38,809]

Blue Mountain Products Pendleton, OR; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of July 17, 2001, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, petition TA–W–38,809. The denial notice was signed on June 18, 2001 and published in the **Federal Register** on July 5, 2001 (66 FR 35462).

The Department has reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that further clarification of a survey response from a major customer of the subject firm would be appropriate.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of December 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade, Adjustment Assistant.

[FR Doc. 01–31624 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–39,908]

Cleveland Caroknit Lawndale, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on August 27, 2001, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Cleveland Caroknit, Lawndale, North Carolina.

An investigation applicable to the petitioning group of workers is in process (TA–W–39,518). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose; and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of December 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment, Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–31632 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–40,133 and TA–W–40,133A]

Eagle Knitting Mills, Inc. Shawano, WI; Eagle Knitting Mills, Inc. Kenosha, WI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on September 28, 2001, in response to a worker petition at Eagle Knitting Mills, Inc., Shawano and Kenosha, Wisconsin.

A negative determination applicable to the petitioning group of workers was issued on May 14, 2001 (TA–W–39,070). The petition filed in the subject case is identical to that filed for the prior case. No new information is evident which

would result in a reversal of the Department's previous determination. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose; and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of December 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment, Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–31631 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–39,068]

Elizabeth Webbing, Inc. Central Falls, RI; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On November 13, 2001, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application on Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 5, 2001 (66 FR 63263).

On June 25, 2001 the Department initially denied TAA to workers of Elizabeth Webbing, Inc., Central Falls, Rhode Island producing nylon and polyester webbing because the ''contributed importantly'' group eligibility requirement of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met.

On reconsideration, the department surveyed additional customers of the subject plant regarding their purchases of nylon and polyester webbing during the relevant period. The survey revealed that customers increased their imports of nylon and polyester webbing, while decreasing their purchases from the subject plant during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with nylon and polyester webbing, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers of Elizabeth Webbing, Inc., Central Falls, Rhode Island. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Elizabeth Webbing, Inc., Central Falls, Rhode Island who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 9, 2000 through two years of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 12th day of December 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–31623 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–38,921]

Glenshaw Glass Company, Inc. Glenshaw, PA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of June 11, 2001, the workers requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, petition TA–W–38,921. The denial notice was signed on May 15, 2001 and published in the **Federal Register** on May 25, 2001 (66 FR 28928).

The Department has reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that the Department will examine the petitioner's allegation claiming that the parent customer is importing glass containers similar to what the subject plant produced and selling the glass containers to the subject firm's customer base.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC this 30th day of November 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade, Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–31620 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–39,434]

Kentucky, Electric Steel, Ashland, KY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 18, 2001 in response to a worker petition which was filed on the same date on behalf of workers at Kentucky Electric Steel, Ashland, Kentucky.

The petitioning group of workers is subject to an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued (TA–W–39,419). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 13th day of December, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–31628 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–38,953]

Steag Hamatech, Inc., Saco, ME; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter of July 9, 2001, the company, requested administrative reconsideration regrading the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on May 21, 2001, based on the finding that the ''contributed importantly;'' group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The investigation revealed that imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm. A major portion of production was for the export market. The reason for the separations at the subject firm was the transfer of production aboard. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 8, 2001 (66 FR 30947).

To support the request for reconsideration, the company provided additional information clarifying how the company was impacted by imported products like and directly competitive with what was produced at the subject firm.

The company indicated that they were the only domestic manufacturer of this type of equipment (referred to as replication equipment) and that the machinery is a type of capital equipment, which normally is not purchased on an annual basis. The domestic market accounted for a