due thirty (30) days after publication of the Notice in the Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Christopher J. Motta of the Department, telephone (202) 219-8881. (This is not a toll-free number.)

General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption under section 408(a) of the Act and/or section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest of disqualified person from certain other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things require a fiduciary to discharge his duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(b) of the act; nor does it affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that the plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries;

(2) Before an exemption may be granted under section 408(a) of the Act and/or section 4975(c)(2) of the Code, the Department must find that the exemption is administratively feasible, in the interests of the plan and of its participants and beneficiaries and protective of the rights of participants and beneficiaries of the plan;

(3) The proposed exemptions, if granted, will be supplemental to, and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transitional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction; and

(4) The proposed exemptions, if granted, will be subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in each application are true and complete, and that each application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction which is the subject of the exemption.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of November, 1998.
Ivan Strasfeld,
Director of Exemption Determinations,
Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.
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DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration
[Application No. D–10574]

Notice of Proposed Individual Exemption to Amend Prohibited Transaction Exemption (PTE) 94–50

Involving Salomon Smith, Barney Inc.
(Salomon Smith Barney) Located in New York, NY

AGENCY: Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice of proposed individual exemption to modify PTE 94–50.

SUMMARY: This document contains a notice of pendency before the Department of Labor (the Department) of a proposed individual exemption which, if granted, would amend PTE 94–50 (59 FR 32024, June 21, 1994), an exemption granted to Smith Barney, Inc. (Smith Barney), the predecessor of Salomon Smith Barney. PTE 94–50 relates to the operation of the TRAK Personalized Investment Advisory Service product (the TRAK Program) and the Trust for TRAK Investments (subsequently renamed the Trust for Consulting Group Capital Markets Funds) (the Trust). If granted, the proposed exemption would affect participants and beneficiaries of and fiduciaries with respect to employee benefit plans (the Plans) participating in the TRAK Program.

EFFECTIVE DATE: If granted, the proposed amendments will be effective as of November 9, 1998.

DATES: Written comments and requests for a public hearing should be received by the Department on or before December 24, 1998.


The application pertaining to the proposed exemption and the comments received will be available for public inspection in the Public Documents Room of the Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–5507, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Jan D. Broady, Office of Exemption Determinations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, telephone (202) 219-8881. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given of the pendency before the Department of a proposed exemption that would amend PTE 94–50. PTE 94–50 provides an exemption from certain prohibited transaction restrictions of section 406 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act) and from the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code), as amended, by reason of section 4975(c)(1) of the Code. Specifically, PTE 94–50 provides exemptive relief from the restrictions of section 406(a) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(A) through (D) of the Code, for the purchase or redemption of shares in the Trust by an employee benefit plan, an individual retirement account (the IRA), or a retirement plan for a self-employed individual (the Keogh Plan). PTE 94–50 also provides exemptive relief from the restrictions of section 406(b) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(E) and (F) of the Code, with respect to the provision, by the Consulting Group of Smith Barney (the Consulting Group), of investment advisory services to independent fiduciaries of participating Plans (the Independent Plan Fiduciaries) that might result in such fiduciary’s selection of an investment portfolio (the Portfolio) under the TRAK Program for the investment of Plan assets.

1 On October 5, 1992, the Department granted PTE 92–77 at 55 FR 45833. PTE 92–77 permitted Shearson Lehman Brothers, Inc. (Shearson Lehman) to make the TRAK Program available to Plans that acquired shares in the Trust. In this regard, PTE 92–77 permitted Plans to purchase or redeem shares in the Trust and allowed the Consulting Group to provide investment advisory services to an Independent Fiduciary of a Plan which might result in such fiduciary’s selection of a Portfolio in the TRAK Program for the investment of Plan assets. Subsequent to the granting of PTE 92–77, on July 31, 1993, Smith Barney acquired certain assets of Shearson Lehman associated with its retail business, including the TRAK Program, and applied for and received a new exemption (PTE 94–50) for the continued
Besides the transactions described above, PTE 94–50 permitted Smith Barney to add a daily-traded collective investment fund (the GIC Fund) to the existing Fund Portfolios and to describe the various entities operating the GIC Fund. Further, PTE 94–50 replaced references to Shearson Lehman with references to Smith Barney. PTE 94–50 is effective as of July 31, 1993 for the transactions described in PTE 92–77 and effective as of March 29, 1994 with respect to transactions involving the GIC Fund.

As of December 31, 1997, the TRAK Program held assets that were in excess of $8.4 billion. Of those assets, approximately $1.7 billion were held in 540, 401(k) Plan accounts and approximately 57,100 employee benefit plan and IRA/Keogh-type accounts. At present, the Trust consists of 13 Portfolios that are managed by the Consulting Group and advised by one or more unaffiliated sub-advisers selected by Salomon Smith Barney.

Salomon Smith Barney has informed the Department of certain changes, which are discussed below, to the facts underlying PTE 94–50. These modifications include (1) corporate mergers that have changed the names of the parties described in PTE 94–50 and would permit broader distribution of TRAK-related products, (2) the implementation of a recordkeeping reimbursement offset system (the Recordkeeping Reimbursement Offset Procedure) under the TRAK Program, and (3) the institution of an automated reallocation option (the Automatic Reallocation Option) under the TRAK Program for which Salomon Smith Barney has requested administrative exemptive relief from the Department.

The proposed exemption has been requested in an application filed on behalf of Salomon Smith Barney pursuant to section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code, and in accordance with the procedures set forth in 29 CFR Part 2570, Subpart B (55 FR 32836, August 10, 1990). Effective December 31, 1978, section 102 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (43 FR 47713, October 17, 1978) transferred the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to issue exemptions of the type requested to the Secretary of Labor. Accordingly, the proposed exemption is being issued solely by the Department.

1. The Corporate Mergers. Salomon Smith Barney states that in November 1997, a subsidiary of the Travelers Group Inc. (the Travelers Group), the parent of Smith Barney, acquired all of the shares of Salomon Brothers, Inc. (Salomon). Subsequent to the acquisition, Salomon and Smith Barney were operated as separately-registered broker-dealers and as sister corporations with a common parent. On September 1, 1998, Salomon was merged with and into Smith Barney, with Smith Barney remaining as the surviving corporation. As a result of the merger, the corporate name of Smith Barney has been changed to “Salomon Smith Barney Inc.”

Salomon Smith Barney also states that in April 1998, the Travelers Group and Citicorp Inc. (Citicorp) announced a stock merger whereby Citicorp would be merged with and into a subsidiary of the Travelers Group. As a result of the merger, the Travelers Group would become a bank holding company and change its name to “Citigroup Inc.”

Salomon Smith Barney represents that the purpose of the merger is to create more distribution channels for TRAK products. In this regard, registered broker-dealers associated with Citigroup will be permitted to market the TRAK Program under a different product name. However, Salomon Smith Barney explains that the terms and conditions of PTE 94–50 and this amendment will be complied with by the parties involved.

The merger, which occurred on October 8, 1998, required that the affected parties obtain approval from the Federal Reserve Board under the Bank Holding Company Act (the BHC Act). Under the BHC Act, the Federal Reserve Board does not authorize bank holding companies, such as Citigroup, to be affiliated with companies that organize, sponsor, control or distribute United States open-end mutual funds. As a bank holding company, Citigroup is required to engage an independent party to provide certain distribution services in connection with the marketing of mutual fund shares) for all United States, publicly-traded mutual funds for which any subsidiary of the Travelers Group/Citigroup acts as a distributor.

Salomon Smith Barney notes that although the Funds participating in the TRAK Program will be affected by this change, no Plan will be required to pay distribution fees to the independent distributors.

On October 15, 1998, Salomon Smith Barney, as merged with and into Pendex Real Estate Corp. (Pendex), a shell corporation domiciled in New York. Pendex, the survivor of the merger, was then renamed “Salomon Smith Barney Inc.” Upon completion of this merger, Salomon Smith Barney became a New York corporation.

2. Recordkeeping Reimbursement Offset Procedure. Salomon Smith Barney states that the Board of Trustees (the Board) of the Funds approved, but has not yet implemented, a recordkeeping reimbursement offset procedure under which a Plan participating in the TRAK Program would be permitted to reduce its investment fees and expenses. The reimbursement amount would be paid solely by the Funds as a means of being competitive with other mutual funds offering similar reimbursements to investors.

In May 1998, the Board approved a recordkeeping reimbursement amount of $12.50 for each investment position held by a participant. In other words, a participant holding positions in three different Funds would be eligible to receive a total annual reimbursement of $37.50. In addition, the Board resolved that after applying such reimbursement to recordkeeping expenses charged by recordkeepers of the Plans, any excess reimbursement amount would be applied to reduce other fees and expenses payable by participating Plans, including, but not limited to, the Plan-level investment advisory fee payable to the Consulting Group for asset allocation recommendations (the Outside Fee), after the appropriate offset has been applied (the Net Outside Fee).

If implemented, Salomon Smith Barney explains that the Funds would pay the appropriate reimbursement amount directly to the recordkeeper of the Plan. The affected Plan would then be required to pay only the balance of the fee, which is generally charged on a quarterly basis, after the excess reimbursement amount has been deducted.

The Recordkeeping Reimbursement Offset Procedure would work as follows: Assume that Plan A has $1 million in assets invested in the TRAK Program and 100 participants. Assume further that Plan A pays its recordkeeper $20 per participant per year in Annual Fees totaling $2,000 per year or...

*In addition to annual recordkeeping fees (the Annual Fees) payable by a Plan participating in the TRAK Program, it is represented that a Plan might be required to pay recordkeeping fees associated with certain particular services (the Other Fees) such as initial plan set-up and conversion, preparation of annual filings, enrollment, special statement preparation and audit. Salomon Smith Barney is offsetting, quarterly, against the Outside Fee, such amounts as is necessary to assure that the Consulting Group retains not more than 20 basis points (as an Inside Fee) from any Portfolio on investment assets attributable to any Plan.
$500 per quarter and $12 per participant per year in Other Fees, totaling $1,200 per year or $300 per quarter. In addition, Plan A pays the Consulting Group a total annual net investment advisory fee (i.e., the Net Outside Fee) of $8,500.

At the end of each calendar quarter, Plan A’s recordkeeper will determine the actual number of Fund positions held by the Plan A participants and calculate the resulting reimbursement amount. If Plan A had 300 participant positions at the end of the quarter, the Plan’s total recordkeeping reimbursement amount would be 300 x $3.125 (the annual amount of $12.50 divided by 4) or $937.50. That amount would be credited as follows:

**APPLICATION OF REIMBURSEMENT TO RECORDKEEPING FEES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarterly Portion of Annual Fees</th>
<th>$500.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly Portion of Other Fees</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Quarterly Recordkeeping Fee</td>
<td>800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit for Reimbursement ..........</td>
<td>(937.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Reimbursement ............</td>
<td>(137.50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because the reimbursement amount exceeds the recordkeeping fees due for the quarter, the Plan does not owe any recordkeeping fee for that period. Therefore, the recordkeeper will not bill the Plan.

**APPLICATION OF EXCESS REIMBURSEMENT TO THE NET OUTSIDE FEE**

| Quarterly Net Outside Fee ..........| $2,125.00 |
| Excess Reimbursement ............| (137.50)  |
| Total ..................................| 1,987.50  |

The recordkeeper will advise the Consulting Group that it is entitled to bill the Plan for the $1,987.50 balance of its investment advisory fee (i.e., the Net Outside Fee).

Upon participation in the TRAK Program, an Independent Plan Fiduciary selects a recordkeeper for the Plan, from a list of recordkeepers which maintain computer links to the Funds under the TRAK Program. Salomon Smith Barney states that of the 23 recordkeepers currently providing services to TRAK Program investors, only one, Smith Barney Plan Services, is an affiliate. Because the reimbursement rate and the timing of the offset of the excess reimbursement amount against fees will be the same regardless of the identity of the recordkeeper and the Independent Plan Fiduciary is responsible for the selection of this particular recordkeeper, Salomon Smith Barney believes its affiliation with Smith Barney Plan Services does not appear to represent additional potential abuses under section 406(b)(1) or 406(b)(3) of the Act in its capacity as an investment adviser in recommending investment in the Funds to Independent Plan Fiduciaries.

Salomon Smith Barney notes that the reasoning in the Frost National Bank Advisory Opinion (ERISA Advisory Opinion 97–15A, May 22, 1997) (the Frost Opinion), is relevant to this situation. Therefore, it has not requested administrative exempive relief from the Department. Salomon Smith Barney explains that in the Frost Opinion, the bank offered a comprehensive program of administrative and investment services to Plan investors. Under this program, the Department opined that section 406(b)(1) and 406(b)(3) of the Act would not be violated if the bank received payments for services from mutual funds while recommending mutual fund investments to plans provided such payments were fully disclosed and then offset to reduce other plan expenses, with any excess payments made to the plans. Salomon Smith Barney further explains that in the Frost Opinion any benefit from payments made by the mutual funds benefited the bank.

With respect to the TRAK Program, Salomon Smith Barney represents that the reimbursement rates adopted by the Funds will be fully disclosed to Independent Plan Fiduciaries and the offset of the excess reimbursement amount against a Plan’s expenses will be accomplished in a manner to ensure that the Plans obtain the full benefit of the reimbursement to reduce their recordkeeping and other Plan expenses. Salomon Smith Barney submits that the reasoning in the Frost Opinion would apply equally to the proposed reimbursement of expenses under the TRAK Program. Therefore, Salomon Smith Barney does not believe any change in the scope of the exemption is necessary.

3. The Automatic Reallocation Option. Salomon Smith Barney wishes to modify the TRAK Program to institute an automated reallocation feature whereby an Independent Plan Fiduciary could elect to have his or her current asset allocation adjusted automatically whenever the Consulting Group changes the recommended asset allocation model (the Allocation Model) followed by such Plan or participant. Therefore, Salomon Smith Barney proposes to amend General Condition II(f) of PTE 94–50 which requires that any recommendation or evaluation offered by the Consulting Group be implemented only upon the express direction of the Independent Plan Fiduciary. With the exception of the requested changes to General Condition II(f) of PTE 94–50, all of the existing conditions of PTE 94–50 will continue to apply to the TRAK Program.

As noted above, General Condition II(f) of PTE 94–50 provides that any recommendation or evaluation offered by the Consulting Group to an Independent Plan Fiduciary will be implemented only at the express direction of such fiduciary. Accordingly, under the current exemption, whenever asset allocation advice is modified by the Consulting Group, Salomon Smith Barney states that its Financial Consultants are required to contact the Independent Plan Fiduciary of each Plan who has chosen the Allocation Model, and obtain such fiduciary’s consent to modify the existing asset allocation applied to the Plan’s account. Salomon Smith Barney notes that many TRAK Program investors have expressly indicated that they expect reallocations to take place in the ordinary course of the provision of investment advisory services offered by the Consulting Group. However, these investors do not understand why they need to be contacted in each instance.

In this proposed exemption, the Department expresses no opinion on whether the Frost Opinion is applicable to the proposed reimbursement procedure described above. In this regard, the Department notes that, under the facts presented in the Frost Opinion, Frost would offset the fees received from the mutual funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis against the trustee fees that the plan was otherwise obligated to pay Frost.

Salomon Smith Barney notes that the Automatic Reallocation Option is to be distinguished from “rebalancing” which occurs after the passage of time from the original allocation decision and changes a participant’s investment mix to bring the actual allocation among investment alternatives back in line with the participant’s original allocation choices. For example, Salomon Smith Barney states that a Plan participant receives a written quarterly review that sets forth information concerning the participant’s investments and includes a chart comparing the original asset allocation recommendation and the actual percentage distribution of investments held in the portfolio. Salomon Smith Barney explains that below the chart is the following legend:

TRAK is a non-discretionary investment advisory service. All investment decisions rest with you, the participant. Therefore, you are strongly urged to adhere to the Consulting Group’s asset allocation recommendations. Please call your Financial Consultant should a change in allocation be warranted due to a significant difference between the portfolio originally recommended by the Consulting Group and your allocation or due to a change in your objectives.

Salomon Smith Barney further explains that the Financial Consultant is expected to contact participants at least annually to encourage a comparison of the holdings in the portfolio to the Consulting Group’s original recommendation. Therefore, Salomon Smith Barney proposes to amend General Condition II(f) of PTE 94–50 which requires that any recommendation or evaluation offered by the Consulting Group be implemented only upon the express direction of the Independent Plan Fiduciary. With the exception of the requested changes to General Condition II(f) of PTE 94–50, all of the existing conditions of PTE 94–50 will continue to apply to the TRAK Program.
for this purpose. In addition, Salomon Smith Barney explains that the case-by-case contact and reallocation involves delay in implementing the change at the client's express direction, putting similarly-situated investors into the new Allocation Models at different times.

To resolve these problems, Salomon Smith Barney proposes to offer TRAK Program investors an Automatic Reallocation Option. Because Salomon Smith Barney recognizes that the Automatic Reallocation Option is outside the scope of PTE 94–50, it requests a modification of the existing terms of PTE 94–50 to the extent necessary to allow it to offer this alternative to investors. If the exemptive relief is granted, Salomon Smith Barney represents that it will fully disclose the nature of the Automatic Reallocation Option to the Independent Plan Fiduciary of each existing client Plan in a written notice (the Announcement) and permit the fiduciary to elect the Automatic Reallocation Option by responding in writing. The Announcement will describe the intended operation of the Automatic Reallocation Option and how future changes to the Allocation Model selected on behalf of the Plan will be implemented. In order to implement the Automatic Reallocation Option for new TRAK Program investors, the Independent Plan Fiduciary will be required to check a box on the form of Investment Advisory contract with Salomon Smith Barney (or on a separate document designed for this purpose for those investors who have already executed such an agreement with Salomon Smith Barney). By checking the box, the Independent Plan Fiduciary will indicate its consent to and authorization of actions to be taken by Salomon Smith Barney to reallocate automatically the asset allocation in the Plan account whenever the Consulting Group modifies the particular asset allocation recommendation which the Plan or participant has chosen. Such election will continue in effect until revoked or terminated by the Plan, in writing.

In operation, Salomon Smith Barney represents that the Automatic Reallocation Option will work as follows:

(a) The Consulting Group will release a modified version of the Allocation Model for the Plan account based upon its amended recommendation.

(b) On the day such modification is released, the Consulting Group will adjust the Plan account to fit the new Allocation Model and to reflect current market conditions. Such adjustments will be effected through a series of purchases and redemptions of Portfolio shares to increase or decrease the relative investment in the various Portfolios by the Plan account.

(c) The reallocation of the Plan account will be effected on the same business day as the release of the new Allocation Model by the Consulting Group, except to the extent market conditions and orderly purchase and redemption procedures may delay such processing. For purposes of calculating the percentage of the asset allocation recommendation underlying the Automatic Reallocation Option for a Plan investor's account, the Consulting Group will use the net asset values at the close of business on the preceding trading day. However, the execution of trades to give effect to the changed percentages will occur on the next trading day at the then-current net asset values.

(d) Participants in the TRAK Program will receive trade confirmations of the reallocation transactions. In this regard, for all Plan investors other than Section 404(c) Plan accounts (i.e., 401(k) Plan accounts), Salomon Smith Barney will mail trade confirmations the next business day after the reallocation trades are executed. In the case of Section 404(c) Plan participants, notification will depend upon the notification provisions agreed to by the Plan recordkeeper. For example, if the recordkeeper notifies Section 404(c) Plan participants (i.e., Independent Plan Fiduciaries) in writing after each trade, such participants will be notified of reallocation transactions in this manner. If, however, the recordkeeper notifies Section 404(c) Plan participants of trading activity in a quarterly statement, the reallocation activity would be included there.

In addition to the trade confirmations which Salomon Smith Barney will provide to all Plan investors except Section 404(c) Plans, disclosure of the reallocation transactions will appear in the next regular client statement. Such transactions will be reflected as a series of purchase and redemption transactions that will shift assets among the Portfolios in accordance with the Allocation Model as modified by the Consulting Group.

(e) If, however, the reallocation to be made in response to the Consulting Group's recommendation exceeds an increase or decrease of more than 10 percent in the absolute percentage allocated to any one investment medium (e.g., a suggested increase in a 15 percent allocation to greater than 25 percent or a decrease of such 15 percent allocation to less than 5 percent), Salomon Smith Barney will not automatically adjust a Plan account. Under such circumstances, Salomon Smith Barney will send out a written notice (the Notice) to the Independent Plan Fiduciary for each affected Plan, describing the proposed reallocation and the date on which such allocation is to be instituted (the Effective Date). The Notice will be mailed with the presumption of delivery within three business days to permit timely notification and adequate response time for the Independent Plan Fiduciary. The Notice will instruct the fiduciary to have or she will need to do nothing if such fiduciary decides to have his or her Plan account automatically reallocated on the Effective Date. If, on the other hand, the Independent Plan Fiduciary does not wish to follow the Consulting Group's revised asset allocation recommendation, the Notice will inform a Financial Consultant, in writing, at least 30 calendar days prior to the proposed Effective Date that the fiduciary wishes to "opt out" of the new Allocation Model.

(g) If the Independent Plan Fiduciary "opts out," his or her Plan account will not be changed on the Effective Date.

Salomon Smith Barney notes that there are 12 standard Allocation Models and that two similarly-situated Plan participants who receive the same recommendations from the Consulting Group will receive the same reallocation.

Under these circumstances, Salomon Smith Barney will advise the recordkeeper of the proposed reallocation of the account. As a result, Section 404(c) Plan participants as soon as the Consulting Group has determined that a change to an asset allocation recommendation is going to be made. The communication may initially be made orally because the recordkeeper must then promptly modify its system to effect the necessary changes to a participant's account on the effective date of the new recommendation. The oral communication is customarily followed by a full written description of the changes within two business days of the oral update.

As noted above, a Section 404(c) Plan participant who has elected the Automatic Reallocation Option would receive a trade confirmation from the recordkeeper of the resulting changes to the positions in his or her account, if that is the notification procedure agreed to for the Plan. Also as noted above, transactions occurring upon automatic reallocation and the underlying recommendation changes will be disclosed in the Participant Quarterly Review.
Under such circumstances, the Allocation Model will remain at its current level or at such other level as the Independent Plan Fiduciary designates. However, the Automatic Reallocation Option, will remain in effect for future changes in such participant’s Allocation Model.

(h) The Independent Plan Fiduciary will always have the ability to elect, terminate or reinstitute the Automatic Reallocation Option or to otherwise adjust an Allocation Model, in any way, by providing reasonably prompt notice to a Financial Consultant. Upon request by the Independent Plan Fiduciary, the Financial Consultant will send the appropriate form.

Salomon Smith Barney states that it is not possible to predict the frequency of reallocations because these changes are dictated by the Consulting Group’s analysis of market conditions. However, since November 1991, Salomon Smith Barney represents that asset allocation changes of the type that would trigger automatic reallocations have been instituted by the Consulting Group on ten occasions. Eight of these changes were 15 percent changes and impacted only approximately one percent and 3 percent, respectively, of the total number of clients participating in the TRAK Program at the time.

Salomon Smith Barney also states that the reallocation called for under the Automatic Reallocation Option will be effected by a dollar-for-dollar liquidation and purchase of the required amounts in the respective Plan accounts. Because of the billing of Plan accounts participating in the TRAK Program is leveled with respect to the compensation received by Salomon Smith Barney and by the Financial Consultant involved in an account, Salomon Smith Barney states that the implementation of the Automatic Reallocation Option will be revenue-neutral. In addition, Salomon Smith Barney represents that neither the Plan nor the participants will pay any additional fees for electing to use the Automatic Reallocation Option. Thus, on the basis of the foregoing, General Condition II(f) has been revised to read as follows:

(f) Any recommendation or evaluation made by the Consulting Group to an Independent Plan Fiduciary will be implemented only at the express direction of such Independent Plan Fiduciary, provided, however, that:

(1) If such Independent Plan Fiduciary shall have elected in writing (the Election), on a form designated by Salomon Smith Barney from time to time for such purpose, to participate in the Automatic Reallocation Option under the TRAK Program, the affected Plan or participant account will be automatically reallocated whenever such new recommendation will be automatically effected by a dollar-for-dollar liquidation and purchase of the required amounts in the respective account.

(2) An Independent Plan Fiduciary will receive a trade confirmation of each reallocation transaction. In this regard, for all Plan investors other than Section 404(c) Plan accounts (i.e., 401(k) Plan accounts), Salomon Smith Barney will mail trade confirmations on the next business day after the reallocation trades are executed. In the case of Section 404(c) Plan participants, notification will depend upon the notification provisions agreed to by the Plan recordkeeper.

Notice to Interested Persons

Notice of the proposed exemption will be mailed by first class mail to the Independent Plan Fiduciary of each Plan currently participating in the TRAK Program, or, in the case of Section 404(c) Plans to the recordholder of Trust shares. Such notice will be given within 15 days of the publication of the notice of pendency in the Federal Register. The notice will contain a copy of the notice of proposed exemption as published in the Federal Register and a supplemental statement, as required pursuant to 29 CFR 2570.43(b)(2). The supplemental statement will inform interested persons of their right to comment on and/or to request a hearing with respect to the pending exemption. Written comments and hearing requests are due within 45 days of the publication of the proposed exemption in the Federal Register.

General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person from certain other provisions of the Act and the Code, including any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which require, among other things, a fiduciary to discharge his or her duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does it affect the requirements of section 401(a) of the Code that the plan operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries.

(2) The proposed exemption, if granted, will not extend to transactions prohibited under section 406(b)(3) of the
Act and section 4975(c)(1)(F) of the Code;

(3) Before an exemption can be granted under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code, the Department must find that the exemption is administratively feasible, in the interest of the plan and of its participants and beneficiaries and protective of the rights of participants and beneficiaries of the plan;

(4) This proposed exemption, if granted, will be supplemental to, and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction; and

(5) This proposed exemption, if granted, is subject to the express condition that the Summary of Facts and Representations set forth in the notice of proposed exemption relating to PTE 92-77, as amended by PTE 94-50 and this notice, accurately describe, where relevant, the material terms of the transactions to be consummated pursuant to this exemption.

Written Comments and Hearing Requests

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments or requests for a hearing on the pending exemption to the address above, within the time frame set forth above, after the publication of this proposed exemption in the Federal Register. All comments will be made a part of the record. Comments received will be available for public inspection with the referenced applications at the address set forth above.

Proposed Exemption

Based on the facts and representations set forth in the application, the Department is considering granting the requested exemption under the authority of section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and in accordance with the procedures set forth in 29 CFR Part 2570, Subpart B (55 FR 32836, August 10, 1990).

Section I. Covered Transactions

A. If the exemption is granted, the restrictions of section 406(a) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(A) through (D) of the Code, shall not apply, to the purchase or redemption of shares by an employee benefit plan, an individual retirement account (the IRA), or a retirement plan for self-employed individuals (the Keogh Plan)\textsuperscript{11} in the Trust for Consulting Group Capital Market Funds (the Trust), established by Salomon Smith Barney, in connection with such Plans’ participation in the TRAK Personalized Investment Advisory Service product (the TRAK Program).

B. If the exemption is granted, the restrictions of section 406(b) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(E) and (F) of the Code, shall not apply, to the provision, by the Consulting Group, of (1) investment advisory services or (2) an automatic reallocation option (the Automatic Reallocation Option) to an independent fiduciary of a participating plan (the Independent Plan Fiduciary), which may result in such fiduciary’s selection of a portfolio (the Portfolio) in the TRAK Program for the investment of Plan assets.

This proposed exemption is subject to the following conditions that are set forth below in Section II.

Section II. General Conditions

(a) The participation of Plans in the TRAK Program will be approved by an Independent Plan Fiduciary. For purposes of this requirement, an employee, officer or director of Salomon Smith Barney and/or its affiliates covered by an IRA not subject to Title I of the Act will be considered an Independent Plan Fiduciary with respect to such IRA.

(b) The total fees paid to the Consulting Group and its affiliates will constitute no more than reasonable compensation.

(c) No Plan will pay a fee or commission by reason of the acquisition or redemption of shares in the Trust.

(d) The terms of each purchase or redemption of Trust shares shall remain at least as favorable to an investing Plan as those obtainable in an arm’s-length transaction with an unrelated party.

(e) The Consulting Group will provide written documentation to an Independent Plan Fiduciary of its recommendations or evaluations based upon objective criteria.

(f) Any recommendation or evaluation made by the Consulting Group to an Independent Plan Fiduciary will be implemented only at the express direction of such Independent Plan Fiduciary, provided, however, that—

(1) If such Independent Plan Fiduciary shall have elected in writing (the Election), on a form designated by Salomon Smith Barney from time to time for such purpose, to participate in the Automatic Reallocation Option under the TRAK Program, the affected Plan or participant account will be automatically reallocated whenever the Consulting Group modifies the particular asset allocation recommendation which the Independent Plan Fiduciary has chosen. Such Election shall continue in effect until revoked or terminated by the Independent Plan Fiduciary in writing.

(2) Except as set forth below in paragraph II(f)(3), at the time of a change in the Consulting Group’s asset allocation recommendation, each account based upon the asset allocation model (the Allocation Model) affected by such change would be adjusted on the business day of the release of the new Allocation Model by the Consulting Group, except to the extent that market conditions, and order purchase and redemption procedures may delay such processing through a series of purchase and redemption transactions to shift assets among the affected Portfolios.

(3) If the change in the Consulting Group’s asset allocation recommendation exceeds an increase or decrease of more than 10 percent in the absolute percentage allocated to any one investment medium (e.g., a suggested increase in a 15 percent allocation to greater than 25 percent, or a decrease of such 15 percent allocation to less than 5 percent), Salomon Smith Barney will send a written notice (the Notice) to all Independent Plan Fiduciaries, whose current investment allocation would be affected, describing the proposed reallocation and the date on which such allocation is to be instituted (the Effective Date). If the Independent Plan Fiduciary notifies Salomon Smith Barney, in writing, at least 30 calendar days prior to the proposed Effective Date that such fiduciary does not wish to follow such revised asset allocation recommendation, the Allocation Model will remain at the current level, or at such other level as the Independent Plan Fiduciary then expressly designates, in writing. If the Independent Plan Fiduciary does not affirmatively “opt out” of the new Consulting Group recommendation, in writing, prior to the proposed Effective Date, such new recommendation will be automatically effected by a dollar-for-dollar liquidation and purchase of the required amounts in the respective account.

(4) An Independent Plan Fiduciary will receive a trade confirmation of each reallocation transaction. In this regard, for all Plan investors other than Section

\textsuperscript{11} The employee benefit plan, the IRA and the Keogh Plan are collectively referred to herein as the Plans.
and expenses to be paid by the Plan, including the
Department expects the Independent Plan
provisions of section 404 of the Act. In this regard,
arrangements are the subject of an administrative
affiliation existing between the
achieve these objectives, the corporate
Trust discussing the investment
Barney and its subsidiaries and the
contains investments attributable to the
Investments Portfolio and the GIC Fund
exception of the Government Money
necessary to assure that the Consulting
advisory services rendered to such Plan
fees that is paid by a Plan to the
securities that are issued by Salomon
independent of Salomon Smith Barney and its affiliates.
investment discretion over a Portfolio
that acts for the Trust to exercise
(1) A copy of the Prospectus for the
(2) If accepted as an investor in the
(3) With respect to a Section 404(c)
(1) The Trust's semi-annual and
(2) A written quarterly monitoring
(3) If required by the arrangement
(4) On a quarterly and annual basis,
(5) The quarterly investment advisory
k) With respect to its ongoing participation in
h) Any sub-adviser (the Sub-Adviser)
2 The fact that certain transactions and fee
arrangements are the subject of an administrative exemption does not relieve the Independent Plan Fiduciary from the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act. In this regard, the Department expects the Independent Plan Fiduciary to consider carefully the totality of fees and expenses to be paid by the Plan, including the fees paid directly to Salomon Smith Barney or to other third parties and/or indirectly through the Trust to Smith Barney.

B) Upon written or oral request to
Salomon Smith Barney, a Statement of
Additional Information supplementing the
Prospectus which describes the
types of securities and other
instruments in which the Portfolios may
invest, the investment policies and
strategies that the Portfolios may utilize and
certain risks attendant to those
investments, policies and strategies.
(C) A copy of the investment advisory
agreement between the Consulting
Group and such Plan relating to
participation in the TRAK Program and,
if applicable, informing Plan investors
of the Automatic Reallocation Option.
(D) Upon written request of Salomon
Smith Barney, a copy of the respective
investment advisory agreement between
the Consulting Group and the Sub-
Advisers.
(E) In the case of a Section 404(c)
Plan, if required by the arrangement
negotiated between the Consulting
Group and the Plan, an explanation by
Salomon Smith Barney Financial
Consultant (the Financial Consultant) to
eligible participants in such Plan of the
services offered under the TRAK
Program and the operation and
objectives of the Portfolios.
(F) A copy of PTE 94-50 as well as the
proposed exemption and the final
exemption pertaining to the
exemptive relief described herein.

2 The fact that certain transactions and fee
arrangements are the subject of an administrative exemption does not relieve the Independent Plan Fiduciary from the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act. In this regard, the Department expects the Independent Plan Fiduciary to consider carefully the totality of fees and expenses to be paid by the Plan, including the fees paid directly to Salomon Smith Barney or to other third parties and/or indirectly through the Trust to Smith Barney.

(1) The Trust's semi-annual and
annual report which will include
financial statement for the Trust and
investment management fees paid by
each Portfolio.
(2) A written quarterly monitoring
statement containing an analysis and
an evaluation of a Plan's participant's account to ascertain whether the Plan's investment objectives have been met and recommending, if required, changes in Portfolio allocations.
(3) If required by the arrangement
negotiated between the Consulting
Group and a Section 404(c) Plan, a
quarterly, detailed investment
performance monitoring report, in
writing, provided to an Independent
Plan Fiduciary of such Plan showing,
level asset allocations, Plan cash
flow analysis and annualized risk
adjusted rates of return for Plan
investments. In addition, if required by
such arrangement, Financial
Consultants will meet periodically with
Independent Plan Fiduciaries of Section
404(c) Plans to discuss the report as
well as with eligible participants to
review their accounts' performance.
(4) If required by the arrangement
negotiated between the Consulting
Group and a Section 404(c) Plan, a
quarterly participant performance
monitoring report provided to a Plan
participant which accompanies the
participant's benefit statement and
describes the investment performance of
the Portfolios, the investment
performance of the participant's
individual investment in the TRAK
Program, and gives market commentary
and toll-free numbers that will enable
the participant to obtain more
information about the TRAK Program or
to amend his or her investment
allocations.
(5) On a quarterly and annual basis,
written disclosures to all Plans of the (a)
percentage of each Portfolio's brokerage
commissions that are paid to Salomon
Smith Barney and its affiliates and (b)
the average brokerage commission per share paid by each Portfolio to Salomon Smith Barney and its affiliates, as compared to the average brokerage commission per share paid by the Trust to brokers other than Salomon Smith Barney and its affiliates, both expressed as cents per share.

(m) Salomon Smith Barney shall maintain, for a period of six years, the records necessary to enable the persons described in paragraph (n) of this Section to determine whether the conditions of this exemption have been met, except that (1) a prohibited transaction will not be considered to have occurred if, due to circumstances beyond the control of Salomon Smith Barney and/or its affiliates, the records are lost or destroyed prior to the end of the six year period, and (2) no party in interest other than Salomon Smith Barney shall be subject to the civil penalty that may be assessed under section 502(l) of the Act, or to the taxes imposed by section 4975(a) and (b) of the Code, if the records are not maintained, or are not available for examination as required by paragraph (n) below.

(n)(1) Except as provided in section (2) of this paragraph and notwithstanding any provisions of subsections (a)(2) and (b) of section 504 of the Act, the records referred to in paragraph (m) of this Section II shall be unconditionally available at their customary location during normal business hours by:
(A) Any duly authorized employee or representative of the Department or the Service;
(B) Any fiduciary of a participating Plan or any duly authorized representative of such fiduciary;
(C) Any contributing employer to any participating Plan or any duly authorized employee representative of such employer; and
(D) Any participant or beneficiary of any participating Plan, or any duly authorized representative of such participant or beneficiary.

(2) None of the persons described above in subparagraphs (B)--(D) of this paragraph (n) shall be authorized to examine the trade secrets of Salomon Smith Barney or commercial or financial information which is privileged or confidential.

Section III. Definitions

For purposes of this proposed exemption:
(a) The term "Salomon Smith Barney" means Salomon Smith Barney Inc. and any affiliate of Salomon Smith Barney, as defined in paragraph (b) of this Section III.

(b) An "affiliate" of Salomon Smith Barney includes—
(1) Any person directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controlling, controlled by, or under common control with Salomon Smith Barney. (For purposes of this subsection, the term "control" means the power to exercise a controlling influence over the management or policies of a person other than an individual.)
(2) Any officer, director or partner in such person, and
(3) Any corporation or partnership of which such person is an officer, director or a 5 percent partner or owner.
(c) An "Independent Plan Fiduciary" is a Plan fiduciary which is independent of Salomon Smith Barney and its affiliates and is either—
(1) A Plan administrator, sponsor, trustee or named fiduciary, as the recordholder of Trust shares under a Section 404(c) Plan;
(2) A participant in a Keogh Plan;
(3) An individual covered under a self-directed IRA which invests in Trust shares;
(4) A trustee, investment manager or named fiduciary responsible for investment decisions in the case of a Title I Plan that does not permit individual direction as contemplated by Section 404(c) of the Act; or
(5) A participant in a Plan, such as a Section 404(c) Plan, who is permitted under the terms of such Plan to direct, and who elects to direct the investment of assets of his or her account in such Plan.

Section IV. Effective Dates

If granted, this proposed exemption will be effective as of June 21, 1994 with respect to the transactions described in Section I.A. and B.(1). With respect to Section I.B.(2) and Section II(f)(1)--(4) of the General Conditions, this proposed exemption will be effective November 9, 1998.

The availability of this proposed exemption is subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in the application for exemption are true and complete and accurately describe all material terms of the transactions. In the case of continuing transactions, if any of the material facts or representations described in the applications change, the exemption will cease to apply as of the date of such change. In the event of any such change, an application for a new exemption must be made to the Department.

For a more complete statement of the facts and representations supporting the Department’s decision to grant PTEs 92-77 and PTE 94-50, refer to the proposed exemptions and the grant notices which are cited above.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 4th day of November, 1998.

Ivan L. Strasfeld,
Director of Exemption Determinations,
Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration,
U.S. Department of Labor.
[FR Doc. 98–29964 Filed 11–6–98; 8:45 am]
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AGENCY: Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Grant of Individual Exemptions.

SUMMARY: This document contains exemptions issued by the Department of Labor (the Department) from certain of the prohibited transaction restrictions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act) and/or the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code).

Notices were published in the Federal Register of the pendency before the Department of proposals to grant such exemptions. The notices set forth a summary of facts and representations contained in each application for exemption and referred interested persons to the respective applications for a complete statement of the facts and representations. The applications have been available for public inspection at the Department in Washington, D.C. The notices also invited interested persons to submit comments on the requested exemptions to the Department. In addition the notices stated that any interested person might submit a written request that a public hearing be held (where appropriate). The applicants have represented that they have complied with the requirements of the notification to interested persons. No public comments and no requests for a hearing, unless otherwise stated, were received by the Department.

The notices of proposed exemption were issued and the exemptions are being granted solely by the Department because, effective December 31, 1978, section 102 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (43 FR 47713, October 17, 1978) transferred the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to issue...