computer models? Would it be possible to develop a model that satisfies all of the specified criteria? Which criteria would pose difficulties to developers and why?

3. If there are any currently available computer model investment advice programs meeting the criteria described in Question 1 that may be utilized for providing investment advice to IRA beneficiaries, please provide a complete description of such programs and the extent to which they are available to IRA beneficiaries.

4. With respect to any programs described in response to Question 3, do any of such programs permit the IRA beneficiary to invest IRA assets in virtually any investment? If not, what are the difficulties, if any, in creating such a model?

5. If computer model investment advice programs are not currently available to IRA beneficiaries that permit the investment of IRA assets in virtually any investment, are there computer model investment advice programs currently available to IRA beneficiaries that, by design or operation, limit the investments modeled by the computer program to a subset of the investment universe? If so, who is responsible for the development of such investment limitations and how are the limitations developed? Is there any flexibility on the part of an IRA beneficiary to modify the computer model to take into account his or her preferences? Are such computer model investment advice programs available to the beneficiaries of IRAs that are not maintained by the persons offering such programs?

6. If you offer a computer model investment advice program based on nonproprietary investment products, do you make the program available to investment accounts maintained by you on behalf of IRA beneficiaries?

7. What are the investment options considered by computer investment advice programs? What information on such options is needed? How is the information obtained and made part of the programs? Is the information publicly available or available to IRA beneficiaries?

8. How should the Department or a third party evaluate a computer model investment advice program to determine whether a program satisfies the criteria described in Question 1 or any other similar criteria established to evaluate such programs?

9. How do computer model investment advice programs present advice to IRA beneficiaries? How do such programs allow beneficiaries to refine, amend or override provided advice?

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of November 2006.

Bradford P. Campbell,
Acting Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration, Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. E6–20401 Filed 12–1–06; 8:45 am]
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Prohibited Transaction Exemption for Provision of Investment Advice to Participants in Individual Account Plans

AGENCY: Employee Benefits Security Administration, Department of Labor.
ACTION: Request for information.

SUMMARY: Section 601 of the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (the PPA) (Pub. L. 109–280) amended section 408 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and section 4975 of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) to add a prohibited transaction exemption for the provision of investment advice to participants and beneficiaries of individual account plans that permit the direction of assets in their accounts, and for certain related transactions, if the investment advice is provided under an “eligible investment advice arrangement,” as defined in the statute. The purpose of this notice is to request information from the public relating to the requirements in the new provisions that a computer model which serves as the basis for an eligible investment advice arrangement be certified as meeting specific criteria, and that information regarding certain fees and compensation be provided to participants and beneficiaries.

DATES: Written or electronic responses should be submitted to the Department of Labor on or before January 30, 2007.

Responses: To facilitate the receipt of responses from interested persons, the Department of Labor is establishing a website, www.regulations.gov, where interested persons can register and submit their comments electronically. Persons submitting comments electronically are encouraged not to submit paper copies. Persons interested in submitting written responses on paper should send or deliver their responses (preferably, at least three copies) to the Office of Regulations and Interpretations, Employee Benefits Security Administration, Room N–5669, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katherine D. Lewis or Ruel B. Pile, Office of Regulations and Interpretations, Employee Benefits Security Administration, Room N–5669, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

In General

The prohibited transaction provisions in section 406 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) prohibit various types of transactions between a plan and persons who are parties in interest (as defined in ERISA section 3(14)) with respect to the plan, and also prohibit, among other things, a plan fiduciary (as defined in ERISA section 3(21)(A)) from dealing with assets of the plan in his own interest or for his own account, or receiving any consideration for his own personal account from any party dealing with the plan in connection with a transaction involving the assets of the plan.1

Section 601(a) of the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (PPA) (Pub. L. 109–280) amended ERISA by adding new sections 408(b)(14) and 408(g). Section 408(b)(14) of ERISA provides conditional exemptive relief from ERISA section 406 for certain transactions in connection with the provision of investment advice (as described in ERISA section 3(21)(A)(ii)) if the requirements of new section 408(g) of ERISA are met. Under section 408(g), subsection (b)(14) applies if the investment advice provided by a “fiduciary adviser” is provided under an “eligible investment advice arrangement.” 2

1 The Internal Revenue Code (Code) contains similar prohibited transaction provisions in section 4975(c).

2 Section 601(b) of the PPA similarly amended section 4975 of the Code by adding new section 4975(d)(17) and (f)(6), to provide conditional exemptive relief.
fiduciary advisers, as defined in section 408(g)(11)(A), include, but are not limited to, investment advisers registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, certain banks and similar financial institutions, insurance companies qualified to do business under the laws of a State, and brokers or dealers registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The term “eligible investment advice arrangement” is defined in ERISA section 408(g)(2) to mean an arrangement which either (i) provides that any fees (including any commission or other compensation) received by the fiduciary adviser for investment advice or with respect to the sale, holding, or acquisition of any security or other property for purposes of investment of plan assets do not vary depending on the basis of any investment option selected, or (ii) uses a computer model under an investment advice program meeting the requirements of section 408(g)(3) in connection with the provision of investment advice by a fiduciary adviser to a participant or beneficiary, and with respect to which the requirements in section 408(g)(4) through (9)—which includes a requirement pertaining to the disclosure of certain fees—are satisfied.

Computer Model

In order for an investment advice program using a computer model to meet the requirements of section 408(g)(3), the program must satisfy subparagraphs (B), (C) and (D) thereof. Section 408(g)(3)(B) requires, in particular, that the investment advice provided under the investment advice program must be provided pursuant to a computer model that:

(i) Applies generally accepted investment theories that take into account the historic returns of different asset classes over defined periods of time.

(ii) utilizes relevant information about the participant, which may include age, life expectancy, retirement age, risk tolerance, other assets or sources of income, and preferences as to certain types of investments.

(iii) utilizes prescribed objective criteria to provide asset allocation portfolios comprised of investment options available under the plan.

(iv) operates in a manner that is not biased in favor of investments offered by the fiduciary adviser or a person with a material affiliation or contractual relationship with the fiduciary adviser, and

(v) takes into account all investment options under the plan in specifying how a participant’s account balance should be invested and is not inappropriately weighted with respect to any investment option.

Under section 408(g)(3)(C), an “eligible investment expert” must certify, prior to the utilization of the computer model and in accordance with rules prescribed by the Secretary of Labor (Secretary), that the computer model meets the requirements described in section 408(b)(3)(B). Additionally, if, as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, there are material modifications to the computer model, a certification must be obtained with respect to the computer model as modified. In relevant part, section 408(g)(3)(C) defines “eligible investment expert” to mean any person which meets such requirements as the Secretary may provide, and does not bear any material affiliation or contractual relationship with certain persons.

Disclosure of Fee-Related Information

Regardless of whether an arrangement provides for non-varying fees (section 408(g)(2)(A)(i)) or uses a computer model under an investment advice program (section 408(g)(2)(A)(ii)), the arrangement also must satisfy section 408(g)(4) through (9) in order to qualify as an “eligible investment advice arrangement.” In particular, section 408(g)(6) requires that a fiduciary adviser provide to participants and beneficiaries written notification of “all fees or other compensation relating to the advice that the fiduciary adviser or any affiliate thereof is to receive (including compensation provided by any third party) in connection with the provision of the advice or in connection with the sale, acquisition, or holding of the security or other property.” ERISA section 408(g)(6)(A)(iii). Section 408(g)(6)(A) requires that this notification be written in a clear and conspicuous manner and in a manner calculated to be understood by the average plan participant and be sufficiently accurate and comprehensive to reasonably apprise participants and beneficiaries of the information required to be provided in the notification. For the disclosure of fees and compensation described in section 408(g)(6)(A)(iii), section 408(g)(8)(B) directs the Secretary to issue a model form which meets the section 408(g)(8)(A) standards.

B. Issues Under Consideration

The ERISA section 408(g)(3)(C) computer model certification requirements provide for regulatory guidance in three areas. First, section 408(g)(3)(C)(i) requires that an “eligible investment expert” must certify, in accordance with rules prescribed by the Secretary, that a computer model meets the criteria set forth in section 408(g)(3)(B). Second, under section 408(g)(3)(C)(ii), the Secretary may prescribe regulations which provide guidance regarding “material modifications” to a computer model that also require certification. Third, under section 408(g)(3)(C)(iii), the Secretary may establish requirements that a person must satisfy in order to qualify as an “eligible investment expert.” The Department is interested in comments that would assist in the development of regulatory guidance and in the assessment of economic costs and benefits in these three areas.

Additionally, ERISA section 408(g)(8)(B) directs the Secretary to issue a model form for the disclosure of fees and other compensation required by section 408(g)(6)(A)(iii) that meets the standards for presentation of information prescribed in section 408(g)(8)(A). The Department is interested in comments that would assist in the development of a model form for this purpose and in the assessment of the economic costs and benefits of a model form for this purpose.

Commenters may provide information with respect to either or both sets of issues. A list of some of the issues with respect to which comments are requested is included below. Other information pertinent to the Department’s consideration of the issues described above is also invited.

Request for Information

Computer Model Certification

1. What procedures and information would be necessary and adequate to determine whether a computer model used in connection with an investment advice program satisfies the criteria described in ERISA section 408(g)(3)(B)? For example, would it be necessary to examine underlying computer programs/algorithms, computer software/hardware, or input data
including investment-specific information; would it be possible to make a determination based on the results of applying the investment advice program to a sample set of the input data? (Commenters are requested to explain by reference to each of the five computer model characteristics described in section 408(g)(3)(B), summarized above.)

2. What types (e.g., technological, financial, other) and levels (e.g., educational, professional experience, professional certification) of expertise would be required to determine whether a computer model used in connection with an investment advice program satisfies the criteria described in ERISA section 408(g)(3)(B)? (Commenters are requested to explain by reference to each of the five computer model characteristics described in section 408(g)(3)(B), summarized above.)

3. With respect to currently-available computer models or programs for providing investment advice to plan participants or beneficiaries in the form of asset allocation portfolios comprised of plan investment options:
   a. What is the process for designing, developing and implementing the computer model/program? What parties are involved, and what are their roles? What hardware and software technologies are used to construct computer model investment advice programs? What direct economic costs are associated with the process for designing, developing and implementing the computer model/program?
   b. What types of modifications are made to the computer model/program after use has begun? Why and how often are the modifications made (e.g., changes in methodology, technology, economy, marketplace, or plan), and how do the modifications affect the investment advice provided? What parties are involved in the modification process, and what are their roles? What direct economic costs may be associated with the modifications?
   c. What economic costs and benefits are associated with the use of the computer model/program for providing investment advice, including changes in investment performance and in retirement wealth due to the provision of such advice? What are the indirect costs and benefits, such as impact on markets for financial services, including investment advice services, and impact on financial markets, including demand for and pricing of securities?

4. Would the responses to 3.a., 3.b., or 3.c. differ in the case of a computer model/investment advice program intended to satisfy the requirements of ERISA section 408(g)(3)(B)?

5. With respect to the Department’s development of regulatory guidance, what special considerations, if any, should be made for small businesses or other small entities? Are there unique costs and benefits for small businesses or other small entities?

Model Form for Disclosure of Fees and Other Compensation

1. In general, what types of information relating to fees received by fiduciary advisers and their affiliates would be helpful to participants and beneficiaries in making their investment decisions?

2. What types of fees and compensation (including those provided by third parties) would be encompassed by ERISA section 408(g)(6)(A)(i)(ii)? In relevant part, this provision refers to “all fees or other compensation relating to the advice that the fiduciary adviser or any affiliate thereof is to receive (including compensation provided by any third party) in connection with the provision of the advice or in connection with the sale, acquisition, or holding of the security or other property.”

3. What challenges might be encountered in assembling and/or presenting the information on fees and compensation described in section 408(g)(6)(A)(i)(ii) in a manner that is clear and understandable by the average plan participant? Are there any suggestions as to how these challenges can be addressed by the Department?

4. Is there a form or format for presenting information on fees and compensation described in section 408(g)(6)(A)(i)(ii) (e.g., narrative, chart, combination of both) that might be particularly suitable in giving participants a clear and understandable description of the fees and compensation received by a fiduciary adviser or its affiliates? Is there an optimal time frame, relative to when the advice is provided, for providing this information to participants and beneficiaries? What impact, if any, will the receipt of a model form have on investment decisions made by participants and beneficiaries?

5. Persons that may qualify as “fiduciary advisers” are invited to provide forms that they currently use, or might use, to provide the kinds of fee and compensation information described above. As described in ERISA section 408(g)(8)(A), “fiduciary advisers” may include investment advisers registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, certain banks and similar financial institutions, insurance companies qualified to do business under the laws of a State, and brokers or dealers registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Commenters are reminded that submissions are made solely for the purpose of assisting the Department. Accordingly, no inferences should be drawn as to whether the forms submitted meet the standards for presentation described in ERISA section 408(g)(8)(A).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of November, 2006.

Bradford P. Campbell,
Acting Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration, Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. E6–20402 Filed 12–1–06; 8:45 am]
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NSF International; Expansion of Recognition

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the Occupational Safety and Health Administration’s final decision expanding the recognition of NSF International (NSF) as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory under 29 CFR 1910.7.

DATES: The expansion of recognition becomes effective on December 4, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: MaryAnn Garrahan, Director, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, NRTL Program, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N–3655, Washington, DC 20210, or phone (202) 693–2110.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Notice of Final Decision

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) hereby gives notice of the expansion of recognition of NSF International (NSF) as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL). NSF’s expansion covers the use of additional test standards. OSHA’s current scope of recognition for NSF may be found in the following informational Web page: http://www.osha.gov/dts/otpca/nrtl/nsf.html.