

ALLEN K. REED)	
)	
Claimant-Petitioner)	
)	
v.)	
)	
FALCON DRILLING COMPANY,)	DATE ISSUED: 03/23/2006
INCORPORATED)	
)	
and)	
)	
THE OFFSHORE DRILLING COMPANY)	
)	
Employer/Carrier-)	ORDER on MOTION
Respondents)	for RECONSIDERATION

Claimant, who is not represented by counsel, has filed a timely motion for reconsideration of the Board's decision in this case, *Reed. v. Falcon Drilling Co., Inc.*, BRB No. 05-444 (Dec. 22, 2005). 33 U.S.C. §921(b)(5); 20 C.F.R. §802.407. Claimant asks the Board to reconsider its decision based on documents he has attached to his motion for reconsideration allegedly establishing that employer did not pay him the proper amount of benefits under the Jones Act. The Board may not accept new evidence, and, in any event, the Board is not authorized to determine whether claimant has been properly compensated under the Jones Act. 33 U.S.C. §921; *Williams v. Hunt Shipyards, Geosource, Inc.*, 17 BRBS 32 (1985); *Hansley v. Bethlehem Steel Corp.*, 9 BRBS 498.2 (1978); 20 C.F.R. §§801.102, 802.301. Claimant has not established any error in the Board's decision affirming the administrative law judge's finding that claimant is excluded from coverage under the Longshore Act because he was a member of a crew of the FALRIG 77. 33 U.S.C. §902(3)(G). Therefore, we deny the motion for reconsideration.

Accordingly, claimant's motion for reconsideration is DENIED, and the Board's decision is affirmed. 33 U.S.C. §921(b)(5); 20 C.F.R. §§801.301(b), (c), 802.409

SO ORDERED.

ROY P. SMITH
Administrative Appeals Judge

REGINA C. McGRANERY
Administrative Appeals Judge

JUDITH S. BOGGS
Administrative Appeals Judge