BRB No. 12-0557 BLA

| CLARICE JUNE COOK |) |
|--|---------------------------|
| (Widow of ALFRED M. COOK) |) |
| Claimant-Respondent |) |
| v. |) DATE ISSUED: 04/11/2013 |
| PEABODY COAL COMPANY |) |
| and |) |
| PEABODY ENERGY CORPORATION |) |
| Employer/Carrier- Petitioners |))) |
| DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROGRAMS, UNITED |))) |
| STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR |) |
| Party-in-Interest |) DECISION and ORDER |

Appeal of the Decision and Order of Administrative Law Judge Adele H. Odegard, United States Department of Labor.

Paul E. Frampton (Bowles, Rice, McDavid, Graff & Love LLP), Charleston, West Virginia, for employer/carrier.

Richard A. Seid (M. Patricia Smith, Solicitor of Labor; Rae Ellen James, Associate Solicitor; Michael J. Rutledge, Counsel for Administrative Litigation and Legal Advice), Washington, D.C., for the Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, United States Department of Labor.

Before: DOLDER, Chief Administrative Appeals Judge, SMITH and BOGGS, Administrative Appeals Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Employer/carrier (employer) appeals the Decision and Order (2012-BLA-5206) of Administrative Law Judge Adele H. Odegard granting a motion for summary decision filed by the Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (the Director), and awarding benefits on a survivor's claim¹ filed pursuant to the provisions of the Black Lung Benefits Act, as amended, 30 U.S.C. §§901-944 (Supp. 2011) (the Act). The administrative law judge found that claimant filed her claim after January 1, 2005, that she is an eligible survivor of the miner, that her claim was pending after March 23, 2010, and that the miner was determined to be eligible to receive benefits at the time of his death. Accordingly, the administrative law judge awarded benefits pursuant to amended Section 932(*l*) of the Act, 30 U.S.C. §932(*l*).²

On appeal, employer challenges the constitutionality of amended Section 932(l), and its application to this claim. Claimant has not filed a response brief. The Director responds, urging affirmance of the administrative law judge's award of benefits.

The Board's scope of review is defined by statute. The administrative law judge's Decision and Order must be affirmed if it is rational, supported by substantial evidence, and in accordance with applicable law.³ 33 U.S.C. §921(b)(3), as incorporated by 30 U.S.C. §932(a); O'Keeffe v. Smith, Hinchman & Grylls Associates, Inc., 380 U.S. 359 (1965).

Employer argues that retroactive application of amended Section 932(l) is unconstitutional, as a violation of employer's due process rights and as an unlawful taking of employer's property, in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the United States

¹ Claimant is the widow of the miner, who died on August 1, 2011. Director's Exhibit 7. At the time of his death, the miner was receiving federal black lung benefits pursuant to an award on his lifetime claim. Director's Exhibit 1. Claimant filed her survivor's claim on September 16, 2011. Director's Exhibit 3.

² Congress enacted amendments to the Black Lung Benefits Act, which apply to claims filed after January 1, 2005, that were pending on or after March 23, 2010. Relevant to this case, Congress revived Section 932(*l*) of the Act, which provides that a survivor of a miner who was determined to be eligible to receive benefits at the time of his or her death is automatically entitled to receive survivor's benefits without having to establish that the miner's death was due to pneumoconiosis. 30 U.S.C. §932(*l*), *amended by* Pub. L. No. 111-148, §1556(b), 124 Stat. 119, 260 (2010).

³ The record reflects that the miner's coal mine employment was in West Virginia. Director's Exhibit 1. Accordingly, this case arises within the jurisdiction of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. *See Shupe v. Director, OWCP*, 12 BLR 1-200 (1989) (en banc).

Constitution. Employer also contends that the operative date for determining eligibility under amended Section 932(*l*) is the date the miner's claim was filed, not the date the survivor's claim was filed. Additionally, employer argues that conflicting language contained in other sections of the Act requires a survivor to establish that the miner's death was due to pneumoconiosis, negating the automatic entitlement provision of amended Section 932(*l*). Employer's Brief at 3-12. The United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit has rejected all of the same arguments. *W. Va. CWP Fund v. Stacy*, 671 F.3d 378, 383-89, 25 BLR 2-65, 2-74-85 (4th Cir. 2011), *cert. denied*, 568 U.S. (2012); *see also B&G Constr. Co. v. Director, OWCP* [Campbell], 662 F.3d 233, 254-63, 25 BLR 2-13, 2-44-61 (3d Cir. 2011). For the reasons set forth in *Stacy*, we reject employer's arguments.

In this case, it is uncontested that claimant satisfied her burden to establish her entitlement under amended Section 932(l). Therefore, we affirm the administrative law judge's determination that claimant is entitled to receive benefits pursuant to amended Section 932(l) of the Act. 30 U.S.C. $\S 932(l)$.

Accordingly the administrative law judge's Decision and Order awarding benefits is affirmed.

SO ORDERED.

NANCY S. DOLDER, Chief
Administrative Appeals Judge

ROY P. SMITH
Administrative Appeals Judge

JUDITH S. BOGGS Administrative Appeals Judge