

**United States Department of Labor
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board**

M.A., Appellant

and

U.S. GOVERNMENT, Employer

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

**Docket No. 07-1935
Issued: May 12, 2014**

Appearances:
Timothy Quinn, Esq., for the appellant
Office of Solicitor, for the Director

Case Submitted on the Record

ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEE

Before:
DAVID S. GERSON, Member¹
ALEC J. KOROMILAS, Alternate Judge
MCHAEL E. GROOM, Alternate Judge

Counsel for appellant has filed a request for approval of attorney's fee in the amount of four hundred and ninety-five dollars (\$495.00). He filed the request under the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs' *Rules of Procedure*, pursuant to 20 C.F.R. § 10.703.

The Board notes that all petitions for approval of fees for representative services are considered under the Board's *Rules of Procedure* and are found at 20 C.F.R. § 501.9.²

Under these regulations, the Board must consider the petition under the following general criteria:

- (1) The usefulness of the Representative's services;³

¹ David S. Gerson participated in the original decision but was no longer a member of the Board effective July 2, 2010 and did not participate in the preparation of this order.

² 5 U.S.C. § 8127; 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e).

³ The Board's consideration of "usefulness" includes, but is not limited to, the frequency and quality of communication by the attorney with the client, the factual evidence and legal argument offered by the attorney and written pleadings filed in the case. The Board will also consider the usefulness of an attorney's work as it aided the Board in its consideration and decision of the issue appealed.

- (2) The nature and complexity of the appeal;⁴
- (3) The capacity in which the Representative has appeared;⁵
- (4) The actual time spent in connection with the Board appeal;⁶ and
- (5) Customary local charges for similar services.⁷

As required by the Board's regulations, appellant has been afforded written notice of the fee requested and provided an opportunity to comment on the fee petition. No response was received.

The Board, having considered the fee petition and supporting documentation, grants the fee petition.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the fee petition is granted in the sum of four hundred and ninety-five dollars (\$495.00).⁸

Issued: May 12, 2014
Washington, DC

Alec J. Koromilas, Alternate Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Michael E. Groom, Alternate Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

⁴ The Board's evaluation of the "nature and complexity" of an appeal includes, but is not limited to, whether the issue appealed is novel or required extensive or unusual factual evidence or legal argument. The Board recognizes that not all complex issues are cases of first impression. However, the attorney must establish the complex or unusual nature of the appeal.

⁵ The Board's consideration of the "capacity" in which an attorney appears includes, but is not limited to, whether the attorney obtained a written retainer and fee agreement.

⁶ The Board's evaluation of an attorney's itemized statement of work and charges includes, but is not limited to, whether the statement is clear, detailed and describes those aspects of the appeal which merit the fee claimed and whether counsel has personally affirmed the correctness of the fee. No stipulated or contingent fee will be approved by the Board. 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e).

⁷ The Board's consideration of customary, local fees recognizes that attorneys often have clients in several states and that local custom must be balanced against national practice in the Federal Employees' Compensation Act appeals.

⁸ Effective June 28, 2012, Alec J. Koromilas was appointed Alternate Judge.