

- (3) The capacity in which the Representative has appeared;³
- (4) The actual time spent in connection with the Board appeal;⁴ and
- (5) Customary local charges for similar services.⁵

As required by the Board's regulations, appellant has been afforded written notice of the fee requested and provided an opportunity to comment on the fee petition.⁶

The Board, having considered the fee petition and supporting documentation, denies the fee petition. The Board finds the petition provides insufficient information to allow approval of any portion of the amount claimed. The Board notes the following defects:⁷

- (1) The fee petition does not adequately delineate the services performed relative to the appeal(s) before the Board and for what purposes. Discrete, listed services billed separately were not adequately described. The Board requires more detail and explanation of individual items for which counsel requests a fee to determine the usefulness of an attorney's representation.
- (2) The fee petition more generally fails to explain in detail how the claimed fee is justified under the five factors listed in this order.

³ The Board's consideration of the "capacity" in which an attorney appears includes, but is not limited to, whether the attorney obtained a written retainer and fee agreement.

⁴ The Board's evaluation of an attorney's itemized statement of work and charges includes, but is not limited to, whether the statement is clear, detailed and describes those aspects of the appeal which merit the fee claimed and whether counsel has personally affirmed the correctness of the fee. No stipulated or contingent fee will be approved by the Board. 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e).

⁵ The Board's consideration of customary, local fees recognizes that attorneys often have clients in several states and that local custom must be balanced against national practice in the Federal Employees' Compensation Act appeals.

⁶ 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e).

⁷ This list is intended to assist counsel but does not limit the Board's discretion to evaluate any future fee petition on its own merits.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the fee petition is denied and may be resubmitted to the Board within 60 days of the date of this order.

Issued: September 18, 2014
Washington, DC

Colleen Duffy Kiko, Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Michael E. Groom, Alternate Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

James A. Haynes, Alternate Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board