
right?
A. Yes.
Q. So I'm just going to run over the ground rules again just so it's clear what we're doing here, but we'll shorten it up a bit since you have heard it before.

MS. CONNELL: Can I put something on the record at the beginning? Do you mind?

MR. MILLER: I suppose not. What do you have to put on the record?

MS. CONNELL: I just wanted to put on the record and confirm that Ms. Waggoner is here to testify today in her personal capacity, not as any 30(b)(6) witness and so under Rule -- Federal Rule of Procedure $30(d)$, OFCCP is entitled to one day of deposition with her for up to seven hours.

As we discussed beforehand, we still have compensation-related data and documents to produce in this case, and we've suggested that you may wish to depose her once our production is complete, but notwithstanding that meet and confer, OFCCP still chose to depose her today.

So we do want to confirm that, from our perspective, today is the one day of deposition for her in her personal capacity.

We've also met and conferred related -regarding 30 (b)(6) topics. As OFCCP knows, Ms. Waggoner has already been deposed over the course of two days in the Jewett matter on compensation-related matters there. We have asked that OFCCP consider those topics because we have produced the unredacted deposition transcripts of her testimony in that case on the condition that OFCCP consider that when issuing its own 30(b)(6) topics related to compensation here. Our understanding thus far is OFCCP has been unwilling to do that.

We have also requested of OFCCP to accommodate Ms. Waggoner, depose her tomorrow on any 30(b)(6) topics related to compensation, although OFCCP declines that request.

So with all of this in mind, I just want to make clear that we reserve our right to raise these issues with regard to the ongoing meet and confer over the $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ topics.

MR. MILLER: That's fine. I -- we agree that she's here in her individual capacity today. You know, as you say, there's a dispute between the parties as to whether or not we would get additional time with her as more documents are produced or whatever, but that's fine, it's on the record.

With the $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$, you know, we were unable
to accommodate the second day tomorrow, but I assume, you know, that process appears to be ongoing, so we'll see what we can do then.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay, so, you know, you're under oath, you're going to -- l'm going to ask you questions. You will need to answer them for me unless your attorney explicitly instructs you not to answer them based on privilege. If I ask an unclear question, please ask me to clarify. It'll probably happen at sometime where I ask you an unclear question. If you don't ask me to clarify and you answer the question, what the transcript is going to read is that you personally understood what I said and answered it, and I'm always happy to clarify.

We should be careful not to talk over one another. It's a little bit easier with a video because we can sort of follow the conversation, but with a written transcript, it's kind of a mess if we interrupt each other. So I will do my best not to talk over you. You try to do your best to not talk over me.

I am available to take a break if you need one with the one exception, if a question is outstanding, I do need that answered before you take a break. Do you understand all that?
A. Yes.
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Q. Ms. Waggoner, can you tell me what your current job is at Oracle?
A. My current job is the senior director of global compensation.
Q. And how long have you been in that position?
A. Since January of 2018.
Q. And what was the position you held before that?
A. Director of global compensation.
Q. How long did you hold that position?
A. Oh, a year and a half, two years, maybe. I don't recall the exact dates. It's just a progression.
Q. Did you first get that position in November of 2014?
A. I think I was probably a senior manager around that time.
Q. Okay. So for about a year and a half before you were senior director, you were just director of global compensation, and then before that, you were senior manager --
A. Yes.
Q. -- of compensation?
A. Of compensation.
Q. And when did you first become senior
manager of compensation?
A. I think that was November of 2014. Prior to that, I was a consultant, not in management, but also in the comp field.
Q. All right, in your current position, what are your job duties?
A. I'm in charge of the team that does various things. I work on the -- we do the administration of all of our annual compensation program, so annual merit increases, equity grants and corporate bonus plans. We administer that and work with our Oracle software to make that happen globally.

My team also is responsible for any M and $A$ compensation activity, so integrating employees into our job codes and our pay plans and those -- anything related to that.

We also are responsible for the global job tables, salary survey, international salary survey submission. I do executive comp work and things for our compensation committee of the board of directors. It's a wide variety of responsibilities globally.
Q. Do you play any role with respect to initial compensation for employees at Oracle?
A. I do not.
Q. Who does?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, calls for speculation.
A. There is a -- there is a team of people that are considered comp consultants that could be consulted by the business and are available to consult with the business.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And those comp consultants would be people on your team?
A. No.
Q. There is a separate team?
A. Separate team.
Q. Who runs that team?
A. Her name is Chris Edwards.
Q. Do you know what her position is?
A. She is a senior director of U.S.
compensation.
Q. Is there anything else you do as part of your job duties?
A. Not that I can think of.
Q. Who do you report to in your present position?
A. His name is Phil Genish.
Q. And what's Phil's title?
A. VP work force intelligence and compensation.
Q. And does Chris Edwards also report to Phil?
A. Yes.
Q. And before you were senior director for global compensation, when you were just director for global compensation, what were your job duties?
A. Fairly similar. It hasn't changed a whole lot. There just have been progressively more things added into those types of responsibilities, and the role has just grown a little bit as far as what -- in general, it has remained fairly the same. My responsibility is to ensure global consistency in how we run programs.
Q. Okay. Can you tell me what duties were added between going from director to senior director?
A. There weren't really any. It was just a step progression. I have been doing the same type of thing for quite a few years.
Q. And as senior manager of compensation, what were your job duties?
A. So when I was a senior manager, the -- the team was not split the way it was, and so I had some client groups that were -- where I was -- I was part of a team and managed a team that did some of the consulting work as well and worked directly with the business. And then there was another person who did
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consulting work, and both of us split when it came to the compensation programs, like focal bonus, equity, we would split those, so we each had a little bit of the program administration and then a little bit of the consultative responsibility.
Q. And when you say "split," you would have both had responsibilities for the focal review, for instance?
A. Well, so we each -- we split as far as client groups. So somebody took -- we split it by leaders, so --
Q. Okay.
A. -- so one of -- one of us in our teams
would support certain leaders as their consultant, and then the other would support the other leaders as their consultant, but then we each held responsibility for the administration of a program.
Q. Okay. Who was the other senior manager?
A. Her name was Lisa Gordon.
Q. And when you were senior manager of compensation, what leaders were you responsible for?
A. Oh, gosh, I had -- in the -- I've been with Oracle for a long time, so l've supported many of them. I have supported the sales leaders. I've supported some product development leaders. I've supported consulting
leaders, marketing leaders, the support leaders, IT leaders. I've done -- I've been around a long time, so I've done a little bit of --
Q. How long have you worked at Oracle?
A. I was a part of the J.D. Edwards acquisition, so that was in 2005, J.D. Edwards acquisition by PeopleSoft, which was then acquired by Oracle in 2005.
Q. So coming back to your job duties as senior director and I guess also business director, when you say that you are in charge of administering all annual compensation programs, what do you mean?
A. That means that my team works to -- we define and establish and communicate the eligibility rules for those programs. We make sure that our Oracle system, called work force compensation, is set up and prepared to accept input from the leaders in the business for recommendations for their -- their increases or rewards. And we are the point of contact for -- we develop the training for the managers and the HR business partners to be able to conduct those and work within those systems. And we establish the timeline, and we -- we then seek the appropriate approvals and then process it and post it all to their records and audit it and make sure that everything was
submitted in the system appropriately.
And it's just sort of a from start to finish making sure the program goes smoothly across the globe.
Q. When you say "audit," what do you mean?
A. That means we make sure that what was submitted and approved in the plan for that particular -- actually got posted and sticks to an employee's record within our HR system.
Q. So I guess what I'm curious about is when you say what was in a plan, are there plans for individual employees?
A. There are people who are eligible for each plan, so we would have like a merit increase. We call it a focal, so we have a focal plan. If the focal plan is a non-sales focal plan, we might have 70 thousand people globally eligible for a non-sales focal plan, so it's that plan.

When we close it, we post it to employee records, and we make sure that what was in there -- we run a report from the HR system after the fact to make sure that what was in there stuck to the employee record.
Q. Okay, so the way -- so how does this focal plan look? I mean, if you're running it against what
actually happened, does it show like amounts to be given to individual groups or individual people?
A. It's at an individual level.
Q. Okay.
A. Individual employees are -- like I said, there might be 70 thousand employees eligible in a plan, and it is each individual employee.
Q. Okay, so what you are actually checking is to make sure that people who are eligible for that plan got paid under that plan?
A. Not necessarily.
Q. Okay. What do you -- what are they -- I guess I'm still a little confused about what you're comparing between the plan and then what happened.
A. If an increase was put in the plan and received approval for an individual employee, did that increase get posted to the employee's record.
Q. Now, I understand. So after the approval process has happened and the decision has been made, then it's applied to the employee, you're just making sure the employee actually gets that increase?
A. Yes, that it's recorded to their record.
Q. Okay. All right, so you told me that you dealt with programs, setting them up to receive input from managers related to the annual compensation
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program, you told me you develop training for the managers and HR business partners, you told me you set the time lines, you told me you audited submissions.

Is there anything else that you do with
respect to your responsibilities to the annual compensation programs?
A. Not that -- at the end, I seek the CEO sign off on this was our budget, this was what we spent, are you okay with us posting.
Q. When you seek that CEO sign off, does it ever get rejected?
A. Not if they stay within budget.
Q. In the time you've been responsible for the annual programs, has it happened that the CEO has refused to approve the plan?
A. We've always stayed within budget, so, no.
Q. One of the other job duties you told me you had was that you worked with software in relationship to these annual programs, and I think you mentioned that with your annual program responsibilities.

Apart from setting up the internal Oracle software to receive input, are there other things that you do with the software program?
A. No.
Q. And so what does setting them up entail?
A. So this is someone on my team who does it. I don't personally do it. She works with internal IT to set up the script for eligibility, and then they run the program, and the plan populates according to the script.

Then there are audits that take place to make sure that the script worked as we intended and that people who we expected to be eligible are showing as eligible and the people who we expect not to be eligible are not eligible.
Q. Which programs do you set up as part of your annual compensation program responsibilities?
A. The -- any base salary increase programs, the annual equity grant and a corporate bonus program.
Q. Do you do other things with internal Oracle compensation databases besides just setting them up for the annual program?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) In preparing for this deposition today, did you review internal Oracle databases?
A. No.
Q. What did you do to prepare -- and before you answer, I don't want to know about conversations you may have had with counsel. I'm just asking generally
how you prepared for this deposition.
A. We just met yesterday for a few hours.
Q. Did you review any documents?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you review documents that have been produced in this matter?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you review any electronic databases?
A. No.
Q. You also told me that you have responsibility for mergers and acquisition activities, and I believe what you said was involving bringing employees from the acquired company into the Oracle compensation system; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that entail?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague as to time.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you need a more
specific time? Has it changed?
A. Yes.
Q. Since you've been senior director, what does the mergers and acquisition part of your job entail?
A. So I have a person on my team who is the main point of contact. She is the global compensation
> lead for mergers and acquisitions. It entails reviewing -- reviewing information on the targeted company's employees, the duties of those employees and providing guidance to HR and the business as far as into which Oracle job family they would probably fall, providing guidance in terms of what to do with their compensation package when they come on board and providing guidance in terms of any plan, any compensation type plans that they have at their company and how that might -- how they might be integrated into what we offer at Oracle.
> Q. Is there anything else that this global comp team does for mergers and acquisitions since you have been the director?

> MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
> A. I can't think of anything else.
> Q. (By Mr. Miller) And how -- how was this process different when you were just director of global compensation?
> A. I wouldn't say that those general steps are different.
> Q. Okay, and how is it different when you were senior manager?
> A. Probably not very different from then
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either.
Q. So earlier I asked you how it changed -- if it had changed over time, and you said yes. Can you tell me what way this part of your job changed over time?
A. The part that has changed the most in the time that I have been in the capacity of supporting $M$ and As is in how we kind of evaluate the compensation packages, because we have learned a lot from the hundreds of acquisitions that Oracle has done over the years. The approach that we have taken has sort of evolved.
Q. And that's with respect to looking at the compensation the individual received from the business before it was acquired?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her testimony.
A. It is in evaluating everything about how they did things at the company -- company wide to how we do things at Oracle.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So how has that process evolved?
A. We -- Oracle used to take a little more of a firm stance and say we bring people over laterally, nothing changes with their comp, and we take, you know,

| 1 | six to 12 months to really evaluate what those employees | 1 | Q. And you've mentioned a couple of times now |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | do and they're eligible for our next global program, and | 2 | that you're trying to match these employees to the |
| 3 | any compensation-related changes would be made after | 3 | correct job family. What is a job family? |
| 4 | time to evaluate the role in this -- the role of the | 4 | A. It is a series of job codes and titles |
| 5 | person. | 5 | where the very general duties of what that role does |
| 6 | Now, there is a little bit more care taken | 6 | match, but it's multiple levels. So we have six |
| 7 | because we spend so much money on the acquisitions | 7 | individual contributor levels and six or seven manager |
| 8 | themselves, and we need to be mindful of making sure the | 8 | levels within a family. |
| 9 | employees want to stay and work for Oracle. So | 9 | Q. So to take an example and say prior |
| 10 | sometimes there are adjustments made to ensure that they | 10 | development, a job family might be software developer? |
| 11 | are satisfied and don't leave as soon as we buy them. | 11 | A. Correct. |
| 12 | Q. When you say Oracle used to have this | 12 | Q. And then if -- these career levels you were |
| 13 | policy to wait six to 12 months to do adjustments, can | 13 | talking about, it would be like a software developer |
| 14 | you give me a time frame when that policy was operative? | 14 | two, that would then represent that they were in the IC2 |
| 15 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her | 15 | level in that job family? |
| 16 | testimony. | 16 | A. Correct. |
| 17 | A. We've never had a policy. It was more | 17 | Q. So once you've determined which job |
| 18 | common practice that we would bring them on board to | 18 | families that match, what do you do with that |
| 19 | evaluate and get a real grip on what it is they do and | 19 | information? |
| 20 | make our decision -- and make a more informed decision. | 20 | A. The -- like I said, our role is to provide |
| 21 | I don't know exactly when -- exactly how | 21 | the guidance to say this is where we believe this person |
| 22 | long we've been -- I don't know exactly how long we've | 22 | falls within -- as regarding the general duties that |
| 23 | been now being more mindful at the front end. | 23 | we've been told they perform. And we just document that |
| 24 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Did a change happen after | 24 | our recommendation would be the developer family, and |
| 25 | 2015? | 25 | then that goes to HR and the line of business leader, |
|  | 21 |  | 23 |
| 1 | A. It's 2019 now. I really don't know. I | 1 | and they make -- they end up doing the final kind of |
| 2 | really don't know. | 2 | mapping to say, okay, we know this person, and we can |
| 3 | Q. You told me that one of the tasks that your | 3 | assess that they perform at this level or whatever. |
| 4 | team does when you are looking at mergers and | 4 | Q. How does that process relate to the |
| 5 | acquisitions, bringing in people, is to review the job | 5 | guidance that you provide for compensation when you're |
| 6 | duties of the employee from the acquired company; is | 6 | on boarding people? |
| 7 | that right? | 7 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, assumes |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | facts. |
| 9 | Q. How do you review those job duties? | 9 | A. Repeat the question. |
| 10 | A. They -- the target company provides to us | 10 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Sure. Maybe I can make it |
| 11 | job title information. They provide survey match | 11 | clearer. You told me earlier that one of the jobs from |
| 12 | information. They sometimes provide brief summaries of | 12 | the M and A part of your job is to provide guidance |
| 13 | what people do. And we look at that and are able to | 13 | regarding compensation when on boarding employees. Is |
| 14 | make a general assessment as to which family they will | 14 | that -- no, you didn't? Okay. Well, why don't you tell |
| 15 | likely fall into at Oracle. | 15 | me then if you provide -- |
| 16 | Q. When you say survey information, are you | 16 | A. When on boarding from an M and A ? |
| 17 | talking about the salary surveys that are done, for | 17 | Q. Yes. |
| 18 | example, by companies like Radford? | 18 | A. Oh, okay. So, similarly, the person on my |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | team would evaluate the kind of where the -- where the |
| 20 | Q. And you said they sometimes give brief | 20 | current compensation falls within our broad ranges for |
| 21 | summaries, so sometimes they don't give you brief | 21 | those jobs, and they might say -- and also evaluate for |
| 22 | summaries of the job duties? | 22 | internal equity, and they might say, you know, this |
| 23 | A. Sometimes we don't receive that. If we get | 23 | person's salary fits nicely within our range, fits |
| 24 | a Radford match, it essentially gives us a good idea of | 24 | nicely within the future internal peer group, no change |
| 25 | what they do. | 25 | recommended. |
|  | 22 |  | 24 |

Or they might say it doesn't fit so nicely, we recommend an increase, but, again, it is -- it is guidance. It's not final say.
Q. The final say would go to HR and the line of business head?
A. HR and the line of business head generally make that decision of whether they're going to do anything with the comp package.
Q. Does HR have equal decision making authority with the line of business head?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation and vague.
A. I don't have any idea.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) The next thing I think you told me you did as part of your job duties is both senior director and director of global compensation was to develop training for managers and HR business partners. What's that entail?
A. That is just about taking screenshots and providing step-by-step instruction on how to use our system when we're open for focal or equity or corporate bonus plan.
Q. Do you also provide policies that Oracle has about compensation in this training?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts.
A. We don't really have policies, but as part of that training, we don't give guidelines either. It is strictly about the system.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So this has come up a couple of times where you are drawing a distinction between policies and guidelines. Can you help me understand what you mean by the distinction between those two things?
A. To me a policy is kind of a rule, and if you don't follow the policy, there could be consequences.

With guidelines, they're more a general recommendation of best practices, but ultimately, the business leader can choose to do something outside of guidelines should he or she choose to do so.
Q. And in the context of the question I just asked, I think what you said is we don't have policies. I mean, to your knowledge, are there any policies at Oracle about compensation?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Given your position, would there be policies that you would be unaware of? Would somebody else have a compensation policy?

MS. CONNELL: Calls for speculation.
A. I don't think so, but I don't -- I don't know.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you develop trainings that do cover your guidelines?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that -- well, let me back up a moment. Is that training just part of your regular duties, developing that training?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. No, the -- no, not regular duties.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) How often do you develop training regarding the guidelines for compensation?
A. In my entire time at Oracle, we've -- I mean, I've been part of training around guidelines and helping with decision making probably twice.
Q. Do you present training on these guidelines?
A. Not recently.
Q. When was the last time you presented training on guidelines?
A. 2013 maybe, 2014 for an in person.
Q. When you were involved in developing training on these guidelines, were you just part of a team, or did you have leadership over that development?

## 27

A. I had leadership over the development.
Q. What did you do to develop training on the guidelines?
A. I worked with global peers to -- to come up with the topics that we would need to cover, how we would break them up and then the materials that would be part of each of those segments of training.
Q. And were you drawing on materials from previous iterations of the training?
A. I don't remember. I think we had -- we did have other -- it was -- around the world different groups had perhaps things that they had used before that we liked and incorporated. But I wouldn't say previous versions of training necessarily.
Q. Okay. Another job duty you told me you had was setting the timeline for these annual compensation programs. What does that entail?
A. That entails factoring in when we could make the system available, any system upgrades or down time that we need to consider, considering when we could get budget approved, considering what the effective date needs to be and how much time we need to process and ensure accuracy of the posting, so many factors at play.
Q. So I believe I asked you who do you currently report to, and you said Phil Genish; is that
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you report to Phil Genish when you were director of compensation?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you report to Phil Genish when you were senior management of compensation?
A. For part of the time. That was when I had a change in manager.
Q. Who was your manager for the other part of the time?
A. Sue Charle was her name.
Q. And who does Phil Genish report to?
A. Joyce Westerdahl.
Q. So we briefly talked about some of the arrangement of jobs at Oracle. Do you know what your current global career level is?
A. M5.
Q. Did that change when you went from director to senior director?
A. Yes. Director is M4.
Q. And when you were a senior manager, do you remember what your global career was?
A. M3.
Q. And we've been using your job title as
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senior manager, director and senior director of global compensation except, I'm sorry, was senior manager -it's senior manager of compensation, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Global compensation was not part of your title at that time?
A. Correct.
Q. Are these titles, senior manager of compensation, director of global compensation, senior director of global compensation, are those job titles that are official within the system, or are they discretionary titles?
A. They are discretionary titles.
Q. Do you know what your system title is?
A. Senior director, HR.
Q. When you were director of global compensation, would it have been director of HR?
A. Yes.
Q. And then when you were senior manager of compensation?
A. Senior manager HR.
Q. So your promotions from senior manager to director to senior director, did those all come with pay increases?
A. I think so.
Q. So the job family that you are in now would be director of human resources; is that the job family?
A. The family would be considered HR management.
Q. Okay. So job families are not always some subset of the system title?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. No, I think that is. It's the HR management track, so it's --
Q. (By Mr. Miller) I mean, the reason I asked it that way, you told me your system title was senior director of HR?
A. Yes.
Q. Which doesn't have HR management as part of the title?
A. Also senior director indicates management, and so manager, senior manager, director, senior director VP, that's management of HR, so it's just HR management would be the umbrella.
Q. Okay. Do you know which job function you're a part of?
A. HR.
Q. So l've just run through all these factors that describe you as an employee. How were you aware of your global career level?
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MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. Probably because I run the system. I've been around a while. I just know.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And would that be true for why you know what your job function and your family and your system job title are?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. I guess.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) I'm just trying to figure out how you came by this information about your position within the organization, I mean, these technical identifiers.
A. Yeah. Well, one, because I run the system, but it also the -- no, that's not -- for the average employee, they could certainly ask and --
Q. Sorry, finish your --
A. And we have an internal system that where we can look up an internal employee. It says what their title is on it, so you could look yourself up and see what your official title is.
Q. So does the average employee have to ask somebody to do that, or do they have access to the system?
A. They can see it.
Q. They can see it? So as you may know, this
case, the case for which we're conducting this deposition, is about a specific facility -- a specific Oracle facility.

My understanding is that Oracle has separate compensation systems for a headquarters region in California and then the rest of California; is that right?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts.
A. I don't know what you mean by "compensation systems."
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Yeah, maybe I'm being a
little imprecise. Is pay different for the headquarters organization within Oracle than, say, like a non-headquarters location?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and ambiguous, assumes facts. Compound and calls for a narrative.
A. The -- we have a set of ranges for headquarters versus outside of headquarters.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. When you say "ranges," are you talking about salary ranges?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there anything else that's different in the compensation system between headquarters and non-headquarters?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and ambiguous, calls for a narrative, assumes facts. Calls for speculation.
A. Not that I can think of.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And then the pay ranges that are for headquarters, do you know what that encompasses?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. What do you mean?
Q. (By Mr. Miller) I just mean physically,
how are you -- how do you demarcate between headquarters or non-headquarters?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. It's based on zip codes in the headquarters area.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. Do you know what the headquarters area is?
A. In general, it's 94 zip codes and 950s and 951s, I think.

MS. CONNELL: Jeremiah, when you are at a breaking point, can we take our first morning break?

MR. MILLER: Yeah, we can go off the record
right now for a short break.
MS. CONNELL: Thank you.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:03.

Going off the record.
(Recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:16 a.m. Back on the record.
A. So could I just clarify, please, when we were talking about policies before? I did think of one policy that I'm aware exists from October of 2017 --
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay.
A. -- that was rolled out by the U.S. compensation team. I didn't have anything to do with the development or the roll out of it, and that's why it was not fresh in my head, but that policy relates to asking candidates about prior salary or using that as a consideration in determining pay for a job at Oracle. So that is a policy that forbids managers from doing that.

So, like I said, that's not part of my role, and I wasn't part of it, so it wasn't fresh in my head that we have that one.
Q. Thanks for the clarification.
A. Yes.
Q. So just to help me understand how the U.S. compensation team fits together with your team, does the U.S. compensation team report to global compensation?
A. No.
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Q. So are you then in parallel with the U.S. compensation team?
A. They are -- I would say they are my client group. My job is to help them help the business to run programs and just global consistency with how --
Q. And related to achieving global consistency, you know, you said that you have been involved in creating some trainings for guidelines but years ago at this point; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you review other trainings about these guidelines that come out?
A. No.
Q. Who prepares guideline trainings related to compensation?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. That's not an ongoing thing.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. I have a couple of clarifying questions to ask, too. One of them is when we were talking about job families, I wanted to figure out whether or not it's always the case that managers are in a different job family than individual contributors?
A. Not always the case.
Q. And the specific question I had in mind is, say, in software development again, could you have a manager that was in the software developer family?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, incomplete hypothetical.
A. Yes.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Is there any way that -well, let me try something -- let me ask this question a little differently.

When we were talking about the job family you were in, you said you were in HR management, correct?
A. Uh-hum.
Q. So are there other HR employees who are not managers?
A. Yes.
Q. So, for example, one of your subordinate compensation consultants, what job family would they be in?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, incomplete hypothetical, calls for speculation.
A. My subordinates are in a compensation consultant family.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So in the specific example of the job in which you work, there is a separate job
A. Yes.
Q. And then also you said that -- earlier that
the employees can look up information about their system identification on their own; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And then the system identification is a little awkward, but what I meant is the things we have been discussing, you know, job function, job family, global career level, things like that.
A. Okay.
Q. Can a, you know, front-line sort of IC2
employee look up all of that information? Can they see job function?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. I'm not sure.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Are you aware of any limitations on what a front-line employee could determine about their job using internal software?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation and vague.
A. I'm not sure exactly what is in when they log in to view their own record.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And is this internal
software called Aria?
A. That's one place where they can look.
Q. Where are other places they can look? MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. Another place would be HCM in our human capital management.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) What else is in Aria? MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, calls for speculation.
A. Physical addresses, phone numbers, org charts.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Would those all be available to, say, an individual contributor?
A. Yeah.

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And what's in HCM? MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation and vague.
A. Could you be more specific?
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Sure. Well, first, why don't you tell me what HCM stands for.
A. Human capital management.
Q. You said that, my apology.
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A. Yeah.
Q. What kind of information is maintained in human capital management about employees?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and calls for speculation.
A. Off the top of my head, I know it contains mailing address, job code, salary, supervisor, employment history.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you know of anything else that's in there?

MS. CONNELL: Same objection.
A. I can't think of -- I'm sure there is, but I can't think of specifics.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Does either HCM or Aria contain budget information?

MS. CONNELL: Objection. Calls for speculation and vague.
A. No.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And when you were senior manager, we talked a bit about how you divided up the various groups that you worked with between you and Lisa Gordon. Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. And I think you told me that you had
responsibilities at one point or another for all kinds
of elements within Oracle. I think you mentioned sales, product development, marketing support and IT; is that right?
A. I believe I also mentioned consulting.
Q. Thank you. Was there ever a point when you were senior manager of compensation that you and Lisa Gordon had divided the areas of responsibility between sales and non-sales?
A. Not that I can think of. I'm not sure, though.
Q. So you said a couple of times that your job is to ensure global consistency compensation. When you say consistency, what -- what is it that you are seeking to make sure is consistent?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her testimony.
A. I believe what I was talking about, consistency, I was speaking more to consistency in how we administer our programs, how we administer focal, how we administer equity and bonus and consistency in how we use our global job architecture and how we would match a certain job to a specific level in a survey, just consistency in that way.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And thus far when we have talked about it, we have talked about doing
recommendation to various elements inside Oracle; is that right?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. What do you mean by "elements"?
Q. (By Mr. Miller) I think you told me that you provided recommendations to HR business partners and line of business heads about pay, for instance, for people brought in under the M and A program.

MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her testimony.
A. There was -- I don't believe I talked about providing recommendations. Gave guidance to -- to HR and/or the business to say this is what I find.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay.
A. But not really specific recommendations.
Q. Okay. So that's on the front as you are, say, on boarding this mergers and acquisition at this point?
A. It's way earlier than that. It's well before the on boarding. It's early in the stage of reviewing the employees.
Q. Okay. Do you do anything to ensure consistency once the pay decision has been made?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. I don't personally.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Does your group? MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and calls for speculation.
A. I'm not sure exactly what she would get involved in at the end after the business has made their recommendations.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) But nothing comes to you where you then see whether or not it's consistent in terms of, as you mentioned, trying to find the right job family and that kind of thing?
A. No.
Q. Do you receive training for your job? MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. What kind of -- what do you mean by that?
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, I guess I just mean that over the course of your job, let's say -- let's just stick with the director of global compensation, senior director for global compensation for the moment.

Does Oracle provide you with training on how to do your job, do they offer annual refresher courses, do they help you prepare for and do your job?
A. Uh-hum.

MS. CONNELL: Same objection.
A. There are mandatory trainings that I must
take regarding non-discrimination, insider trading,
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harassment. There are various trainings that we are required to take, yes.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And insider trading, you
mean things related to the Securities and Exchange
Commission rules, right?
A. Yes.
Q. What kind of non-discrimination training do you get?
A. It is -- it is a video that we are required to watch that teaches us about how to behave in a non-discriminatory way and what our obligation is as managers to ensure that discrimination doesn't happen.
Q. Do you get training on ensuring non-discrimination in pay?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. I have been -- that is -- part of the
compensation training and guidelines that we have speak
specifically to not discriminating in making decisions
about pay.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) But do you receive training like that?
A. I built the training, and that's what we publish and make available to all of our managers.
Q. And, you know, I'm going to have more questions for you about the guidelines when we get to

| 1 | them but, for this piece, how do you determine what | 1 | they were made available to managers online as on-demand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | should be in the training with respect to | 2 | trainings, and we did a big announcement to HR to make |
| 3 | non-discrimination in pay? | 3 | them aware that they were available for them to help |
| 4 | MS. CONNELL: Just caution the witness not | 4 | support their clients. |
| 5 | to disclose the contents of any attorney-client | 5 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Has it changed since 2011? |
| 6 | privileged discussion she may have had. | 6 | A. Not -- not really, no. |
| 7 | A. I'm not really sure what -- what you're | 7 | Q. And I realize that was a little |
| 8 | asking. | 8 | non-specific question. Let me ask it first this way. |
| 9 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) What I'm asking is if you | 9 | Has the training -- or sorry, the |
| 10 | aren't getting training on how to do this as part of | 10 | guidelines, have they changed since 2011? |
| 11 | your job, how to avoid discrimination in pay, how do you | 11 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. |
| 12 | determine what goes into guidelines that you're sharing | 12 | A. What part of the guidelines, what are we |
| 13 | with management with respect to ensuring | 13 | talking about? |
| 14 | non-discrimination in pay? | 14 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, you say there's nine |
| 15 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her | 15 | modules that go into this series, correct? |
| 16 | testimony and assumes facts. | 16 | A. Uh-hum. |
| 17 | A. The training -- the training that I was | 17 | Q. And these were the modules that were |
| 18 | part of building was a globally collaborative effort, | 18 | developed in 2011 on the bottom of these slides, |
| 19 | and there are many professionals as all over the globe | 19 | correct? |
| 20 | who had input on that and multiple years of experience | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | and knowing. | 21 | Q. Are the guidelines different today than |
| 22 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. So l'd like to ask | 22 | they were in 2011? |
| 23 | you some questions about how these guidelines work. So | 23 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. |
| 24 | I'd like to show you an exhibit l'm going to ask the | 24 | A. I haven't reviewed every one of these |
| 25 | court reporter to mark as Exhibit 1. | 25 | recently, but when we created them, we intentionally |
|  | 45 |  | 47 |
| 1 | (Exhibit 1 marked for identification.) | 1 | created them to be very compensation 101, just general |
| 2 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Take a moment just to flip | 2 | practitioner, the right ways to do things, and so I |
| 3 | through it. | 3 | would be surprised if much has changed. |
| 4 | A. Okay. | 4 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So just a moment ago you |
| 5 | Q. Do you recognize this document? | 5 | told me how in 2011, they were created and posted and |
| 6 | A. I do. | 6 | you told HR about them and made them widely available so |
| 7 | Q. What is it? | 7 | they could use them in doing their work on compensation; |
| 8 | A. It is the -- the -- one of the nine modules | 8 | is that right? |
| 9 | of our global training. | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. If I can direct your attention to the | 10 | Q. Is that process for making the guidelines |
| 11 | second page, you'll notice at the bottom it's got a sort | 11 | available still the process used now? |
| 12 | of long number? | 12 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and calls |
| 13 | A. Uh-hum. | 13 | for speculation. |
| 14 | Q. There's a dash two at the bottom of the | 14 | A. So because technology has evolved a lot, |
| 15 | second page to help you follow along. | 15 | our company has evolved a lot, we have, I would say, |
| 16 | A. Okay. | 16 | spruced up and now have actually released today like |
| 17 | Q. So this is one of the modules, and what's | 17 | general comp 101 little video snippets that essentially |
| 18 | underlined here is salary ranges. Is that what this | 18 | cover much of the same topics. |
| 19 | module is? | 19 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So perhaps the format has |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | changed? |
| 21 | Q. So how are these guidelines implemented at | 21 | A. Yes, yes, they no longer have to just look |
| 22 | Oracle? | 22 | at a PowerPoint slide. |
| 23 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and calls | 23 | Q. I'm drawing your attention to page three, |
| 24 | for speculation. Assumes facts. | 24 | which is the next page, so I will just represent to you |
| 25 | A. Back in 2011, we created and posted and | 25 | that this is the comment that was associated with this |
|  | 46 |  | 48 |

slide.
A. Uh-hum.
Q. Looking at this comment, there's two paragraphs basically, and the second paragraph, there is a disclaimer about this simply being an overview and then asks, I guess, whoever the audience is for this, to work with their local compensation team representative and HR manager on employee compensation issues. Do you see that section?
A. Uh-hum. Yes.
Q. How does the manager responsible for compensation work with the HR manager and compensation team representative?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts and calls for speculation.
A. What do you mean?
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, so what this -- what that note seems to be supposing is that you will have a compensation issue arise for an employee of some kind and the focal reviews come up and they are due for an increase or there is some off-cycle increase or something.

What is the manager responsible for making that pay decision supposed to do in involving HR in the compensation?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts and calls for speculation.
A. I mean, this is simply telling them that if you have a question about a specific compensation related topic or someone on your team, give your HR manager a call.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Would they call the comp team directly, or would that be something the HR manager would facilitate?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation and incomplete hypothetical.
A. I -- I don't know that it is consistent all the time. I don't -- I'm not sure.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So there isn't a defined process for how they would interact with HR and the compensation team to resolve compensation issues?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. No.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay, I'm going to ask you to look at another exhibit. The court reporter will mark this as Exhibit 2.
(Exhibit 2 marked for identification.)
MR. MILLER: There's going to be a lot of paper in front of you by the end of the day.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) If you will take just a moment to look at that for me.

MS. CONNELL: I'm just going to say for the record that -- I can't tell if these have been designated as confidential, but I do see actual ranges in here. So to the extent these exhibits have been marked confidential when they were produced, we are -our position is they maintain their confidential designation. I just can't tell.

MR. MILLER: Sure.
A. I'm not sure these would have been.

MR. MILLER: The xerox thing in the middle?
MS. CONNELL: Well, it has way more than --
MR. MILLER: Just to make it clear, let's correct that exhibit.

MS. CONNELL: Just to make it even clearer, I'm going to designate both of these as confidential.
(Off the record discussion.)
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Can you confirm for me that your exhibit goes to dash 16 on the last page?
A. Yeah. Yeah.

MS. CONNELL: Thank you.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So do you need a moment to look at this again?
A. No.
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Q. Do you recognize this document?
A. I do.
Q. What is it?
A. It is our roll-out of global equity guidelines to global compensation and global recruiting.
Q. Okay. And do you see on the first page
here, the one that's got a one on it, that it's got a date of September 2016 and then your name on it?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you present this training?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you develop this training?
A. I did.
Q. So we talked a little bit about how
trainings are developed. Can you tell me how this training was developed?
A. So I wouldn't necessarily call this training. This was the first time that we ever created guidelines on the amount of equity to give by location, by career level. And globally we had been asked to give some parameters for what a reasonable grant would be to somebody in India versus somebody in the U.K. versus somebody in the United States.

So in 2016, I did the market research to figure out which countries would get bucketed into
specific zones based on their equity practices and created these ranges to give our global compensation team and our global recruiting team an idea of what the local markets were like in terms of equity practices.

So this was more of just a roll-out of saying we now have these, and this is how you would use them.
Q. And when you say "ranges," you're talking about the tables that appear on the pages that are dash 11 and dash 12?
A. Yes.
Q. In preparing these guidelines, did you take into account making them fair and equitable with respect to race or gender?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
A. By the nature of using market data, market data does not indicate race or gender at all.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So what you determined were ranges based on location primarily?
A. And whether they are technical or non-technical and whether they're an IC4 or a M6.
Q. All right. Drawing your attention to the page that's marked with a dash 4 at the bottom, this is again the comment to slide three. There are just two
sentences in this comment?
A. Uh-hum.
Q. One suggests that you should discuss the history of prior attempts to create guidelines and the reasons they weren't rolled out, and then the second sentence asks for the comp committee -- asks to point out the comp committee fully embrace this initiative. Do you see this?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the prior attempt at guidelines?
A. Equity practices are very different around the world, so providing these generalizations and saying we're having global guidelines can be a bit of a challenge.

Equity is used more heavily in the United States at Oracle than it is in other countries. There are particular groups within Oracle who use it more heavily than others.

There's a -- so our fear in prior years was if we rolled out guidelines, simply because we put a range for an IC2, someone may draw the conclusion that they need to offer equity to an IC2, but they otherwise never would have thought of it.

And so this isn't -- the practices are so different among lines of business and across the world
that we weren't sure that we could really even create guidelines that we could say were global.

We eventually agreed that by calling them guidelines, there was an understanding that some would get lower than minimum, some would get higher than maximum, and that's okay. This is just a representation of what the market would suggest is appropriate.

MS. CONNELL: For the record, I want to designate the testimony about this Exhibit 2 as confidential.

MR. MILLER: Let's go off the record just for a moment.
(Off the record discussion.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:50 a.m., going off the record.
(Off the record discussion.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:50 a.m.
Back on the record.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And then for the second section on this page that's got a dash 4 at the bottom, the second comment says that the -- there's a comp committee meeting where the initiative was fully embraced by the, I guess, comp committee. What is the comp committee?
A. They are the independent directors, the
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independent members of the board of directors who are part of the compensation committee for Oracle.
Q. What does the compensation committee do?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation and vague.
A. High-level oversight of our compensation practices, specifically when it comes to our highest level executives.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) What other kinds of things go to the compensation committee?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and calls for speculation.
A. Any requests for changes of -- for equity grants over a certain threshold, changes to executive vice president level and above, their compensation packages, the request for equity budgets for our annual grants and for off-cycle equity budgets. Those are a few of the things.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do they play a role in salary, setting budgets for salary?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation and vague.
A. No.
Q. (By MR. MILLER) Do they play a role in setting bonuses?

| 1 | MS. CONNELL: Calls for speculation and | 1 | A. Yes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | vague. | 2 | Q. Did you have a hand in creating this |
| 3 | A. Not below the EVP level. | 3 | document? |
| 4 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay, I'm going to show | 4 | MS. CONNELL: Vague. |
| 5 | you another exhibit now. | 5 | A. I don't recall. |
| 6 | MS. CONNELL: You can end the confidential | 6 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Drawing your attention to |
| 7 | designation. | 7 | the sixth page of this exhibit, it's marked with a dash |
| 8 | (Exhibit 3 marked for identification.) | 8 | 6 on the bottom. Do you see that this slide is titled |
| 9 | MS. CONNELL: Jeremiah, do you know if | 9 | Oracle's Compensation Philosophy and Objectives? |
| 10 | these were designated confidential? | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | MR. MILLER: You know, I don't because of | 11 | Q. There's a bullet -- well, I guess a dash, |
| 12 | the way they were produced is the native format, so | 12 | the third dash down, that says, You are to provide |
| 13 | there is a tag associated with them in the production. | 13 | compensation programs that are legally compliant. What |
| 14 | But I don't know for sure whether or not these were | 14 | does "legally compliant" mean in this context? |
| 15 | tagged that way. | 15 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 16 | MR. GARCIA: I think in the native data, | 16 | speculation and calls for a legal conclusion. |
| 17 | these were designated as confidential, but it did not | 17 | A. I guess I-- I feel like the words kind of |
| 18 | appear on the document itself. | 18 | speak for themselves. We aren't breaking the law in the |
| 19 | MS. CONNELL: Okay, if they were produced | 19 | programs that we run. |
| 20 | natively, there would be no way to make that | 20 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Are there any laws in |
| 21 | designation. So if they were, then we would designate | 21 | specific that could be complied with here? |
| 22 | this exhibit as confidential in order to maintain its | 22 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and |
| 23 | confidential designation during the deposition. | 23 | ambiguous, calls for speculation, calls for a legal |
| 24 | MR. MILLER: That's fine, Erin, and I'm | 24 | conclusion. |
| 25 | okay with it being conditional, you know, if you're not | 25 | A. I think about discrimination in pay |
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| 1 | sure about a thing, it's fine with me if you want to | 1 | decisions. I'm not a legal expert, but I know when -- |
| 2 | call it as confidential now, and we can discuss it later | 2 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) And to be clear, I'm not |
| 3 | if it turns out they weren't marked confidential. | 3 | asking for you to render a legal opinion. I'm asking |
| 4 | MS. CONNELL: Yeah, that's fine. I just | 4 | what this means to you. |
| 5 | don't want anything to lose its confidential | 5 | MS. CONNELL: Same objection. |
| 6 | designation. | 6 | A. It means that we shouldn't do anything |
| 7 | MR. MILLER: I understand. I think that | 7 | against the law when we are running compensation |
| 8 | your statement earlier these were likely made -- | 8 | programs. |
| 9 | produced as confidential is probably true given what | 9 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Fair enough. I'm going to |
| 10 | they contain. | 10 | show you some more exhibits here. There'll be, I guess, |
| 11 | MS. CONNELL: Yeah, yeah. So for purposes | 11 | three of them. |
| 12 | of today, we'll designate Exhibit 3 as confidential. | 12 | A. And I will add this is global, so when -- |
| 13 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. Do you recognize | 13 | when we talk legally compliant, we mean there are |
| 14 | this document? | 14 | countries in Europe where you must do -- you must give X |
| 15 | A. I recognize it as being kind of a | 15 | percent, or there are countries in Latin America where |
| 16 | compilation of the nine individual modules we made. | 16 | we have a legally-required increase. This applies, |
| 17 | Much of the content is -- comes from those. | 17 | legally compliant, in every country in which we do |
| 18 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) And when you say "the nine | 18 | business. |
| 19 | individual modules," you're talking about the set of | 19 | Q. Thank you for the clarification. |
| 20 | PowerPoints that the first exhibit I showed you was a | 20 | MR. MILLER: I am going to ask the court |
| 21 | part of? | 21 | reporter to mark this as Exhibit 4. |
| 22 | A. Correct, from 2011. | 22 | (Exhibit 4 marked for identification.) |
| 23 | Q. And if you look at the very first page of | 23 | MS. CONNELL: I see Exhibit 4 is labeled |
| 24 | this exhibit, you can see it is a copyright of 2012 on | 24 | confidential, so it should have a confidential |
| 25 | that slide? | 25 | designation for the depo. |
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| 1 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you recognize this | 1 | reporter to mark as Exhibit 5. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | document? | 2 | (Exhibit 5 marked for identification.) |
| 3 | A. Ido. | 3 | MS. CONNELL: Mark this as confidential, |
| 4 | Q. What is it? | 4 | also. Also, point out that this also appears to be |
| 5 | A. It is essentially print screens of | 5 | separate, two exhibits in one. |
| 6 | information that is available on our global compensation | 6 | MR. MILLER: Do we have two exhibits here? |
| 7 | intranet site. | 7 | A. We have doubles of this. |
| 8 | Q. Who has access to this intranet site? | 8 | MR. MILLER: Too many copies. |
| 9 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for | 9 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Can you tell me where your |
| 0 | speculation. | 10 | page ends, Ms. Waggoner, what's the last Bates number? |
| 11 | A. Oracle employees. | 11 | A. 798. |
| 12 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) All Oracle employees? | 12 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, that is how I intend |
| 13 | MS. CONNELL: Same objection. | 13 | to present them to you, but I will ask you, there are |
| 14 | A. I can't be positive, but I would think so. | 14 | actually two documents in here. Actually, let me start |
| 15 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) And this particular | 15 | with that. |
| 16 | exhibit is a printout of three pages with a heading on | 16 | Looking at this Exhibit 5 l've given you, |
| 17 | it on the first page that says total compensation; is | 17 | is there more than one document in there? |
| 18 | that right? | 18 | A. It think it looks like it. |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | Q. Where -- can you give me the numbers where |
| 20 | Q. How does the information that's provided on | 20 | the documents begin and end? |
| 21 | your intranet website work with the compensation | 21 | A. Ithink 598 is something totally separate. |
| 22 | presentations we've been looking at? | 22 | Q. So starting then with 594 to 597 , do you |
| 23 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and | 23 | recognize this document? |
| 24 | ambiguous, assumes facts. | 24 | A. Yeah, it looks like the same as Exhibit 4. |
| 25 | A. I'm not sure what you mean. | 25 | Q. It's the same in terms of the same content? |
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| 1 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) I'm curious how they're | 1 | A. It appears to be. |
| 2 | related. This appears to cover, from my read of it, | 2 | Q. But from a -- I mean, it's -- so the reason |
| 3 | some of the information that's been in, say, the | 3 | I'm asking you about this is if you look at Exhibit 4, |
| 4 | PowerPoints we have looked at so far. | 4 | this has got a heading that says total compensation, and |
| 5 | Is it the case that this is just yet | 5 | it's got a global compensation super header, but then |
| 6 | another version of the same set of guidelines, or is | 6 | Exhibit 5 just says Oracle compensation guidelines |
| 7 | this something different than what's in the PowerPoints? | 7 | before launching into total compensation. |
| 8 | MS. CONNELL: I will object that the | 8 | I guess what I'm asking, the question I ask |
| 9 | documents speak for themselves with regard to the | 9 | here, is Exhibit 5 just a different format of Exhibit 4, |
| 10 | preamble and lacks foundation and assumes facts, and | 10 | page 597? |
| 11 | it's vague. | 11 | MS. CONNELL: Objection. The documents |
| 12 | A. What is posted on the intranet site is the | 12 | speak for themselves. |
| 13 | target audience is the general employee population, and | 13 | A. I mean, l'm just comparing. Looks like |
| 14 | what is in the guidelines is manager, it's for managers | 14 | word for word. |
| 15 | and HR, and the overall information provided should be | 15 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So looking at the last |
| 16 | consistent. | 16 | page of Exhibit 5, do you recognize this document? |
| 17 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So the guidelines that we | 17 | A. Yeah. |
| 18 | have been looking at previously, things like Exhibits 1 | 18 | Q. What is it? |
| 19 | through 3, those are for managers only? | 19 | A. I'm not sure. |
| 20 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her | 0 | Q. But you recognize it? |
| 21 | prior testimony. | 21 | A. Ido recognize it. |
| 22 | A. The intended audience was HR and managers | 22 | Q. How do you recognize it? |
| 23 | as training for them in making decisions. | 23 | A. Because this was shown to me in my previous |
| 24 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. I'm going to show | 24 | deposition. |
| 25 | you another exhibit that I'm going to ask the court | 25 | Q. Oh, okay, but you don't know where this |
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came from?
A. Idon't.
Q. Do you know who made it?
A. Idon't.
Q. Okay. Let's -- I should have one more exhibit to show you here in this run.

I will ask the court reporter to mark this as Exhibit 6.
(Exhibit 6 marked for identification.)
MR. MILLER: I will do my best to give you just one copy of it. Yeah.

MS. CONNELL: It's just -- it is marked --
I am just noting for the record it's marked as confidential. I don't know if I need to do that every time for you if it's already got the confidential designation on it, but I am --
(Off the record discussion.)
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you recognize this document?
A. Again, it's -- looks like it's from our internal -- our intranet site in the global compensation -- on the global compensation page with you the sub menu of job changes and discretionary titles.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And, again, the intended audience for this is all employees, not just managers?
A. Correct.
Q. So let's look at Exhibit 4 again just once for me. At the bottom of this page, there's heading that says salary increases?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you see -- so on here, it says that salary increases are offered at the discretion of your manager; is that right?
A. That's what it says.

MS. CONNELL: The document speaks for itself.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) What does that mean for the line employee, for the IC employee?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, calls for speculation.
A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, it says your manager has discretion over salary increases. Does that mean your direct manager?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates the document and calls for speculation. Also assumes facts.
A. I -- I don't know.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So I believe in other
places, Oracle has taken the position that front-line
managers have discretion over pay increases. Is that
your understanding of how pay increases work at Oracle?
MS. CONNELL: Object to the preamble that Oracle has taken that position.
A. I guess I don't -- I don't really know what -- what you're asking -- I'm not sure what you're asking. I mean, it states that salary increases are offered at the discretion of your manager. There are various levels of manager, so we don't specify.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) That's -- what I am really asking is what is your understanding about the level at which those salary increases are made?
A. It could be at any level.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So somebody three or four steps up from the employee could make it -- could authorize or offer a salary increase?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, incomplete hypothetical, calls for speculation.
A. I suppose. I don't -- I don't deal with salary increases to individual employees but maybe.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So I'm about to get into a larger exhibit, so why don't we take a short break here.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:12 a.m., going off the record.
(Recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:25 a.m.

Back on the record.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Ms. Waggoner, I wanted you to look at Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 for me, just the front of them.

So, Ms. Waggoner, if you look at Exhibits 1 and 3 , there's nothing to indicate on these front pages that there are guidelines, is there?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, the document speaks for itself.
A. I would say that, no, I mean, the word "guidelines" isn't on here.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And it's prominent on Exhibit 2, correct?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, the document speaks for itself.
A. It's part of the title.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Did you change the way you communicated these guidelines to employees between, I guess it would be, 2011 and 2012 and 2016 with respect to how you talked about them as guidelines?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. Not that I can think of.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So in 2011 or 2012, you would have presented these as guidelines even though they don't have that appellation with them?

| 1 | MS. CONNELL: On the front page, to be | 1 | dealt with pay discrimination. Is that a portion of the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | clear for the record. | 2 | training? |
| 3 | A. I don't -- I mean, we presented them as | 3 | A. It's non-discrimination in general in our |
| 4 | comp 101. We didn't present them as anything other than | 4 | employment practices. |
| 5 | general comp training. | 5 | Q. But does it cover appropriately setting pay |
| 6 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. And then if you | 6 | so as to not create disparities for people based on race |
| 7 | recall earlier, we were discussing your role in | 7 | or gender? |
| 8 | anti-discrimination training with respect to setting | 8 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts. |
| 9 | pay. Do you remember that? | 9 | A. I don't recall. |
| 10 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her | 0 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Are you aware of anybody |
| 11 | prior testimony. | 11 | providing this kind of training in connection with |
| 12 | A. I'm not sure which part you're talking | 12 | compensation at Oracle? |
| 13 | about. | 13 | MS. CONNELL: Object -- objection, calls |
| 14 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, you told me that | 14 | for speculation. |
| 15 | part of the training that you gave was training related | 15 | A. I don't know. |
| 16 | to prevent discrimination in pay positions, correct? | 16 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) I'd like to show you |
| 17 | A. No. | 17 | another exhibit l'm going to ask the court reporter to |
| 18 | Q. Don't think so? Okay. | 18 | mark as Exhibit 7. |
| 19 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her | 19 | (Exhibit 7 marked for identification.) |
| 20 | prior testimony. | 20 | MS. CONNELL: Mark Exhibit 7 as |
| 21 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Did you provide training | 21 | confidential. |
| 22 | or discussion in providing these guidelines about not | 22 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Have you finished |
| 23 | discriminating on the basis of sex or race in pay? | 3 | reviewing it? |
| 24 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and the | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | documents speak for themselves. | 25 | Q. Do you recognize this document? |
|  | 69 |  | 71 |
| 1 | A. I don't think that I talked at all about me |  | A. Vaguely. |
| 2 | training anybody on non-discrimination. | 2 | Q. What do you recognize it as? |
| 3 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, for instance, in | 3 | A. Looks like it's a manager training. |
| 4 | looking at Exhibit 3, I asked you on page 6, I believe | 4 | Q. Did you take any part in developing this -- |
| 5 | it is, dash 6 -- I asked you what legally compliant | 5 | this document? |
| 6 | meant. And you told me a variety of things. One of the | 6 | A. I did not. |
| 7 | things you mentioned is that it would include a | 7 | Q. Did you take any part in delivering this |
| 8 | requirement not to discriminate in setting pay; is that | 8 | training? |
| 9 | right? | 9 | A. I did not. |
| 10 | A. I mean, my -- my response was legally | 10 | Q. All right, I'd like to draw your attention |
| 11 | compliant, I think, speaks for itself, and you asked | 11 | to what is slide four and the page is dash 5 . |
| 12 | what does that mean, and to me, legally compliant would | 12 | I believe you told me at the beginning of |
| 13 | -- non-discrimination would fall under that, but I | 13 | this deposition one of your responsibilities was to |
| 14 | certainly didn't train on anything like that. | 14 | maintain and I think update the global job table; is |
| 15 | Q. So you didn't provide anti-discrimination | 15 | that right? |
| 16 | in pay training? | 16 | A. Correct. |
| 17 | A. No. | 17 | Q. And so this slide appears to have a little |
| 18 | Q. Are you aware that anybody provides that | 18 | example piece of the global job table; is that right? |
| 19 | training? | 19 | MS. CONNELL: Document speaks for itself. |
| 20 | MS. CONNELL: Calls for speculation. | 20 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) And is this what you meant |
| 21 | A. Non-discrimination training is mandatory | 21 | by global job table? I mean, I assume it's much larger |
| 22 | for our managers. I mentioned that that's some of the | 22 | than this, but is this an example of part of it? |
| 23 | mandatory training I received. | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Right. I guess I didn't | 4 | Q. When you say "global job table," does that |
| 25 | understand that the training you received specifically | 25 | include all of the jobs at Oracle? |
|  | 70 |  | 72 |

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague as to jobs.
A. The global job table includes the general and bag job codes, system job codes and job titles that exist at our company, but it certainly doesn't -- it's not representative of every job, because our jobs get much more granular underneath a general bucket of, say, software development.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So does that mean to sort of ask it in reverse then, are there any employees at Oracle who would not fit into a job code on the global job table?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. Every employee has a job code.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. Looking at this global job table, we have some of these elements that we talked about at the very beginning of the deposition with respect to you. And there's little pop-up balloons on this slide, but in the section called job title, this is the formal job title, correct?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates the document.
A. It's the system job title.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) As distinct from, say --
well, let's take you as an example. We talked about
this. You are the senior director of global
compensation, but in the system, you're the senior human resources manager?
A. I'm the senior director of human resources, yes.
Q. Sorry, forgive my misstatement.
A. Yes.
Q. So what's in this global job table is the second one, the senior director of human resources; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Does this global job table ever include the discretionary title senior director of global compensation?
A. No, discretionary titles are not included in the table.
Q. And the only other thing I want to confirm is I believe earlier when we were talking about your job, you know, I asked what your global career level was and you told me it's currently M5.

Global career level, in this example anyway, short for career level, right, but that's the same thing, isn't it?
A. It is.
Q. So when you told me early on you were responsible for maintaining and updating the global job
table, what does that entail?
A. So, again, I have someone on my team who works on this, but it has to do with -- with making sure, for example, if we have an existing family that only goes up to an IC5 and a line of business determines they need an IC6, they come to my team to say we need an IC6 of this particular family, here is how we distinguish the IC5 from the IC6 and could we please add this to our table.

And we, as my team being responsible globally, we get a global consensus that the IC6 level is necessary for the business, and then the person on my team is able to go into the system to add that level to the table.
Q. How do you distinguish between the global career levels?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. It has to do with years of experience, level of complexity of what they're working on, the scope of what they're working on, the complexity, scope, years, their -- the influence of the role, who they interact with, if they interact with C suite levels or most of their interaction is lower levels, there are various things that go into play in determining a career level.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Are there other factors beyond the ones you just named?
A. There may be, but offhand, I --
Q. When you say complexity of project and scope of project, what do you mean by "project"?
A. I don't think I said complexity of project. I mean complexity of their role, complexity of the products they work on, the complexity of the duties, the decision-making authority that that person has -- or not a person, the decision-making authority that we assume of a specific career level. Someone of a IC1 has little to no decision-making authority but someone as a 4 or a 5 or a 6.
Q. So when you're taking about the complexity of the product, how do you evaluate that?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and assumes facts.
A. We don't go down to the product level evaluating that from a global table perspective.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) How do you evaluate the complexity of the duties?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts and vague.
A. Again, we don't -- we don't personally evaluate. We -- we speak to at -- at a -- in general,

| 1 | across any function that we would be talking about, it | 1 | Q. Okay. And job code is linked to a specific |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | could be an attorney, it could be a financial analyst, | 2 | salary range, correct? |
| 3 | it could be a developer, it could be a salesperson. We | 3 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. |
| 4 | have categories or we have these career levels that | 4 | A. No. |
| 5 | really essentially are pretty standard in the market. | 5 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) What do you mean? |
| 6 | We got -- I mean, we got most of our | 6 | A. A job code is in the -- in the United |
| 7 | framework for the career levels from a Radford. They | 7 | States, a job code is linked to a grade. |
| 8 | define at the IC4 level, you would expect that they | 8 | Q. How is that different from a salary range? |
| 9 | would have X types of responsibilities. At the IC1 | 9 | A. Because as we talked about before, there |
| 10 | level, you would expect that they would need a lot of | 10 | is -- at Oracle, we have non-HQ ranges and HQ ranges, |
| 11 | guidance and have Y types of responsibility. | 11 | and a grade could be an EO6, but the range wouldn't be |
| 12 | So it's a very -- it essentially talks | 12 | the same for every EO6. |
| 13 | about the level at which the job is done in pretty | 13 | Q. Right. Okay, and when you say "grade," |
| 14 | general terms, but the things that come into play when | 14 | what you're talking about in this context is salary |
| 15 | it comes to the next step up have to do with a different | 15 | grade, correct? |
| 16 | level of complexity or a different level of | 16 | A. Correct. |
| 17 | decision-making authority or the other really -- | 17 | Q. Yeah, okay. Turning your attention to |
| 18 | Q. So when you're looking at adjusting the | 18 | slide 6 and 7 of this presentation, and it's marked at |
| 19 | global job table and as your example was adding an IC6 | 19 | the bottom with 9 and 10. Do you see these two slides? |
| 20 | to a line that recently had an IC5, how do you determine | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | that the IC6 is necessary? | 21 | Q. Have you seen charts like the ones that are |
| 22 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts and | 22 | included in nine and 10 before? |
| 23 | misstates her testimony. | 23 | A. Really only in this exhibit. |
| 24 | A. We review often -- well, always, the | 24 | Q. So this appears to be an example linking |
| 25 | business has to define and show us why and to say, you | 25 | career level, job title, discretionary title and |
|  | 77 |  | 79 |
| 1 | know, the latest one we had, for example, it talked | 1 | responsibilities; is that right? |
| 2 | about how -- for this next level, they -- their current | 2 | MS. CONNELL: Objection. The document |
| 3 | IC5s perform, you know, support or depending on the size | 3 | speaks for itself. Assumes facts. |
| 4 | of the client or whatever, but then at the next level, | 4 | A. I mean, that's what it says, but when -- if |
| 5 | it's going to be much bigger, tier one clients, dealing | 5 | you read the responsibilities, it's certainly not |
| 6 | with the C suite. I mean, it has to do with their | 6 | specific responsibilities. It's kind of a general |
| 7 | interactions with, you know, like I mentioned before, | 7 | overview of what you would expect them to be responsible |
| 8 | who they work with. | 8 | for if they were at that level. |
| 9 | In our industry, you know, if you are known | 9 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) And this is not something |
| 10 | as an industry guru, if you do white papers, if you | 10 | that would appear in the global job table? |
| 11 | present places, you are probably a 5 or a 6. That has | 11 | A. No. |
| 12 | to do with the influence of and the different complexity | 12 | Q. This information, okay. I mean, if nothing |
| 13 | of what it is that you are working on. | 13 | else, it's got discretionary title which you already |
| 14 | Q. So you get a request to add a new career | 14 | told me -- |
| 15 | level? | 15 | A. Is not in there. |
| 16 | A. Yes, that usually comes from the business. | 16 | Q. So does discretionary title affect pay? |
| 17 | Q. And then you do an analysis and determine | 17 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague and assumes |
| 18 | if that's appropriate? | 18 | facts, calls for speculation. |
| 19 | A. I don't personally. We review the | 19 | A. Directly, I would say no. |
| 20 | documentation that the business has given us to decide | 20 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Does it affect it |
| 21 | if there's a good argument and if, in fact, they've | 21 | indirectly? |
| 22 | defined it as the next step up, and then we have a -- I | 22 | MS. CONNELL: Same objections. |
| 23 | wouldn't say a committee, but it's sort of all the | 23 | A. We have -- discretionary title are -- |
| 24 | regional leads around the world, we say this has come | 24 | discretionary titles are just what they say, they're at |
| 25 | before us, what do you guys think. | 25 | the discretion of -- we've had people with Queen of |
|  | 78 |  | 80 |

Sheba for their discretionary title. Like we don't control discretionary titles.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Did they really have Queen of Sheba?
A. They did. This was back a long time ago, but we don't -- this isn't a mandatory -- some people don't have a discretionary title at all. It's -- it's put in there with a --
Q. Do you know why they exist then?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. I don't. They predate me.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Turning your attention to slide 15 and the accompanying note. So this is a page marked 25 and 26. So this slide is titled Choosing the Correct Job Code. Who selects job code at Oracle?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts.
A. So the job code, as it says, it reflects a role. So a manager will decide what role they have, and the manager would decide what job code to use in a posting for an opening on their team, because the job code reflects in very, very general terms what the role is that they need to fill.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So they do that in the job code, and then at hiring, are they locked into the job
code? If the job code is in the requisition or the posting, is that the only job code the employee could have?
A. Depending on the candidate selected, they could go up one level or down one level.
Q. So that would then affect --
A. But it has to be the same family.
Q. Okay. So on this slide, there's a second set of statements after the first one you reference that's about what's important about getting the correct job code. I mean, it lists salary range, bonus eligibility, overtime eligibility, these eligibility systems access and more.

Are you aware of other parts of employment at Oracle that are impacted by job code?

MS. CONNELL: Calls for speculation.
A. The one that comes to mind would be business class for travel but, otherwise, I can't think of anything.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) I can see that really matters. All right. In the note, which is on page 26, there's a -- there's just a note that says, Incorrect job code impact offer letter or employment terms especially for merger and acquisition employees. I guess I don't quite understand, why is
this called out as a separate thing for mergers and acquisitions employees?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. I am not sure. I'm not sure why that note was added in there.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Given your experience in on boarding mergers and acquisition people, dealing with mergers and acquisitions, compensation issues, you can't think of a reason why it's called out specifically here?

MS. CONNELL: Form objection.
A. Except that it says hamper access to manager self service. When the M and A employees come on board, the assumption would be that they can just bridge and go right into our systems, and if they give them the wrong code, if they're not set up correctly as a manager, for example, they wouldn't have manager self service access or they wouldn't have access to our compensation program tools, because the system didn't identify them correctly as a manager.

So with M and A employees, we try to make that as seamless as possible, and that is one thing that I can think of this statement reflecting.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And, again, the last comment on -- on this comment page is about contacting
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your HR business partner for questions about job code classification. Should they also be talking to the compensation team if there's a question about job code?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts.
A. So our -- and l'm going to assume we're only speaking U.S. here, because I'm always thinking global, but our -- our line of support model, we do not have a big enough compensation team for every manager to directly call their compensation person.

So their first line is their HR person and if their HR person cannot help, then -- or if their HR person would like further assistance or a third -- third set of eyes, the HR person generally would do that, would contact the compensation.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. Do you know how long the job code system has been in effect at Oracle?
A. I believe this current --

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. You mean job code table?
Q. (By Mr. Miller) You know, let's stick with job code as it's explained between page 25 and 27. Those are two slides that have job code as key in getting, you know, these features, these are the salary range, getting that correct and also in terms of using job code to find salary grade and salary range.

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, misstates the document.
A. I'm not really sure what the question is, I guess.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Have job codes been a factor in setting pay at Oracle for as long ago you've worked there?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts. Misstates the document.
A. There are many, many, many factors that go into setting pay, so I mean, the job code to which someone applies would assist as kind of a starting point to tell us about what that broad range may be. But then we have vocation and product and skills and experience and knowledge and everything that they bring to the table. There's a lot that goes into play with that.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) How long has job code been used to set salary grade at Oracle?
A. I am not really sure.

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Has that always been the case since you worked there?
A. Yes, I mean, the -- the structure of our general architecture has been in place since l've been around. There have been additions and subtractions
throughout the years, but --
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And we talked about this briefly in passing. You said you were thinking U.S. when you answered my questions, which generally, you know, our case concerns a headquarters facility in California, but are job codes used globally?
A. Yes, our table absolutely is global.
Q. It says global job table?
A. Yes.
Q. Good enough. All right, l'd like to direct your attention now to slide 16 and the accompanying note. This is marked 27 and 28.
A. Uh-hum.
Q. So we've talked about salary grade and salary ranges to some extent already. And also some of the other topics on this slide have come up.

When you are setting a salary range, when Oracle sets a salary range, you've told me you rely on Radford surveys; is that correct?
A. Radford -- we have two main surveys, Radford and Mercer.
Q. And how do those help you set the salary range?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. Our very general jobs can be mapped to very
general, we call them, benchmark jobs. And if they are in a survey, they are considered a benchmark job. And we use data that comes out of those surveys to tell us what the market rate is for that general job and level.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And what do you do with the market rate information in setting the salary range at Oracle?

## MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.

A. Our general philosophy is that the market 50th percentile would represent Oracle's midpoint of the range for a specific location.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) So maybe it would help, too, just to look quickly as page 29. This is slide 17.
A. All right.
Q. And so this slide has what looks to be an example salary range. Is that what that is?
A. It appears, yeah.
Q. So what you're saying is the Radford or the Mercer survey should be used to set the midpoint that's identified on this pay-range description?
A. Correct.
Q. How does Oracle determine the minimum or the maximum for that range?
A. That is something that can vary from -from country to country, from level to level generally,
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and this is all kind of compensation theoretical. The lower level jobs, you don't have to have quite as broad of a range because there isn't as much variation in what people bring to the table. But as you get higher in your career levels, it gets broader because people bring a wide variety of different knowledge, skills, abilities, education, all those kinds of things, and we have to be able to account for the wide variety that could be in there.
Q. And who ultimately sets the minimum or the maximum for a salary range?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts, vague.
A. There isn't really a who. We have had the same range widths for a really long time, and it was just kind of a best practices. They might be as narrow as $\square$ percent at the bottom up to $\square$ percent at the top.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And then they are just adjusted as the market salary surveys change?
A. Correct, we adjust the mid points, and then our minimums and maximums adjust with that.
Q. So at the time you worked at Oracle, the spread in the salary range has been set as a percentage, and you are not aware --

MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her
testimony and assumes facts.
A. From the time l've been around, the range widths, like I said, it has to do with kind of best practices, and when you've been in the field for a while, you know what other companies are doing, you read into what the survey would recommend you do, and we've had a fairly consistent range width for as long as I've been on -- who originally set them, I don't know. But it's been fairly consistent, and our ranges have adjusted according to the way the market has adjusted in those years or based on the midpoint.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And when you're using the Radford surveys to find the midpoint of these salary ranges, you say they are at a fairly general level of description, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you do a job analysis to look at Oracle's job to determine where they -- how they match up with those general descriptions?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. Every year, our regional comp teams look at Radford's general descriptions to our general system descriptions and make sure we're still benchmarking across, because ours are intentionally very broad, also, and so they match -- they match up to -- but, yeah, we
look at to make sure we're matching to the right general category of job each year.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Looking at slide 17 here, this is the one marked as 29, there's a red box that said several factors should be considered to position pay. Does that -- is that talking about positioning an individual employee within the range?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, the document speaks for itself and calls for speculation.
A. It seems that that -- again, I didn't develop this, but it seems that that's what --
Q. (By Mr. Miller) How is an individual employee positioned within the range?

MS. CONNELL: Calls for speculation.
A. As l've mentioned, it has to do with knowledge, skills, abilities, education, what they bring to the table, the internal situation of peers doing the same thing and by doing the same thing, it's not just within that job code, but there are differences by the product you are working on or the location where you sit and do your work.

There are lots of different factors that go into play as far as where they would be positioned in a range.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) How is product accounted
for in positioning an employee?
MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. Calls for speculation.
A. Product, if I'm thinking like software developers, the product that they are developing, if it's a really old legacy product or a cutting edge new
and there's not a lot of talent out there that know how to do this, they would command a higher position in the range versus somebody who's working on that's existed forever.
There are more in the market who could do something like that than there are who could do AI, for example, so an AI developer could command a higher position and be commissioned higher in the range than, say, the
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Who determines which products are more valuable?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts.
A. I wouldn't say anybody decides they're more valuable, but the candidates that come and apply for our positions tell us what they're earning. They tell us what their competitive offers are to do something different.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And so then the manager attempting to make that hire is the one that picks where
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they fall in the salary range?
MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. I mean, not necessarily -- it's a collaboration with recruiting and with the manager, and sometimes they involve HR and/or compensation, but it has to do with the local labor market and if they are looking for something that is the more cutting edge technology, there are fewer -- it is general economics, there are fewer people who can do that, and so if they have offers from Amazon and Google and Microsoft and Oracle, we've got to come in with a compelling offer or they won't take ours.

Someone who doesn't have that cutting edge hot skill doesn't come -- they don't have all of those other competing offers with which we have to then position them differently in the range. We can pay them a general market salary, and it's not as competitive.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Does product appear in the global job table?
A. It does not.
Q. Are you aware of any other way in which product is tracked in relationship to employees?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. I am not.

| 1 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Again, looking at slide | 1 | So I would say a checks and balances exists |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 17, which is page 29 here, or we can just go with what | 2 | within that -- that very foundation of -- |
| 3 | you told me that people have position. One of the | 3 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) But they would have to ask |
| 4 | things you mentioned is internal equity with respect to | 4 | a question about it to involve HR and comp? |
| 5 | how the employee's peers are doing or being paid, I | 5 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, incomplete |
| 6 | guess; is that right? | 6 | hypothetical. |
| 7 | A. That's a factor, yes. | 7 | A. The -- HR is pretty involved in the |
| 8 | Q. What do you mean by "peers"? | 8 | recruiting process and the selection of candidates and |
| 9 | A. What I mean by peers are people who are | 9 | helping with those things. So I mean, I can't speak |
| 10 | performing similar work at a similar level on a similar | 10 | specifically to all situations, but our training |
| 11 | product where their duties are consistent and similar. | 11 | certainly advises that they -- that they work with the |
| 12 | And their location, also having to factor | 12 | professionals who are assigned to them. |
| 13 | in their location and their -- I mean, when we talk | 13 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Can HR override -- I am |
| 14 | peers, we really mean doing the same -- the same role | 14 | sorry. Can HR override the manager's assessment of what |
| 15 | working on the same product. It's not -- it doesn't | 15 | it requires to produce internal equity? |
| 16 | come to job code or title because, as I said, those are | 16 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 17 | incredibly general. | 17 | speculation, vague. |
| 18 | We have to get down a little bit more | 18 | A. I'm not really sure. |
| 19 | granular to say, oh, this is our group of people with | 19 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) When you're looking at |
| 20 | the hot skill working on Al today. Those are considered | 20 | these peer groups to think about internal equity, is |
| 21 | the peers, not people in the same job code but | 21 | there an attempt to ensure that the peer groups are |
| 22 | developing PeopleSoft. | 22 | representative across the relevant work force for race |
| 23 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So for those peer groups, | 23 | and gender? |
| 24 | how then do you determine if there's internal equity? | 24 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her |
| 25 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. Calls for | 25 | testimony, assumes facts, vague. |
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| 1 | speculation. | 1 | A. I'm not involved in any peer group |
| 2 | A. I don't. The manager who's hiring would | 2 | analysis. |
| 3 | look within their own team to say these are the people | 3 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Is anybody, other than the |
| 4 | who will have similar duties and performing at a similar | 4 | front-line manager, involved in peer group analysis? |
| 5 | level. | 5 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 6 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Does anyone review these | 6 | speculation, asked and answered. |
| 7 | decisions about what would result in internal equity for | 7 | A. I am not aware of -- I'm not involved in |
| 8 | an employee? | 8 | any of it, so l'm not sure. |
| 9 | MS. CONNELL: Calls for speculation. | 9 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Are job codes communicated |
| 10 | A. I am not really sure what you are asking. | 10 | to employees? |
| 11 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, as you have just | 11 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 12 | described it to me, a hiring manager, here's an employee | 12 | speculation, vague. |
| 13 | and says they are doing a job that's similar to four | 13 | A. I don't know. I don't know that job code |
| 14 | people, so we will pay them this much. Is there anybody | 14 | is necessarily important to people. They want to know |
| 15 | that reviews those decisions to ensure that that manager | 15 | what am I called. |
| 16 | has done it correctly, has the correct position within | 16 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Hence, the discretionary |
| 17 | the group of what they think are the peers? | 17 | title? |
| 18 | MS. CONNELL: I want to insert an objection | 18 | A. Right. |
| 19 | that the preamble misstates her prior testimony, and the | 19 | MR. MILLER: Can we go off the record for a |
| 20 | question calls for speculation. | 20 | moment? |
| 21 | A. We always have encouraged, as a lot of our | 21 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:08. |
| 22 | training indicates, that a manager work with their HR | 22 | (Off the record discussion.) |
| 23 | business partner, and when there are questions about how | 23 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:09. Back |
| 24 | to set pay or where to set pay, they would also involve | 24 | on the record. |
| 25 | compensation. They work with a recruiter as well. | 25 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) I'd like to draw your |
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| 1 | and they know their compa-ratio, that gives them -- but | 1 | March 30th, 2017. Do you see that? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | they are not familiar with a local market in a certain | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | country, it gives them an indication of where they are | 3 | Q. So how frequently is this updated? |
| 4 | paid within that market. | 4 | A. I don't have any idea. |
| 5 | Q. So by using ratio, you can keep the pay | 5 | Q. Do you have any role in updating this? |
| 6 | relative to the market the same or at least compare pay | 6 | A. I do not. |
| 7 | relative to the market across different markets? | 7 | Q. So looking at the first page here, there's |
| 8 | A. Right, by using a ratio. | 8 | a -- a block or basically a table set where the category |
| 9 | Q. Does Oracle have policies about what | 9 | identified is assignment. Do you see those assignment |
| 10 | happens to compa-ratio when employees come from one | 10 | categories? |
| 11 | Oracle business in one country to another Oracle | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | business in another country? | 12 | Q. And, in sequence, the first thing that's in |
| 13 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, calls for | 13 | this assignment table is cost center change. Do you see |
| 14 | speculation. | 14 | that? |
| 15 | A. Again, I wouldn't say policy. We have -- | 15 | MS. CONNELL: I'm not following. Where are |
| 16 | the way that we look at international transfers would be | 16 | you looking? Sorry. |
| 17 | to consider what the current compa-ratio is for an | 17 | MR. MILLER: It's on the first page, |
| 18 | employee, and provided they are moving to the new | 18 | there's a block that's titled assignments. |
| 19 | location in the same capacity, same role, same level, | 19 | MS. CONNELL: Okay, got it. |
| 20 | same everything, they would use that as their starting | 20 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So there's one that's got |
| 21 | point. It doesn't mean it has to stay there, but it's a | 21 | cost center change? |
| 22 | good reference point. | 22 | A. Uh-hum. |
| 23 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) And what kind of factors | 23 | Q. What is a cost center? |
| 24 | would affect whether the compa-ratio moved in that kind | 24 | A. It is -- it's a category that finance uses |
| 25 | of a transfer? | 25 | to say -- kind of give a general indication of the |
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| 1 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, incomplete | 1 | organization in which the person works. So like my cost |
| 2 | hypothetical, calls for speculation. | 2 | center is 0772, and that just means global compensation. |
| 3 | A. Factors to consider would be the | 3 | Q. Is that different from line of business? |
| 4 | internal -- the internal equity to the peers in the new | 4 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 5 | location who will be doing the same thing at the same | 5 | speculation. |
| 6 | level. | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | If it's incredibly high -- the ratio is | 7 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you know how it's |
| 8 | incredibly high or incredibly low, they might try to | 8 | different? |
| 9 | recalibrate to more align to the internal peer group. | 9 | MS. CONNELL: Calls for speculation. |
| 10 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) I want to show you some | 10 | A. When we, as in global compensation, talk |
| 11 | new exhibits. Give me a moment. I'm going to ask the | 11 | about line of business, we are more often than not |
| 12 | court reporter to mark this as Exhibit 8. | 12 | referring to a specific organization under a specific |
| 13 | (Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) | 13 | leader. There are many, many cost centers under a |
| 14 | MS. CONNELL: Mark this as confidential. | 14 | leader. |
| 15 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Just take a moment to look | 15 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. Does cost center |
| 16 | at that and let me know when you have -- | 16 | relate to product? |
| 17 | Do you recognize this document? | 17 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. |
| 18 | A. Ido. | 18 | A. I'm not sure. |
| 19 | Q. What is it? | 19 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So finances would be the |
| 20 | A. The global approval matrix. | 20 | organization that sets what the cost centers are? |
| 21 | Q. And what is the global approval matrix? | 21 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 22 | A. It documents the level of approval needed | 22 | speculation, assumes facts. |
| 23 | for various types of changes to an employee record. | 23 | A. I believe so, but I'm not positive. I just |
| 24 | Q. And up near the top of the first page of | 24 | know I don't have anything to do with it. |
| 25 | this exhibit, there's a date that says, Last updated, | 25 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) The next line down has |
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| 1 | a -- this is still in the assignment block and the | 1 | page, it says global approval matrix, automated? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | sequence I guess -- I guess that's what $S$ and $Q$ mean, | 2 | A. Yeah. |
| 3 | says discretionary title change. Do you see that? | 3 | Q. What's the difference between those two |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | processes? |
| 5 | Q. So if I'm reading this correctly, there are | 5 | A. I don't know. |
| 6 | required approvals at HR and at first -- what looks like | 6 | Q. And if you look back at Exhibit 8 for me, |
| 7 | 1 LVL , which I assume is first level; is that right? | 7 | too. On the first page, the one marked dash 1 and then |
| 8 | A. Looks like it. | 8 | in this case, the fourth page, the one marked dash 4, |
| 9 | Q. Why does the discretionary title require | 9 | you've got the same automated versus non-automated? |
| 10 | any approvals? | 10 | A. Looks like it. |
| 11 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for | 11 | Q. And going further back into Exhibit 8 onto |
| 12 | speculation, assumes facts. | 12 | the page marked dash 6 -- and I apologize, it looks like |
| 13 | A. I don't know. | 13 | some of these tables broke across pages in some strange |
| 14 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) And moving down to -- | 14 | way. You can see that there is a box entitled $M$ and $A$ |
| 15 | there's a -- you know, there's a table entitled dollars | 15 | approval matrix? |
| 16 | that -- that doesn't go on to the next page. It's on | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | this first page, though. Do you see the second in the | 17 | Q. Do you know what this is? |
| 18 | sequence here, base salary change increase? | 18 | A. I mean, I can only go based on the title. |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | I'm assuming it's the lines of approval needed for $M$ and |
| 20 | Q. And that has to be approved by HR, but it | 20 |  |
| 21 | doesn't look like the first level has to approve it; is | 21 | Q. The reason I was asking is given what you |
| 22 | that right? | 22 | have to do with mergers and acquisitions, I thought |
| 23 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for | 23 | maybe you would have more familiarity with this but no? |
| 24 | speculation. | 24 | A. I don't. |
| 25 | A. I can see how it's read that way. I | 25 | Q. Okay. Do you know who generates these |
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| 1 | believe what this is -- it indicates that that's the | 1 | approval matrices? |
| 2 | final, that's the top level that it needs to go to, so | 2 | A. I don't. |
| 3 | an increase, it would work its way up all these other | 3 | Q. Okay, I'm going to show you another |
| 4 | levels and the last, quote-unquote, approver is at that | 4 | exhibit, ask the court reporter to mark it as Exhibit |
| 5 | level, but at that level, they're not really digging | 5 |  |
| 6 | into the details. It's more about a sanity check of | 6 | (Exhibit 10 marked for identification.) |
| 7 | what's going on. | 7 | MS. CONNELL: Designate this as |
| 8 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. Okay, I'd like to | 8 | confidential as well. |
| 9 | show you another exhibit. I'm going to mark this as | 9 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Have you had a chance to |
| 10 | Exhibit 9. | 10 | review this? |
| 11 | (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | MS. CONNELL: Mark this exhibit as | 12 | Q. Do you recognize this? |
| 13 | confidential as well. | 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Have you had a chance to | 14 | Q. So this is not a training that you have had |
| 15 | review it? | 15 | a hand in? |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | Q. Do you recognize this document? | 17 | Q. It's dated May 2011 on the first page, |
| 18 | A. It's the same as the last one. It's just | 18 | correct? |
| 19 | an earlier date. | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. So looking at the second page of Exhibit 9, | 20 | Q. But then this is not a part of the -- the |
| 21 | it says -- or, actually, let's go to the third page, the | 21 | nine modules that we discussed as Exhibit 1, right? |
| 22 | one that's marked dash 3. Do you see at the top of this | 22 | A. It is not. |
| 23 | page says global approval matrix, non-automated? | 23 | Q. Drawing your attention to slide four, which |
| 24 | A. Ido. | 24 | in this one I think is actually marked dash 4. So this |
| 25 | Q. And then if you just look at the first | 25 | slide is an exhibit titled Summary of All Processes, and |
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| 1 | it's got a bullet point that says, Do not communicate | 1 | work flows, day-to-day transactions. This has to do |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | anything until final LJE approval is obtained. Who is | 2 | with a global compensation process. |
| 3 | LJE? | 3 | Q. Okay. Okay, I'm going to show you another |
| 4 | A. Larry Ellison. | 4 | exhibit, ask the court reporter to mark this as Exhibit |
| 5 | Q. So if you look at the first page of this | 5 | 11. |
| 6 | training, it says it's new manager training for | 6 | (Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) |
| 7 | compensation processes. So does this mean then that you | 7 | MS. CONNELL: Also mark Exhibit 11 as |
| 8 | are not allowed to communicate the outcome of the | 8 | confidential. |
| 9 | compensation process until Larry Ellison approves of it? | 9 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Have you had a chance to |
| 10 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates the | 10 | review it? |
| 11 | document. | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | A. This is essentially training on how to use | 12 | Q. Do you recognize this document? |
| 13 | our tool. That's why it says slash compensation work | 13 | A. Ido. |
| 14 | bench. There are screenshots from our system that we | 14 | Q. What is it? |
| 15 | use -- used to use to administer our compensation | 15 | A. It is a manager system for our new system, |
| 16 | processes. It speaks to the plans that I talked about | 16 | which is work force compensation, and it gives |
| 17 | before that are run out of my team, and the final LJE | 17 | screenshots and tells managers how to navigate that in |
| 18 | approval obtained, as I mentioned before, these do roll | 18 | that new system. |
| 19 | up to the top level, but it is simply to confirm that | 19 | Q. Did you participate in developing this |
| 20 | everything was within budget and that there has been no | 20 | training? |
| 21 | spend outside of budget. It isn't a review or deep dive | 21 | A. I did not, but the person on my team who |
| 22 | into what's been recommended, it is just we have stayed | 22 | runs programs did. |
| 23 | within budget, it is now okay to communicate what you've | 23 | Q. Did you review this training? |
| 24 | recommended. | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) But prior to getting this | 25 | Q. I should be more clear, not just here |
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| 1 | final level of approval, managers are not to communicate | 1 | today, but did you review this training before it was |
| 2 | any of those pay decisions, right? | 2 | finalized and given? |
| 3 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | testimony. | 4 | Q. So we were just talking a moment ago about |
| 5 | A. Well, what -- I mean, the last part of the | 5 | the way approvals work and the rolling-up process. I |
| 6 | process is when you hear that it's an all clear, then | 6 | want to draw your attention to slides 20 through 33, so |
| 7 | you may communicate. | 7 | these are pages marked 53 to 64, and, you know, you can |
| 8 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) But not before? | 8 | certainly read whatever you need to read to understand |
| 9 | A. You should not communicate until we've | 9 | them, but really I mostly just want to look at the title |
| 10 | indicated that the final approval has been obtained. | 10 | of these slides, so let me know when you've had a |
| 11 | Q. And I notice you said "final approval," | 11 | moment. |
| 12 | because my understanding is that this -- Larry Ellison's | 12 | A. 53 to what? |
| 13 | approval or his office approval, there's been some | 13 | Q. 64. |
| 14 | technical changes to that over time; is that right? | 14 | A. Okay. |
| 15 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts. | 15 | Q. So the way that this training is set up for |
| 16 | A. I guess I'm not sure exactly what you mean | 16 | using your new tool, this work force compensation tool, |
| 17 | by that. | 17 | it's phrased in terms of managers making recommendations |
| 18 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, you know, if we -- | 18 | for -- I think these are bonuses; is that right? |
| 19 | we don't have to take them out again, but the approval | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | matrices showed different titles for the highest level | 20 | Q. So why are they termed "recommendations" at |
| 21 | approval, approvers, right? I think there's one that | 21 | this point? |
| 22 | says something like CEOs. I think there's an earlier | 22 | A. Because we have -- |
| 23 | version that maybe said LJE. There's been some change | 23 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 24 | in that, right? | 24 | speculation. You can answer. |
| 25 | A. Okay. Yes. The matrices have to do with | 25 | A. Because you have multiple -- I mean, some |
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| 1 | employees have, you know, three levels above them, some | 1 | loud, just read the body of the top e-mail and let me |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | have seven levels above them, but it starts with it's | 2 | know when you are done. |
| 3 | initially a recommendation that could be changed. I | 3 | A. Okay. |
| 4 | mean, it could be changed by them, it could be changed | 4 | Q. So given what you've been telling me about |
| 5 | by someone else on the hierarchy, but, like we said, | 5 | the way a CEO or ultimate approver approvals work, why |
| 6 | it's essentially not final until we've done the whole -- | 6 | is Ms. Ton recommending that this person include, you |
| 7 | the whole did everybody stay within budget and did | 7 | know, the unique points to justify the hire for review |
| 8 | everybody stay within what they were given. | 8 | up the chain? |
| 9 | And so we always called them | 9 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 10 | recommendations because nothing is final until we've | 10 | speculation. |
| 11 | been through the entire process and can verify that | 11 | A. I don't have any idea. |
| 12 | everyone stayed within their budget. | 12 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) In making pay changes, |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Okay, I want to show you another | 13 | say, annual increase in salary or awarding a bonus or |
| 14 | exhibit and ask the court reporter to mark this as | 14 | something, what kind of information do managers have to |
| 15 | Exhibit 12. | 15 | enter into the system to satisfy the approval process? |
| 16 | (Exhibit 12 marked for identification.) | 16 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, assumes |
| 17 | MS. CONNELL: Mark this exhibit as | 17 | facts and calls for speculation. |
| 18 | confidential as well, designated. | 18 | A. What do you mean by "in making pay |
| 19 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Have you finished | 19 | changes"? |
| 20 | reviewing it? | 20 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, so we just looked at |
| 21 | A. Uh-hum. | 21 | a PowerPoint that was talking about bonus awards, right, |
| 22 | Q. So at the very top, you can see this is | 22 | and so the manager makes a recommendation for the bonus, |
| 23 | from Vicki Ton to Sudhakar Kaki, I guess. I am | 23 | and it goes up through the approvals. What's required |
| 24 | mispronouncing these names. | 24 | to be in that recommendation in order for it to go |
| 25 | A. Uh-hum. | 25 | through the approval process? |
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| 1 | Q. Do you know either of those people? | 1 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts, |
| 2 | A. I don't know Sudhakar. I am familiar with | 2 | vague, calls for speculation. |
| 3 | Vicki Ton, but I don't know her. | 3 | A. The bonus program is a mass system of |
| 4 | Q. What does Vicki Ton do? | 4 | thousands of global employees included at once. In that |
| 5 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, calls for | 5 | recommendation, they can enter the amount, and then |
| 6 | speculation. | 6 | there is a note section for them to write why they |
| 7 | A. She's on the HR team. | 7 | recommended that specific amount that the higher-level |
| 8 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So I know that you're not | 8 | managers could see if they went in. |
| 9 | on this e-mail, so I assume you have not seen this | 9 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Are they required to make |
| 10 | e-mail before now? | 10 | entries in that notes section? |
| 11 | A. I have not. | 11 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, assumes |
| 12 | Q. But it looks like, if you go back to 22, 23 | 12 | facts. |
| 13 | and 24 , that this is a -- looks like a recommendation | 13 | A. I don't know. |
| 14 | about pay being passed up the chain; is that right? | 14 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) And if you are thinking |
| 15 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, document speaks | 15 | about annual salary increases, does that have more |
| 16 | for itself, mischaracterizes the document. | 16 | information that's entered, or is it the same thing? |
| 17 | A. The subject line specifically says it's a | 17 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts. |
| 18 | job offer. | 18 | A. As part of a program or as part of an |
| 19 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) And do you know what GSI | 19 | individual -- |
| 20 | stands for? | 20 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) When the manager is making |
| 21 | A. GSI is our global single instance. It has | 21 | the recommendation for the annual salary increase, for |
| 22 | to do with -- it was our old E-business suite before we | 22 | instance, within your software, what are they required |
| 23 | moved to our new Oracle cloud, for us internally. | 23 | to enter for it to move up the chain of approvals? |
| 24 | Q. Return to the first page here at 21. Can | 24 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts. |
| 25 | you just read this -- you don't have to read it out | 25 | A. It would be the same -- they would enter |
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| 1 | their recommendation and could enter notes for the | 1 | will help clear this up. Like I said, this is my |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | higher up to review. | 2 | understanding there's separate programs, but both of |
| 3 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) And like the bonus | 3 | them are at least partly concerned with the performance |
| 4 | program, do you know if they are required to enter | 4 | of an employee; isn't that right? |
| 5 | notes? | 5 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts, |
| 6 | A. I don't know if they are. | 6 | lacks foundation. |
| 7 | Q. And for equity grants, a manager is going | 7 | A. I guess I'm not really sure what you're -- |
| 8 | to make a recommendation of an equity grant, what are | 8 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So for the focal review, |
| 9 | they required to enter into the software before it goes | 9 | how often does the focal review happen? |
| 10 | up the chain? | 10 | A. It varies, depends on when we have budget. |
| 11 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts, | 11 | Q. And what is the focal review? |
| 12 | lacks foundation. | 12 | A. It is one point in time to review salaries |
| 13 | A. It's that same process. | 13 | around employees. |
| 14 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you know if they are | 14 | Q. And how are those salaries reviewed? |
| 15 | required to enter anything in notes to justify that? | 15 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, calls for |
| 16 | A. I don't know. | 16 | speculation. |
| 17 | MS. CONNELL: Same objection. | 17 | A. What do you mean? |
| 18 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) In looking at positioning | 18 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, you said the focal |
| 19 | employees within the salary range, we talked about | 19 | review is a point in time salary review, so what's the |
| 20 | various factors that might go into that, remember, we | 20 | review? |
| 21 | looked at a PowerPoint and shared some things. One of | 21 | MS. CONNELL: Same objection. |
| 22 | the factors that we mentioned, too, was performance, is | 22 | A. It's when we set up our system and if it |
| 23 | that right, performance is a factor in setting -- in | 23 | appears -- and you're given a budget and you may make |
| 24 | positioning an employee's salary range? | 24 | recommendations for salary increases for employees as |
| 25 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for | 25 | you deem appropriate, and it goes through various |
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| 1 | speculation. | 1 | approval chains. |
| 2 | A. Yes, it could be considered. | 2 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. So is performance |
| 3 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Could you place an | 3 | an element of the focal review? |
| 4 | employee in a salary range without considering | 4 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 5 | performance? | 5 | speculation. |
| 6 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, incomplete | 6 | A. For some lines of business, yes. For |
| 7 | hypothetical, calls for speculation. | 7 | others, no. |
| 8 | A. You wouldn't know performance for a new | 8 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Is there a principaled way |
| 9 | hire. | 9 | to determine which lines of business uses performance |
| 10 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay, so excluding new | 0 | and which don't? |
| 11 | hires, somebody who had been there for sometime, can you | 11 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. |
| 12 | place them in a salary range without knowing their | 12 | A. No. |
| 13 | performance? | 13 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Is that a decision that's |
| 14 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, lacks foundation, | 14 | left up to the line of business? |
| 15 | calls for speculation. | 15 | MS. CONNELL: Objection. |
| 16 | A. Could you? Maybe. | 16 | A. What decision? |
| 17 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So my understanding is | 17 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Whether or not to include |
| 18 | that Oracle has a focal review that you mentioned before | 18 | performance in the focal review. |
| 19 | and that there's also a separate performance review | 9 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. |
| 20 | system; is that correct? | 20 | A. Within a line of business -- it could even |
| 21 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts, | 21 | be different within a line of business, but a |
| 22 | lacks foundation. | 22 | performance piece is not required for the compensation. |
| 23 | A. The performance review system is separate | 23 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So apart from the focal |
| 24 | from compensation programs, yes. | 4 | review, does Oracle review performance reviews? |
| 25 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So maybe -- maybe this | 25 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. |
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| 1 | A. It's -- in some areas, yes, and in some | 1 | Q. Do you know what the intermediate managers, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | areas, no. | 2 | the intermediate approvals are during that -- in that |
| 3 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Is there any guidance | 3 | approval process? |
| 4 | about how to consider performance in making pay | 4 | A. I can't -- I couldn't speak for all of |
| 5 | decisions? | 5 | them. We've got thousands. |
| 6 | MS. CONNELL: Calls for speculation. | 6 | Q. Do you know how often approvals are |
| 7 | A. In some of the training that we've already | 7 | rejected by intermediate managers? |
| 8 | reviewed in our exhibits, there are slides in there that | 8 | A. I couldn't -- |
| 9 | specifically speak to theoretically where somebody would | 9 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 10 | be placed based on their performance. I believe one | 10 | speculation. |
| 11 | specifically mentioned newer to the job. Does it bring | 11 | A. I couldn't put a number to it. I mean, if |
| 12 | everything to the table, probably Q 1, star performers, | 12 | I had to be precise, I couldn't be precise. |
| 13 | star everything, probably Q 3 or Q 4. There's general | 13 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you believe you could |
| 14 | theoretical ways to approach that within our training. | 14 | estimate how many? |
| 15 | Q. And when you say Q 1, you mean quartile 1? | 15 | A. My estimate would be that it's pretty rare |
| 16 | A. Quartile 1. | 16 | that a first-line manager, between that first line and |
| 17 | Q. And Q 2 is quartile 2? | 17 | maybe the next line, it might change between there, but |
| 18 | A. Correct. | 18 | for the most part, if people stay within budget, it |
| 19 | Q. And Q 3 is quartile 3? | 19 | stays with what that first-line manager would recommend. |
| 20 | A. Correct. | 20 | Q. And how are you able to arrive at that |
| 21 | Q. And Q 4 is quartile 4? | 21 | estimate? |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | A. Well, I -- I mean, l've been a comp |
| 23 | Q. Is there any other training about how to | 23 | consultant there before and been supporting these |
| 24 | use performance in setting pay beyond -- as I said, with | 24 | programs for a long time and know that the higher up -- |
| 25 | the general guidelines there with the quartile? | 25 | the further removed you get from the individual |
|  | 121 |  | 123 |
| 1 | A. I don't know. | 1 | employee, the less you know about the specifics, so the |
| 2 | MR. MILLER: Why don't we go off the | 2 | highest levels don't tend to get involved in that. |
| 3 | record. | 3 | Q. But that estimate is not based on, for |
| 4 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is $1: 54$. We | 4 | instance, reviewing the databases as approvals go up and |
| 5 | are now going off the record. | 5 | down and seeing what's happening? |
| 6 | (Recess was taken.) | 6 | A. I've never done a full statistical |
| 7 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:05 p.m., | 7 | analysis, no. You asked me to estimate, and it doesn't |
| 8 | back on the record. | 8 | happen very often. |
| 9 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Ms. Waggoner, when we were | 9 | Q. No, I was really just curious what the |
| 10 | speaking a moment ago, you said that at least at the | 10 | basis for the estimate was. I was wondering if it was |
| 11 | level of the CEO, what's going on is just a sanity check | 11 | based on your observations of the approvals going up and |
| 12 | for the approvals that reach that level, right? | 12 | down or if it is what you explained, which was your |
| 13 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her | 13 | personal experience when you worked compensation. |
| 14 | testimony, vague. | 14 | MS. CONNELL: Misstates her testimony. She |
| 15 | A. No, I didn't say sanity check. I said a | 15 | testified to more than that. |
| 16 | check to make sure that we're within budget. | 16 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Did I leave something out? |
| 17 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) How do you know that | 17 | What else was it based on? |
| 18 | that's the only consideration at that level? | 18 | A. What else which was based on? |
| 19 | A. Because that's the information that's sent | 19 | Q. What else your estimate was based on. I |
| 20 | is this is what the budget was, this is what the spend | 20 | characterized it as your experience as a compensation |
| 21 | is, this is what's left over, and are we okay to | 21 | consultant. Was there something else? |
| 22 | proceed. | 22 | A. Well, yeah, because the further away you |
| 23 | Q. So at that level, they don't get the | 23 | get from that individual employee, the less they know |
| 24 | individual employee's recommendation? | 24 | about that individual employee's performance and what |
| 25 | A. They don't. They don't review that. | 25 | they contribute to the team. And so, in general, the |
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| 1 | first-line manager and maybe one level above would agree | 1 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Have you had a chance to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | to what the increase would be. | 2 | review this document? |
| 3 | And then from there, as long as people stay | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | within budget, there isn't a lot of scrutiny all the way | 4 | Q. Do you recognize this? |
| 5 | down to the individual employee level. | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. So during the focal review, are the | 6 | Q. What is it? |
| 7 | managers required to rank the employees they supervise | 7 | A. It looks like our -- the FAQ that was |
| 8 | in making proposals about increases? | 8 | released when they created this policy to no longer ask |
| 9 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for | 9 | for prior salary or consider it in determining pay for |
| 10 | speculation, assumes facts. | 10 | new hires. |
| 11 | A. Required -- required, no. | 11 | Q. Why did this policy come into effect? |
| 12 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Is it common for them to | 12 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 13 | do so? | 13 | speculation. |
| 14 | MS. CONNELL: Same objections. | 14 | A. I don't know. I was not involved. |
| 15 | A. I-- I don't have any idea. It's not | 15 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Prior to this policy, was |
| 16 | something that's required within our tool. But while | 16 | it Oracle's policy to ask for a salary history? |
| 17 | it's not required, they do have a limited budget, so | 17 | A. Prior to this policy, we didn't have a |
| 18 | assuming they have people among whom they need to spread | 18 | policy on that topic. |
| 19 | this budget, they likely take into consideration | 19 | Q. Prior to this policy, was it Oracle's |
| 20 | performance and how they would rank in terms of priority | 20 | practice to ask for prior salary information? |
| 21 | for whom to spend that limited budget on. | 21 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. |
| 22 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) But they are not required | 22 | A. I don't -- I don't know that it was a |
| 23 | to consider performance, correct? | 23 | practice. |
| 24 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, asked and | 24 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) If you look at this |
| 25 | answered. | 25 | exhibit, the first page which is marked 381077 ? |
|  | 125 |  | 127 |
| 1 | A. They are not required to enter performance | 1 | A. Yeah. |
| 2 | into our program. | 2 | Q. There's a second -- I guess it's the second |
| 3 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Well, I guess the question | 3 | paragraph under introduction. There's a bolded portion |
| 4 | I actually asked is are they required to consider | 4 | that begins, To ensure Oracle compliance with these |
| 5 | performance? | 5 | laws, Oracle removed the current salary field from the |
| 6 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague, calls for | 6 | iRecruitment job offer form. Do you know what the |
| 7 | speculation. | 7 | iRecruitment job offer form is? |
| 8 | A. I wouldn't say required. It's part of | 8 | A. Ido. |
| 9 | our -- it's part of our recommendations and our training | 9 | Q. What is it? |
| 10 | and our guidelines to say these are the things that you | 10 | A. It's the form that actually was one of the |
| 11 | might consider, but we don't have a tracking mechanism | 11 | forms -- one of the exhibits, the job offer exhibit that |
| 12 | for -- within our tool. We don't require that there be | 12 | you put before me. That's the form. |
| 13 | a rating or a ranking entered. | 13 | Q. Do you remember which exhibit that was? |
| 14 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So if you recall earlier | 14 | A. Oh, the last one, 12. |
| 15 | in the day, you corrected some testimony you'd given | 15 | Q. 12 is an e-mail, yes, okay. |
| 16 | about whether or not Oracle had policies that affected | 16 | A. It's what's behind it. |
| 17 | compensation, and one of the things you said there was | 17 | Q. I see. So it's the pages 27022 -- |
| 18 | now anyway a policy that prohibited managers from | 18 | A. To 24. |
| 19 | inquiring about prior salaries; is that correct? | 19 | Q. -- to 24. Yes, in fact, here in Exhibit |
| 20 | A. Correct. | 20 | 12, it has a salary entry, right? |
| 21 | Q. I'd like to show you an exhibit now -- I | 21 | A. On 23 it looks like there is a current |
| 22 | guess this will be lucky number Exhibit 13. | 22 | salary on there. |
| 23 | (Exhibit 13 marked for identification.) | 23 | Q. Do you know how the iRecruitment process |
| 24 | MS. CONNELL: In an abundance of caution, | 24 | works using that piece of software? |
| 25 | I'll designate this as confidential. | 25 | A. It's -- I mean, it's essentially data entry |
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| 1 | by the hiring manager to enter in the fields. The job | 1 | Q. I'd like to draw your attention to slide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | would auto populate, because it's the job for which they | 2 | 28. This is on the page marked dash 45 at the bottom. |
| 3 | posted the requisition. But it's data entry on the | 3 | A. I'm sorry, dash 45? |
| 4 | salary to offer and some of those other elements. | 4 | Q. Yes. |
| 5 | Q. Do you know what's required for the | 5 | A. Okay. Okay. |
| 6 | iRecruitment job offer form? | 6 | Q. So just take a moment to read this slide, |
| 7 | A. I actually do not. | 7 | and I'll ask you some questions about it. |
| 8 | Q. Okay, I'm going to show you another | 8 | A. Okay. |
| 9 | exhibit, ask the court reporter to mark it as Exhibit | 9 | Q. So at least as of May 2013, the guidelines |
| 10 |  | 10 | were that the current salary of a candidate for hire |
| 11 | (Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) | 11 | should be reviewed as part of the offer process? |
| 12 | MS. CONNELL: This exhibit is confidential | 12 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates the |
| 13 | as well. | 13 | document, and the document speaks for itself. |
| 14 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Are you finished reviewing | 14 | A. Well, it -- I see that it states should be |
| 15 | it? | 15 | reviewed, but it also clearly states that's one factor, |
| 16 | A. Uh-hum. | 16 | and the experience and current skill set should be |
| 17 | Q. Do you recognize this document? | 17 | weighed more heavily in determining that. |
| 18 | A. Idon't. | 18 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) The question was, at least |
| 19 | Q. Drawing your attention to -- I guess this | 19 | as of 2013, the guidelines were that current salary |
| 20 | is slide 12. It's on the page marked dash 22. | 20 | should be reviewed as part of the offer process? |
| 21 | A. Uh-hum. Oh, dash 22 ? | 21 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates the |
| 22 | Q. Yeah, sorry. | 22 | document. |
| 23 | A. Okay. | 23 | A. Like I said, the document says that it |
| 24 | Q. Is this an iRecruitment job offer form? | 24 | should be, but experience and skill set should weigh |
| 25 | A. It looks like it. | 25 | more heavily. |
|  | 129 |  | 131 |
| 1 | Q. Do you know what the stars next to some of | 1 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) I can see the other part. |
| 2 | these fields mean? | 2 | 1 am just asking about the first item. |
| 3 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for | 3 | A. If you read it, that's what it says. I'm |
| 4 | speculation. | 4 | clarifying that we clearly state that experience and |
| 5 | A. I don't. Is there a legend on here? | 5 | skill at the time should be weighed more heavily. |
| 6 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you see a legend? | 6 | Q. But that wasn't the question I asked. |
| 7 | A. I don't, no. | 7 | A. But you can read the slide. |
| 8 | Q. Let me show you another exhibit, ask the | 8 | Q. Sure can, but the way these depositions |
| 9 | court reporter to mark this Exhibit 15. | 9 | work is I ask questions, and you answer them. It was a |
| 10 | (Exhibit 15 marked for identification.) | 10 | yes or no question. |
| 11 | MS. CONNELL: Mark this exhibit as | 11 | A. Not really. |
| 12 | confidential as well. | 12 | MS. CONNELL: No, she qualified her answer. |
| 13 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you recognize this | 13 | It's asked and answered, and now it's argumentative. |
| 14 | document? | 14 | MR. MILLER: That's a speaking objection |
| 15 | A. I vaguely recall. | 15 | which you don't need to make during the deposition, but |
| 16 | Q. So on the first page, it's labeled as May | 16 | we can move on, but you are required to answer my |
| 17 | 2013. At that time, you would have worked for -- you | 7 | question. |
| 18 | would have been a senior manager of compensation? | 18 | MS. CONNELL: She did. |
| 19 | A. Ithink so. | 19 | MR. MILLER: Erin, please don't interrupt |
| 20 | Q. Did you have any role in developing this | 20 | the deposition. |
| 21 | training? | 21 | A. I answered the question. |
| 22 | A. Ithink I did. I think this was -- again, | 22 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Thank you. |
| 23 | to give a little more precise guidelines to our U.S. HR | 23 | A. Twice, I think. |
| 24 | population based on all those other modules and kind of | 24 | Q. Well, by my count, it would have been more |
| 25 | pulling things together into one resource kind of place. | 25 | like three or four. |
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of your cloud products to, say, PeopleSoft?
MS. CONNELL: Objection, incomplete hypothetical and calls for speculation.
A. I don't know. You know, in any of those situations, it would be reviewed on case-by-case basis and internal equity for peers.

One of the reasons we do this is because we don't want to cause infighting and battling over resources and who has more money to throw at this developer, so people are always wanting to jump.

It doesn't make for a very collaborative environment if you have people who think I could jump over here and do the exact same thing and get a raise, so we try -- we try to limit that kind of activity because it can be very toxic if it's competition between teams thinking they could get a raise to go do the same thing somewhere else.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Switching to slightly different kinds of transfers, and I asked about this in a little bit ago, but how does pay -- how is pay analyzed when a person transfers from a different -like an Oracle business in a different part of the world but no longer Oracle U.S. but let's say Oracle India, how is their compensation handled when they transfer to the United States?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, incomplete hypothetical, calls for speculation, assumes facts.
A. I'm so sorry, I thought we covered this. If they are transferring to a new country, you start with the compa-ratio and doing the exact same thing, you start with the compa-ratio, and you get an idea of how they would have to be positioned in their new location to the new market, and then you would evaluate based on internal peers and what they bring to the table and what they will bring to this new group.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) You're right, we did cover that, and that's again starting with compa-ratio. You told me there were other factors that would affect where they land in the group?
A. Uh-hum, uh-hum.
Q. So far when you have been talking about the annual compensation programs, we've talked about focal reviews, we've talked about a bonus program, annual bonus program, and we've talked a little bit about an equity program; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Oracle give performance-only bonuses, bonuses that are not connected to the focal review but are just about performance of the individual?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, assumes facts,
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calls for speculation.
A. The corporate bonus program is funded based on the performance of the business. Whether or not we have a budget is completely dependent upon the performance of the business.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Okay. But so it's not tied to the individual performance of the employee?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. The funding of the pool is not tied to individual performance, no.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) What about the award once you have the pool?
A. If a manager is given a pool to spend, then, yes, a bonus is supposed to be taken into consideration. That's part of our philosophy of being paid for performance. If you have a limited budget and you have five people, the correct way to do things and the way we speak about it as guidelines in training would be that you reward your high performers first.
Q. And how would it be determined who the high performers are?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. Varying teams have different ways of doing that. Some go through a formal appraisal, some go
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through a formal rating, and some don't. There are some areas where you get down to the manager, and the manager knows who their performers are, but there's no formal system documentation of that.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Are stocks or equity, is that ever used as a performance bonus?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. Yes, but our -- kind of our philosophy and our global compensation team approach on that is that cash bonuses are more performance recognition based, and equity is more about retaining key talent over the long term.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Does Oracle have policies that discourage employees from discussing pay?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. To my knowledge, there are no policies regarding pay except for the one about not asking about prior salary.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Are there any guidelines or practices that discourage employees from discussing pay with one another?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. So throughout today, you know, we've talked about a variety of guidelines, and I asked you early on

|  | the difference between policy and guidelines, and । | 1 | more on a needs-to-know basis in lines of business where |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | think you explained that to me. And we've commented at | 2 | equity is more common to be offered than the HR leader |
| 3 | various points, but when we're talking about these | 3 | or recruiting may share, you know, to say, oh, you're |
| 4 | guidelines that you've developed and that we have been | 4 | hiring in zone one and M5, this is what the range looks |
| 5 | looking at all day, are they -- how are they | 5 | like and the guidelines, but we didn't want this to be |
| 6 | communicated to the employees? | 6 | blasted anywhere because, like I mentioned before, we |
| 7 | A. So at this point, I think we actually only | 7 | didn't want anyone to get the impression that an |
| 8 | reviewed one set of guidelines, and it had to do with | 8 | We didn't |
| 9 | the equity guidelines, and that was because there were | 9 | want that misunderstanding. |
| 10 | specific numbers and ranges around it, and that's why we | 10 | So it was meant to be intended for that |
| 11 | called it guidelines. It was just to give them an idea | 11 | audience to be shared only really more as a need to know |
| 12 | of what that market might be. | 12 | when discussing an offer for the annual grant. |
| 13 | The other -- and those are not available to | 13 | Q. Okay. So the -- the documents we've looked |
| 14 | employees. And most of the other documentation, there's | 14 | at today, the ICs, individual contributors, they would |
| 15 | some things that I said from the exhibits that are | 15 | have access to the documents you said were posted on the |
| 16 | posted on the intranet that are available, so they can | 16 | intranet; is that right? |
| 17 | see definitions of things, definitions of comp terms and | 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | how, say, our annual programs work. | 18 | Q. Would they have access to any of these |
| 19 | But, for the most part, the rest of those | 19 | other documents? |
| 20 | exhibits and the trainings that we've made available are | 20 | MS. CONNELL: Take your time. |
| 21 | -- the intended audience is HR and managers to assist | 21 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Yeah, you can look back |
| 22 | them in their conversations with them, but the average | 22 | through them. I know we've got 16 outstanding. |
| 23 | employee, it's not something that they would have access | 23 | A. So Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5 minus that last |
| 24 |  | 24 | page, page 598, the one -- Exhibit 6, yes. I don't |
| 25 | Q. I'd like to turn your attention back to | 25 | know. Exhibits 8 and 9 are the approval matrix. I have |
|  | 141 |  | 143 |
| 1 | Exhibit 2. I'm going to draw your attention to slide | 1 | no idea who has access to those. Exhibit 10, Exhibit 12 |
| 2 | five, which is at dash 7. Have you had a chance to | 2 | is that work flow, that job offer. The ICs don't have |
| 3 | review that? | 3 | that. I don't know who has access to Exhibit 13. |
| 4 | A. Yeah. | 4 | That's the one on the -- the policy about not asking for |
| 5 | Q. So these are the equity guidelines we were | 5 | prior salary. I think that's probably for managers |
| 6 | just talking about or you just mentioned, correct? | 6 | only, but I don't know. And then 14, 15 are managers |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | only and 16, it looks like this is an open posting that |
| 8 | Q. So these guidelines say they're not to be | 8 | could potentially be online, so anybody can see that. |
| 9 | distributed to management and they're only intended for | 9 | Q. So I'm just going to try to summarize. |
| 10 | compensation recruiting and HR leader use? | 10 | Sounds to me like ICs would have access to Exhibits 4, 5 |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | and 6 with the exception of the last page on five which |
| 12 | Q. So this is even somewhat more restricted | 12 | is marked 598? |
| 13 | than what you were just saying about the trainings that | 13 | A. 598 and 6, yep, 4, 5 and 6, minus that |
| 14 | are -- | 14 | page. |
| 15 | A. Yes, yep. | 15 | Q. And then you were uncertain about $8,9,13$ |
| 16 | Q. So how much -- like I guess my question is | 16 | and 16 ? |
| 17 | if these are restricted to these groups of people, how | 17 | A. Uncertain about the matrices, and then 13 |
| 18 | much of this ever gets communicated further down the | 18 | is the don't ask about prior pay, and 16 is the job |
| 19 | road? Like beyond the compensation recruiting and HR | 19 | posting. So, I mean, job postings, any outsider can see |
| 20 | leaders, would they communicate any of this to | 20 | those, so that's anyone. |
| 21 | subordinate managers or to managers? | 21 | Q. Okay. So what steps does Oracle take to |
| 22 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, incomplete | 22 | ensure that there's no racial, ethnic or gender |
| 23 | hypothetical, calls for speculation, assumes facts. | 23 | disparities in its annual pay programs? |
| 24 | A. I don't -- it will vary by the lines of | 24 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for |
| 25 | business. The -- these were released and rolled out | 25 | speculation, plus it lacks foundation. |
|  | 142 |  | 144 |

A. The steps we take, from a -- from a compensation perspective, I believe the trainings that we've developed, the mandatory trainings that managers are required to take, including non-discrimination in employment practices and that training.

We -- we prepare and educate by HR and our comp teams on how to advise and be legally compliant as one of our trainers said -- or one of our trainings said.

So from that standpoint, I think the guidelines and the trainings that we set forth make that clear that that's what Oracle is about in making fair and equitable decisions and using knowledge, skills, abilities, internal peer equity, pay for performance, those kind of factors when making their pay decisions. That's -- those are the ways that l've been involved in trying to ensure such things.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) When you say training we developed, are you talking about something other than the kind of trainings we've been looking at today?
A. No, I'm talking about the various modules that we've put together that say what you should consider when making decisions.
Q. And when we -- when you mentioned manager HR training, that's a thing that you mentioned a couple
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of times that has to do with the training, the anti-discrimination training you received or your managers received?
A. Correct, correct.
Q. And then you say that there is training of HR personnel, I guess, how to avoid pay discrimination. Is that separate from the mandatory training?
A. I guess I don't -- I don't recall that I said how to avoid it. It was -- it was about giving them the information on the things they should consider when making their decisions in order to be legally compliant and use the factors that we are allowed to use when making those decisions like knowledge, skills, abilities, education, performance, internal peer equity, those kind of things.
Q. Have you had any specific training on Oracle's obligations under the executive order that applies to federal contractors?
A. Personally, no.
Q. Are you aware of Oracle's affirmative action obligations?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague.
A. I am aware they exist, but I'm not aware of specifics.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Do you know what Oracle's
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goals are related to assuring equal employment opportunity compensation?
A. Idon't.
Q. When reviewing pay decisions, as you say, the final check in where you make sure everything is within budget and then it's finalized, is there any attempt to take into account Oracle's affirmative action obligations?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation, vague.
A. I don't know. I do not review the details of the submissions.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Does Oracle make pay adjustments for individual employees based on assessment of that employee's pay with respect to race, gender or ethnicity?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation, vague.
A. I don't know.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Under what circumstances does Oracle make individualized pay adjustments?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation, vague.
A. Competitive offer, they might submit a resignation and say I have a competitive offer and we
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counter that offer for a promotion, for an increase of duties that didn't necessarily mean a promotion, but maybe somebody on a team left and someone else had to pick up some slack, and the manager would recognize that by giving an increase in pay.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) And l've heard this competitive offer process referred to as dive and safe. Is that what it's referred to sometimes?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Does Oracle make individualized pay adjustments based on state regulatory actions? MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for speculation.
A. I have no idea.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) Something you've mentioned, I believe, a couple of times is that budgets are set at the country level; is that correct?

MS. CONNELL: Objection, misstates her testimony.
A. I don't recall we've talked about budgets today.
Q. (By Mr. Miller) I thought you mentioned -but could be mistaken. How are budgets set for the annual compensation programs?
A. We start with a global market assessment,

|  | and all of the regions come forward with their request | 1 | speculation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | for the country -- for the percentage for every country, | 2 | A. No, I am not. No, not personally. |
| 3 | and at the very, very top level, we enter that into our | 3 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Have you been involved in |
| 4 | model, the country budgets, and that then gives us an | 4 | reviewing the fairness of Oracle's pay systems with |
| 5 | idea of what our two CEOs and Larry Ellison as CTO, so | 5 | respect to race, gender or ethnicity? |
| 6 | the very top level, refer to it as the Oracle board of | 6 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. |
| 7 | directors, and Larry, Mark and Safra are the next level | 7 | A. I have not had anything to do with |
| 8 | down. | 8 | evaluating for those reasons, no. |
| 9 | We model based on country budgets at the | 9 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) So when we talk about |
| 10 | Larry, Mark and Safra level. And then from there, they | 10 | these guidelines or the training, I think you have been |
| 11 | make individual decisions on how much they want to | 11 | pretty clear about this, but I just want to make sure I |
| 12 | spend. If the -- if the country model -- say, the | 12 | understand. These are recommendations that you are |
| 13 | country model came to a 200 million dollar budget and at | 13 | making to managers, they are not requirements; is that |
| 14 | this point in time, we can only afford 125, we have to | 14 | right? |
| 15 | shave it down, and then it starts to get cascaded. They | 15 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, vague. |
| 16 | then make decisions on that next level, and then each | 16 | A. So the guidelines -- I think the only |
| 17 | level manager makes decisions on how they want to push. | 17 | guidelines really that we've put forth in our exhibits |
| 18 | Q. Is it a single budget that then is broken | 18 | were those global equity guidelines, and those were -- I |
| 19 | out by bonus and salary adjustments and equity, or are | 19 | believe one of slides even stated they are guidelines, |
| 20 | there separate budgets for each of those? | 20 | not rules. This just tells you where the general market |
| 21 | A. Separate for each. | 21 | might fall for this kind of job and this career level |
| 22 | MR. MILLER: Let's go off the record. | 22 | and this location. |
| 23 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:51 p.m., | 23 | The others are we consider more like comp |
| 24 | going off the record. | 24 | 101, general comp best practices for how to use a range, |
| 25 | (Recess was taken.) | 25 | for how to make pay decisions or how to evaluate an |
|  | 149 |  | 151 |
| 1 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:06 p.m., | 1 | internal transfer or a promotion, but I would say |
| 2 | we're back on the record. | 2 | general -- general practices for how to make the right |
| 3 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Ms. Waggoner, before we | 3 | decisions of the management. |
| 4 | went on break, I was asking you for reasons for | 4 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) But not requirements? |
| 5 | individualized pay adjustments, and I believe you told | 5 | A. Not requirements. |
| 6 | me they were competitive offers, the dive and safe, | 6 | Q. Then I just -- looking at Exhibit 15 very |
| 7 | promotions or an increase of duties if they made the | 7 | briefly, the front of that exhibit or that PowerPoint |
| 8 | promotion; is that right? | 8 | dec says, Global compensation guidelines training. So |
| 9 | A. Those would be a few of them, yeah. | 9 | does this constitute a guideline, too? |
| 10 | Q. What are some others? | 10 | A. Well, this one is different in that it |
| 11 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for | 11 | gives very specific circumstances, like this one is |
| 12 | speculation. | 12 | different from any of the other pieces of training in |
| 13 | A. I can't -- I mean, I can't really think of | 13 | that it gives like a specific -- on page 47, for |
| 14 | other specific scenarios. | 14 | example, it says this is what you would do for a U.S. |
| 15 | Q. (By Mr. Miller) Are you aware of | 15 | Oracle direct-to-field sales transfer, so the way that |
| 16 | individualized pay adjustments being made because the | 16 | this one is different from all others is that it draws |
| 17 | employee had low internal or external equity? If you | 17 | out specific scenarios of what to do in precise |
| 18 | recall when we were looking at the PowerPoint slides, | 18 | instances. |
| 19 | one of the two categories we were looking at, external | 19 | I'm trying to find some of the other pages. |
| 20 | equity is related to the market and internal equity is | 20 | There are a lot of pages on here -- |
| 21 | related to peers. So that's a very long question, so | 21 | Q. Yeah. |
| 22 | let's start over. | 22 | A. -- that show specific scenarios, like page |
| 23 | Are you aware of any individualized pay | 23 | 10 and 11 specifically speak to an internal transfer |
| 24 | adjustments made to attract low internal equity? | 24 | where the variable increases in North America. |
| 25 | MS. CONNELL: Objection, calls for | 25 | So we say typically, there will be a |
|  | 150 |  | 152 |


| 1 | reduction in career level, and there's a reduction in | 1 | I, KATE WAGGONER, do hereby certify that |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | base. Salary, employee new to the role should be | 2 | I have read the foregoing transcript, and that the same |
| 3 | targeted in the first or lower or second quartile. This | 3 | and accompanying amendment sheets, if any, constitute a |
| 4 | is if somebody is moving from a non-sales to a sales job | 4 | true and complete record of my testimony. |
| 5 | or something like that. And then -- and then it gives | 5 |  |
| 6 | an example of what you might do for that. | 6 |  |
| 7 | So this would be more -- more guidelines | 7 | _ I have made corrections to my deposition. |
| 8 | simply because there are scenarios in here that would | 8 | _ I have NOT made any changes to my deposition. |
| 9 | kind of guide them in how to make a decision, but it's | 9 |  |
| 10 | not a rule around you must do something this way. | 10 |  |
| 11 | Q. Okay. And then I just have like three | 11 | KATE WAGGONER |
| 12 | housekeeping questions. One of them is you used the | 12 |  |
| 13 | abbreviation EVP at a couple of points. I assume you | 13 |  |
| 14 | meant executive vice president? | 14 |  |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 |  |
| 16 | Q. And when you were talking about the salary | 16 |  |
| 17 | ranges being different for HQ and non-HQ, you gave me a | 17 |  |
| 18 | list of some zip codes. Do you know if it also includes | 18 |  |
| 19 | the 93 zip codes? | 19 |  |
| 20 | A. Off the top of my head, I don't. | 20 |  |
| 21 | Q. And then at the beginning, I asked you what | 21 |  |
| 22 | you reviewed in preparation for this deposition, and I | 22 |  |
| 23 | asked you if you had reviewed documents that had been | 23 |  |
| 24 | produced. Did you review documents that didn't have | 24 |  |
| 25 | Bates ranges on them, Bates stamp numbers? | 25 |  |
|  | 153 | 155 |  |
| 1 | A. No. | 1 | STATE OF COLORADO ) |
| 2 | MR. MILLER: Okay, I think that does it for | 2 | ) ss. |
| 3 | me. Thank you for your time. | 3 | COUNTY OF DENVER ) |
| 4 | MS. CONNELL: All right, you know our | 4 |  |
| 5 | position is that we're done with her now. | 5 | I, Susan Bretschneider, Notary Public |
| 6 | MR. GARCIA: So you have no questions to | 6 | within and for the State of Colorado, do hereby certify |
| 7 | ask? | 7 | that previous to the commencement of the examination, |
| 8 | MS. CONNELL: I have no questions to ask, | 8 | the deponent was duly sworn by me to testify the truth. |
| 9 | and I believe that we have been on the record for just | 9 | I further certify that this deposition was |
| 10 | over three hours, three hours and change, so -- | 10 | taken in shorthand by me at the time and place herein |
| 11 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:12 p.m. | 11 | set forth, and that it was thereafter reduced to |
| 12 | This concludes the deposition, and we are off the | 12 | typewritten form, and that the foregoing constitutes a |
| 13 | record. | 13 | true and correct transcript. |
| 14 | (Proceedings concluded at 3:12 p.m.) | 14 | I further certify that I am not related to, |
| 15 |  | 15 | employed by, nor of counsel for any of the parties or |
| 16 |  | 16 | attorneys herein, nor otherwise interested in the result |
| 17 |  | 17 | of the within action. |
| 18 |  | 18 |  |
| 19 |  | 19 |  |
| 20 |  | 20 | Susan Bretschneider |
| 21 |  | 21 | Notary Public, State of Colorado |
| 22 |  | 22 | Commission Expires: 10-26-22 |
| 23 |  | 23 |  |
| 24 |  | 24 | Dated: May 6, 2019 |
| 25 |  | 25 |  |
| 154 |  | 156 |  |
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